

From: Beth Mohr
To: LGLPOBAF@cabq.gov
Subject: Thank-you for your email Re: Inspection of Public Records Request
Date: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 5:29:23 PM

Thank-you for your email. I am a Police Oversight Board member; this is a volunteer position for which I have limited availability. If your email requires a response from me, I will endeavor to do so within 72 hours. Thank-you for your patience.

--

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Leonard Waites; Molra Amado-McCoy; Scott S. Wilson
Subject: Meeting of Case Review Subcommittee before next POB Meeting?
Date: Friday, May 29, 2015 3:47:43 PM

Dear Members of the Case Review Subcommittee,

At the last meeting, it was tentatively set that this Subcommittee meet on Tuesday, June 2 at 10 am. Please let me know if you still wish to meet on Tuesday next week, and if so, what the Agenda would entail.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.
Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: [Maira Amado-McCoy](#)
To: [Hammer, Robin](#); mbaker@peiferlaw.com; [Cash, Paul](#); [Beth A. Mohr](#)
Cc: [Leonard Waites](#); [Scott S. Wilson](#)
Subject: Re: Meeting of Case Review Subcommittee before next POB Meeting?
Date: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 7:57:12 AM

Good Morning, Robin.

As you know, I am the Chair of this committee. Please call me if you have further questions about its administration. If not, I'll be in touch as soon as I have agenda items nailed down. Obviously, the June 2nd meeting date was somehow lost in the details, no doubt owing to my time out of town.

In the meantime, please prepare a short document for us describing, in prose, the full process we reviewed at our last meeting. Please design this document according to the steps/stages described in tabular form on the document Paul Cash designed with our input. While at your final discretion, I would, in fact, suggest that it is best for Mr. Cash himself to meet this request today, as he has proven himself extremely articulate and conscientious about making the process clear for us.

There are 17 columns on the most recent version of this document that I have. They are labeled A-Q. As a living document, this may have changed since I last version I've seen, but that should not change the meaning of my request. What I'm asking for is 2-3 sentences describing each step of the process we are currently examining on Case Review (as represented in the new Excel document).

The first column, for instance, is "Case #." This might seem straight forward to you, and not requiring a summary, but as an example here, I'd like to know how the case number is devised and assigned: whether it, for instance, might reflect the time/date the number was assigned/received or the type of case it is. Some columns likely require less explanation than others. "Rec. Date" (the second column, labeled "B" on the document I have in front of me), might simply require one sentence describing how the case is received: both from and to, while "Letter to Citizen" (labeled "I" on my document), might require more clarification, as we have seen various complications arising in this area.

Please assure that this document is prepared according to the best principals of summarizing practice. I say this because I've noticed a pronounced problem with true and reliable summary from your desk, and I do not want to spend any additional time deciphering the veracity of this document. Again, I strongly suggest that it would be best for Mr. Cash to complete this request, not only because of his clear writing, but because it has been Mr. Cash that very responsively designed and developed the Excel document in close coordination with us.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Maira Amado-McCoy

On Fri, May 29, 2015 at 3:47 PM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

: Dear Members of the Case Review Subcommittee,

At the last meeting, it was tentatively set that this Subcommittee meet on Tuesday, June 2 at 10 am. Please let me know if you still wish to meet on Tuesday next week, and if so, what the Agenda would entail.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Silvio Dell'Angela
To: Silvio Dell'Angela
Subject: FW: It's Official! YES-THAT WE WERE BETRAYED.
Date: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 7:06:24 PM
Attachments: APDfwdAmicusCuriae.pdf

All=FYI is my e-mail response below.

I guess APF doesn't accept return e-mails

Silvio

From: Mail Delivery System [<mailto:mailer-daemon@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 5:28 PM
To: dellansi@comcast.net
Subject: Delivery status notification

This is an automatically generated Delivery Status Notification.

Delivery to the following recipients was aborted after 0 second(s):

* info@apdforward.com

From: Silvio Dell'Angela [<mailto:Dellansi@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 5:29 PM
To: 'APD Forward'
Cc: 'nlaflin@hearst.com'; 'Steven Robert Allen'; 'nkoenigsberg@drmm.org'; 'Vanita Gupta'
Subject: RE: It's Official! YES-THAT WE WERE BETRAYED.

All at APD Forward

Sorry -but I don't share your enthusiasm and to attach APD Forward's name to an endorsement of this agreement as it hurts your group's credibility.

It's a joke-toothless as is the monitor James Ginger and his \$4.5+million crew made up of mostly former cops.

The arrogant Judge Brack even ignored APD Forward's own January 2015 Amicus Curiae that pointed out only some of the serious limitations with this (intimidated by a city lawsuit) DOJ and Berry's henchmen agreement-including Streicher Greenwood and Kent Walz's brother Jerry. Note not even one elected official signed the agreement or signed the give-away to Ginger. Not a problem to you APF folks?

APD Forward should have weighed in at last night's council meeting opposing wasting even more tax dollars on Greenwood who continued to spend despite only authorized \$240K from the Council. The nine have no clue on what Greenwood (who lied to the Council) and Streicher did-nor

M003348

do they have any guts. Just nine Berry pawns as in any dictatorship

All the arrogant-seemingly drunk Perry told the nine Councilors last night is to just shut up and that they must pay the Greenwood bills that will now exceed \$500K just like they should not question the \$4.5+ given by the Judge Brack to the arrogant Ginger and his PMR inc. team that already has an attorney.

Our disgraceful new Berry's/not City attorney Jessica Hernandez said her job is just only to forward the bills to an unnamed hired attorney for review. Was it Kent Waltz's brother Jerry Walz? Was it Dave Tourek-likely back with the French law firm?

There is no statement of work defining specifically what both Greenwood and Streicher's consulting firm was going to do under the nominal \$70K given to them under the Council's approval threshold.

This city is going downhill because too many people are willing to fall back on the excuse that while it's not right, this is the best we can hope for.

Maybe it's time for the citizens to revolt and file a class action lawsuit.

You in APD Forward are trying to put lipstick and a dress on this pig and claiming it's no longer a pig. It's a PIG!

The disgraceful Brack is no different than Judge Jaramillo who turned the killer of a good cop Gregg Benner loose to kill again. See the scathing June 1 Eye on Albuquerque posting beginning below.

You Do NOT have the right to remain SILENT

As a community we are all grieving the loss of Rio Rancho Police Officer Gregg Benner. The cause of death was an unprovoked, premeditated and malice act of a known murderer. This is now murder number two for this offender. The current, District Court Judge Christina J. Jaramillo who allowed this murderer to wonder our streets freely has stated she does not comment on cases.

Don't celebrate this agreement. It was a betrayal-plain and simple.

Silvio

For WE THE PEOPLE

who the ACLU also believes should be forbidden from showing my American flag upside down at Council meetings.

From: APD Forward [<mailto:info@apdforward.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 4:15 PM

To: Silvio Dell'Angela

Subject: It's Official!



Silvio --

The news just broke: the Court has approved the settlement agreement between the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the City of Albuquerque.

This is a huge milestone for everyone who has worked so hard to hold the Albuquerque Police Department accountable for its pattern and practice of using excessive force.

Today it is official: we are on the road to reform. But our work is far from over.

We must continue to hold the City's feet to the fire throughout the entire reform process to ensure that we get the professional, responsible, and community-friendly police department our city deserves.

APD Forward will continue to serve as a powerful platform. Really? for the community to make its voice heard to the DOJ and the City of Albuquerque, and we will continue to monitor every step of the process to help ensure that this settlement agreement delivers on its promises.

This is a big day for all of us. You-not me. Thank you for your continued support and advocacy on this critical issue.

Together, we can ensure that our shared vision of a police department truly in step with its community will one day become a reality. Not until Berry is gone

In Solidarity,

APD Forward

<http://www.apdforward.org/>

APD Forward · United States

This email was sent to dellansi@comcast.net. To stop receiving emails, [click here](#).

You can also keep up with APD Forward on [Facebook](#).

Created with [NationBuilder](#), the essential toolkit for leaders.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

1:14-CV-1025 RB/SMV

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE,

Defendant.

AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF APD FORWARD
REGARDING COURT APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AND
THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Frances Crockett Carpenter
Law Office of Frances Crockett
118 Wellesley Dr. SE
Albuquerque, NM 87106-1444
Phone: (505) 314-8884
Fax: (505) 265-1319
frances@francescrockettllaw.com

Phillip B. Davis
Phillip B. Davis Attorney at Law
814 Marquette Ave. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102-1959
Phone: (505) 242-1904
Fax: (505) 242-1864
davis@swcp.com

Shannon Kennedy
Kennedy Kennedy & Ives
1000 Second St. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
Phone: (505) 244-1400
Fax: (505) 244-1406
slk@civilrightslawnewmexico.com

Nancy Koenigsberg, Legal Director
Disability Rights New Mexico
1720 Louisiana Blvd. NE, Suite 204
Albuquerque, NM 87110
Phone: (505) 256-3100
Fax: (505) 256-3184
nkoenigsberg@drnm.org

Randi McGinn
McGinn Carpenter Montoya & Love P.A.
201 Broadway Blvd. SE
Albuquerque, NM 87102-3424
Phone: (505) 843-6161
Fax: (505) 242-8227
randi@mcginnlaw.com

Alexandra Freedman Smith
Legal Director
ACLU of New Mexico Foundation
P.O. Box 566
Albuquerque, NM 87103-0566
Phone: (505) 266-5915 Ext. 1008
Fax: (505) 266-5916
asmith@aclu-nm.org

From: Moira Amado-McCoy
To: Hammer, Robin; Cash, Paul; Scott Wilson; Leonard Waites; Beth A. Mohr; mbaker@peiferlaw.com
Subject: Prose summary of spreadsheet
Date: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 8:27:16 AM

Good Morning, Robin.

Please let me know as soon as possible (today, ideally) how you intend to handle my request yesterday related to composing a short, prose, summary document of the new process spreadsheet Mr. Cash designed at the Board's behest.

Your office's ability to furnish this document will partially determine the agenda for the Case Review Committee this month. I prefer not to have to review the territory we covered in May's meeting, and can avoid that if we have a reliable summary beforehand.

Moira Amado-McCoy

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Moira Amado-McCoy; Cash, Paul; Scott S. Wilson; Leonard Waites; Beth Mohr; "mbaker@peiferlaw.com"
Subject: RE: Prose summary of spreadsheet
Date: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 8:31:11 AM

Moira,

I have assigned your request to Paul Cash to prepare a draft. Paul and I will confer after he has completed his draft and I will make any final revisions. After which, I will forward the document to the members of the Case Review Subcommittee. I am in meetings much of today and tomorrow. I hope to have something to the Subcommittee before the end of the week.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Moira Amado-McCoy [mailto:amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 8:27 AM
To: Hammer, Robin; Cash, Paul; Scott S. Wilson; Leonard Waites; Beth Mohr; mbaker@peiferlaw.com
Subject: Prose summary of spreadsheet

Good Morning, Robin.

Please let me know as soon as possible (today, ideally) how you intend to handle my request yesterday related to composing a short, prose, summary document of the new process spreadsheet Mr. Cash designed at the Board's behest.

Your office's ability to furnish this document will partially determine the agenda for the Case Review Committee this month. I prefer not to have to review the territory we covered in May's meeting, and can avoid that if we have a reliable summary beforehand.

Moira Amado-McCoy

From: [Maira Amado-McCoy](#)
To: [Hammer, Robin](#)
Cc: [Cash, Paul](#); [Scott S. Wilson](#); [Leonard Waites](#); [Beth Mohr](#); mbaker@peiferlaw.com
Subject: Re: Prose summary of spreadsheet
Date: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 9:52:49 AM

This will work fine, Robin. Thank you. I'll look forward to seeing it.

Since I'm sure this document will serve it's intended purpose, let's try to schedule a meeting time early next week. Do you prefer to check availability of meeting space first, or start with a selection of proposed dates that will work for us?

Maira Amado-McCoy

On Wed, Jun 3, 2015 at 8:30 AM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

Maira,

I have assigned your request to Paul Cash to prepare a draft. Paul and I will confer after he has completed his draft and I will make any final revisions. After which, I will forward the document to the members of the Case Review Subcommittee. I am in meetings much of today and tomorrow. I hope to have something to the Subcommittee before the end of the week.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Moira Amado-McCoy [mailto:amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 8:27 AM

To: Hammer, Robin; Cash, Paul; Scott S. Wilson; Leonard Waites; Beth Mohr; mbaker@peiferlaw.com

Subject: Prose summary of spreadsheet

Good Morning, Robin.

Please let me know as soon as possible (today, ideally) how you intend to handle my request yesterday related to composing a short, prose, summary document of the new process spreadsheet Mr. Cash designed at the Board's behest.

Your office's ability to furnish this document will partially determine the agenda for the Case Review Committee this month. I prefer not to have to review the territory we covered in May's meeting, and can avoid that if we have a reliable summary beforehand.

Moira Amado-McCoy

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Maira Amado-McCoy
Cc: Cash, Paul; Scott S. Wilson; Leonard Waites; Beth Mohr; "mbaker@peiferlaw.com"
Subject: RE: Prose summary of spreadsheet
Date: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 2:03:19 PM

Maira,

The Hearing Room at Plaza del Sol is available on Tuesday, June 9 at 9:30 am for a meeting of the Case Review Committee. We would need to post an Agenda by the close of business on Friday, June 5. We would post notice of the meeting in general as soon as you OK the day and time.

Please let me know if this date and time works for you.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Maira Amado-McCoy [mailto:amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 9:53 AM
To: Hammer, Robin
Cc: Cash, Paul; Scott S. Wilson; Leonard Waites; Beth Mohr; mbaker@peiferlaw.com
Subject: Re: Prose summary of spreadsheet

This will work fine, Robin. Thank you. I'll look forward to seeing it.

Since I'm sure this document will serve it's intended purpose, let's try to schedule a meeting time early next week. Do you prefer to check availability of meeting space first, or start with a selection of proposed dates that will work for us?

Maira Amado-McCoy

On Wed, Jun 3, 2015 at 8:30 AM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:
Maira,

I have assigned your request to Paul Cash to prepare a draft. Paul and I will confer after he has completed his draft and I will make any final revisions. After which, I will forward the document to the members of the Case Review Subcommittee. I am in meetings much of today and tomorrow. I hope to have something to the Subcommittee before the end of the week.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Moira Amado-McCoy [<mailto:amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 8:27 AM
To: Hammer, Robin; Cash, Paul; Scott S. Wilson; Leonard Waites; Beth Mohr; mbaker@peiferlaw.com
Subject: Prose summary of spreadsheet

Good Morning, Robin.

Please let me know as soon as possible (today, ideally) how you intend to handle my request yesterday related to composing a short, prose, summary document of the new process spreadsheet Mr. Cash designed at the Board's behest.

Your office's ability to furnish this document will partially determine the agenda for the Case Review Committee this month. I prefer not to have to review the territory we covered in May's meeting, and can avoid that if we have a reliable summary beforehand.

Moira Amado-McCoy

From: Beth Mohr
To: Hammer, Robin; pob; Mark T. Baker; Sharon Saavedra
Subject: Completed Citizen Police Academy 6-3-2015
Date: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 4:18:49 PM
Attachments: Mohr Certificate of completion - Citizen Police Academy 6-3-2015.pdf

Ms. Hammer -

Please find the attached copy of my certificate of completion for the Citizen Police Academy. I appreciate the herculean efforts of Officer Saavedra, as well as the other staff and instructors, to get me caught up on all the classes I had to miss due to work. I know Officer Saavedra and staff are continuing to work with others who have additional course work.

I have also completed both of the initial ride-alongs. We are scheduling additional training for the June 11th POB meeting, and will shortly have everyone through our required training.

Thank-you again, Officer Saavedra, for all your work to get us through. -Beth

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

Albuquerque Police Department

Roger A. Hoisington Jr. Training Academy

Certificate of Completion



This is to certify that
Beth A. Mohr



Has attended and successfully completed the 47th Citizen Police Academy held
March 3, 2015 through May 26, 2015

"Understanding and Appreciating Albuquerque's Finest"


Director of Training


CPA Coordinator

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Beth Mohr; POB; "Mark T. Baker"; Saavedra, Sharon L.
Subject: RE: Completed Citizen Police Academy 6-3-2015
Date: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 5:06:03 PM

Beth,

Thank you for forwarding your Certificate of Completion of the Citizen Police Academy.

POB Members,

Please email me a copy for CPOA records your Certificates of Completion once you receive it. We will keep these on file to demonstrate compliance with the Ordinance and Settlement Agreement.

Congratulations to all of you on all the hard work it took to complete the Citizen Police Academy course.

All the Best,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Beth Mohr [mailto:cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 4:19 PM
To: Hammer, Robin; POB; Mark T. Baker; Saavedra, Sharon L.
Subject: Completed Citizen Police Academy 6-3-2015

Ms. Hammer -

Please find the attached copy of my certificate of completion for the Citizen Police Academy. I appreciate the herculean efforts of Officer Saavedra, as well as the other staff and instructors, to get me caught up on all the classes I had to miss due to work. I know Officer Saavedra and staff are continuing to work with others who have additional course work.

I have also completed both of the initial ride-alongs. We are scheduling additional training for the June 11th POB meeting, and will shortly have everyone through our required training.

M003360

Thank-you again, Officer Saavedra, for all your work to get us through. -Beth

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Oney, Kathleen
To: POB IPRA
Subject: Test
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2015 3:28:16 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Test

Kathleen Oney

IPRA / Codification Specialist
Office of the City Clerk
505-924-3657



From: [Shirley D. Brown](#)
Cc: ["Vanita Gush" <Vanita.Gush@nm.gov>](#); ["Damon Martinez" <Damon.Martinez@nm.gov>](#); ["Luis F. Saucedo" <Luis.F.Saucedo@nm.gov>](#); ["Brack" <brack@nm.gov>](#)
Subject: WE WERE BETRAYED BY THE DOJ AND JUDGE BRACK-DOES ANYBODY BESIDES US ACTIVISTS CARE?
Date: Thursday, June 04, 2015 4:55:23 PM
Attachments: [APD-Forward-Chief.pdf](#)
[6-2-15DOJ-Berry.doc](#)
[6-2-15APD-Protest.doc](#)

TO: The DOJ and Judge Brack-both of whom betrayed us

BCC to the media, fellow comrades/activists for real change here and others who are/should be angry that we were betrayed and continue to be betrayed and the complicit PMR Inc and Streicher-Greenwood teams.

For DOJ addressees-Please also pass copy of this to your new US Attorney General Loretta Lynch in Washington D.C. to determine if she even cares what is happening here not only to fellow African Americans but others of color, Anglos, those returning from war vets with PTSD, others with substance abuse problems and our many homeless who have been brutalized or killed by APD here.

BETRAYAL OF WE-THE PEOPLE

Many, many, good people-comrades of mine who truly care for Albuquerque had devoted much of their time and some even risked their lives to try to reform our corrupt run APD only to have most of our work ignored by our corrupt leaders and our passive-go-along City Councilors.

These good people included most members of the 2013 police oversight task force, those honest few who served on the former POC, those now on the new Police Oversight Board, those attorneys and others in APD Forward, those who supported the MLK Jr. Memorial Center's Task Force on Social Justice and other citizens groups-all trying to bring real-meaningful change/reform to this city that has become the disgrace of the nation since Mayor Berry was elected in 2009.

THE ARROGANT MIA MAYOR BERRY-FINANCED BY NAACP BUSINESS LEADERS

Meanwhile Mayor Berry who is well financed by NAACP's business leaders (who seemingly control/own this city and state) arrogantly would remain in hiding arrogantly believing we will eventually all go away if we were ignored and/or threatened by his APD or the DOJ.

All of us who are growing more and more disenchanted with this inept and/or corrupt Mayor and his minions, with his corrupt APD leadership and our do nothing/seemingly cowardly City Councilors still hope to eventually make this City and APD one that can once again be respected nationwide. Our struggle goes on-in solidarity.

Our hard work including protests eventually convinced the DOJ that we indeed had a crisis here in the city as DA Brandenburg said and also one in distress as my banned upside down US flag represented.

After compiling all of the incriminating information on our unaccountable APD and the police state they had created here with the blessing of our Mayor-the worst in the City's history, we expected the DOJ to represent we-the people. Instead they kept us-as well as our elected City Councilors out of the APD reform negotiations as if we really didn't matter.

DOJ instead considered themselves as the partners of the Mayor and his APD leadership who were responsible for the disgraceful situation here and not us who suffered because of it. The investigators had a few meetings with a select few of us but that seemed only to the illusion that we-the people including the legislative body of the City-our Councilors would be actively involved in the negotiations/reform effort.

Instead they chose to deal only with those who created the problem-the Mayor and his own people including two disgraceful former Chief Schultz friends and fellow TASER employees. Schultz would suggest that the two set up a consulting firm in late 2013 and then would "greased" with the Mayor a consulting contract for the two to come here from Cincinnati and help him stonewall any real APD reform.

One had a disgraceful record as Cincinnati's former police chief whose department was also investigated by the DOJ, reforms that he attempted to stonewall for seven long years. His attorney friend would deliberately lie to the City Council and refused on Public TV to admit that he like his partner worked for TASER Inc.

The Mayor intentionally kept the initial no-bid contract to the two to \$70,000 in order to bypass the Council, knowing all along the contract would eventually grow to \$500,000 or more. Over \$7,000/month were spent on these two. The councilors were told later that as now incumbents they were obligated to increase the contract to \$220,000, something they did, even knowing they had been insulted by this Mayor.

Despite the two knowing they only had \$220,000, they would continue to work. Now they two have billed the city for over \$500,000-something the cowardly Councilors feel obligated to pay.

Federal Judge Brack incredulously blessed the biased "negotiations" by the Mayor's team that included these two. He later would knowingly usurped the power of our City's legislative body-our City Council deeming the DOJ as independent of we-the people who were the ones to cause the DOJ to come and investigate APD.

Judge Brack would not only bless a toothless agreement agreed to with the these non-elected representatives of the executive branch but also decide that a team of primarily former police officers working for a SC company-PMR Inc. would also be the toothless monitor for this toothless agreement.

The judge would not permit any representative of the people to be a third party in the negotiations, only the APD Union/APOA leadership who had a history of opposing any APD reform.

It's not clear that this clearly misled Judge even understood how weak and incomplete this toothless agreement he blessed was that was agreed to by the DOJ under duress-the threat of a lengthy lawsuit against them by the Mayor.

Some of the shortfalls of the flawed agreement were discussed in APD Forward's January 2015 *Annals Outlaw* while others were pointed out by me, by oversight task force members including attorney Alan Wagman and others during Council meetings and in a meeting with the honorable Luis Saucedo and some of his Washington DC fellow investigators.

The judge's assurances to all was that he would meet just annually with his chosen monitor James Ginger to see how the reform process was progressing. Chief Edm and the Mayor must have had a big laugh over that token oversight of PMR Inc by Judge Brack. Meanwhile the shootings continued and APD video and other recordings of them proving they were unjustified were withheld.

Lawsuits by retaliated against honest police officers and APD employees-Eden's new scapegoats-former public record custodians and of families of or those killed or harmed continued unabated as did the stonewalling of NM IPRA requests under a new stonewalling crew in the IPRA compliance office.

THREATS TO SHUT UP-GESTAPO TACTICS AND CENSORSHIP

Meanwhile those of us continued to make appeals to be involved were not only ignored by the Mayor but by all of his fellow conspirators downtown. Many of us who dared to threaten this seemingly gangster-like run Berry administration and police department would be met with threats.

Citizen Terry Pfard, one of the biggest critics of the betrayal of us by the DOJ and Judge Brack would on two separate occasions this year have US Marshals sent by US Attorney for NM Damon Martinez to his home to threaten him for daring to speak out publicly against him and Judge Brack and for filing court documents and writing e-mails about what they had done wrong.

Perceived threats to this gangster-like Berry regime, the DOJ and Judge Brack would be met with intimidation and yet no one in the administration or City Council or others in the DOJ seem to care.

We were all to be discredited as rabble rousers by them and the daily Albuquerque Journal knowingly controlled by the Mayor. No letters to the editor submitted by us critical of the Mayor were to be published and the editorials never placed any blame on the Mayor.

In late 2010 I had been among those also threatened by then Chief Schultz. He told me to "be careful" and stop questioning the record shootings going on in APD including the recent murder by APD of my good neighbor Chris Hinz at his home after a virtual army of officers were there for well over an hour. No crisis intervention team was ever brought in. They wanted him dead!

M003363

Schultz's former SWAT commander and now Eden's new Deputy Chief Bob Huntsman lived less than 50 yards from the Hinz home and likely cheered on his SWAT trained assassins after two of them murdered Chris. My wife fears that APD will find some reason to kill me or send me to jail to get me out of the way. After a year in Vietnam, I don't threaten easily

Good cops recently also warned DA Brandenburg to also "be careful" after she dared to charge two of Eden's killer cops Sandy and Perez-an easy decision, given the video and audio recordings that she saw and heard.

APD COVER-UPS TO PROTECT THEIR OWN

Many good officers speculate that noted civil rights attorney Mary Han was also threatened by Schultz or someone else in APD to shut up before she was murdered at her home-something that APD and city leaders tried to claim was a suicide.

As APD officers had done to help APD officer Levi Chavez Jr. be exonerated by a jury in the alleged murder of his wife in Las Lunas, (also APD claimed was a suicide), some APD officers also went to the Han home to contaminate the crime scene and destroy key evidence.

These actions were clearly typical of this police state run by Berry, Eden, Huntsman and Perry with their own Gestapo-something that even Hitler would be proud of.

A handpicked jury-also likely fearful of retaliation by the police chose to ignore a critical piece of evidence-the released bullet clip from the murder weapon after Tera Chavez died. They chose to believe incredulously that this dead woman released the clip right after dying.

Likely wife killer Chavez walked away free-something that must have made many in APD happy particularly Chavez's partner/cop Russell Perea. The corrupt ABQ Personnel Review Board allowed this bad cop to return to APD-thanks to Perea's "Breaking Bad" Saul-like attorney.

THE INSULTING DOJ/BERRY REFORM AGREEMENT AND BLESSED CONSENT DECREE

After seemingly APD loyalist Judge Brack signed the toothless consent decree negotiated by only the DOJ and Berry's disgraceful Cincinnati duo and other negotiators, some in our reform movement specifically those good people in APD Forward somehow felt they now had an obligation to describe the agreement as a great step forward in reforming APD rather than describing it for what it really was-a betrayal of WE-THE PEOPLE.

There is no mention in the agreement of demanding transparency in what APD officers are doing-compliance with the NM IPRA or with naming bad officers and holding them accountable. They continue to have qualified immunity from ever being held accountable for their actions.

Just wearing the APD uniform not only gives Eden and his officers all "hero" status and also gives them the license to brutalize and kill without any fear of being held accountable. While I also grieve the recent killing of a good Rio Rancho officer and former military vet, I wish our returning-killed in action military veterans were afforded the same honors given this fine police officer.

APD FORWARD'S MISGUIDED BLESSING OF THE AGREEMENT-IT'S THE BEST WE COULD HOPE FOR IN THIS CORRUPT CITY

See the attached e-mail below to me and other from APD Forward whose January *Amicus Curiae* (first page attached) calling for changes to the agreement was ignored by Judge Brack. See my response to APD Forward shown in red. The Judge was apparently told that just crisis intervention and other training and better recruiting will solve the problems we have with APD here.

From: APD Forward (<mailto:info@apdforward.com>)
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 4:15 PM
To: Silvio Dell'Angela
Subject: It's Official

Silvio --

The news just broke: the Court has approved the settlement agreement between the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the City of Albuquerque.

This is a huge milestone for everyone who has worked so hard to hold the Albuquerque Police Department accountable for its pattern and practice of using excessive force. Today it is official: we are on the road to reform. But our work is far from over. We must continue to hold the City's feet to the fire throughout the entire reform process to ensure that we get the professional, responsible, and community-friendly police department our city deserves. APD Forward will continue to serve as a powerful platform. Really? for the community to make its voice heard to the DOJ and the City of Albuquerque, and we will continue to monitor every step of the process to help ensure that this settlement agreement delivers on its promises. This is a big day for all of us. To you-not me. Thank you for your continued support and advocacy on this critical issue. Together, we can ensure that our shared vision of a police department truly in step with its community will one day become a reality. Not until Mayor Berry is gone

In Solidarity,

APD Forward

<http://www.apdforward.org/>

Below is my e-mail response to the APD Forward's e-mail and many calling the agreement a step on the "road to reform" but instead a betrayal of the people. Neither APD Forward nor any of us "can hold their feet to the fire" as the toothless agreement and toothless monitor clearly prevents this. Many others were sent this e-mail Bcc to protect their identity knowing of APD's retaliatory practices.

From: Silvio Dell'Angela (<mailto:delangelsi@comcast.net>)
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 5:29 PM
To: APD Forward
Cc: 'info@hearst.com'; 'Steven Robert Allen'; 'nkoenigsberg@dnrm.org'; 'Vanita Gupta'
Subject: RE: It's Official! YES-THAT WE WERE BETRAYED.

All at APD Forward

Sorry -but I don't share your enthusiasm and to attach APD Forward's name to an endorsement of this agreement as it hurts your group's credibility.

It's a joke-toothless as is the monitor James Ginger and his \$4.5+million crew made up of mostly former cops.

The arrogant Judge Brack even ignored APD Forward's own January 2015 *Amicus Curiae* that pointed out only some of the serious limitations with this (intimidated by a city lawsuit) DOJ and Berry's henchmen agreement-including Streicher, Greenwood and Kent Walz's brother Jerry. Note not even one elected official signed the agreement or signed the give-away to Ginger. Not a problem to you APF folks?

APD Forward should have weighed in at last night's council meeting opposing wasting even more tax dollars on Greenwood who continued to spend despite only authorized \$240K from the Council. The nine have no clue on what Greenwood (who lied to the Council) and Streicher did-nor do they have any guts. Just nine Berry pawns as in any dictatorship

All the arrogant-seemingly inebriated Perry told the nine Councilors last night is to just shut up and that they must pay the Greenwood bills that will now exceed \$500K just like they should not

question the \$4.5+ given by the Judge Brack to the arrogant Ginger and his PMR Inc. team that already has an attorney.

Our disgraceful new Berry's/not City attorney Jessica Hernandez said her job is just only to forward the bills to an unnamed hired attorney for review. Was it Kent Waltz's brother Jerry Waltz? Was it Dave Tourek-likely back with the French law firm?

There is no statement of work defining specifically what both Greenwood and Strelcher's consulting firm was going to do under the nominal \$70K given to them under the Council's approval threshold.

This city is going downhill because too many people are willing to fall back on the excuse that while it's not right, this is the best we can hope for.

Maybe it's time for the citizens to revolt and file a class action lawsuit.

You in APD Forward are trying to put lipstick and a dress on this pig and claiming it's no longer a pig. It's a PIG!

The disgraceful Judge Brack is no different than Judge Jaramillo who turned the killer of a good cop Gregg Benner loose to kill again. See the scathing June 1 Eye on Albuquerque posting beginning below.

You Do NOT have the right to remain SILENT

As a community we are all grieving the loss of Rio Rancho Police Officer Gregg Benner. The cause of death was an unprovoked, premeditated and malice act of a known murderer. This is now murder number two for this offender. The current, District Court Judge Christina J. Jaramillo who allowed this murderer to wonder our streets freely has stated she does not comment on cases.

Don't celebrate this agreement. It was a betrayal-plain and simple.

Silvio
For WE THE PEOPLE

Then the news media jumped on the good agreement bandwagon. One of these were two good KOB reporters who interviewed Judge Brack, Schultz's former SWAT/"death squad" boss, now Deputy Chief Huntsman (since Mayor Berry and Chief Eden were apparently in hiding) and the disgraceful APD union President Stephanie Lopez who Judge Brack deemed more worthy of listening to than we the people

THE INSULTING TO ALL COMMENTS MADE TO KOB BY THE THREE

See the attached KOB report including comments by Judge Brack, Deputy Chief Huntsman and the APOA President-all of who thought those of us naive were insults to all of us with my comments to them shown in red. The article on the KOB website is <http://www.kob.com/article/stories/S3814304.shtml?cat=500#VW6Fmc9VhBc>

Many of us participated a year ago in the protest sit-in in the Mayor's office a year ago, thirteen of whom were arrested at the direction of Berry's thug CAO Rob Perry, one of whom Nora Anaya was injured by APD when thrown in the back of the paddy wagon by cops as if she was a hunk of meat and all jailed.

Shortly thereafter Berry and Perry decided that the Mayor's inner chambers must be secured and unable to be entered without their secretary releasing the new locks to the secure new doors and buzzing them in.

Included in the 13 arrested for last year's sit in protest was distinguished UNM associate professor David Correia who the Mayor then attempted to get fired by UNM as a criminal. The outrage throughout UNM to this retaliation forced UNM's leadership to abandon this and instead David was made a full professor.

Yesterday, just prior to the announcement of the toothless DOJ/Berry reform agreement was a protest of thirty good people led by the ABQ 13. No one from APD Forward was anywhere to be seen in the group. It was recorded by a comrade there and posted on youtube and sent out to many. See summary below

Subject: Tuesday Press Conf. 1 Year Anniversary of Sit-In @ Mayor Berry's Office
Date: 03 June 2015 at 02:05:57 GMT-6

<<http://youtu.be/IHyGlepuuIU>>

"The greatest form of sanity that anyone can exercise is to resist that force that is trying to repress, oppress, and fight down the human spirit." Mumia Abu-Jamal. Here's what was read today:

PRESS STATEMENT

GIVEN BY MEMBERS OF THE ABQ 13, ARRESTED ONE YEAR AGO TODAY FOR NONVIOLENT DIRECT ACTION, THE SIT-IN AT THE MAYOR'S OFFICE TO STOP POLICE VIOLENCE ONE CIVIC PLAZA ALBUQUERQUE, NM June 2, 2015, 5:30pm

Good afternoon,

Today, June 2, 2015 is the one-year anniversary of the sit-in at the Albuquerque mayor's office. The sit-in was organized by local residents last year to send a message to city officials to stop the nearly weekly killings by the Albuquerque Police Department. Dozens of people from all walks of life came together to use nonviolent direct action to wake up city leaders that the "status quo has got to go."

We came armed with hand-written letters for Mayor Richard J. Berry. Thirteen of us--now called the ABQ 13--decided to put ourselves in harm's way, and to not disperse, to allow ourselves to be arrested and held overnight at the Metropolitan Detention Center. Some of us were thrown into dangerously hot vans, putting our health at risk. This is a brutal technique commonly used by APD to punish suspects. It is an example of unconstitutional policing, for it is cruel and unusual punishment and tantamount to torture.

One year later, members of Albuquerque 13 wanted to pause and take stock --- where are we today? Has the culture of excessive force and militarization been turned around at APD? Has there been accountability for crimes committed by APD officers? Have the DOJ findings and consent decree made our community safer from APD? This is our assessment: The culture of violence at APD has not ended, and there is a lack of leadership at APD and ultimately in the Mayor's office sufficient to end the pattern and practice of unconstitutional policing and use of excessive force cited by the US Dept. of Justice.

Under this Mayor's watch:

- Lawlessness: The District Attorney, Kari Brandenburg, has reported that she fears for her safety after receiving threats by APD officers after charging two officers with murder for the fatal shooting of James Boyd
- Abuse of Power: New Mexico Attorney General Hector Balderas found that APD appeared to investigate the DA for politically motivated reasons, in response to Brandenburg's charging the two officers for Boyd's death
- Bankrupting City Citizens: Another settlement against APD for a fatal shooting just resulted in a \$6 million judgment, paid for by city taxpayers.
- Corruption: State auditor Tim Keller found that former Police Chief Ray Schultz rigged a \$2 million contract with Taser months before retiring from the department in 2013.
- Incompetence Even to Their Own: APD officer Jacob Grant is threatening to sue APD for being shot and critically wounded by a fellow officer in a \$60 undercover drug bust
- Deeply Disturbing Abuse of a Corpse: Just-released video from a 2014 incident, that APD denied having, shows APD officers abusing the body of an already dead suspect. SWAT fired bouncing rounds repeatedly at an unresponsive suspect's face, flicking the suspect's eyeball, and stomping on his groin. The man killed was suspected of rummaging through cars in a tow-yard. [My note-This was not a suicide but yet another APD murder as the autopsy revealed.]
- Excessive Force: Just last week 10 APD officers were put on paid administrative leave after chasing a suspected car thief and triggering a shoot-out in a working-class neighborhood. One neighbor feared her children who were out playing might be caught in the crossfire.
- Lack of Accountability: There has been a complete lack of accountability for fatal shootings by APD, including Mary Hawkes; lack of accountability for the death of Mary Han, and for APD's role in compromising the crime scene; lack of accountability for use of excessive force; lack of accountability for not turning lapel cameras on; lack of accountability for withholding video footage. With no accountability, change is impossible.
- The Berry/Perry Administration's War on the Poor: APD and city officials are responsible for excessive harassment and criminalization of homeless members of the Albuquerque community. Instead of providing real solutions, this administration tears down a pedestrian zone and launches a punitive plan against the poor for panhandling.

• **Failure to Take Responsibility:** The Berry/Perry administration has failed to take responsibility for or even acknowledged that there is a problem—a crisis—with police violence as identified by DOJ in its findings letter from April 2014

• **Providing Raises and Promotions to City Employees Who Have Failed to Do their Jobs:** Richard J. Berry has promoted and given raises to Police Chief Gordon Eden, CAO Rob Perry and Chief of Staff Gilbert Montano in spite of their incompetence and inability to control APD. These raises are paid by our tax dollars.

• **Failure to Bring Good Jobs to ABQ and to raise wages:** The Berry/Perry Administration has failed to address the fragility of the nearly 60,000 families at risk of losing their homes, and has failed to address our city's population loss due to lack of jobs

For all of these reasons and many more unstated we declare that the current state of affairs in the city of Albuquerque is evidence of a complete lack of leadership on the part of the current Albuquerque mayor and his administration. We members of the ABQ 13 therefore call on Richard J. Berry to resign the office of Mayor immediately, as he is not suitable to the task at hand, inadequate, not up to the job, of leading APD to change its culture of violence and militarization. We also call on CAO Rob Perry to resign as he plays a major role in the failed policies and actions of this administration. We call for current City Council President Ray Gadduño to serve as Acting Mayor until an election can be held for a new mayor.

Signed by members of the "Albuquerque 13": Nora Anaya, Ilsa Biel, Jim Bowes, Bill Bradley, Kathy Brown, David Correla, Jon Dunn, Joel Gallegos, Barbara Grothues, Talia Madrid, Susan Schuurman
The ABQ 13 come from broad and diverse segments of the Albuquerque community. Occupations represented by the ABQ 13: caretaker/house-cleaner/grandmother, graduate student, lawyer, union organizer, nurse, student, professor, hotel maintenance worker, artist, landlord and community organizer.

Next we will hear from Nora Anaya, one of the ABQ 13 who suffered physical violence and emotional trauma that continues to this day from injuries she sustained at the hands of APD when she was taken into custody for the nonviolent sit-in.

[Nora shares her story]. Next we will hear from David Correla, one of the ABQ 13, who will share some of the findings from the People's Tribunal Report. [David speaks]- Would anyone else like to comment? [open mic for ABQ 13 and supporters]. Open it up to press for questions [if they show up]

Emphasize this is a collective action to commemorate the sit-in, members of the community who care about police violence and accountability, that no one is above the law. That in the coming days, more and more voices will be raised calling for accountability and an end to police violence and the right leaders to take us in that direction. Thanks to those who consistently sound the cry of alarm about city hall corruption, incompetence and criminal behavior: Silvio Dell'Angela, Joe Monahan, local reporters, and others.

The Journal's report on the protest is attached. Note-there is no comment from the administration to the organized protest.


Enough said.

DOES ANYBODY CARE-PARTICULARLY NEW US ATTORNEY GENERAL LORETTA LYNCH? DOUBTFUL!

Silvio

For WE THE PEOPLE

296 3241



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

1:14-CV-1025 RB/SMV

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE,

Defendant.

**AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF APD FORWARD
REGARDING COURT APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AND
THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Frances Crockett Carpenter
Law Office of Frances Crockett
118 Wellesley Dr. SE
Albuquerque, NM 87106-1444
Phone: (505) 314-8884
Fax: (505) 265-1319
frances@francescrockettlaw.com

Phillip B. Davis
Phillip B. Davis Attorney at Law
814 Marquette Ave. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102-1959
Phone: (505) 242-1904
Fax: (505) 242-1864
davis@swcp.com

Shannon Kennedy
Kennedy Kennedy & Ives
1000 Second St. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
Phone: (505) 244-1400
Fax: (505) 244-1406
slk@civilrightslawnewmexico.com

Nancy Koenigsberg, Legal Director
Disability Rights New Mexico
1720 Louisiana Blvd. NE, Suite 204
Albuquerque, NM 87110
Phone: (505) 256-3100
Fax: (505) 256-3184
nkoenigsberg@drnm.org

Randi McGinn
McGinn Carpenter Montoya & Love P.A.
201 Broadway Blvd. SE
Albuquerque, NM 87102-3424
Phone: (505) 843-6161
Fax: (505) 242-8227
randi@mcginnlaw.com

Alexandra Freedman Smith
Legal Director
ACLU of New Mexico Foundation
P.O. Box 566
Albuquerque, NM 87103-0566
Phone: (505) 266-5915 Ext. 1008
Fax: (505) 266-5916
asmith@aclu-nm.org

Federal court approves APD-DOJ settlement agreement

Updated: 06/02/2015 10:27 PM | Created: 06/02/2015 1:35 PM By: Elizabeth Reed and Blair Miller, KOB.com

A U.S. District Court judge in New Mexico has approved the settlement agreement between the Albuquerque Police Department and the Department of Justice.

The 106-page agreement—first unveiled in October 2014—details changes for APD's use of force policy, including reporting, training and investigations; training policies for force situations, crisis intervention and field officers; staffing and accountability; recruitment, selection and promotion procedures; community engagement and oversight; and the implementation timeline. No demand for transparency and accountability ever mentioned. Just more training.

View: Full Settlement Agreement

In January, the city of Albuquerque and DOJ announced Dr. James Ginger would serve as the independent monitor over reforms for the police department. Ginger will review APD policies, training procedures and programs. That's not the problem. Much like giving ethics training naively believing dishonest/corrupt people will now be honest. A joke-rip-off of taxpayers giving the illusion of reform.

"The Agreement lays a thoughtful foundation for building systematic reform in APD," Federal Judge Robert Brack wrote. "B.S. With vigilance and community participation, the parties can continue to improve upon the reform initiatives." This insults our intelligence. Our participation now asked for after agreement signed and PMR Inc.-Ginger given \$4.5+ million for 4+ years. Judge Brack likely would have given him \$10 million for 10 years if he asked for it. Also now more Greenwood for more than \$500,000 to his and fellow consultant Tom Streicher plus more money for just who else.

View: Judge's Memorandum Opinion and Order

The settlement agreement follows the DOJ's 16-month investigation into APD. The Justice Department announced last April it had found reasonable cause ??? overwhelming cause to believe that APD engaged in a pattern or practice of excessive force in violation with the Constitution.

"So now we know exactly what we need to do. We have the monitor in place, we have the monitor team in place. We have buy-in from all the men and women of our department. NO. The city (translated Berry, Perry, Eden Huntsman and their gang) is behind us. The mayor and his staff have been great in this whole process. Yes they even threatened a lengthy lawsuit against the DOJ if they didn't back down on their important demands and signed on to an incomplete, toothless agreement and toothless monitor and "helper" Greenwood. We're just ready to get going," said APD Assistant Chief Robert Huntsman. Under Schultz's Commander Huntsman, most of APD's record murders were by Huntsman's trained SWAT/"death squads" Huntsman is a disgrace!

Albuquerque Police Officers Association President Stephanie Lopez also released a statement Tuesday afternoon: "While we may not agree, we accept the opinion of the court and will work to address our objections with the City through our collective bargaining agreement. We will continue to represent our officers and their rights throughout [sic] this settlement process." Translated-the APOA will fight every reform. Including transparency and accountability-still non-existent in APD

Activists call on ABQ mayor to resign over Police Department

By Dan McKay / Journal Staff Writer UPDATED: Tuesday, June 2, 2015 at 11:26 pm PUBLISHED: Tuesday, June 2, 2015 at 6:40 pm

About 30 protesters gathered at Civic Plaza late Tuesday to call on Mayor Richard Berry to resign because of a continued “culture of violence” within the Albuquerque Police Department. The protest coincided with the first anniversary of a raucous sit-in held inside the Mayor’s Office, resulting in the arrest of 13 people and cancellation of a City Council meeting. Activists disagreed over whether there’s been improvement within APD since then, but a common theme Tuesday was that deep troubles remain.

“This administration continues to deny that there is a problem, and this the biggest block to Albuquerque moving forward,” said Barbara Grothus, one of the activists arrested last year.

The protesters carried signs, including one saying, “APD: At war on the people.” Berry, for his part, has said repeatedly that he is committed to improving the police force. One change involves providing “crisis intervention training” for all officers in the field, a program that emphasizes techniques for de-escalating tense situations.

The administration wouldn’t comment on the protesters’ Tuesday news conference. The sit-in last year came after a string of police shootings and a U.S. Department of Justice investigation that found APD had a pattern of violating people’s rights.

The city and DOJ later reached a settlement agreement requiring a series of reforms, including a court-appointed monitor to oversee the progress.

David Correia, another of the protesters arrested last year, said Tuesday the agreement relies too heavily on APD’s own self-reporting. Under-reporting the use of force “is a routine practice by Albuquerque police officers,” he said.

From: Silvio Dell'Angela
To: "Oney, Kathleen"; "Yoshimura, Debra"
Cc: "Hoffman, Lou D."; cityclerk@cabq.gov; "Yermal, Vincent A."; reygaarduno@cabq.gov; dharris@cabq.gov; kpena@cabq.gov; trudyiones@cabq.gov; dclibson@cabq.gov; kensanchez@cabq.gov; ibenton@cabq.gov; bwinter@cabq.gov; danlewis@cabq.gov; jhernandez@cabq.gov; izaman@cabq.gov; gamontano@cabq.gov; "Lubar, Suzanne G."
Subject: New NM IPRA request
Date: Tuesday, May 19, 2015 3:44:41 PM
Attachments: image004.png
Natalie Howard Resume City Clerk.pdf
1491_001.pdf
PSU Funding.xlsx

Ms. Oney

I see from one of your attached responses, that we City taxpayers spent without the apparent knowledge of the Council \$1,816,823 over the past seven fiscal years for Ms. Howard and her thus previously secret PSU.

IAW the NM IPRA request within the specified 15 days specifically just what Ms. Howard and her PSU staff have been doing for this huge amount of our tax dollars that thus far has been never revealed to the public.. Your response should include a listing by year of the specific tasks, courses or other work that she and her PSU team did for these tax dollars.

By choosing to just advertise this important position within the City, many outside candidates with a PhD or preferably with a law degree and extensive knowledge of the NM IPRA and voting procedures were excluded from this selection process. It appeared when removing the requirement that it be an attorney-unlike previous clerks who held the job that it was clearly written for her.

The councilors certainly knew of my concerns with Ms. Howard based on the above information you sent me-something that I had forwarded to all of them just prior to the meeting. The information I asked for was available there on May 14 when I asked for it but you waited until just prior to the council meeting yesterday to send it hoping it would not reach the Councilors in time.

It was also embarrassing to taxpayers that the eight Councilors last night never questioned Ms. Howard's credentials/vetted her properly. As in the past they just went along with whomever the Mayor chose and once again irresponsibly ignored their responsibilities to us taxpayers. The eight took just five minutes to approve her.

MORE OF THE SAME

While likely a fine lady, she appears to be just another addition to this Mayor's white aristocracy, much like his and not his questionably qualified/experienced new personal (not City) attorney- Ms. Hernandez and his clearly unqualified Police Chief, Gorden Eden-both seemingly discarded Governor Martinez employees given to her other minion Mayor Berry..

One could also add former Assistant CAO-now (because he couldn't do that job) Chief of Staff Gil

Montano and Planning Director Suzanne Lubar to the list. Neither had the prerequisite experience or credentials for their jobs. Both were likely good people before being hired and then forced to sell their souls to the devils on the 11th floor.

As I pointed out the job description for the IRO position when Robin Hammer was selected, it never called for an attorney but Robin who had some ethics issues and was sued when working for DA Brandenburg was chosen by the Mayor. It seems qualifications for the job or one's past history in other jobs doesn't matter.

Doesn't this administration have enough unqualified high paid people, without adding yet another?

GINGER

As all but two Councilors also did last night with going along with whatever the non-elected Mayor's employees/contractors, the non-elected DOJ people and the non-elected federal judge decided and blessed giving over \$4.5 million of our tax dollar to the arrogant monitor James Ginger and his PMR Inc..

Now only after Ginger has the money, he plans to hold public meetings as if the arrogant Ginger really cares what the public thinks. He is probably laughing all the way to the bank courtesy of who he believes are the cowards on the city council who allowed their power to approve funding to be usurped by others and played for fools by him.

Six of the eight Councilors present even tried to justify their irresponsible actions with a lot of doubletalk to include even blessing the closed to the special public council meeting attended by six that was knowingly in clear violation of the NM Open Meetings Act. The embarrassing Jon Zaman seemed to be another enabler of Ginger's rip off of us taxpayers.

It's understandable why Council President Garduno is finally fed up with his colleagues' lack of integrity and/or a backbone and now won't run for office again as he planned.

To: Ms. Yoshimura-City Auditor

Please investigate/audit this matter as well as I assume such a request to you can be submitted by a citizen. Please also advise soonest.

Regards

Silvio

From: Oney, Kathleen [<mailto:koney@cabq.gov>]

Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 2:54 PM

To: Silvio Dell'Angela

Cc: Casados, Trina M.

Subject: RE: NM IPRA Requests-PSU funding, Clerk position ad and Clerk nominee Ms. Howard's

M003371

resume

Hello Mr. Dell'Angela,

I just received all responsive documents for your public records request listed below. Attached are Natalie Howard's resume, the City Clerk position advertisement, and PSU funding. This now completes your public records request.

Thank you and have a great day.

Kathleen Oney

IPRA / Codification Specialist
Office of the City Clerk
505-924-3657



From: Silvio Dell'Angela [<mailto:Dellansi@comcast.net>]

Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 1:37 PM

To: Oney, Kathleen

Cc: Garduno, Rey; Harris, Don; Pena, Klarissa J.; Jones, Trudy; Gibson, Diane G.; Sanchez, Ken; Benton, Isaac; Winter, Brad D.; Lewis, Dan P.; Hoffman, Lou D.; Yermal, Vincent A.; Yoshimura, Debra; City Clerk Staff

Subject: RE: NM IPRA Requests-PSU funding, Clerk position ad and Clerk nominee Ms. Howard's resume

Ms. Oney

Still no response from you-not surprisingly.

Non-attorney-bureaucrat Natalie Howard's non-relevant resume for Clerk's position was in Council bill tonight but nothing else I asked of you below. No response yet hoping she will get approved tonight?

More stonewalling of fact position was never advertised listing experience criteria or whether Ms. Howard was paid as for heading up this bogus NOT a University

Sad commentary of things there. You should be working in the Mayor's office along with others like you there.

Disgusted

M003372

Silvio

From: Silvio Dell'Angela [<mailto:Dellansi@comcast.net>]
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 4:39 PM
To: 'Oney, Kathleen'
Cc: 'Hoffman, Lou D.'; 'reygarduno@cabq.gov'; 'jhernandez@cabq.gov'
Subject: FW: NM IPRA Requests-PSU funding, Clerk position ad and Clerk nominee Ms. Howard's resume

I assume both the Councilors and I will see the requested info below on Ms. Howard before the Council meeting-preferably Monday morning.

From: Silvio Dell'Angela [<mailto:Dellansi@comcast.net>]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2015 10:24 AM
To: 'Oney, Kathleen'; 'Hoffman, Lou D.'; 'Hoffman, Lou D.'; 'Yermal, Vincent A.'
Cc: 'City Clerk Staff'; 'Yoshimura, Debra'; 'apdfola@cabq.gov'; 'reygarduno@cabq.gov'; 'dharris@cabq.gov'; 'kpena@cabq.gov'; 'trudyjones@cabq.gov'; 'dgibson@cabq.gov'; 'kensanchez@cabq.gov'; 'ibenton@cabq.gov'; 'bwinter@cabq.gov'; 'danlewis@cabq.gov'; 'Robin Hammer'; 'info@nmfog.org'; 'Steven Robert Allen'; 'jhernandez@cabq.gov'
Subject: RE: NM IPRA Requests-PSU funding, Clerk position ad and Clerk nominee Ms. Howard's resume

Kathleen

Thank you.

I hope you also provide this same info to all councilors who will be asked to approve Ms. Howard for the important Clerk's position Monday.

It requires an (preferably NM FOG recommended) attorney, and not another Berry insider/bureaucrat.

It's clear once again that there is no correlation between who this Mayor nominates for these high paid position and the needed qualifications for the job.

Years ago, there was no requirement for an attorney to be IRO but attorney Ms. Hammer who had some questionable ethics issues while working for the DA was selected by the Mayor despite the fact that attorney was being assigned to the IRO and POC.

Now we have this important clerk's job that requires an attorney, one specifically an expert in the NM IPRA and voting procedures and yet the Mayor nominates a city long time insider unqualified bureaucrat-former Solid Waste Department employee and now head of an Albuquerque Corporate (NOT A) University.

Attorney Amy Bailey, the City's former clerk finally got tired of stonewalling IPRA requests for the Mayor and APD and doing intentionally slow counting of signatures for petitions the Mayor opposed and resigned. I guess she could no longer look herself in the mirror working for this corrupt mayor.

M003373

I also mentioned in earlier e-mails other unqualified and overpaid appointees of this mayor like now Chief of Staff-Gil Montano, Chief Eden and others. See attachments discussing both Montano and Eden.

I would hope enough councilors Monday again say NO to this continued disrespect by the Mayor and reject Ms. Howard to prove to all that he is not the king/emperor here and the councilors just his go along irrelevant minions.


You know that contracting and human resources have the IPRA requested I requested now.

Please provide it before Monday's council meeting. I trust you will to me and to the Councilors

Regards

Silvio

For WE THE PEOPLE



THE MOST CORRUPT ADMINISTRATION AND DANGEROUS POLICE FORCE IN THIS CITY'S HISTORY

From: Oney, Kathleen [<mailto:koney@cabq.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2015 8:56 AM

To: Silvio Dell'Angela; Hoffman, Lou D.; Hoffman, Lou D.; Yermal, Vincent A.

Cc: City Clerk Staff; Hernandez, Jimmy S.; Yoshimura, Debra; apdfoia@cabq.gov

Subject: RE: NM IPRA Requests-PSU funding, Clerk position ad and Clerk nominee Ms. Howard's resume

Hello Mr. Dell'Angela,

The Office of the City Clerk received your public records request regarding Natalie Howard and PSU on 05/14/2015 and will be processed as quickly as possible. The City will respond within 3 business days of receiving your request which will be no later than 05/20/2015.

Thank you and have a great day!

Kathleen Oney

M003374

IPRA / Codification Specialist
Office of the City Clerk
505-924-3657



From: Silvio Dell'Angela [<mailto:Dellansi@comcast.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 6:24 PM
To: Hoffman, Lou D.; Hoffman, Lou D.; Yermal, Vincent A.
Cc: City Clerk Staff; Hernandez, Jimmy S.; Yoshimura, Debra; apdfoia@cabq.gov
Subject: NM IPRA Requests-PSU funding, Clerk position ad and Clerk nominee Ms. Howard's resume

TO: Mr. Hoffman, Mr. Yermal

Info to others shown as well sent this Bcc to others including our City Councilors, media and others.

IAW the NM IPRA request within NLT the prescribed 15 days the opportunity to review a:

1. Listing by year and funding source of any public/taxpayers funding given to the Public Service (not an accredited) University (PSU).
2. Copy of the City advertisement listing the required qualifications for this City Clerk position
3. Copy of the resume of Ms. Natalie Howard.

The Internet description of PSU says is the City of Albuquerque's Corporate University"??? run by Mayor Berry's (non-attorney) nominee Ms. Natalie Howard for this important City Clerk position

In the past the Clerk, a position previously held by attorney Amy Bailey has been the focal point for the City's compliance with the NM IPRA.

See news release below of Ms. Howard and her PSU and Internet description.

Extract of it says "She has experience with government policies and ordinances and has worked with many city officials over her tenure with the City of Albuquerque

Thank you

Silvio Dell'Angela

M003375

For WE THE PEOPLE

Mayor Nominates New City Clerk

Natalie Howard, manager for Public Service University, is nominated to serve as City Clerk. Mayor Richard J. Berry has named Ms. Natalie Howard as his nominee for City Clerk, subject to City Council confirmation. Ms. Howard brings to the Office a broad range of public service experience, excellent communication skills and governmental knowledge to represent the City of Albuquerque in this role. Ms. Howard has over twenty years of municipal government experience. She has been the manager of Public Service University, the director of the Keep Albuquerque Beautiful program and worked for several years for the Solid Waste Management department in various capacities. She has developed and implemented many projects using both process improvement and innovative strategies. She has experience with government policies and ordinances and has worked with many city officials over her tenure with the City of Albuquerque. She also has years of budget and finance experience. Ms. Howard is a lifelong resident of Albuquerque and cares deeply about her hometown and the integrity of its elective and legislative processes. Ms. Howard has spent much of career focusing on efforts to creating a more sustainable environment and contributing to the quality of life for the citizens of Albuquerque. She holds a Bachelor's Degree in Sociology and a Master's Degree in Public Administration, both from the University of New Mexico. (NOTE-NOT AN ATTORNEY LIKE HER PREDECESSOR AMY BAILEY. For the past seven years, she has been an instructor at the University of Phoenix teaching courses in critical thinking methods, human resources and business ethics. "I am very pleased to have a "professional public administrator" in this role with "success in policy and operationally based reform," said Mayor Berry. "I have dedicated my life to serving the public and I am grateful for the opportunity to serve the citizens, the City Council and the Mayor in this new role," says Ms. Howard.

PSU's WEBSITE DESCRIPTION OF THIS NOT NON-ACCREDITED "UNIVERSITY"

OUR PROMISE TO THE COMMUNITY

We are One City, One Team, whose decisions and actions result in enriched services inspiring public confidence.

OUR VISION

Public Service is viewed as a positive career choice in the eyes of the public and employees. Learning strategies are a key performance indicator in organizational and community planning outcomes.

OUR MISSION

To continuously build and drive a learning organization system that instills excellence in employees, organizational and community behaviors, image, and results. This is achieved through the use of strategic blended learning delivery systems that support the attainment of prescribed

organizational and community based goals. All learning strategies consider the best interest of promoting effective, efficient, and positive behaviors and processes that favor and promote "Public Service Careers."

Natalie Y. Howard

Professional Summary

Results driven professional with over 20 years of management experience. Transformational leader who facilitates collaboration to achieve mutually beneficial objectives across multiple departmental goals. Works to ensure high quality customer service while achieving organizational objectives in key progress indicators including compliance, revenue, and budgetary goals. Inspirational leadership style which motivates staff to excel and strive for positive results. A recognized leader with years of dedicated public service with success in policy and operational based reform. Penchant for collaborative problem solving and leveraging fiscal resources to achieve policy goals. Proven articulate communicator with a passion for public service.

Skills

- Compliance Management
- Public Speaking
- Municipal Budgeting
- Organizational Planning
- Government Policies and Ordinances
- Community and Customer Service Focused
- Persuasive / Effective Communicator
- Training/Development
- Change Management
- Human Resource Management

Accomplishments

- Special project for the Chief Operations Officer which entailed consulting with City Departments to enable both organizational and operational efficiencies through the development of success indicators and organizational impacts (organizational development). Deliverables include a five year strategic plan, a capital improvement plan, human resource and training plans.
- Amended the Refuse Ordinance to become the Solid Waste Management and Recycling Ordinance.
- Initiated the first electronic waste recycling program for the City of Albuquerque.
- Executed the first pilot program for automated cart based recycling.
- Initiated, planned and executed numerous community cleanup, beautification and recycling events to build awareness and improve the quality of life for the citizens of Albuquerque.
- Fostered various community partnerships and outreach efforts.
- Steered the implementation of two billing software systems.
- Responsible for the implementation and development of a newly established customer service call center.
- Created and implemented programs to support City of Albuquerque goals and objectives through employee development, education and improved hiring practices.
- Worked collaboratively to revamp the current New Employee Orientation to improve employee retention and integration.

Education: University of New Mexico

Masters of Public Administration
December 1998

Bachelor of Arts Degree
May 1994
Major: Sociology Minor: Political Science

Experience

City of Albuquerque

1994- Present

Public Service University Division Manager, Human Resources Department

Provide strategic direction, leadership and consultative expertise on the creation, design, and implementation of City-wide organizational learning systems and the Public Service University. Achieve organizational efficiency and effectiveness by creating City-wide business development initiatives that include competency modeling, succession planning, work-force development, organizational change management, performance management, human capital capabilities assessment, advanced management leadership and strategy design and deployment consultation.

Administrative Services Manager, Solid Waste Management Department

Administered, managed, and directed the functions of the Administrative Services Division which consisted of: billing services (\$60M annual revenue), asset management, facilities maintenance, safety and training, customer service, automated cart distribution, and graffiti removal services. Research and program development, legislative composition, ordinance revisions, rate structure adjustments, including development of the annual Cost of Service study and report preparation. Liaison for the City's Legal department for legislation, collections, City ordinances and contracts. Complied with records retention guidelines. Responded to IPRA requests. Developed policies and procedures for the Department. Created reports, statistical data, trend analysis and other information for program efficiency and effectiveness for short term and long term planning. Administration of City Council priority objectives. Planned strategically for long term sustainability.

Management Analyst II, Communications, Marketing & Education, Solid Waste Department

Management and oversight of the Billing, Revenue and Marketing Section. Prepared budget documents, monitored and appropriated grant funding. Forecasted operational growth and revenue. Researched funding opportunities and wrote grant proposals. Responded to audit questions from both internal and external entities. Prepared account documentation and history for cases. Represented the department at legal hearings at the Office of Administrative Hearings. Spearheaded the recycling, education and public relations program.

Keep Albuquerque Beautiful Coordinator, Solid Waste Management Department

Initiated a grass roots effort to improve the aesthetics of the city and to instill pride and awareness in the community. Developed grant proposals and ensured proper compliance grant funds. Developed, negotiated, monitored, and evaluate operating and grants budgets. Provided cost/benefit analysis and other statistical reports to the national Keep America Beautiful program and the City. Administered and coordinated efforts of a volunteer executive board, and four subcommittees consisting of concerned citizens, business representatives and government agencies. Developed media and advertising campaigns. Initiated recruitment of volunteers, monetary and in-kind support for the program. Conduct public presentations and seminars to schools, businesses civic groups and organizations.

University of Phoenix

2008- Present

Instructor/Facilitator

Instruction of business administration and management college courses at the graduate and undergraduate level such as: Decision Making & Critical Thinking, Negotiations, Ethics in Management, Project Management, Human Resource Management and Theory, Practice & Application. Participate in continuous education and training provided by the University of Phoenix to gain knowledge of facilitating techniques and the latest management trends and strategies in order to provide students with tools to be successful managers.



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
invites applications for the position of:

City Clerk - Unclassified

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY / REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION EMPLOYER

CLASS CODE: U00255
DEPARTMENT: CC-Office of the City Clerk
DIVISION: CC-City Clerk
BARGAINING UNIT: No Union
REQUISITION #: 1500426
SALARY: Depends on Qualifications
OPENING DATE: 01/16/15
CLOSING DATE: 01/30/15 11:59 PM

POSITION SUMMARY:

Job descriptions are intended to present a general list of tasks/duties performed by employees within this job classification. Job Descriptions are not intended to reflect all duties performed within the job.

Provide oversight of and participate in all City Clerk functions; function as the custodian of all records for the municipality, and custodian of the seal of the City of Albuquerque; administer municipal elections and is staff for the Board of Ethics and Campaign Practices; administer public financing of elections and the campaign disclosure and reporting laws of the City of Albuquerque; supervise the Clerk's Office and the City Records Center and perform other duties as required by the general laws of the State of New Mexico and City of Albuquerque, and by direction of the Mayor, City Council and Chief Administrative Officer, not inconsistent with the Charter and Ordinances.

MINIMUM EDUCATION, EXPERIENCE AND ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

Bachelor's degree from an accredited college or university in public administration, business administration, political science or a related field, plus seven (7) years professional public administration experience to include three (3) years experience working with elections or public records and three (3) years direct supervisory experience of public employees in a management and/or administrative capacity OR a Juris Doctorate degree from an accredited college or university, plus four (4) years professional public administration experience to include three (3) years experience working with elections or public records and three (3) years direct supervisory experience of public employees in a management and/or administrative capacity.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

Possession of a New Mexico Driver's License (Class D) or the ability to obtain by date of hire.
Possession of a City Operator's Permit (COP) within six (6) months from date of hire
New Mexico Municipal Clerks' and Finance Officers' Association membership within one (1) month from date of hire.
Possession of a valid New Mexico Municipal Clerks Certification as required by the New Mexico Municipal Clerks' and Finance Officers' Association membership.

PREFERRED KNOWLEDGE:

- Municipal laws, procedures and structure
- Municipal elections, municipal government and the legislative process

- Supervisory skills, and knowledge of union contracts and personnel rules and regulations
- Records retention, and maintenance of public records

PREFERRED SKILLS & ABILITIES:

- Draft City laws, rules, and regulations
- Administer a publicly financed municipal election in a major metropolitan area
- Administer Inspection of Public Records Act requests
- Create and maintain effective relationships with elected officials, City employees, board members and the general public
- Perform the essential functions of the job with or without reasonable accommodation

APPLICATIONS MAY BE FILED ONLINE AT:
<http://CABQ.GOV>

400 Marquette N.W.
Albuquerque, NM 87103
505 768-3700

humanresources@cabq.gov

Position #1500426
CITY CLERK - UNCLASSIFIED
KO

City Clerk - Unclassified Supplemental Questionnaire

- * 1. Select the highest level of successfully completed related education as identified by the minimum requirements.

- ☐ Bachelors
- ☐ Masters
- ☐ Doctorate
- ☐ Juris Doctorate
- ☐ None of the above

- * 2. For relevant degree(s) obtained, identify the major field of study.

- * 3. How many years of professional public administration experience do you possess?

- ☐ No experience
- ☐ less than 1 year
- ☐ 1 year to less than 2 years
- ☐ 2 years to less than 3 years
- ☐ 3 years to less than 4 years
- ☐ 4 years to less than 5 years
- ☐ 5 years to less than 6 years
- ☐ 6 years to less than 7 years
- ☐ 7 years to less than 8 years
- ☐ 8 years to less than 9 years
- ☐ 9 years to less than 10 years
- ☐ 10 years to less than 11 years
- ☐ 11 years to less than 12 years
- ☐ 12 years to less than 13 years
- ☐ 13 or more years

- * 4. How many years of experience working with elections or public records do you possess?

- ☐ No experience
- ☐ less than 1 year
- ☐ 1 year to less than 2 years
- ☐ 2 years to less than 3 years
- ☐ 3 years to less than 4 years
- ☐ 4 years to less than 5 years

- ☐ 5 years to less than 6 years
- ☐ 6 years to less than 7 years
- ☐ 7 years to less than 8 years
- ☐ 8 years to less than 9 years
- ☐ 9 years to less than 10 years
- ☐ 10 years to less than 11 years
- ☐ 11 years to less than 12 years
- ☐ 12 years to less than 13 years
- ☐ 13 or more years

* 5. How many years of supervisory experience do you possess? If you have successfully completed the City of Albuquerque's Pre-Management Development Program (PMDP), include two (2) additional years in your supervisor experience.

- ☐ None
- ☐ Less than one 1 year
- ☐ 1 year to less than 2 years
- ☐ 2 years to less than 3 years
- ☐ 3 years to less than 4 years
- ☐ 4 years to less than 5 years
- ☐ 5 years to less than 6 years
- ☐ 6 or more years

* 6. Do you possess a valid New Mexico Driver's License (Class D) or have the ability to obtain by date of hire?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

* Required Question

Public Service University Funding		
Fiscal Year	Funding Source	Amount
2011	General Fund	171,939.00
2012	General Fund	406,750.00
2012	NM Workforce Connection Grant	14,531.00
2013	General Fund	403,861.00
2013	NM Workforce Connection Grant	18,885.86
2014	General Fund	403,510.00
2015	General Fund	397,346.00

From: Hammer, Robin
To: David Ring; Jeannette V. Baca; Leonard Waltes
Subject: FW: Spanish Version of Civilian Complaint and Brochures
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 3:28:03 PM
Attachments: CPOA Poster FINAL Spanish.pub
CPC Spanish.pub
CPOA Brochure-color FINAL Spanish.pub
CPOA Brochure B-W.pub

Outreach Subcommittee Members:

Attached are the Spanish translations of the Outreach Subcommittee's poster and brochures. Also included is a copy of the Spanish version of our complaint form. All APD Officers have copies of the English and Spanish versions of the brochures and complaint forms. Very soon, the brochures and posters in both languages will be distributed around the City. I will pass along the exact dates of distribution once I hear back from APD.

I will include all of the Outreach materials in the email of materials to the full POB for next week's POB Meeting.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.
Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

AY QUE PONER "CPOA" APD POLICÍA C

Dile al La Agencia

Sobre tu expe

Departament de Pol

*Su entrada es importante para la
y APD. Si tiene algo bueno o mal
de APD, la CPOA quiere oír de usted*

Hay muchas maneras que puede

Puede presentar un complemento

Denuncia (Denuncia)

El personal de CPOA investigará cada

Tiene la opción de denuncia

• Elect

(www.cabq.gov)
M003385
LW 000618

COMUNIDAD" EN COMUNITARIA

*ia de Policía Civil
riencia con el
licía de Albuquerque*

*a Agencia de Policía Civil (CPOA)
lo que decir sobre los empleados
ed!*

ede denunciar acerca de APD.
to (Trabajo Bien Hecho); o una
ncia Policial Civil)
la una total y independientemente.
nciar por varios medios:

ronico

.gov/CPOA)

- **Formas**
(Correo o entr
- **Faxteléfono**
- **Declaración**
(a CPOA o API
- **Pregunte a un S**
- **Teletipo** (

Puede tener otra persona presentar un comp
puede presentar en nombre de otra persona o
tenga en cuenta que las denuncias anónimas se
mos comunicarnos para obtener más informa
ilegal y contra políticas de APD para cua
presentación de la denuncia.

Las formas se encuentran en la oficina CPO
#813; instalaciones APD; City Hall; bibliotec
tros comunitarios; pidiendo a cualquier uni
APD. Formas pueden ser entradas en www

*Preguntas? Llame a Civilian Police Oversight
(505)924 3770 o APD Internal Affairs (505*

Escritas

regar a mano)

(505-924-3775)

nes verbales

empleados)

upervisor de APD

800-659-8331)

plemento o una denuncia para usted mismo,
tambien puede presentar anónimo. Por favor
on más difíciles de investigar porque no podre-
ción. **Si usted presenta una denuncia, es**
quiera de responder contra usted por la

A, 600 2nd St. NW,
as publicas, cen-
formado policia
/cabq.gov/CPOA

ht Agency
768-2880



LA AGENCIA DE POLICÍA CIVIL

La Agencia de Policía Civil (CPOA) fue establecida en 2014 después de que la ciudad enmendó su ordenanza del descuido de policía y sustituyó la excomisión del descuido de la policía y oficina de la revisión independiente. La Agencia de Policía Civil ofrece a las personas un independiente, imparcial, persona de investigación para investigar las denuncias y felicitaciones acerca de los empleados de el Departamento de Policía de Albuquerque.

Este folleto, le proveerá de instrucciones de cómo presentar su denuncia o complemento con el CPOA y explicarle el proceso de investigación.

The Civilian Police Oversight Agency

Dirección de postal:

CPOA, City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque,
NM 87103

Dirección física:

600 2nd NW #813
Albuquerque, NM, 87102
(505) 924-3770

www.cabq.gov/CPOA

CIUDAD DE
ALBUQUERQUE

La Agencia de Policía Civil



Una agencia independiente de investigadores profesionales civiles y miembros de la comunidad que reciben, investigan y examinan denuncias, así como complementos, sobre el Departamento de Policía de Albuquerque. La agencia también examina políticas APD, prácticas y procedimientos, haciendo recomendaciones al Jefe de la Policía.

www.cabq.gov/CPOA
505-924-3770

M003389

Cuenta sobre su experiencia con el Departamento de Policía de Albuquerque

INFORMACIÓN DE ENTRADA

Hay muchas maneras que puede denunciar información; puede presentar un complemento (con la forma Trabajo Bien Hecho) o una denuncia (Denuncia de Policía Civil) sobre empleados de APD. Las denuncias serán a fondo investigadas, conclusiones hechas, y remitidas al jefe de la policía. Tiene la opción de denunciar por varios medios:

- **Electronico**
(www.cabq.gov/CPOA)
- **Correo**
(Correo o entregar a mano)
- **Faxteléfono (505-924-3775)**
- **Verbal** (a CPOA o APD empleados)
- **Pregunte a un Supervisor de APD**
- **Teletipo (800-659-8331)**

Puede tener otra persona presentar una denuncia o complemento para usted mismo, usted puede presentar en nombre de otra persona, o también puede presentar anónimo. Por favor tenga en cuenta que las denuncias anónimas son más difíciles de investigar porque no podremos comunicarnos para obtener más información.

Las formas de denuncia o complemento se encuentran en el CPOA, instalaciones APD, bibliotecas públicas, centro comunitarios, pidiendo a cualquier uniformado policía APD o denunciar electrónico a www.cabq.gov/CPOA

PROCESO DE INVESTIGACIÓN DE UNA DENUNCIA

Es animado presentar una denuncia tan pronto como sea posible y con toda la información para ayudar y asegurarse que su denuncia se investigue a fondo y de manera oportuna.

A pesar de como y cuando se recibe una denuncia, será expedida al CPOA para investigación. Al recibido de su denuncia, el CPOA le notificará por escrito, si proporciona una dirección de contacto. La oficina Asuntos Internos de APD no investiga denuncias. Las denuncias que hacen girar investigaciones criminales serán expedidas a la agencia criminal apropiada para investigar el acusación criminal; sin embargo, el CPOA conducirá una investigación administrativa. La mediación puede ser animada basada en la naturaleza de la acusación.

Al término de la investigación, el CPOA le notificará por escrito acerca de las conclusiones. Si es insatisfecho con conclusiones del CPOA o recomendaciones o los del Jefe de policía, puede solicitar una reconsideración dentro de 30 días.

Si usted presenta una denuncia, es ilegal y contra políticas de APD para cualquiera de responder contra usted por la presentación de la denuncia.



LA AGENCIA DE POLICÍA CIVIL (CPOA)

La junta de consejo (POB-Police Oversight Board) de denuncias consiste de 9 voluntarios que dirigen el CPOA. Las juntas mensuales del POB están abiertas al público y televisadas en GovTV (television canal de gobierno). Las juntas son sostenidas en La Sala de Consejo de la Ciudad (City Council Chambers), en el Sótano de el edificio City Hall, One Civic Plaza, Albuquerque, 87102.

El POB típicamente se reúne el segundo jueves de cada mes. Calendario de juntas y agendas se pueden encontrar en www.cabq.gov/CPOA

LA AGENCIA DE POLICÍA CIVIL

La Agencia de Policía Civil (CPOA) fue establecida en 2014 después de que la ciudad emendó su ordenanza del desempeño de policía y sustituyó la exco-misión del desempeño de la policía y oficina de la revisión independiente. La Agencia de Policía Civil ofrece a las personas un independiente, imparcial, persona de investigación para investi-gar las denuncias y complementos acerca de los empleados de el Depar-tamento de Policía de Albuquerque.

Este folleto, le proveerá de instruc-ciones de cómo presentar su denuncia o complemento con el CPOA y expli-carle el proceso de investigación.

The Civilian Police Oversight Agency

Dirección de postal:

CPOA, City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque,
NM 87103

Dirección física:

600 2nd NW #813
Albuquerque, NM, 87102
(505) 924-3770

www.cabq.gov/CPOA

CIUDAD DE
ALBUQUERQUE

La Agencia de Policía Civil



Una agencia independiente de investi-gadores profesionales civiles y miembros de la comunidad que reciben, investigan y examinan denuncias, así como comple-mentos, sobre el Departamento de Po-licia de Albuquerque. La agencia tam-bién examina políticas APD, prácticas y procedimientos, haciendo recomenda-ciones al Jefe de la Policía.

www.cabq.gov/CPOA
505-924-3770

Cuenta sobre su experiencia con el Departamento de Policía de Albuquerque

INFORMACIÓN DE ENTRADA

Hay muchas maneras que puede denunciar información; puede presentar un complemento (con la forma Trabajo Bien Hecho) o una denuncia (Denuncia de Policía Civil) sobre empleados de APD. Las denuncias serán a fondo investigadas, conclusiones hechas, y remitadas al Jefe de la Policía. Tiene la opción de denunciar por varios medios:

- **Electronico**
(www.cabq.gov/CPOA)
- **Correo**
(Correo o entregar a mano)
- **Faxteléfono** (505-924-3775)
- **Verbal**
(al CPOA o APD empleados)
- **Pregunte a un Supervisor de APD**
- **TTY** (800-659-8331)

Puede tener otra persona presentar una denuncia o complemento para usted mismo; usted puede presentar en nombre de otra persona, or tambien puede presentar anónimo. Por favor tenga en cuenta que las denuncias anónimas son más difíciles de investigar porque no podremos comunicarnos para obtener más información.

Las formas de denuncia o complemento se encuentran en el CPOA, instalaciones APD, bibliotecas publicas, centro comunitarios, pidiendo a cualquier uniformado policía APD o denunciar electronico a www.cabq.gov/CPOA

PROCESO DE INVESTIGACIÓN DE UNA DENUNCIA

Es animado presentar una denuncia tan pronto como sea posible y con toda la información para ayudar y asegurarse que su denuncia se investigue a fondo y de manera oportuna.

A pesar de como y cuando se recibe una denuncia, sera expedida al CPOA para investigación. Al recibido de su denuncia, el CPOA le notificará por escrito, si proporciona una dirección de contacto. La oficina Asuntos Internos de APD no investiga denuncias. Las denuncias que hacen girar investigaciones criminales serán expedidas a la agencia criminal apropiada para investigar el acusación criminal; sin embargo, el CPOA conducirá una investigación administrativa. La mediación puede ser animada basada en la naturaleza de la acusación.

Al término de la investigación, el CPOA le notificará por escrito acerca de las conclusiones. Si es insatisfecho con las conclusiones del CPOA o recomendaciones o los del Jefe de Policía, puede solicitar una reconsideración dentro de 30 días.

Si usted presenta una denuncia, es ilegal y contra políticas de APD para cualquiera de responder contra usted por la presentación de la denuncia.



LA AGENCIA DE POLICIA CIVIL (CPOA)

La junta de consejo (POB-Police Oversight Board) de denuncias consiste de 9 voluntarios que dirigen el CPOA. Las juntas mensuales del POB están abiertas al público y televisadas en GovTV (television canal de gobierno). Las juntas son sostenidas en La Sala de Consejo de la Ciudad (City Council Chambers), en el Sótano de el edificio City Hall, One Civic Plaza, Albuquerque, 87102.

El POB tipicamente se reúne el Segundo jueves de cada mes. Calendario de juntas y agendas se pueden encontrar en www.cabq.gov/CPOA

THE CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY

The Civilian Police Oversight Agency (CPOA) was established in 2014 after the City amended its Police Oversight Ordinance and replaced the former Police Oversight Commission and Independent Review Office. The CPOA provides citizens with an independent, impartial, investigatory body to investigate their complaints and compliments about Albuquerque Police Department employees.

This brochure will provide you with instructions on how to file your complaint or compliment with the CPOA and explain the investigatory process to you.

The Civilian Police Oversight Agency

Mailing Address:

CPOA, City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque,
NM 87103

Physical Address:

600 2nd NW #813
Albuquerque, NM, 87102
(505) 924-3770

www.cabq.gov/CPOA

THE CITY OF
ALBUQUERQUE

Civilian Police Oversight Agency



An independent Agency of civilian professional investigators and community members who receive, investigate and review complaints, as well as compliments, about the Albuquerque Police Department. The Agency also reviews APD policies, practices, and procedures, making recommendations to the Chief of Police.

www.cabq.gov/CPOA
505-924-3770

M003393

Tell About Your Experience with the Albuquerque Police Department

INPUT INFORMATION There are many ways you can file a compliment (A Job Well Done Form) or complaint (Citizen Police Complaint) about APD Employees. All complaints will be received and thoroughly investigated. You have the option of providing your input by several means:

- **Online**
(www.cabq.gov/CPOA)
- **Written**
(Mail or hand-deliver)
- **Fax** (505-924-3775)
- **Verbal** (to CPOA or APD employees)
- **TTY** (800-659-8331)
- **Ask for an APD supervisor**

You can have someone else file a Complaint or Compliment for you and you can file one on behalf of another. You may also file an anonymous Complaint or Compliment. Please keep in mind that anonymous Complaints are more difficult to investigate because we will not be able to contact you for more information.

Written Input forms may be located at the CPOA office, all APD facilities, public libraries, community centers, or by asking any uniformed APD Officer.

You can also file a Complaint or Compliment online at www.cabq.gov/CPOA

INVESTIGATION PROCESS OF A COMPLAINT

You are encouraged to file complaints as soon as possible and with as much information in order to help make sure your allegations are thoroughly investigated and in a timely manner.

Regardless of how and when your complaint is received, it will be forwarded to the CPOA for investigation. Upon receipt of your complaint, the CPOA will notify you in writing, if you provided a contact address. APD Internal Affairs does not investigate citizen complaints. Complaints involving criminal allegations will be forwarded to the appropriate criminal agency to investigate the criminal allegation; however, the CPOA will still conduct an administrative investigation. Mediation may be encouraged, based on the nature of the allegation.

When the investigation is completed, the CPOA will notify you in writing of its findings. If you are unsatisfied with the CPOA's findings or recommendations or those of the Chief of Police, you may request a reconsideration within 30 days.

If you file a complaint, it is unlawful and against APD Policies for anyone to retaliate against you for the filing of the complaint.



THE CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY (CPOA)

The Police Oversight Board (POB) consists of 9 volunteers who lead the CPOA. The POB's monthly meetings are open to the public and televised on GovTV. The meetings are held in City Council Chambers, basement of City Hall, One Civic Plaza, Albuquerque, 87102.

The POB typically meets on the 2nd Thursday of each month. Meeting schedules and Agendas can be found at www.cabq.gov/CPOA

From: Dave Ring
To: rhammer@cabq.gov
Cc: jvbaca.pob@gmail.com; phamason.lw@gmail.com
Subject: Re: FW: Spanish Version of Civilian Complaint and Brochures
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 4:04:50 PM

Muy bien! -- Dave Ring

Forget the iPhone 6

1 little-known Apple supplier holds wealth-changing growth potential.

<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL3141/5551275f47185275f5624st02vuc>

From: [Silvio Dell'Angela](#)
To: Loretta.Lynch@usdoj.gov
Subject: ABQ Free Press: APD stalks, harasses us, cop critics claim (No consequences for questionable APD behavior) and the still police state here. You need to come
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 4:19:37 PM
Attachments: [5-11-15AFP-apd stalks.doc](#)
[Sylvia37.doc](#)
[Streicher.doc](#)
[7-12LaJicaritaUSmarshals.doc](#)
[5-6-15Costales.doc](#)
[LiveLeakAPD.doc](#)
Importance: High

TO: US Attorney General Loretta Lynch

Info to others not shown-sent this Bcc, many of them to protect them from retaliation from our police

MORE CREDIBLE NEWS SOURCES THAN THE JOURNAL

Since our only daily newspaper, the Journal is controlled by our Republican Mayor Berry who since taking office in 2009 has made this a very dangerous police state, I have referred citizens to other news sources. These include blog sites run by Joe Monahan and the Eye on Albuquerque, La Jicarita and others as well as our unafraid and informative free bi-weekly newspaper the Albuquerque Free Press (AFP).

These are news sources you should also refer to in addition to our TV station reports-primarily KRQE's and KOB's.

YOUR US ATTORNEY FOR NM DAMON MARTINEZ IS SEEMINGLY PART OF THE PROBLEM HERE

We citizens fear stalking, threats and other reprisals from the police all with the blessing of your US Attorney for NM Damon Martinez and also seemingly the FBI here as well,

See the attached posting on Damon Martinez's US Marshals by La Jicarita. Unlike those in California, NM Marshals here intentionally have no body video recorders. Damon also sent US Marshals to threaten citizen Tony Pirard at his home after Tony repeatedly criticized Damon at City Council meetings-as I had also done.

So-no body recorders/recordings-no proof of the US Marshals' threats made or of their murders. Also seize the citizens' cell phones and destroy its incriminating video. Nice. See the La Jicarita posting attached.

As a retaliatory measure, Damon had a former Rio Arriba sheriff Tommy Rodella jailed for a long time for allegedly just assaulting a citizen, the same offense he is allowing APD cops to get away with.

THE MAYOR'S DISGRACEFUL \$350/HOUR CINCINNATI DUO OF STREICHER AND GREENWOOD

Rather than allow our current Chief Eden (who knowingly never met the City's 2013 posted qualifications for the job) to be involved in the negotiations with your DOJ, deeming him either incompetent and/unwilling to do what Mayor Berry wanted, the mayor hired two embarrassing unethical characters from Cincinnati at a ridiculously high cost.

The first was that City's former police chief Tom Streicher and the second his equally unethical attorney partner Scott Greenwood. Schultz likely told the two to set up a consulting company (something they did) so Schultz could "grease" a contract with the mayor for them to come and stonewall any real reform. All three worked for TASER Inc.

The Mayor suggested that Schultz step down in July 2013 as the Chief just before his campaign for reelection-fearing Schultz would be a liability. He still allowed Schultz to be still drawing a City paycheck until January 2014, during which Greenwood and Streicher were hired and the \$1.9 million dollar contract with his employer Taser Inc. was signed.

See the attached horrid reign of Streicher as Cincinnati Chief whose police force was also investigated by your DOJ. Streicher fought every DOJ reform of his own department tooth and nail, the reason it took SIX YEARS to allegedly meet all of the DOJ reform objectives.

Greenwood would claim that his partner was now a changed man-but all know that leopards don't change their spots, nor do scorpions change their desire to kill as the dead frog found out.

As you should/may have been told, our NM State auditor and City IG had exposed Schultz's apparent pay to play with TASER Inc. who was then an employee of TASER while also working for the city. This was the \$1.9 million contract with TASER that Schultz said the Mayor "greased" for him. Our powerless IG whose loyalty is only to the Mayor ignored a 2013 request to investigate it.

The disgraceful \$350/hour Greenwood would not admit that he worked for TASER when interviewed on a local public TV/PBS show. He would later lie to the city council claiming his recommended changes to the new police oversight ordinance represented the desires of the DOJ-something your people denied.

To further insult the taxpayers of this city who have demanded real change to our police department to include the removal of all of its corrupt leadership, this Mayor now wants to give the disgraceful Greenwood Streicher team of APD reform stonewallers \$260,000 more of our tax dollars to "help" the appointed monitor James Ginger stonewall any real reform.

THE TOOTHLESS DOJ/MAYOR BERRY-NOT CITY COUNCIL AGREEMENT

Thanks to Greenwood and Streicher and the secretly hired local attorney brother Jerry Walz of the Mayor's protector-Journal's editor Kent Walz (in another perceived pay-to-play), the toothless DOJ/City agreement intentionally doesn't address many of the critical corrective measures needed here including those to the citizens' police oversight process.

This is because your DOJ who negotiated with two APD bad cop-enabling friends of our horrible former Chief Schultz bad cop willingly passed along the threats made by the city. If they didn't agree to their demands-asking them compromise their integrity, this would result in costly and lengthy lawsuits against your people.

Your DOJ team bowed to the threats and chose to betray we the people. Your good/honorable investigator Luis Saucedo mentioned this City threat in a private meeting with me and an attorney friend and also mentioned the secret hiring of Jerry Walz.

It also rendered the monitor James Ginger a former police officer and his PMR Inc. team-also former police officers powerless to do anything but recommend changes. Monitor James Ginger the head of PMR Inc. and his people really don't care that he and his team of former police officers can really do nothing here for the \$4.5+ million over the next four years that a federal judge and your DOJ say they should be given.

Ginger even arrogantly refused twice to come before the City Council, all with your US NM Attorney Damon Martinez's blessing to defend the \$4.5 million he expects the Council to merely approve just because an irresponsible federal judge and Mr. Martinez blessed it. This was as if the Council as our legislative body of government didn't exist-something this Mayor who views himself as a dictator/king also believes.

The arrogant Ginger even wants the Council to now give him \$300,000 after the fact for work he claims he already did. He knows full well that it is our Council and not any federal judge or Martinez that can authorize paying his company PMR Inc. anything.

APD'S GESTAPO

Attached is an article from the Albuquerque Free Press's May 6 issue that should prompt another investigation directed by you of our police force-since the agreement resulting from your first investigation was a sham. See the disgraceful response by cop Celina Espinoza-APD's spokesperson. She refuses to answer the media questions.

Another APD spokesperson is cop Tanner Tixier the partner/accomplice of cop Jeremy Dear who murdered the fleeing likely unarmed homeless Mary Hawkes. Neither cop made any recordings. Another is Deputy Chief Bill Roseman, who tried to justify Dear's lack of any recording after the murder.

All three are disgraces to the APD uniform worn by the many honest cops in APD who despise their leadership including our Mayor Berry and his CAO Perry.

Much like any police state which this city, county and State has become, any critics must be silenced even if it means murdering them. Some scared citizens believe APD and those in the mayor's office were behind the murder of prominent civil rights attorney Mary Han who they would claim had committed suicide.

High ranking APD and city officials showed up at the Han home and intentionally contaminated the crime scene even going into her home searching for incriminating information they believed she had on them. Her jewelry was also stolen. A now retired APD police officer Tom Grover exposed the fact that it wasn't a suicide.

THREATS MADE TO ME

After my good neighbor Chris Hinz was gunned down by two of APD's death squad SWAT officers-one trigger happy cop Anthony Sedler who shot others, and I began asking for recording made by any of the virtual army of officers at and around his home for almost two hours, I was told not even one recording was made.

When I continued to question the death I was warned by Chief Schultz to "be careful." Having done a tour in Vietnam, I don't threaten easily. My wife is still scared to death that APD will eventually kill me. I have seen likely unmarked APD cars parked in front of my home at night likely waiting for me to come out. A visiting friend when going home one night alerted me to one such car and told me not to come out.

Whenever I did come out and walked behind the cars hoping to get a license plate number, it drove off. I also see APD cars driving beside me or in back of me when I go out to also send me a message to shut up.

Almost a month after neighbor Chris was murdered another neighbor, a unarmed returning from Iraq NAVY SEAL with PTSD would have also been murdered by APD had I then-as the neighborhood association president, not intervened by demanding that the APD Area Commander Cliff Saylor take charge personally and not kill him.

The unarmed vet neighbor was only screaming in the street and painting the van of a disrespectful Muslim neighbor who was repeatedly parking his van in the vet's driveway. APD area commander Saylor after repeatedly telling me to call 911 which I ignored and after my repeated insistence reluctantly sent a good Lieutenant there who had the vet taken to a mental hospital.

When later debriefed by two of the responding officers, the female cop told me, "we could have killed that crazy guy if we wanted to ." I blasted her verbally expecting to get arrested. Her partner told her to shut up. A fellow Vietnam vet-homeless African American with PTSD Vincent Wood was also murdered by two APD officers in early July 2013 and to this day APD still refuses to release the video of that shooting/murder.

SYLVIA FUENTES, DA KARI BRANDENBURG AND FORMER COP SAM COSTALES

The attached AFP article about APD's Gestapo also mentions among others Sylvia Fuentes who son who only tried to protect himself from the cops with a knife could have been Tasered but was instead gunned down. Attached is a summary of her March 11 2011 talk given by Ms.

Fuentes given to the council and the subsequent threats by APD officers the next day to shut her up that I sent to all.

City leaders ignored it. The City Councilors seemingly condoned the Gestapo tactics or also were afraid of retaliation.

This is the same "we can kill, make no recordings and get away with it" mentality that still exists in APD. See the attached interview of former APD cop Sam Costales that unmasks APD's 'culture of aggression' This disgraceful, toothless agreement, this OK with being toothless chosen monitor and the two Cincinnati betrayers of the people won't change anything-as this Mayor knows.

The AFP article also mentions DA Brandenburg who rightly should fear for her life from APD after daring to charge the two officers who murdered homeless James Boyd who was only camping in our foothills. One of the two murderers was allowed to retire even was recorded by State police two hours before saying he intended to shoot this crazy guy. He did.

With all of the record murders and other examples of APD's use of excessive force, that murder was the only one recorded and released-likely inadvertently. APD destroyed the other recordings made of the Boyd murder. See Live Leak attached.

YOU HAVE TO COME HERE AND SEE FOR YOURSELF

I am requesting that you come here and see just how bad it is. I would be willing to meet with you if you come.

Respectfully,

Silvio Dell'Angela
Lt. Col. USAF retired-Vietnam 1969
For -WE THE PEOPLE
505 296 3241

路政司 蕭平

Salma Torre

Wahneema Lubiano was ARD's youngest child, Kaneland's first female home care worker and we were wondering if it was an upbringing factor, Torres said. "After a while, it was clear it was a family problem, not a safety issue. What could the doctor say? We had no other sons, I was the only one who was going to live and others sons I was afraid that someone was going to die, that a person was going to die."



KEENE

**Related APD story
on Page 18**

Sylvia Fuentes says she took this low-resolution photo of an Albuquerque police car parked near her house, and she believes it was part of an effort to intimidate her.

"I think of the couple who committed suicide together in Philadelphia last year," he said. "We were terrified of the police department. Why would you go to a place where they murder your own people?"

Danish-Born in Skaneateles, N.Y. and
 ABC-Free Press, Rockford, Ill. and
 1960-1961, 1962-1963, 1964-1965, 1966-1967, 1968-1969, 1970-1971, 1972-1973, 1974-1975, 1976-1977, 1978-1979, 1980-1981, 1982-1983, 1984-1985, 1986-1987, 1988-1989, 1990-1991, 1992-1993, 1994-1995, 1996-1997, 1998-1999, 2000-2001, 2002-2003, 2004-2005, 2006-2007, 2008-2009, 2010-2011, 2012-2013, 2014-2015, 2016-2017, 2018-2019, 2020-2021, 2022-2023, 2024-2025, 2026-2027, 2028-2029, 2030-2031, 2032-2033, 2034-2035, 2036-2037, 2038-2039, 2040-2041, 2042-2043, 2044-2045, 2046-2047, 2048-2049, 2050-2051, 2052-2053, 2054-2055, 2056-2057, 2058-2059, 2060-2061, 2062-2063, 2064-2065, 2066-2067, 2068-2069, 2070-2071, 2072-2073, 2074-2075, 2076-2077, 2078-2079, 2080-2081, 2082-2083, 2084-2085, 2086-2087, 2088-2089, 2090-2091, 2092-2093, 2094-2095, 2096-2097, 2098-2099, 2100-2101, 2102-2103, 2104-2105, 2106-2107, 2108-2109, 2110-2111, 2112-2113, 2114-2115, 2116-2117, 2118-2119, 2120-2121, 2122-2123, 2124-2125, 2126-2127, 2128-2129, 2130-2131, 2132-2133, 2134-2135, 2136-2137, 2138-2139, 2140-2141, 2142-2143, 2144-2145, 2146-2147, 2148-2149, 2150-2151, 2152-2153, 2154-2155, 2156-2157, 2158-2159, 2160-2161, 2162-2163, 2164-2165, 2166-2167, 2168-2169, 2170-2171, 2172-2173, 2174-2175, 2176-2177, 2178-2179, 2180-2181, 2182-2183, 2184-2185, 2186-2187, 2188-2189, 2190-2191, 2192-2193, 2194-2195, 2196-2197, 2198-2199, 2200-2201, 2202-2203, 2204-2205, 2206-2207, 2208-2209, 2210-2211, 2212-2213, 2214-2215, 2216-2217, 2218-2219, 2220-2221, 2222-2223, 2224-2225, 2226-2227, 2228-2229, 2230-2231, 2232-2233, 2234-2235, 2236-2237, 2238-2239, 2240-2241, 2242-2243, 2244-2245, 2246-2247, 2248-2249, 2250-2251, 2252-2253, 2254-2255, 2256-2257, 2258-2259, 2260-2261, 2262-2263, 2264-2265, 2266-2267, 2268-2269, 2270-2271, 2272-2273, 2274-2275, 2276-2277, 2278-2279, 2280-2281, 2282-2283, 2284-2285, 2286-2287, 2288-2289, 2290-2291, 2292-2293, 2294-2295, 2296-2297, 2298-2299, 2300-2301, 2302-2303, 2304-2305, 2306-2307, 2308-2309, 2310-2311, 2312-2313, 2314-2315, 2316-2317, 2318-2319, 2320-2321, 2322-2323, 2324-2325, 2326-2327, 2328-2329, 2330-2331, 2332-2333, 2334-2335, 2336-2337, 2338-2339, 2340-2341, 2342-2343, 2344-2345, 2346-2347, 2348-2349, 2350-2351, 2352-2353, 2354-2355, 2356-2357, 2358-2359, 2360-2361, 2362-2363, 2364-2365, 2366-2367, 2368-2369, 2370-2371, 2372-2373, 2374-2375, 2376-2377, 2378-2379, 2380-2381, 2382-2383, 2384-2385, 2386-2387, 2388-2389, 2390-2391, 2392-2393, 2394-2395, 2396-2397, 2398-2399, 2400-2401, 2402-2403, 2404-2405, 2406-2407, 2408-2409, 2410-2411, 2412-2413, 2414-2415, 2416-2417, 2418-2419, 2420-2421, 2422-2423, 2424-2425, 2426-2427, 2428-2429, 2430-2431, 2432-2433, 2434-2435, 2436-2437, 2438-2439, 2440-2441, 2442-2443, 2444-2445, 2446-2447, 2448-2449, 2450-2451, 2452-2453, 2454-2455, 2456-2457, 2458-2459, 2460-2461, 2462-2463, 2464-2465, 2466-2467, 2468-2469, 2470-2471, 2472-2473, 2474-2475, 2476-2477, 2478-2479, 2480-2481, 2482-2483, 2484-2485, 2486-2487, 2488-2489, 2490-2491, 2492-2493, 2494-2495, 2496-2497, 2498-2499, 2500-2501, 2502-2503, 2504-2505, 2506-2507, 2508-2509, 2510-2511, 2512-2513, 2514-2515, 2516-2517, 2518-2519, 2520-2521, 2522-2523, 2524-2525, 2526-2527, 2528-2529, 2530-2531, 2532-2533, 2534-2535, 2536-2537, 2538-2539, 2540-2541, 2542-2543, 2544-2545, 2546-2547, 2548-2549, 2550-2551, 2552-2553, 2554-2555, 2556-2557, 2558-2559, 2560-2561, 2562-2563, 2564-2565, 2566-2567, 2568-2569, 2570-2571, 2572-2573, 2574-2575, 2576-2577, 2578-2579, 2580-2581, 2582-2583, 2584-2585, 2586-2587, 2588-2589, 2590-2591, 2592-2593, 2594-2595, 2596-2597, 2598-2599, 2600-2601, 2602-2603, 2604-2605, 2606-2607, 2608-2609, 2610-2611, 2612-2613, 2614-2615, 2616-2617, 2618-2619, 2620-2621, 2622-2623, 2624-2625, 2626-2627, 2628-2629, 2630-2631, 2632-2633, 2634-2635, 2636-2637, 2638-2639, 2640-2641, 2642-2643, 2644-2645, 2646-2647, 2648-2649, 2650-2651, 2652-2653, 2654-2655, 2656-2657, 2658-2659, 2660-2661, 2662-2663, 2664-2665, 2666-2667, 2668-2669, 2670-2671, 2672-2673, 2674-2675, 2676-2677, 2678-2679, 2680-2681, 2682-2683, 2684-2685, 2686-2687, 2688-2689, 2690-2691, 2692-2693, 2694-2695, 2696-2697, 2

Talk to City Council on March 3 2011 by Sylvia Fuentes

My name is Sylvia Fuentes. I am the mother of Len Eric Fuentes. "Human waste" #8 according to a Police Department detective on Facebook. Look at me, I am the mother of "human waste" #8.

Mr. Dell Angela, has been trying to tell you something over and over and over with letters, with e-mails, with phone calls but you are not listening. He is trying to tell you what kind of police officers we have in APD. Even now, after reading what the detective that shot the last victim said on Facebook, it does not phase you. 57 officers on Facebook are being looked into. It would be interesting to know how many of those officers took part in the shootings of the "human waste?" And if the officer that killed my son is also one of them.

The Police Department has lost its dignity and credibility. They are no longer in place to protect and to serve us; they are too busy protecting themselves. Their main job now is to protect themselves from those who are not on their side regardless of what they do, including killing and then lying about it to cover their crimes. These officers have developed a gang type mentality that tells us if you don't side with them, (the blue gang) then, you are on the side of the criminals.

I have not been here since November because of health issues and I am still not well, but I had to come today. The disposal machine killed human waste #10 out of 15. Last time I was warned that the police might send out thugs to harass me if I spoke out against them. Well they did even better than that, within 24 hours three of their vehicles were all within a stone's throw of my house. I sent all of you Council Members a picture of it by e-mail with the details and told you what happened and you did not even acknowledge me.

I wanted to take more pictures but I did not dare because the sun was out and my shiny camera might be considered a weapon and I might get shot to death. My son had no criminal record prior his incarceration, nor did he have one after his release. But like I said before, after they shoot them, the statement is always "they had a criminal record." Even if this record occurred years ago and they have paid their debt to society, it's as if it still justifies their death.

The Police Department wonders why so many cops are being attacked and they have to kill them? It is really a no brainer. They are the attackers, they are the aggressors. I have witnessed how they handle themselves with my own eyes. After they kill, all they have to say is that they were being attacked and they feared for their lives, and they get away with it. I bet they even practice saying it in their sleep.

We are told my son was wielding a knife and supposedly struggled with the police. If this is true why aren't there any bruises on his body except for the handcuffs that they

placed on him after he had mortally wounded him and he fell to the ground? If he was so close to them why aren't there any powder burn marks on his clothes or body? The Chief of police says that struggled with my son. Well I say they killed my son in cold blood and I wasn't there, neither was the Police Chief. But there were people there that saw it and now I really know first-hand why they fear the police. The officer/officers that killed him know that they lied and the witnesses that saw them know that they lied but they will keep quiet because they are afraid so the police will always get away with it.

The investigation that followed my son's death was a laugh. They claimed that they interviewed me when I was told that my son had been killed and I was blubbering like a fool and that there would be no further interview. I was also told that I could not have my son's silver skull buckle when I went to get his belongings because it had been shattered by a bullet-and they lied about that. When I told the Detective that I knew it hadn't been shattered, she changed her story and said it was evidence. I have to wonder about that evidence. Evidence of what? That they aimed for the skull and hit it? God knows, they had enough time to aim very well.

I asked her how long it would be before they would release the buckle and she said many years down the road, maybe never. She was very rude, insensitive and inconsiderate to me and people like me, pay her wages. But then maybe she just considers me human waste also for having a son like my Len.

In conclusion, I would like to say that they don't have to send any more police cars to my house. I get the message, I know, that you can not only find a reason to kill me but you can justify it and you can also go on Facebook and boast about it and get away with it.

Sylvia Fuentes

Police Chief's Horrid Reign Gets Whitewashed

BY KEVIN OSBORNE · MARCH 23RD, 2011 · PORKOPOLIS



5 Comments

Tags: [Cincinnati news](#), [Cincinnati politics](#), [Tom Streicher](#), [Cincinnati Enquirer-appointed stenographer](#), [romanticized history](#)

There's a tendency among many people to romanticize the past, and that's especially true of the mainstream media.

Unless a politician or other public official is convicted of a crime, virtually anyone is subject to the "rose-colored glasses" treatment upon his or her retirement or death. Maybe it's because it is easier to write puffery about someone than do serious, in-depth analysis of a long and complicated career.

This trend was in full display last weekend when *The Enquirer* ran a lengthy, glowing profile about Cincinnati Police Chief Thomas Streicher Jr., upon his retirement. The article, by reporter Jane Prendergast, included an interview with the chief under the headline, "Streicher leaves legacy of change." It included a videotaped interview and several flattering photographs.

Streicher, 57, of Green Township, is retiring after nearly 40 years with the department. He served 12 years as chief.

To hear Streicher tell it, via his *Enquirer*-appointed stenographer, he always supported the dozens of police reforms that resulted from the Collaborative Agreement. That was the catch-all name for an agreement with the U.S. Justice Department for improving police operations, along with a separate settlement of a class-action lawsuit that accused local police of harassing African Americans.

Although people tend to forget it now, the lawsuit already had been filed when on April 7, 2001, a police officer shot and killed Timothy Thomas, a 19-year-old, unarmed black man wanted only on misdemeanor warrants, during a foot chase down a dark Over-the-Rhine alley on a Saturday night.

Three days later, after Cincinnati Police refused to divulge any details about the shooting, scattered rioting broke out in parts of downtown and Over-the-Rhine.

Thomas was the 15th African-American killed by Cincinnati Police in five years, a period in which no white people had been killed in police encounters.

The lawsuit, meanwhile, had alleged a 30-year pattern of racially biased policing. Once riots erupted, city officials took a more conciliatory tone toward the suit, while also asking for a Justice Department review of the Police Department.

City officials eventually settled the lawsuit, although they didn't admit to any wrongdoing. As part of the deal, the city agreed to implement numerous changes in the department — with a federal court providing oversight for five years to make sure it was done.

Ultimately, the monitoring period lasted six years (2003-08) because of a slow start.

Which brings us back to Streicher.

According to the whitewashed version of his tenure as chief, which Streicher spoon-fed to the *Enquirer* reporter, his attitude toward making reforms was solidified one night in late 2001, while watching a TV show. A Middle Eastern man was debating the merits of his nation with a U.S. citizen and made the comment, "Well, at least we're not Cincinnati."

"I knew in my mind that we had to be advocates for this city, we had to be a total part of the effort," Streicher told *The Enquirer* for his profile. "I knew I had to commit myself and commit this entire agency to take on the challenge. I mean, my God. How could someone say that about Cincinnati?"

Nice try, Mr. Streicher, but your "memory" doesn't quite jibe with the historical record.

Streicher often was skeptical about the need for reforms during the initial phases of the process. In fact, the city tried to withdraw from the deal in April 2003 but a federal judge refused the request. (*The Enquirer* also wasn't so supportive back then: Its then-editorial page editor wrote that the Collaborative was "a hurry-up deal to settle bogus profiling lawsuits that were not even settled." My, how times have changed.)

The chief's actual history (read: resistance to change and oversight) briefly was mentioned but it also got altered from reality.

The profile stated: *"He didn't initially welcome the post-riots reforms sought by the U.S. Department of Justice, either. It felt to him 'like it was force-fed,' and 'You're going to do this or else.' No surprise, that method didn't exactly work with the leader of a paramilitary organization. But when DOJ officials assigned to the case changed, he felt the new ones actually listened. The pressure was off, too, with the election of President Bush, who was less interested in federal oversight of police departments than his predecessor Bill Clinton."*

Except Bush took office in January 2001 and the Collaborative was signed into effect spring 2002, almost 15 months later. Clinton had nothing to with any of it, except he remains a favorite punching bag for reactionary conservatives like Tommy.

And what about the time in March 2005 when a federal judge ruled that the city and its police department had breached the deal? Her ruling came after Streicher blocked a court-appointed monitoring team from viewing police training and accessing records at police headquarters. The team also complained that Streicher and his top assistant, Lt. Col. Richard Janke, were persistently rude and uncooperative.

The judge warned city officials to improve their behavior or face stricter oversight and the levying of fines.

For those keeping score, that was almost three years after the Collaborative was signed, and four years after Bush took office.

None of the facts that dispute Streicher's pleasant recollections, however, were cited by *The Enquirer*.

All of that is just the tip of the iceberg when it comes to Streicher's rocky reign. Other highlights included the domestic violence complaint lodged against Streicher by his then-wife Kathryn when she filed for divorce in 2001; his later marriage to a subordinate officer who was then granted disability retirement; and his reluctance to confront the slowdown in arrests that occurred after the riots.

In the 18 months following, adult arrests declined by 36 percent and juvenile arrests dropped by 28 percent. Arrests have since rebounded, but it took a few years.

Also, a study by a nationally renowned police expert in 2005 found officers had low morale not due to City Council but because of department leadership.

Conducted by John Linder, the study was ordered by then-Mayor Charlie Luken in summer 2005 and completed the following December. After badgering by *CityBeat* and other media, it finally was released publicly in June 2006.

Among its findings, the study stated rank-and-file officers felt ignored and treated unfairly by department leadership. It found that officers sharply mistrusted their supervisors, with only 28.1 percent believing that discipline within the department was fair and uniform.

Make no mistake, any manager in a private-sector company with that record would be fired.

Several City Council members privately grumbled about Streicher's performance over the years but were afraid of the political fallout if he was demoted.

With Streicher thankfully fading into the sunset, the more pressing question is why did *The Enquirer* allow the piece of journalistic fellatio to grace its pages?

Famed American writer Finley Peter Dunne once said that journalism's function is to "comfort the afflicted, afflict the comfortable." Based on how they kowtow to the powerful, managers at *The Enquirer* have a bad case of dyslexia.

03.23.2011 at 11:38 [Reply](#)



[MrCincyCapell](#)

Streicher's reign at the CPD has been a disaster. So many senior sergeants (the experienced supervisors who are the real leaders of the department out in the streets) retired during Streichers reign that it's left a real leadership vacuum in the department. Streicher should have lost his gun and hi badge for beating his Wife.

03.23.2011 at 06:28 [Reply](#)



[Justin](#)

Nice article! Thanks for setting the record straight.

03.23.2011 at 07:58 [Reply](#)



[awm](#)

CPD does a great job. Enjoy retirement chief

03.26.2011 at 12:37 [Reply](#)



[dudereally](#)

Your article hit the nail on the head about streicher until you interjected the race thing. streicher was a horrible leader because of many other factors too many to name here...he was corrupt. 15 african american men killed and timothy thomas...really????? i so look foward to the day when cincinnati becomes so diverse that we no longer have to talk about black white issues...soooooo in the past, get a grip. i would love to have more hispanics, asians, middle eastern people living in cincinnati so i didn't have to hear about black white anymore....ahhhhhhhhhh enough all ready!!! i long for the day when either the police screw up because they did OR the bad person screwed up and he met his fate.....no matter what he looked like...quit with the race card it is played out.

04.27.2011 at 01:36 [Reply](#)



[cinred](#)

Jane Prendergast ought to have rode into the sunset with the Chief. Horrible reporting as usual for a horrible paper.

After Shootings, New Concerns About the US Marshals and its Long History of Violence in New Mexico

POSTED BY [LAJICARITA](#) · JULY 12, 2014 · 3 COMMENTS [FILED UNDER](#) [ANTHONY CHAVEZ, APD](#), [EMILIO NARANJO](#), [GILBERT SERRANO](#), [GORDEN EDEN](#), [RUFUS PHELPS](#), [RUSS CARTER](#), [TINY FELLION](#), [U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE](#)

By DAVID CORREIA

A Deputy Marshal, working as part of an interagency fugitive task force that included Albuquerque Police Department officers, shot and killed Anthony Chavez at his home, in front of his family, on the 9000 block of Upper Meadow last Wednesday, July 2 on Albuquerque's Westside.

In a city rocked by increased police violence—27 killed by APD since 2010—it was the third U.S. Marshals' shooting in Albuquerque since April. On April 1, U.S. Marshals shot Gilbert Serrano in the head in a parking lot along Sunset Boulevard in Southwest Albuquerque. Eyewitnesses to the shooting described a chaotic scene. As La Jicarita reported at the time, eyewitnesses Barbara Valdez and her son Gabriel were sitting in a vehicle in a parking lot northwest of Bridge and Sunset when plainclothes agents emerged from unmarked cars and approached two men sitting in a green Ford Ranger pickup.

One agent reached for the driver's-side door while Valdez heard shouts of "Get out! Get out!" and then, almost immediately, saw a female officer raise her weapon and fire into the vehicle, shooting the driver in the head. The car was moving backwards as the agent fired. Agents fired four or five more rounds into the vehicle. Eyewitnesses reported that Serrano did not have a weapon. The U.S. Marshals Service would not confirm the report.

As part of the investigation of the shooting, Bernalillo County deputies confiscated the cell phones of all eyewitnesses at the scene. The U.S. Supreme Court ruled last month that law enforcement agencies cannot seize and search cell phones without first securing a warrant. Despite this ruling, Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office spokesman Sgt. Aaron Williamson defended the practice this month saying that his agency will continue to seize cell phones without a warrant.

He depicted the practice as important in the preservation of evidence. Bernalillo County is not using that "evidence" to pursue charges against Deputy Marshals involved in the shooting, despite the fact that Marshals shot an unarmed Serrano. Barely two weeks after the Serrano shooting, on April 18 of this year, Deputy Marshals attempted to serve a bench warrant on Rufus Phelps at Tingley and Central on Albuquerque's southwest side. According to a rare statement about the shooting by the U.S.

Marshals Service (USMS), "shots were fired, and the suspect [was] believed to have fled west." The USMS did not release the names of the officers or who fired the shots, or if Phelps was armed. Phelps was arrested six days later.

As with the other two shootings, Marshals refused to release information on the fatal shooting of Chavez saying only: "Pursuant to Department of Justice policy, the U.S. Marshals Service cannot comment further on today's incident because it is under investigation." In the days after the shooting, the family of Anthony Chavez released his name and said that his family, including his young children, witnessed his killing.

Albuquerque police spokesperson Janet Blair refused to say whether Chavez was armed, but the Chavez family told friends and neighbors that he was unarmed.

Though the USMS routinely refuses to release information, Albuquerque police have been under enormous pressure by activists and the dozens of families of victims of police violence in Albuquerque since Department of Justice lawyers released a damning report about APD in April that concluded its officers engage in unconstitutional policing and routinely use unjustified lethal force. The DOJ report also noted that the Albuquerque Police Department conducts "inadequate force investigations," noting that "APD policy does not require that supervisors conduct a thorough, rigorous, and objective review of officers' use of force, including ensuring that officers provide a complete and accurate account of the facts surrounding their use of force" (23-4).

APD, the agency least capable of investigating another use of force incident by the U.S. Marshals, is the agency responsible for investigating another use of force by the U.S. Marshals.

Last week, under pressure, APD released some information on the shooting. A Deputy U.S. Marshal named Kenneth Daniel shot and killed Chavez at his home last Wednesday. City Attorney Kathy Levy also said that Daniel was working as part of a Fugitive Task Force that included APD officers. She would not say which APD officers were involved.

Reliable sources tell *La Jicarita* that one of those APD officers was Russ Carter. As *La Jicarita* reported in March of 2012, at the time of another APD shooting involving Carter, he's been involved in three fatal shootings as a police officer.

In February of 2005 Carter was one of seven officers involved in a 12-hour standoff when Torrance County Sheriff's deputies tried to serve an eviction notice on 58-year old John Loche. Loche, however,

refused to leave and instead barricaded himself in his McIntosh, N.M. trailer. The standoff and gun battle that ensued left two officers wounded and Loche dead of multiple gunshots.

In June 2007, Carter and another APD SWAT officer shot and killed 42-year-old Jay Martin Murphy after Murphy barricaded himself inside his Albuquerque home with his teenage daughter. According to police Murphy had been lobbing glass bottles at officers from his car and then, wielding a knife, barricaded himself in his house. According to the warrant Murphy stood on his porch from where he continued to throw bottles and various objects at police. The victim's son, Jay Murphy Jr., 19, exited the house when his father entered and "advised the officer his dad was 'harmless' and tried to calm the situation down." Instead an APD SWAT unit stormed the house and killed Murphy.

Just days before the Phelps shooting, the Civil Rights Division of the U.S. Department of Justice concluded a lengthy investigation of use of force problems at APD and concluded, among other problems, that brass have long cultivated a culture of aggressive policing in Albuquerque and that the agency has long operated with impunity and without transparency. With the recent spate of shootings by U.S. Marshals, it appears APD may have finally found its match in the U.S. Marshals Service, an agency that, ironically, is housed in the same federal agency as the civil rights division so critical of APD.

Perhaps the DOJ should expand its investigation of APD to include the U.S. Marshals. If they did they'd find an agency that operates with complete secrecy. It answers no questions of the press and releases no information to the public. It operates with an impunity that would make even APD jealous.

And, like APD, it has a long and troubling history in New Mexico as a political tool of local elites and a repressive vehicle to violently squelch social justice movements.

A secret March 1968 report by the New Mexico State Police shows that New Mexico law enforcement authorities knew that a former state trooper and Deputy U.S. Marshal named Tiny Fellion operated as a paid assassin in Española, New Mexico. Fellion blew off his left hand while placing an explosive device at the Albuquerque headquarters of an organization called La Alianza Federal de Mercedes. Alianza was a New Mexico-based social movement organization that advocated for the return of Spanish and Mexican land grants that were lost to heirs following the U.S.—Mexican War. Alianza and its charismatic leader, Reies Lopez Tijerina, were the targets of intense patterns of state violence and repression throughout the 1960s as part of a secret program of covert action against Chicano activists by the FBI, the New Mexico State Police, and the U.S. Marshal Service.

The police report on Fellion noted that he was a member of the John Birch Society and was friendly with other Birch Society members at the New Mexico State Police Department, various Sheriff's departments in the state—including Bernalillo County, the district attorney from various districts, and the New Mexico Attorney General (the March 11, 1968 intelligence report can be found in the Reles Tijerina Papers, box 35, folder 11, at the Center for Southwest Research, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque).

And the problem at the USMS was not limited to Deputy Marshals. The twentieth century's most powerful political boss in northern New Mexico, Emilio Naranjo, served as the U.S. Marshal for New Mexico during the most intense period of violence directed at activists. Naranjo spent more than forty years ruling northern New Mexico with an iron fist as, variously, state senator, county sheriff, chairman of the Democratic Party, and New Mexico's U.S. Marshal in the mid-1960s.

He turned the office of U.S. Marshal for New Mexico into an agency that ruthlessly and violently suppressed dissent. It was during his tenure that deputies like Fellion operated as hired thugs for elected officials allied with the racist Birch Society. And it was the U.S. Marshals Service in New Mexico under Naranjo that made it possible for J. Edgar Hoover's FBI to expand its secret and illegal COINTELPRO surveillance and harassment program into New Mexico in order to target Chicano activists allied with Alianza.

It is perhaps no accident that the same secretive and violent agency that produced people like Naranjo and Fellion and served as a tool of political elites, has also now produced the current chief of the Albuquerque Police Department Gordon Eden, who before coming to Albuquerque, served as the U.S. Marshal for New Mexico from 2002 until 2010.

[About these ads](#)

Ex-APD officer unmask 'culture of aggression'

By Mike Bush / Journal Staff Writer PUBLISHED: Friday, March 6, 2015 at 12:05 am



Former Albuquerque police officer Samson Costales, left, makes a point during an appearance at the University of New Mexico on Thursday. Costales is outspoken about problems at APD. At right is UNM student Jack Cox, the event's moderator. (Roberto E. Rosales/Albuquerque Journal)

Years ago, former Albuquerque police officer Samson Costales used Mace to subdue a robbery suspect who was coming at him with a metal pipe.

The Mace worked. But another officer on the scene was dumbfounded, Costales said.

"Why didn't you shoot him?" he shouted.

Disgusted, the second officer threw the suspect into the back seat of a hot car.

A little later, back at the station, Costales gave the suspect, by then drenched with sweat, a Coke. As a result, officers teased him about the soft drink and chastised him for not shooting the man, "like it was an honor or something to kill them," he said.

Costales was responding to a question put to him by Jack Cox, a junior at the University of New Mexico who moderated a question-and-answer session with the retired officer Thursday.

About 70 people listened intently as Costales presented an insider's view of what the U.S. Department of Justice called a "culture of aggression" at the Albuquerque Police Department. It was that same culture that led to the department's investigation, which found an

unconstitutional use of excessive nonlethal and fatal force in 20 police shootings between 2009 and 2013.

Before Costales' talk at UNM, the APD issued a brief statement: "We respect everyone's right to have an opinion and share it with others. Our hope is that those who are most critical of our department will roll up their sleeves to be a part of the solution, in a productive manner."

Costales was invited to UNM by American Studies professor David Correia for a class on police violence and social control. Correia was arrested last June after he and a dozen other activists briefly occupied Mayor Richard Berry's office to protest police brutality. The charges were later dropped.

The federal investigation of the APD didn't include the six people killed by police officers since January 2014, including the shooting death of homeless camper James Boyd in the Sandia foothills in March, before the report was released.

"I think I just witnessed a murder," Costales recalled saying when he saw the video of the Boyd shooting.

Costales has spoken out against the APD before, including this year in a pair of scathing reports in two national magazines, The New Yorker and Rolling Stone.

He also testified against two Bernalillo County sheriff's deputies who arrested Al Unser Sr. in 2006, an event Costales described in great detail Thursday. The deputies twisted Unser's arm and threw him to the ground before arresting him, none of which was necessary or justifiable, he said.

After his testimony, he said, the police union posted a statement on its website calling him a rat and advising officers that, if he showed up at a scene, they should chase him away.

Since the DOJ report, Costales said he hears all the time about "the few bad apples" that mar the department's reputation.

In fact, he said, "there are more bad apples than good." And those good apples are afraid to come forward to report police wrongdoing, he said. Since the federal report last year, the APD has been "putting on a show for the DOJ," Costales said. "It's all window dressing."

PROOF - APD Covered Up Production of Videos of Shooting of James Boyd

On March 17th 2014 Albuquerque Police (APD) shot and killed a homeless and mentally ill man - whose only crime was a violation of a municipal ordinance against camping in an open space area in the Sandia foothills at the eastern edge of the city.

On March 17th, the day after the fatal shooting, KRQE made a public records request for the videos of that incident. On April 1st, APD unlawfully denied that request.

BUT ... Here is the REST of that Story.

To this day - not only have the Keith Sandy videos mysteriously disappeared - but when the APD finally produced videos claiming to be ALL the videos of the incident - many of the videos were missing INCLUDING almost all that actually show the SHOOTING - most NOTICEABLY - the very videos (from the other shooter - Dominique Perez) from which the now-famous shooting clip was taken and released by APD that week.

On April 2nd, one day after KRQE's request was unlawfully denied, an investigator with the APD interviewed Kevin Fuller, APD Video Unit Supervisor. According to the interview - included in this video - Fuller was instructed on FRIDAY March 21st - to obtain the videos and place them in a Drop Box account.

On Thursday, March 27th - he was instructed to coordinate with several other persons - and to look at all the videos, frame by frame, and identify those parts that the Chief might have an "interest" in BEFORE they were released to the media.

Although those videos have since been released (those that have) WITHOUT being identified by officer or time - Fuller and his team broke down each video by officer and began this work. He was, at this time, told to "take out" all videos in which the audio or video contained the actual shooting.

On Friday March 28th Fuller was instructed to place the videos on another hard drive and provide them to the FBI. BUT ... on Friday evening - Commander Montano on behalf of the Chief contacted Fuller and stated he had "concerns" allegedly about the Chain of Custody. He ordered Fuller to "cease and desist." He was later then told to give Montano the hard drive and to delete the videos from the drop box account.

It is unlawful for the APD to withhold, delay, or deny public records that have no exemption provided by law. The APD clearly DELAYED production in order to find those videos it might find embarrassing - or creating liability - with regard to the act of the shooting of Boyd. It then DENIED unlawfully the production of those videos.

Since then - it has produced videos it has CLAIMED were the complete set. BUT there are several known videos missing - not least being all the videos taken by the second shooter, Dominique Perez, from whose videos the famous clip released by APD initially came. Although several other officers' videos are MISSING from the set produced as well.

It is clear that the APD has and continues to knowingly and willfully withhold those videos. This interview contains proof, at minimum, that the APD had delayed production of the videos, contrary to the Public Records law, in order to try and identify those videos it considered problematic - this specifically was a reference to anything officers may have said to each other during the incident AND anything that revealed either audio or video of the actual shooting of Boyd.

Read more at http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=b19_1406012736#AwZiqcT7vXDsVvfx.99

From: [Beth Mohr](#)
To: LGLPOBAF@cabq.gov
Subject: Thank-you for your email Re: ABQ Free Press: APD stalks, harasses us, cop critics claim (No consequences for questionable APD behavior) and the still police state here. You need to come
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 4:19:48 PM

Thank-you for your email. I am a Police Oversight Board member; this is a volunteer position for which I have limited availability. If your email requires a response from me, I will endeavor to do so within 72 hours. Thank-you for your patience.

--

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: [Beth Mohr](#)
To: LGLPOBAF@cabq.gov
Subject: Thank-you for your email Re: ABQ Free Press: APD stalks, harasses us, cop critics claim (No consequences for questionable APD behavior) and the still police state here. You need to come
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 4:19:48 PM

Thank-you for your email. I am a Police Oversight Board member; this is a volunteer position for which I have limited availability. If your email requires a response from me, I will endeavor to do so within 72 hours. Thank-you for your patience.

--

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Maira Amado-McCoy
To: Leonard Waites; Scott Wilson; Hammer, Robin; Contreras, Michelle; Cash, Paul; Davidson, Christopher; McDermott, Diane L.; O'Neill, Erin; Skotchdopole, Paul A.
Subject: truancy
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 4:39:37 PM

Dear Leonard, Scott, Robin, and All ---

My genuine apology for missing our meeting this afternoon.

I'm absolutely sick about it, and can't give you any better reason than I simply failed to put it in my calendar when we left our meeting last week, and then very quickly became overwhelmed with both personal and professional commitments.

Scott, I send my particular apologies to you, because I know you made an effort to accommodate my need for an afternoon meeting.

Completely my fault, of course, but I couldn't have committed to a worse week to run a meeting. My eldest daughter arrived home from college this weeken and has to have a quick turn-around as she leaves for an internship on the East coast on Sunday. My younger daughter committed to a university last week and then graduates from high school this week. And, of course, my own final qualifying exam is this Friday; two days later, I leave for week's corporate training (Sunday 17th).

Please know that getting this committee off the ground, running smoothly, and working efficiently, remains an absolute priority for me. I believe my intense over-scheduling risk begins to clear up by the end of this month.

Again, I apologize again for the inconvenience my lack of sound planning has caused each of you, and I look forward to getting back on track as soon as humanly possible. Thank you for your understanding.

[And, a good time to remind everyone that I will, unavoidably be out of town from the 17-25th of this month -- missing our next full board meeting completely.]

Thanks for all you do,

Maira

From: Leonard Waites
To: Moira Amado-McCoy
Subject: Re: truancy
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 8:41:22 PM

No problem, Scott handled the meeting just fine. I personally wasn't prepared and should have committed more time to the task. We'll be OK. And we'll miss you on Tuesday. Enjoy!
Leonard

On May 11, 2015 4:39 PM, "Moira Amado-McCoy" <amadomccoy.pob@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Leonard, Scott, Robin, and All ---

My genuine apology for missing our meeting this afternoon.

I'm absolutely sick about it, and can't give you any better reason than I simply failed to put it in my calendar when we left our meeting last week, and then very quickly became overwhelmed with both personal and professional commitments.

Scott, I send my particular apologies to you, because I know you made an effort to accommodate my need for an afternoon meeting.

Completely my fault, of course, but I couldn't have committed to a worse week to run a meeting. My eldest daughter arrived home from college this weeken and has to have a quick turn-around as she leaves for an internship on the East coast on Sunday. My younger daughter committed to a university last week and then graduates from high school this week. And, of course, my own final qualifying exam is this Friday; two days later, I leave for week's corporate training (Sunday 17th).

Please know that getting this committee off the ground, running smoothly, and working efficiently, remains an absolute priority for me. I believe my intense over-scheduling risk begins to clear up by the end of this month.

Again, I apologize again for the inconvenience my lack of sound planning has caused each of you, and I look forward to getting back on track as soon as humanly possible. Thank you for your understanding.

[And, a good time to remind everyone that I will, unavoidably be out of town from the 17-25th of this month -- missing our next full board meeting completely.]

Thanks for all you do,

Moira

From: Hammer, Robin
To: "Dan Webb"
Cc: Leonard Waites
Subject: RE:
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 1:27:50 PM

Dan,

Thanks for keeping us in the loop.

All the best,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.
Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Dan Webb [mailto:rotarydan2013@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 10:51 AM
To: Hammer, Robin
Subject:

May 11, 2015

Robin Hammer
Executive Director
Police Community Oversight Board

Hello Robin,

Four members of the SCLC Board have a meeting with Chief Eden this Wednesday at 4:00 PM to discuss Dr. Becknell's five points. Just thought you would like to be kept in the loop. You might want to mention it to Leonard. I remain deeply troubled about the story he told about being stopped and handcuffed in Rio Rancho.

I might to attend a little of the next Oversight Board meeting scheduled for Tuesday, May 19, 2015 at 4:00 PM in the City Council Chambers.

Dr. Dan Webb for Dr. Charles Becknell
976-6141

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Beth Mohr; David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Hammer, Robin; Jeannette V. Baca; Joanne Fine; Leonard Waites; Moira Amado-McCoy; Scott S. Wilson; Susanne Brown
Cc: Contreras, Michelle
Subject: POB Member Business Cards
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 8:55:00 AM

POB Members:

Please let me know if you would like to have printed City business cards listing your POB contact information. If you do, I would need your preferred email and phone number. If you wish, instead of using your personal number, we could print the main phone line at the office. You could then hand write your personal number on a case-by-case basis. We will print the CPOA's mailing address and physical address.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.
Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Molra Amado-McCoy
To: Hammer, Robin
Cc: Beth Mohr; David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Jeannette V. Baca; Joanne Fine; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson; Susanne Brown; Contreras, Michelle
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 4:44:12 PM

I don't think I've ever seen a response to my previous inquiry (anyone?) -- Please let me know what folks think about how/whether/if/why we can have "City of Albuquerque"-type business cards, if the primary defining feature of this new Board (in many ways) is its lack of affiliation with the City.

I am very much for having business cards to use, particularly, for community outreach efforts; but I think we do need to address this affiliation issue. Would appreciate a response from any quarter, and will not be able to respond to the CPOA without discussion and/or advice on this one.

Molra

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 8:54 AM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

POB Members:

Please let me know if you would like to have printed City business cards listing your POB contact information. If you do, I would need your preferred email and phone number. If you wish, instead of using your personal number, we could print the main phone line at the office. You could then hand write your personal number on a case-by-case basis. We will print the CPOA's mailing address and physical address.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

M003421

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: [Beth Mohr](#)
To: [Maira Amado-McCoy](#)
Cc: [Hammer, Robin](#); [David Ring](#); [Eric H. Cruz](#); [Jeannette V. Baca](#); [Joanne Fine](#); [Leonard Waites](#); [Scott S. Wilson](#); [Susanne Brown](#); [Contreras, Michelle](#)
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 5:12:41 PM

I do not wish any CABQ business cards. The City logo is such a recognizable icon that people don't always look closely at the position titles. As it is, officers think that we're being paid to be POB members; officers I've spoken with were stunned that we would all volunteer our time to do this. Handing out a logo'd CABQ card, I think, makes us look like paid employees rather than volunteer members.

As POB Board members, we are affiliated with the CABQ, but we are independent. I'm not sure cards make that clear if they have the City seal on them. At the very least, I think concerns over that being a misunderstanding are reasonable.

Perhaps someone would like to take on the creation of a POB logo of our very own? That would be something we could be comfortable putting on cards that would set us apart from paid City employees. (Besides, then we could get POB logo polo shirts and wear them to the POB meetings.)

Regardless, I don't need any City cards. Thanks, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 4:44 PM, Maira Amado-McCoy
<amadomccoy.pob@gmail.com> wrote:

I don't think I've ever seen a response to my previous inquiry (anyone?) -- Please let me know what folks think about how/whether/if/why we can have "City of Albuquerque"-type business cards, if the primary defining feature of this new Board (in many ways) is its lack of affiliation with the City.

I am very much for having business cards to use, particularly, for community outreach efforts; but I think we do need to address this affiliation issue. Would appreciate a response from any quarter, and will not be able to respond to the CPOA without discussion and/or advice on this one.

Maira

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 8:54 AM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

POB Members:

Please let me know if you would like to have printed City business cards listing

your POB contact information. If you do, I would need your preferred email and phone number. If you wish, instead of using your personal number, we could print the main phone line at the office. You could then hand write your personal number on a case-by-case basis. We will print the CPOA's mailing address and physical address.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Jeannette Baca
To: Moira Amado-McCoy
Cc: Hammer, Robin; Beth Mohr; David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Joanne Fine; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson; Susanne Brown; Contreras, Michelle
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 5:16:51 PM

I don't think printing business cards is a complicated issue, nor an affiliation issue. If you choose not to have them printed, simply say so. Personally, I rather use POB cards when I address POB business and not use my business cards which I use for my business. Just sayin'
Jeannette

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 4:44 PM, Moira Amado-McCoy
<amadomccoy.pob@gmail.com> wrote:

I don't think I've ever seen a response to my previous inquiry (anyone?) -- Please let me know what folks think about how/whether/if/why we can have "City of Albuquerque"-type business cards, if the primary defining feature of this new Board (in many ways) is its lack of affiliation with the City.

I am very much for having business cards to use, particularly, for community outreach efforts; but I think we do need to address this affiliation issue. Would appreciate a response from any quarter, and will not be able to respond to the CPOA without discussion and/or advice on this one.

Moira

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 8:54 AM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

POB Members:

Please let me know if you would like to have printed City business cards listing your POB contact information. If you do, I would need your preferred email and phone number. If you wish, instead of using your personal number, we could print the main phone line at the office. You could then hand write your personal number on a case-by-case basis. We will print the CPOA's mailing address and physical address.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: [Maira Amado-McCoy](#)
To: [Jeannette Baca](#)
Cc: [Hammer, Robin](#); [Beth Mohr](#); [David Ring](#); [Eric H. Cruz](#); [Joanne Fine](#); [Leonard Waites](#); [Scott S. Wilson](#); [Susanne Brown](#); [Contreras, Michelle](#)
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 6:26:58 PM

Um.....I've always found designing and appropriating business cards to be a _very_ complicated issue. So, yes, at least I'm glad the conversation is at least open now.

It's critical that we present ourselves, just as with any type of "branding," as who we are (which always also says who we are _not_) and, even more importantly, as what are our implied promises to the communities/constituents. And, business cards, are actually, by definition, a question of affiliation.

But, perhaps I see it that way because I've designed several "brands" for myself along the way, and helped many organizations come to terms with what they really want their organization to present to the public. Of course it's not a big deal when one simply asks a larger organization to order some already-made cards with their own name on them. But, you can be sure that it was a very big deal when _that_ organization went about finding a way to "speak" their mission graphically! If you've ever been on a logo-team or mission-statement review, you know the complications of that process only too well! One of the most difficult things new organizations (and "revisioning" organizations) ever do as a group.

Anyway, perhaps this is why I didn't get any response when I first brought it up to the committee members only -- that it just isn't a complicated issue -- so it didn't even make any sense to even continue the conversation?

But, as Beth perhaps more eloquently puts it, the question of whether or not we present ourselves to the public as formally affiliated with the City of Albuquerque "stamp" is a critical question to me. I guess I didn't even think of this as a controversial point, or I would have done more 'splaining before I simply asked what we should do about it.

The bottom line for me is that it doesn't seem right to present ourselves as an arm of the City government on this board. So it seems we have three choices if we do want a means by which to identify ourselves as members of this Board: Find someone to create a card (and perhaps, letterhead; that's seemed more and more to me like a distinct need), create something ourselves (which is why I first directed my question to Outreach), or just print simple "calling card"-type cards (more on that if anyone's interested, later).

It's either one of these, for me, or nothing. I don't think the City card is right -- but we'd have to come to an agreement on what it _should be_, then, if we can agree what it _shouldn't be_. [Also, I'd prefer NOT to give out my personal business/business card when I'm acting in my capacity as a POB member; though I'm sure good arguments could be made for that either way.]

Maira

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 5:16 PM, Jeannette Baca <jvbaca.pob@gmail.com> wrote:
I don't think printing business cards is a complicated issue, nor an affiliation issue.

If you choose not to have them printed, simply say so. Personally, I rather use POB cards when I address POB business and not use my business cards which I use for my business. Just sayin'
Jeannette

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 4:44 PM, Moira Amado-McCoy
<amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com> wrote:

I don't think I've ever seen a response to my previous inquiry (anyone?) -- Please let me know what folks think about how/whether/if/why we can have "City of Albuquerque"-type business cards, if the primary defining feature of this new Board (in many ways) is its _lack of affiliation_ with the City.

I am very much _for_ having business cards to use, particularly, for community outreach efforts; but I think we do need to address this affiliation issue. Would appreciate a response from any quarter, and will not be able to respond to the CPOA without discussion and/or advice on this one.

Moira

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 8:54 AM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

POB Members:

Please let me know if you would like to have printed City business cards listing your POB contact information. If you do, I would need your preferred email and phone number. If you wish, instead of using your personal number, we could print the main phone line at the office. You could then hand write your personal number on a case-by-case basis. We will print the CPOA's mailing address and physical address.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Scott Wilson
To: Molra Amado-McCoy; Jeannette Baca
Cc: Hammer, Robin; Beth A. Mohr; David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Joanne Fine; Leonard Waites; Susanne Brown; Contreras, Michelle
Subject: RE: POB Member Business Cards
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 10:33:51 AM

Just my two cents... if and when business cards become a good idea, I think we should have our own "brand" and nothing to do with the city. That being said, I am in complete agreement with Dave that until our mission becomes more clear, cards would be premature at this point for me as well.

J. Scott Wilson

"When everything seems to be lacking in integrity, find it in yourself.
Change the world from where you're standing..."

Date: Mon, 11 May 2015 18:26:56 -0600
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards
From: amadomccoy.pob@gmail.com
To: jvbaca.pob@gmail.com
CC: rhammer@cabq.gov; cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com; dzt3@juno.com;
cabq.pob.cruz@gmail.com; joannefine413@gmail.com; phamason.lw@gmail.com;
jswilsonpob@outlook.com; susanne.brown37@yahoo.com; mcontreras@cabq.gov

Um.....I've always found designing and appropriating business cards to be a _very_ complicated issue. So, yes, at least I'm glad the conversation is at least open now.

It's critical that we present ourselves, just as with any type of "branding," as who we are (which always also says who we are _not_) and, even more importantly, as what are our implied promises to the communities/constituents. And, business cards, are actually, by definition, a question of affiliation.

But, perhaps I see it that way because I've designed several "brands" for myself along the way, and helped many organizations come to terms with what they really want their organization to present to the public. Of course it's not a big deal when one simply asks a larger organization to order some already-made cards with their own name on them. But, you can be sure that it was a very big deal when _that_ organization went about finding a way to "speak" their mission graphically! If you've ever been on a logo-team or mission-statement review, you know the complications of that process only too well! One of the most difficult things new organizations (and "revisioning" organizations) ever do as a group.

Anyway, perhaps this is why I didn't get any response when I first brought it up to the committee members only -- that it just isn't a complicated issue -- so it didn't even make any sense to even continue the conversation?

But, as Beth perhaps more eloquently puts it, the question of whether or not we present ourselves to the public as formally affiliated with the City of Albuquerque "stamp" is a critical question to me. I guess I didn't even think of this as a controversial point, or I would have done more 'splaining before I simply asked what we should do about it.

The bottom line for me is that it doesn't seem right to present ourselves as an arm of the City government on this board. So it seems we have three choices if we do want a means by which to identify ourselves as members of this Board: Find someone to create a card (and perhaps, letterhead; that's seemed more and more to me like a distinct need), create something ourselves (which is why I first directed my question to Outreach), or just print simple "calling card"-type cards (more on that if anyone's interested, later).

It's either one of these, for me, or nothing. I don't think the City card is right -- but we'd have to come to an agreement on what it _should be_, then, if we can agree what it _shouldn't be_. [Also, I'd prefer NOT to give out my personal business/business card when I'm acting in my capacity as a POB member; though I'm sure good arguments could be made for that either way.]

Moira

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 5:16 PM, Jeannette Baca <jvbaca.pob@gmail.com> wrote:

I don't think printing business cards is a complicated issue, nor an affiliation issue. If you choose not to have them printed, simply say so. Personally, I rather use POB cards when I address POB business and not use my business cards which I use for my business. Just sayin'

Jeannette

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 4:44 PM, Moira Amado-McCoy <amadomccoy.pob@gmail.com> wrote:

I don't think I've ever seen a response to my previous inquiry (anyone?) -- Please let me know what folks think about how/whether/if/why we can have "City of Albuquerque"-type business cards, if the primary defining feature of this new Board (in many ways) is its _lack of affiliation_ with the City.

I am very much _for_ having business cards to use, particularly, for community outreach efforts; but I think we do need to address this affiliation issue. Would appreciate a response from any quarter, and will not be able to respond to the CPOA without discussion and/or advice on this one.

Moir

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 8:54 AM, Hammer, Robin
<rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

POB Members:

Please let me know if you would like to have printed City business cards listing your POB contact information. If you do, I would need your preferred email and phone number. If you wish, instead of using your personal number, we could print the main phone line at the office. You could then hand write your personal number on a case-by-case basis. We will print the CPOA's mailing address and physical address.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Joanne Fine
To: Maira Amado-McCoy; Jeannette Baca
Cc: Hammer, Robin; Beth Mohr; David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson; Susanne Brown; Contreras, Michelle
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 11:20:03 AM

When the time comes, I offer the fact that I have done many forms of outreach collateral material throughout my career. I can help, if you want. I have no doubt we will need outreach collateral materials. I believe it is a bigger question than business cards. I believe we have consensus, and wisely so, that we need to distinguish ourselves from City structure in order to reflect the intent of the Oversight Ordinance. We can do that easily.
my two cents,
Joanne

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Maira Amado-McCoy <amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com>
Date: 05/11/2015 6:26 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: Jeannette Baca <jvbaca.pob@gmail.com>
Cc: "Hammer, Robin" <rhammer@cabq.gov>, Beth Mohr <cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com>, David Ring <dzt3@juno.com>, "Eric H. Cruz" <cabq.pob.cruz@gmail.com>, Joanne Fine <joannefine413@gmail.com>, Leonard Waites <phamason.lw@gmail.com>, "Scott S. Wilson" <jswilsonpob@outlook.com>, Susanne Brown <susanne.brown37@yahoo.com>, "Contreras, Michelle" <mcontreras@cabq.gov>
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards

Um.....I've always found designing and appropriating business cards to be a _very_ complicated issue. So, yes, at least I'm glad the conversation is at least open now.

It's critical that we present ourselves, just as with any type of "branding," as who we are (which always also says who we are _not_) and, even more importantly, as what are our implied promises to the communities/constituents. And, business cards, are actually, by definition, a question of affiliation.

But, perhaps I see it that way because I've designed several "brands" for myself along the way, and helped many organizations come to terms with what they really want their organization to present to the public. Of course it's not a big deal when one simply asks a larger organization to order some already-made cards with their own name on them. But, you can be sure that it was a very big deal when _that_ organization went about finding a way to "speak" their mission graphically! If you've ever been on a logo-team or mission-statement review, you know the complications of that process only too well! One of the most difficult things new organizations (and "revisioning" organizations) ever do as a group.

Anyway, perhaps this is why I didn't get any response when I first brought it up to

the committee members only -- that it just isn't a complicated issue -- so it didn't even make any sense to even continue the conversation?

But, as Beth perhaps more eloquently puts it, the question of whether or not we present ourselves to the public as formally affiliated with the City of Albuquerque "stamp" is a critical question to me. I guess I didn't even think of this as a controversial point, or I would have done more 'splaining before I simply asked what we should do about it.

The bottom line for me is that it doesn't seem right to present ourselves as an arm of the City government on this board. So it seems we have three choices if we do want a means by which to identify ourselves as members of this Board: Find someone to create a card (and perhaps, letterhead; that's seemed more and more to me like a distinct need), create something ourselves (which is why I first directed my question to Outreach), or just print simple "calling card"-type cards (more on that if anyone's interested, later).

It's either one of these, for me, or nothing. I don't think the City card is right -- but we'd have to come to an agreement on what it should be, then, if we can agree what it shouldn't be. [Also, I'd prefer NOT to give out my personal business/business card when I'm acting in my capacity as a POB member; though I'm sure good arguments could be made for that either way.]

Moir

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 5:16 PM, Jeannette Baca <jvbaca.pob@gmail.com> wrote:
I don't think printing business cards is a complicated issue, nor an affiliation issue. If you choose not to have them printed, simply say so. Personally, I rather use POB cards when I address POB business and not use my business cards which I use for my business. Just sayin'
Jeannette

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 4:44 PM, Moira Amado-McCoy <amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com> wrote:
I don't think I've ever seen a response to my previous inquiry (anyone?) -- Please let me know what folks think about how/whether/if/why we can have "City of Albuquerque"-type business cards, if the primary defining feature of this new Board (in many ways) is its lack of affiliation with the City.

I am very much for having business cards to use, particularly, for community outreach efforts; but I think we do need to address this affiliation issue. Would appreciate a response from any quarter, and will not be able to respond to the CPOA without discussion and/or advice on this one.

Moir

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 8:54 AM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

POB Members:

Please let me know if you would like to have printed City business cards listing your POB contact information. If you do, I would need your preferred email and phone number. If you wish, instead of using your personal number, we could print the main phone line at the office. You could then hand write your personal number on a case-by-case basis. We will print the CPOA's mailing address and physical address.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Jeannette Baca
To: Joanne Fine
Cc: Maira Amado-McCoy; Hammer, Robin; Beth Mohr; David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson; Susanne Brown; Contreras, Michelle
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 1:30:29 PM

Yikes! All I wanted was a card with my contact information to hand out when I represent POB so I won't have to use my personal business card.

I don't necessarily disagree with the conversation, so let me see if I understand. First of all, we are affiliated with the CABQ, [but we are independent]. I'm very proud to represent Albuquerque and consider my role to work with the city to make it a better place for all citizens. Yes, we are independent, but are still finding our way, so once we decide what our "brand" is, we would like to design a card that represents who we are and what we do. Is that right? Yes, marketing and visual representation of an organization [Board] is not foreign to me, I totally agree; at the risk of sounding like an ad for Vista Print, imaging and branding expresses to the world who you/we are and what you/we represent. (In reality, I think perception is relative). Anyway...

In reference to my earlier point, Thursday I'll be attending the Citizens CIT; it would have been nice to have POB cards to hand out. As we leave CPA, it would be nice to share my contact information with the some of the nice folks I have met and who have expressed an interest in POB matters. Rather than write down my contact information on a piece of paper, or hand out my personal business card, I would prefer to provide a POB business card. In fact, when I met with my Neighborhood Association, I was asked if I had a card. See what I mean?

Which brings me to my final question(s), my contact information has been provided to CPOA for printing a POB business card. Should I cancel the order? Is there a middle ground for those who wish to have the [temporary] POB business card until [official] one's can be designed and printed? I try to be a team player...there's no "I" in team:) See you this evening.

Warm regards,
Jeannette

On Tue, May 12, 2015 at 11:19 AM, Joanne Fine <joannefine413@gmail.com> wrote:

When the time comes, I offer the fact that I have done many forms of outreach collateral material throughout my career. I can help, if you want.

I have no doubt we will need outreach collateral materials. I believe it is a bigger question than business cards.

I believe we have consensus, and wisely so, that we need to distinguish ourselves from City structure in order to reflect the intent of the Oversight Ordinance. We can do that easily.

my two cents,

Joanne

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Moira Amado-McCoy <amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com>

Date: 05/11/2015 6:26 PM (GMT-07:00)

To: Jeannette Baca <jvbaca.pob@gmail.com>

Cc: "Hammer, Robin" <rhammer@cabq.gov>, Beth Mohr <cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com>, David Ring <dzt3@juno.com>, "Eric H. Cruz" <cabq.pob.cruz@gmail.com>, Joanne Fine <joannefine413@gmail.com>, Leonard Waites <phamason.lw@gmail.com>, "Scott S. Wilson" <jswilsonpob@outlook.com>, Susanne Brown <susanne.brown37@yahoo.com>, "Contreras, Michelle" <mcontreras@cabq.gov>
Subject: Re: POB Member Business Cards

Um.....I've always found designing and appropriating business cards to be a very complicated issue. So, yes, at least I'm glad the conversation is at least open now.

It's critical that we present ourselves, just as with any type of "branding," as who we are (which always also says who we are not) and, even more importantly, as what are our implied promises to the communities/constituents. And, business cards, are actually, by definition, a question of affiliation.

But, perhaps I see it that way because I've designed several "brands" for myself along the way, and helped many organizations come to terms with what they really want their organization to present to the public. Of course it's not a big deal when one simply asks a larger organization to order some already-made cards with their own name on them. But, you can be sure that it was a very big deal when that organization went about finding a way to "speak" their mission graphically! If you've ever been on a logo-team or mission-statement review, you know the complications of that process only too well! One of the most difficult things new organizations (and "revisioning" organizations) ever do as a group.

Anyway, perhaps this is why I didn't get any response when I first brought it up to the committee members only -- that it just isn't a complicated issue -- so it didn't even make any sense to even continue the conversation?

But, as Beth perhaps more eloquently puts it, the question of whether or not we present ourselves to the public as formally affiliated with the City of Albuquerque "stamp" is a critical question to me. I guess I didn't even think of this as a controversial point, or I would have done more 'splaining before I simply asked what we should do about it.

The bottom line for me is that it doesn't seem right to present ourselves as an arm of the City government on this board. So it seems we have three choices if we do want a means by which to identify ourselves as members of this Board: Find someone to create a card (and perhaps, letterhead; that's seemed more and more to me like a distinct need), create something ourselves (which is why I first directed my question to Outreach), or just print simple "calling card"-type cards (more on that if anyone's interested, later).

It's either one of these, for me, or nothing. I don't think the City card is right -- but we'd have to come to an agreement on what it should be, then, if we can agree what it shouldn't be. [Also, I'd prefer NOT to give out my personal business/business card when I'm acting in my capacity as a POB member; though I'm sure good arguments could be made for that either way.]

Moira

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 5:16 PM, Jeannette Baca <jvbaca.pob@gmail.com> wrote:

I don't think printing business cards is a complicated issue, nor an affiliation issue. If you choose not to have them printed, simply say so. Personally, I rather use POB cards when I address POB business and not use my business cards which I use for my business. Just sayin'
Jeannette

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 4:44 PM, Moira Amado-McCoy <amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com> wrote:

I don't think I've ever seen a response to my previous inquiry (anyone?) -- Please let me know what folks think about how/whether/if/why we can have "City of Albuquerque"-type business cards, if the primary defining feature of this new Board (in many ways) is its lack of affiliation with the City.

I am very much for having business cards to use, particularly, for community outreach efforts; but I think we do need to address this affiliation issue. Would appreciate a response from any quarter, and will not be able to respond to the CPOA without discussion and/or advice on this one.

Moira

On Mon, May 11, 2015 at 8:54 AM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

POB Members:

Please let me know if you would like to have printed City business cards listing your POB contact information. If you do, I would need your preferred email and phone number. If you wish, instead of using your personal number, we could print the main phone line at the office. You could then hand write your personal number on a case-by-case basis. We will print the CPOA's mailing address and physical address.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Hammer, Robin
To: David Ring; Jeannette V. Baca; Leonard Waites
Subject: FW: Distribution of CPOA brochures and posters
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 1:41:52 PM
Attachments: DOJ Distribution.xlsx

Outreach Committee Members:

Below is the information about distribution of our brochures and posters and CPOA complaint forms.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Gallegos, John C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 7:53 AM
To: Hammer, Robin
Cc: Gonzalez, Arturo E.; Garcia, Jennifer
Subject: RE: Distribution of CPOA brochures and posters

Mam,

My understanding of distribution, as of yesterday, is that we are going to provide the posters, brochures, etc., to Gabriel Cruz, a member of Dir. Slauson's staff for distribution after I pick up the items from the printer. Yesterday I hand delivered all the items to be printed to ABQGrafiX and made the initial order of:

- 60 posters 11X17 to be laminated
- 1 poster 11X17 to be framed and used as a placard
- 3000 English CPC forms
- 1500 Spanish CPC forms
- 3000 English Brochures
- 1500 Spanish Brochures

I have attached a spreadsheet of all distribution points that satisfy the DOJ requirements. According to the printer, I should expect the CPC forms and posters this week. The brochures will be early next week. The brochures are delayed because the printer's staff needs to fold them before I can pick them up.

John

From: Hammer, Robin
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 3:31 PM
To: Gallegos, John C.
Cc: Gonzalez, Arturo E.
Subject: Distribution of CPOA brochures and posters

John,

Could you fill me in on the game plan for distribution, aside from APD Web, for the CPOA informational materials? I would like to pass this information along to the POB.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.
Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

Type	Name	Address
Library	Alamosa	6900 Gonzales SW
Library	Central & Unser	8081 Central NW
Library	Cherry Hills	6901 Barstow
Library	East Mountain	1 Old Tijeras Rd. Tijeras NM
Library	Erna Furgusson	3700 San Mateo
Library	Ernie Pyle	900 Girard
Library	Juan Tabo	3407 Juan Tabo NE
Library	Los Griegos	1000 Griegos NW
Library	Main & Genealogy	501 Copper NW
Library	North Valley	7704 2nd Street NW
Library	San Pedro	5600 Trumbull SE
Library	South Broadway	1025 Broadway SE
Library	Special Collections	423 Central NE
Library	Taylor Ranch	5700 Bogart NW
Library	Tony Hillerman	8205 Apache NE
Library	Westgate	1300 Delgado SW
Library	Lomas Tramway	908 Eastridge NE
Community Center	Westgate	1400 Snow Vista SW
Community Center	Alamosa	6900 Gonzales SW
Community Center	West Mesa	5500 Glenrio NW
Community Center	Pat Hurley	3928 Rincon NW
Community Center	Los Duranes	2920 Leopodo NW
Community Center	Valle Del Norte	1812 Candelaria NW
Community Center	Don Newton	4900 Kachina NW
Community Center	Wells Park	500 Mountain NW
Community Center	Barelas	801 Barelas SW
Community Center	Herman Sanchez	1830 William SE
Community Center	Dennis Chavez	715 Kathryn SE
Community Center	Jack Candelaria	400 San Jose SE
Community Center	Thomas Bell	3001 University SE
Community Center	Loma Linda	1700 Yale SE
Community Center	Heights Community Center	823 Buena Vista SE
Community Center	Whittier	1100 Quincy SE
Community Center	Cesar Chavez	7505 Kathryn SE
Community Center	Mesa Verde	7900 Marquette NE
Community Center	Singing Arrow	13001 Singing Arrow SE
Community Center	Jeanne Bellamah	11516 Summer NE
Community Center	Snow Park	6501 Indian School NE
Community Center	Holiday Park	11710 Commanche NE
Community Center	Mckinley	3401 Monroe NE
Police Stations	Main	401 Roma NW
Police Stations	Foothills	12800 Lomas NE
Police Stations	Northeast	8201 Osuna NE
Police Stations	Southeast	800 Louisiana SE
Police Stations	Valley	5408 2nd St. NW

Police Stations	Southwest	6404 Los Volcanes NW
Police Stations	Northwest	10401 Cibola Loop NW
Police Stations	Academy/Recruiting	5412 2nd St. NW
Police Stations	James Dwyer	12700 Montgomery NE
Police Stations	Coronado	6600 Menaul
Police Stations	Triangle Substation	2901 Central NE
Police Stations	Old Town	2060 Central SW
Police Stations	NW Mini Sub	1111 Lomas NW
Police Stations	SE Mini Sub	1801 San Pedro NE
Police Stations	South Broadway	1501 Broadway SE
Police Stations	Traffic Sub	7520 Corona NE

From: Beth Mohr
To: Hammer, Robin
Cc: cabq.pob.cruz@gmail.com; Joanne Fine; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites; Scott Wilson, POB; susanne.brown37@gmail.com; jvaca.pob@gmail.com; dzt3
Subject: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 5:05:56 PM

Ms. Hammer - I completed my first ride-along over the weekend. I also learned that the APD personnel arranging the ride-alongs were specifically told by you that POB members were never allowed to ride-along with any officer who had been involved in a shooting.

The decision about who POB members should or should not ride-along with was not your decision to unilaterally make, Ms. Hammer. You should have consulted the POB.

I suspect that you didn't want a POB member riding along with an officer when we might have to later make a decision about that officer's situation. On the surface, that makes some sense. However, under that logic, we should never ride along with any officers, because we might have to eventually make a decision about that officer, whether on a CPC or shooting case, sometime in the future.

The members of this Board are all thoughtful, reasonable adults who are perfectly capable of recognizing if their objectivity has been compromised on any case. I have every confidence that if a POB member knew an officer as a friend, rode along with them, or heard details about an incident during a ride-along, or for any reason felt that they might be other than completely neutral, that the Board member would recuse themselves from any vote, as appropriate.

It is disappointing that instead of trusting the Board members to do the right thing, or even starting a discussion about this topic, you unilaterally decided to send a message to the APD that the POB wants nothing to do with any officers who have been involved in a shooting. And that is exactly how at least some officers have taken it - that we want nothing to do with them if they've had a shooting ever in their careers. Sadly, your actions have served to widened the communication gap between the officers of the APD and the Board; a situation which you seem determined to maintain. The job of the Director is to break down barriers to communication, not to create them.

You will notify those making ride-along arrangements that they are free to place POB members with any member of the APD who is willing to take a Board member on a ride-along, regardless of that person's history. Board members, I have every confidence in each of you that if you hear some detail about a shooting or CPC, or feel that you cannot be neutral about any issue because of a ride-along, or for any other reason, you will, of course, abstain from that particular vote.

Thank-you. -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records

M003444

Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Joanne Fine
To: Beth Mohr; Hammer, Robin
Cc: cabq.pob.cruz@gmail.com; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites; Scott Wilson, POB; susanne.brown37@gmail.com; jvbaca.pob@gmail.com; dzt3
Subject: RE: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?
Date: Monday, May 11, 2015 10:00:08 PM

Just wanted to chime in with my complete agreement, Beth.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Beth Mohr <cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com>
Date: 05/11/2015 5:05 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: "Hammer, Robin" <rhammer@cabq.gov>
Cc: cabq.pob.cruz@gmail.com, Joanne Fine <joannefine413@gmail.com>, Moira Amado-McCoy <amadomccoy.pob@gmail.com>, Leonard Waites <phamason.lw@gmail.com>, "Scott Wilson, POB" <jswilsonpob@outlook.com>, susanne.brown37@gmail.com, jvbaca.pob@gmail.com, dzt3 <dzt3@juno.com>
Subject: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?

Ms. Hammer - I completed my first ride-along over the weekend. I also learned that the APD personnel arranging the ride-alongs were specifically told by you that POB members were never allowed to ride-along with any officer who had been involved in a shooting.

The decision about who POB members should or should not ride-along with was not your decision to unilaterally make, Ms. Hammer. You should have consulted the POB.

I suspect that you didn't want a POB member riding along with an officer when we might have to later make a decision about that officer's situation. On the surface, that makes some sense. However, under that logic, we should never ride along with any officers, because we might have to eventually make a decision about that officer, whether on a CPC or shooting case, sometime in the future.

The members of this Board are all thoughtful, reasonable adults who are perfectly capable of recognizing if their objectivity has been compromised on any case. I have every confidence that if a POB member knew an officer as a friend, rode along with them, or heard details about an incident during a ride-along, or for any reason felt that they might be other than completely neutral, that the Board member would recuse themselves from any vote, as appropriate.

It is disappointing that instead of trusting the Board members to do the right thing, or even starting a discussion about this topic, you unilaterally decided to send a message to the APD that the POB wants nothing to do with any officers who have been involved in a shooting. And that is exactly how at least some officers have taken it - that we want nothing to do with them if they've had a shooting ever in their careers. Sadly, your actions have served to widened the communication gap between the officers of the APD and the Board; a situation which you seem

determined to maintain. The job of the Director is to break down barriers to communication, not to create them.

You will notify those making ride-along arrangements that they are free to place POB members with any member of the APD who is willing to take a Board member on a ride-along, regardless of that person's history. Board members, I have every confidence in each of you that if you hear some detail about a shooting or CPC, or feel that you cannot be neutral about any issue because of a ride-along, or for any other reason, you will, of course, abstain from that particular vote.

Thank-you. -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Beth Mohr
Cc: Eric H. Cruz; Joanne Fine; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson;
"susanne.brown37@gmail.com"; Jeannette V. Baca; David Ring
Subject: RE: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 9:30:40 AM

Beth,

I provided a list to APD of cases pending before the POB of officer-involved-shooting (OIS) cases. It was a decision made by APD and myself that it would not be appropriate for any officer who has a pending OIS case before the POB to ride-along with a POB member prior to their OIS being heard. In the recent past, the POC had a member who rode along with an officer who had a pending OIS and that POC member was forced to recuse from the full-review of the case because the officer discussed his involvement in the case in detail prior to the POC hearing the case.

If it is the decision of the Board to ride along that the POB Member should ride along with any officer, including those whose OIS case will be heard by this Board, I will inform APD.

Regards,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Beth Mohr [mailto:cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 5:06 PM
To: Hammer, Robin
Cc: Eric H. Cruz; Joanne Fine; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson;
susanne.brown37@gmail.com; Jeannette V. Baca; David Ring
Subject: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?

Ms. Hammer - I completed my first ride-along over the weekend. I also learned that the APD personnel arranging the ride-alongs were specifically told by you that POB members were never allowed to ride-along with any officer who had been involved in a shooting.

The decision about who POB members should or should not ride-along with was not your decision to unilaterally make, Ms. Hammer. You should have consulted the POB.

I suspect that you didn't want a POB member riding along with an officer when we might have to later make a decision about that officer's situation. On the surface, that makes some sense. However, under that logic, we should never ride along with any officers, because we

might have to eventually make a decision about that officer, whether on a CPC or shooting case, sometime in the future.

The members of this Board are all thoughtful, reasonable adults who are perfectly capable of recognizing if their objectivity has been compromised on any case. I have every confidence that if a POB member knew an officer as a friend, rode along with them, or heard details about an incident during a ride-along, or for any reason felt that they might be other than completely neutral, that the Board member would recuse themselves from any vote, as appropriate.

It is disappointing that instead of trusting the Board members to do the right thing, or even starting a discussion about this topic, you unilaterally decided to send a message to the APD that the POB wants nothing to do with any officers who have been involved in a shooting. And that is exactly how at least some officers have taken it - that we want nothing to do with them if they've had a shooting ever in their careers. Sadly, your actions have served to widened the communication gap between the officers of the APD and the Board; a situation which you seem determined to maintain. The job of the Director is to break down barriers to communication, not to create them.

You will notify those making ride-along arrangements that they are free to place POB members with any member of the APD who is willing to take a Board member on a ride-along, regardless of that person's history. Board members, I have every confidence in each of you that if you hear some detail about a shooting or CPC, or feel that you cannot be neutral about any issue because of a ride-along, or for any other reason, you will, of course, abstain from that particular vote.

Thank-you. -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Beth A. Mohr
To: Robin S. Hammer, Esq.
Cc: Eric H. Cruz; Joanne Fine; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson;
"susanne.brown37@gmail.com"; Jeannette V. Baca; David Ring
Subject: Re: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 10:06:53 AM

It is entirely inappropriate for you and the APD to make decisions concerning the POB without our knowledge or permission.

I will add this item to the Agenda for May 19th and the Board can decide how we wish to handle this for ourselves.

Please disclose all other decisions you and APD have made on behalf of the POB. That way we can address them if appropriate.

Thanks, -B

Beth A. Mohr
Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing what you said couldn't be done. ~Amelia Earhart

Sent with haste from my "smart" phone.

Notice: This email may be subject to disclosure as public record. Please be thoughtful when forwarding or replying to this email.

"Hammer, Robin" <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

Beth,

I provided a list to APD of cases pending before the POB of officer-involved-shooting (OIS) cases. It was a decision made by APD and myself that it would not be appropriate for any officer who has a pending OIS case before the POB to ride-along with a POB member prior to their OIS being heard. In the recent past, the POC had a member who rode along with an officer who had a pending OIS and that POC member was forced to recuse from the full-review of the case because the officer discussed his involvement in the case in detail prior to the POC hearing the case.

If it is the decision of the Board to ride along that the POB Member should ride along with any officer, including those whose OIS case will be heard by this Board, I will inform APD.

Regards,

M003450

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Beth Mohr [mailto:cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 5:06 PM

To: Hammer, Robin

Cc: Eric H. Cruz; Joanne Fine; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson; susanne.brown37@gmail.com; Jeannette V. Baca; David Ring

Subject: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?

Ms. Hammer - I completed my first ride-along over the weekend. I also learned that the APD personnel arranging the ride-alongs were specifically told by you that POB members were never allowed to ride-along with any officer who had been involved in a shooting.

The decision about who POB members should or should not ride-along with was not your decision to unilaterally make, Ms. Hammer. You should have consulted the POB.

I suspect that you didn't want a POB member riding along with an officer when we might have to later make a decision about that officer's situation. On the surface, that makes some sense. However, under that logic, we should never ride along with any officers, because we might have to eventually make a decision about that officer, whether on a CPC or shooting case, sometime in the future.

The members of this Board are all thoughtful, reasonable adults who are perfectly capable of recognizing if their objectivity has been compromised on any case. I

have every confidence that if a POB member knew an officer as a friend, rode along with them, or heard details about an incident during a ride-along, or for any reason felt that they might be other than completely neutral, that the Board member would recuse themselves from any vote, as appropriate.

It is disappointing that instead of trusting the Board members to do the right thing, or even starting a discussion about this topic, you unilaterally decided to send a message to the APD that the POB wants nothing to do with any officers who have been involved in a shooting. And that is exactly how at least some officers have taken it - that we want nothing to do with them if they've had a shooting ever in their careers. Sadly, your actions have served to widened the communication gap between the officers of the APD and the Board; a situation which you seem determined to maintain. The job of the Director is to break down barriers to communication, not to create them.

You will notify those making ride-along arrangements that they are free to place POB members with any member of the APD who is willing to take a Board member on a ride-along, regardless of that person's history. Board members, I have every confidence in each of you that if you hear some detail about a shooting or CPC, or feel that you cannot be neutral about any issue because of a ride-along, or for any other reason, you will, of course, abstain from that particular vote.

Thank-you. -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: [Jeannette Baca](#)
To: [Beth A. Mohr](#)
Cc: [Robin S. Hammer, Esq.](#); [Eric H. Cruz](#); [Joanne Fine](#); [Molra Amado-McCoy](#); [Leonard Waites](#); [Scott S. Wilson](#); [susanne.brown37@gmail.com](#); [David Ring](#)
Subject: Re: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 2:05:27 PM

On Tuesday, May 12, 2015, Beth A. Mohr <cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com> wrote:
It is entirely inappropriate for you and the APD to make decisions concerning the POB without our knowledge or permission.

I will add this item to the Agenda for May 19th and the Board can decide how we wish to handle this for ourselves.

Please disclose all other decisions you and APD have made on behalf of the POB. That way we can address them if appropriate.

Thanks, -B

Beth A. Mohr
Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing what you said couldn't be done. ~Amelia Earhart

Sent with haste from my "smart" phone.

Notice: This email may be subject to disclosure as public record. Please be thoughtful when forwarding or replying to this email.

"Hammer, Robin" <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

Beth,

I provided a list to APD of cases pending before the POB of officer-involved-shooting (OIS) cases. It was a decision made by APD and myself that it would not be appropriate for any officer who has a pending OIS case before the POB to ride-along with a POB member prior to their OIS being heard. In the recent past, the POC had a member who rode along with an officer who had a pending OIS and that POC member was forced to recuse from the full-review of the case because the officer discussed his involvement in the case in detail prior to the POC hearing the case.

If it is the decision of the Board to ride along that the POB Member should ride along with any officer, including those whose OIS case will be heard by this Board, I will inform APD.

Regards,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Beth Mohr [mailto:cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2015 5:06 PM

To: Hammer, Robin

Cc: Eric H. Cruz; Joanne Fine; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites; Scott S. Wilson; susanne.brown37@gmail.com; Jeannette V. Baca; David Ring

Subject: POB cannot ride-along with an officer involved in a shooting?

Ms. Hammer - I completed my first ride-along over the weekend. I also learned that the APD personnel arranging the ride-alongs were specifically told by you that POB members were never allowed to ride-along with any officer who had been involved in a shooting.

The decision about who POB members should or should not ride-along with was not your decision to unilaterally make, Ms. Hammer. You should have consulted the POB.

I suspect that you didn't want a POB member riding along with an officer when we might have to later make a decision about that officer's situation. On the surface, that makes some sense. However, under that logic, we should never ride along with any officers, because we might have to eventually make a decision about that officer, whether on a CPC or shooting case, sometime in the future.

The members of this Board are all thoughtful, reasonable adults who are perfectly capable of recognizing if their objectivity has been compromised on any case. I have every confidence that if a POB member knew an officer as a friend, rode along with them, or heard details about an incident during a ride-along, or for any reason felt that they might be other than completely neutral, that the Board member would recuse themselves from any vote, as appropriate.

It is disappointing that instead of trusting the Board members to do the right thing, or even starting a discussion about this topic, you unilaterally decided to send a message to the APD that the POB wants nothing to do with any officers who have been involved in a shooting. And that is exactly how at least some officers have taken it - that we want nothing to do with them if they've had a shooting ever in their careers. Sadly, your actions have served to widened the communication gap between the officers of the APD and the Board; a situation which you seem determined to maintain. The job of the Director is to break down barriers to communication, not to create them.

You will notify those making ride-along arrangements that they are free to place POB members with any member of the APD who is willing to take a Board member on a ride-along, regardless of that person's history. Board members, I have every confidence in each of you that if you hear some detail about a shooting or CPC, or feel that you cannot be neutral about any issue because of a ride-along, or for any other reason, you will, of course, abstain from that particular vote.

Thank-you. -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Davila, Natalee Z; Funes, Ana R.; Garcia, Jennifer; Gonzalez, Arturo E.; Bullock, Nicholas; Cash, Paul; Contreras, Michelle; Davidson, Christopher; Hammer, Robin; McDermott, Diane L.; O'Neil, Erin; Skotchdopole, Paul A.; Beth Mohr; David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Jeannette V. Baca; Joanne Fine; Leonard Waltes; Moira Amado-McCoy; Scott S. Wilson; Susanne Brown
Subject: Materials for Tuesday, May 19 POB Meeting
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 2:30:29 PM

POB Members:

Here is a link to the DropBox containing CPC cases which were reviewed by the Case Review Subcommittee and are ready for POB Approval.

<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/zi1buvoabonf7db/AADINifL7odRrXETQtV0a3r0a?dl=0>

Here is a link to the Outreach Subcommittee's materials, which the Outreach Subcommittee Chair will discuss during her Subcommittee report.

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/6kfmmkfw37w6r39/AADK-g1nTVH0krckKo080_zr7a?dl=0

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3774
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: [Beth Mohr](#)
To: [Hammer, Robin](#); [pob](#)
Subject: CPOA Director Job Description and Posting - for May 19th Meeting
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 3:00:24 PM
Attachments: [Draft CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY DIRECTOR.docx](#)
[DRAFT CPOA Director Posting Online v4.docx](#)

All: Please find the enclosed drafts of the CPOA Director job posting and position description. The posting is the advertisement that will be circulated nation-wide and the job description will be what we will use to select applicants, as well as the standard by which we will evaluate the Director's job performance.

Please read this carefully and consider what the POB wants in our permanent Director. The format belongs to the City of Albuquerque, and we need to maintain that, along with some language about physical abilities, and the danger of computer radiation and such.

The job description itself is ours to craft. The Personnel Subcommittee has worked hard on creating these descriptions, but these are just drafts and are subject to revision according to the will of the Board. These will be on the Agenda for the Board in our May 19th meeting for discussion, mark-up, and final acceptance during that meeting. The position will then immediately be advertised by City HR, as well as to the NM Bar and Nation-wide.

While we are accepting applications, which should be open for somewhere in the neighborhood of 60 days from our approval and the posting, the Personnel Committee will continue working on finalizing the other aspects of the hiring process.

Just to review, the full Board will continue to be involved as we accept applications, with the help of City HR, and we will narrow our field through the process (which is yet to be determined) and finally, the full Board will interview the applicants on live-TV and select three candidates in ranked order to recommend to City Council. Council will confirm one of the applicants, presumably but not necessarily our first choice, who will become the Director for a contract period of 3 years, subject to the supervision of, and annual evaluations by, the POB.

Please do not send me your mark-ups at this time, nor initiate discussion via email or in person, but save your comments and mark-ups for our discussion and finalization for the public meeting on May 19th.

Thanks, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY DIRECTOR

Job descriptions are intended to present a general list of tasks/duties performed by employees within this job classification. Job Descriptions are not intended to reflect all duties performed within the job.

POSITION SUMMARY:

Under the direction and supervision of the Police Oversight Board (POB), the Director supervises and directs the operations of the Civilian Police Oversight Agency (CPOA). The Director oversees, monitors, and reviews all citizen police complaints, serious uses of force including officer-involved shooting cases, and claims directed against officers and employees of the Albuquerque Police Department (APD). The Director will act as lead investigator and supervise the investigative and administrative staff of the CPOA; as well as assigning citizen police complaints to CPOA staff for investigation. The Director will provide recommendations and advice regarding Departmental policies and procedures to the POB; the Director will also provide advice, as appropriate, to the APD, the City Council, and the Mayor. The Director is responsible for the execution of the CPOA staff's duties in line with policy, guidance, and city ordinance.

SUPERVISION RECEIVED AND EXERCISED:

Receives direction from, and reports directly to the POB.

Exercises direct supervision over the investigative and administrative staff of the Civilian Police Oversight Agency, and manages the agency, in accordance with the Police Oversight Ordinance; is primarily responsible for all investigations and work product of the CPOA Agency and Agency staff.

ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS:

Essential functions may include, but are not limited to the following:

1. Oversees, reviews, and investigates all citizen police complaints against the officers and employees of the APD and delegates work as necessary to CPOA staff.
2. Analyzes citizen police complaints and assigns complaints for investigation by CPOA staff in accordance with policy, guidance, and city ordinance.
3. Monitors and conducts supervisory oversight of all of the investigations above, makes thorough and objective findings or disposition for each complaint, and reports these findings or other disposition of these citizen police complaints to the POB.
4. May refer cases to impartial mediation or other alternative dispute resolution as appropriate.
5. Provides recommendations and advises the POB, APD, the City Council, and the Mayor in police department policies and procedures, as appropriate.
6. Monitors all claims of excessive force and lawsuits against the APD, acting as an ex-officio member of the Claims Review Board.
7. Reviews and reports on the investigations on all officer involved shootings to the POB as well as to the Chief of Police and other appropriate parties. Is available for response to officer involved shootings, or other incidents as appropriate.
8. Compiles data for the analysis of applicable areas of concern within citizen complaints. Coordinates with CPOA staff to provide recommendations, analysis, and comment to the POB from collected data.
9. Collects and compiles information so as to satisfy all reporting requirements under the Oversight Ordinance for the POB.
10. Participates in budget preparation, attends related meetings, monitors expenditures, recommends budgetary adjustments, and makes quarterly budget reports to the POB.

11. Plays an active role in providing pro-active outreach to the community regarding police oversight. Responsible for the development of an outreach plan with the goals to educate the public and publicize the citizen complaint process.
12. Develops recommendations to the POB regarding the APD on specific training, changes in policy, or standard operating procedures (SOPs).
13. Provides staff support and technical assistance to the POB. Ensures the appropriate support for the POB, its subcommittees, and meetings.
14. Oversees the creation and maintenance of a public website for the posting of POB-related material.
15. Works collaboratively with the POB, the APD, the community and other stakeholders in order to facilitate communication between the POB, APD, the community and other groups.
16. Promote a spirit of accountability and communication between citizens and the APD, while working to improve community-police relations.

SUPPLEMENTAL FUNCTIONS:

1. Attends and participates in professional group meetings related to police oversight; stays abreast of new trends and innovations in the field of police oversight, police professionalism and police-community relations.
2. Performs other related duties and responsibilities as required or requested by the POB.

MINIMUM EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE REQUIREMENTS:

Whether an applicant is qualified for the position of Director shall be determined by the POB. However, the minimal qualifications shall include the following:

1. Must possess a professional law degree (J.D. or LL.B) from an ABA accredited law school.
2. Must be active members in good standing of the bar of any US state or territory, or the District of Columbia.
3. Experienced in criminal investigations.
4. Knowledge of administrative and judicial processes, policies, and procedures.
5. Knowledge of employment contract interpretation.
6. Proven track record of effective management experience.

All applicants must meet all minimum qualifications requirements described in this announcement by the closing date.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS: *(Licenses, Certifications, etc.):*

1. Possession of, or must obtain within ninety (90) days of employment, a valid New Mexico Driver's License.
2. Must undergo and pass a background check.
3. May require working non-traditional hours, including being on-call.

PREFERRED KNOWLEDGE, SKILL AND ABILITY:

Knowledge of:

Interpersonal communication skills

Standard investigative techniques

Pertinent Federal Law, State Statutes, City Ordinances, City and State Traffic Codes, APD SOPs and Albuquerque Police Officers Association contract

Investigative and procedural standards and guidelines that pertain to the CPOA Office
Principles and practices of policy development and implementation
Data collection and data related software
Knowledge of Board dynamics; compliance with NM Open Meetings Act & NM Inspection of Public Records Act

Skill and Ability to:

Develop and administer program goals, objectives, policies and procedures
Plan, organize, direct and coordinate the work of staff
Select, supervise, train and evaluate staff
Analyze and assess Investigative Review program, policies and operational needs and make appropriate adjustments
Establish and maintain effective communication within the Agency, the community and the APD, as well as other stakeholders
Prepare administrative reports; analyze factual trends
Prepare business correspondence and reports
Prepare and make public presentations
Ability to develop collaborative working relationships with a variety of stakeholders and community members
Maintain confidentiality
Communicate clearly and concisely
Explain complex procedural processes and analysis in common language
Perform the essential functions of the job with or without reasonable accommodation
Develop and maintain positive, productive internal and external professional relationships

WORKING CONDITIONS:

Environmental:

Office environment, exposure to computer screens.

Physical:

Essential and marginal functions may require maintaining physical condition necessary for sitting, walking or standing for prolonged periods.

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY DIRECTOR

Under the direction and supervision of the Police Oversight Board (POB), the Director supervises and directs the operations of the Civilian Police Oversight Agency (CPOA). The Director oversees, monitors, and reviews all citizen police complaints, serious uses of force including officer-involved shooting cases, and claims directed against officers and employees of the Albuquerque Police Department (APD). The Director will act as lead investigator and supervise the investigative and administrative staff of the CPOA; as well as assigning citizen police complaints to CPOA staff for investigation. The Director will provide recommendations and advice regarding Departmental policies and procedures to the POB; the Director will also provide advice, as appropriate, to the APD, the City Council, and the Mayor. The Director is responsible for the execution of the CPOA staff's duties in line with policy, guidance, and city ordinance. This position is open until filled. For more information or to apply for this position, please go to our website at **www.cabq.gov/jobs**.

From: Beth Mohr
To: Hammer, Robin; Scott Wilson, POB; Molra Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites
Subject: CPC's for POB Meeting
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 9:20:25 AM

All: If someone could send me a listing of the CPC's that will be reviewed at the POB meeting, I can add them to the agenda without copying from the download.
Thanks, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Scott Wilson
To: Beth A. Mohr; Hammer, Robin; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites
Subject: RE: CPC's for POB Meeting
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 9:56:26 AM

So here's the email I promised you last night. When the CPC committee met, there was a motion that all 32 of the complaints ready for review by the Board be moved to a consent agenda. During the discussion, I said that I generally agreed with the findings in all of the cases, but encouraged all of the board members to review the cases and feel free to move any of them to the main agenda for review as they saw fit. The motion passed.

It did bring up some discussion regarding the two complaint letters we reviewed at our first meeting. The issues included what else we wanted to say in the letters, which in turn brought up the question as to how to get a consensus from the board as to the language. In addition, there was a question as to how we could review information about the officer's IA record when attempting to formulate disciplinary recommendations (in the second case.)

There also remains the question of the timing involved as to when we review the letters with respect to APD's timeline. (Do we wait for the chief, etc) Finally, there is the question of administratively reviewing potential criminal cases prior to the resolution of the criminal piece.

All of this kind of leads into a development of the rules we are required to produce. It is my argument that these discussions may be too complex for our regular formal meetings, and Leonard and I had a discussion about the possibility of holding additional special meetings, all within the FOG rules of course.

There you go! Hope I remembered everything.

J. Scott Wilson

"When everything around you seems to be lacking in integrity, find it in yourself.
Change the world from where you're standing..."

Date: Wed, 13 May 2015 09:20:22 -0600
Subject: CPC's for POB Meeting
From: cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com
To: rhammer@cabq.gov; jswilsonpob@outlook.com; amadomccoy.pob@gmail.com;
phamason.lw@gmail.com

All: If someone could send me a listing of the CPC's that will be reviewed at the POB meeting, I can add them to the agenda without copying from the download. Thanks, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Scott S. Wilson; Beth Mohr; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites
Subject: RE: CPC's for POB Meeting
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 12:57:51 PM
Attachments: List of CPCs for May 19 2015 POB Meeting.docx

Beth and Members of the Case Review Subcommittee:

Attached is a list of the CPC's which the Subcommittee considered on Monday, May 11, 2015. The proposed findings letters in these CPC's have been given to the full Board for their review via email yesterday.

Not included in this list and the current DropBox file of CPCs to be reviewed are the two CPCs which the full Board remanded to the Case Review Subcommittee at the March 12, 2015. As Scott's email below points out there are questions about how to proceed with the two remanded cases.

Please let me know if you would like me to resend the two remanded CPCs to the full Board, as well.

I will be stepping out to a meeting, but will be back in the office around 2 pm.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.
Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

From: Scott Wilson [mailto:jswilsonpob@outlook.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 9:56 AM
To: Beth Mohr; Hammer, Robin; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites
Subject: RE: CPC's for POB Meeting

So here's the email I promised you last night. When the CPC committee met, there was a motion that all 32 of the complaints ready for review by the Board be moved to a consent agenda. During the discussion, I said that I generally agreed with the findings in all of the cases, but encouraged all of the board members to review the cases and feel free to move any of them to the main agenda for review as they saw fit. The motion passed.

It did bring up some discussion regarding the two complaint letters we reviewed at our first meeting. The issues included what else we wanted to say in the letters, which in turn brought up the question as to how to get a consensus from the board as to the language. In addition, there was a question as to how we could review information about the officer's IA record when attempting to formulate disciplinary recommendations (in the second case.)

There also remains the question of the timing involved as to when we review the letters with respect to APD's timeline. (Do we wait for the chief, etc) Finally, there is the question of administratively reviewing potential criminal cases prior to the resolution of the criminal piece.

All of this kind of leads into a development of the rules we are required to produce. It is my argument that these discussions may be too complex for our regular formal meetings, and Leonard and I had a discussion about the possibility of holding additional special meetings, all within the FOG rules of course.

There you go! Hope I remembered everything.

J. Scott Wilson

"When everything around you seems to be lacking in integrity, find it in yourself.
Change the world from where you're standing..."

Date: Wed, 13 May 2015 09:20:22 -0600

Subject: CPC's for POB Meeting

From: cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com

To: rhammer@cabq.gov; jswilsonpob@outlook.com; amadamccoy.pob@gmail.com; phamason.lw@gmail.com

All: If someone could send me a listing of the CPC's that will be reviewed at the POB meeting, I can add them to the agenda without copying from the download. Thanks, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

M003466

CPC's Reviewed by Case Review Subcommittee on 5/11/15

173-12
025-13
065-13
132-13
182-13
014-14
098-14
112-14
141-14
170-14
176-14
202-14
204-14
207-14
210-14
216-14
221-14
223-14
229-14
003-15
006-15
013-15
014-15
017-15
019-15
022-15
033-15
34-15
38-15
42-15
48-15
52-15

From: Beth Mohr
To: Hammer, Robin; pcash@cabq.gov; Moira Amado-McCoy; Leonard Waites; cabq.pob.cruz@gmail.com; Eric Cruz; Joanne Fine; jybaca.pob@gmail.com; Scott Wilson, POB; susanne.brown37@gmail.com; JAMES GINGER; Jessica Hernandez
Subject: Meeting logistics - members appearing telephonically (you'll need this number)
Date: Tuesday, May 19, 2015 11:45:27 AM

All: We have two members that will appear telephonically today. The Council Chambers is inexplicably limited to a single "good" phone connection like we shared with Mr. Waites last meeting. Dr. Ginger, the DOJ's Monitor, will also be appearing telephonically.

I will set up a teleconference link, using my firm's line. The quality and reliability are so much better than a "free" teleconference line, that it is worth the expense to me, personally, to use it.

At the meeting. Mr. Cash will dial the "host" number I will provide him on the conference line in the Chambers. We will put a microphone onto that phone, so it will be heard all the times. For those of you on the phones, this means that if you are coughing, laughing or have other sounds in the background, such as watching us "live" on GovTV, that the entire Chamber will hear that sound. I suggest that you "mute" your phones when you're not speaking, if you have that option on your phones. If you do this, of course, you have to "un-mute" in order to speak.

The other time we tried this, those on the phone had a difficult time hearing on the phone. I'm hoping that Mr. Cash can move the phone to the center of the dais, so you'll be able to hear us. In the alternative, you can watch us online. This results in a delay between what you hear online and our actual conversation. I will try to be sensitive to this during the meeting, and give you as much lead time as possible when you'll be called upon, so that you can "un-mute" your phone and be prepared to speak. I want to ensure that all the members can fully participate in the meeting.

Please dial this toll-free number to be added to the conference:

Participants dial 1-866-906-9888

Participant code - 1691380 + # sign

State your name & press the # sign again

You will be placed on hold with some music. Mr. Cash will dial in as "host" and you'll all be on the air.

If we go into executive session, POB members, please just stay on the phone (it should not cut you off, even when the Host cuts off briefly). I will dial back on as Host from my cell phone, and you'll be in the meeting with us. After executive session, please stay on and we'll pick you back up in the Council chambers, and we'll resume the meeting.

Dr. Ginger, I don't know if you are allowed in the executive session or not, that is Ms. Hernandez' call.

Please call me with any questions. Thanks, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

From: Hammer, Robin
To: Beth Mohr; David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Hammer, Robin; Jeannette V. Baca; Joanne Fine; Leonard Waites; Moira Amado-McCoy; Scott S. Wilson; Susanne Brown
Subject: Case Status Sheet in Excel
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 2:42:35 PM
Attachments: CPOA Status Report to POB 5-13-15.xlsx

POB Members:

Attached is an Excel sheet prepared by CPOA Analyst Paul Cash, pursuant to Board Member Fine and Amado-McCoy's directions.

Sheet 1 contains the pending cases (and the cases reviewed by the POB in March).

Sheet 2 contains the fully investigated and resolved cases (no inactivations/administrative closings) received after June 1, 2012.

Key

Rec. Date=the date the complaint was received by the City of Albuquerque

Assigned=the date the case was given to the investigator

Due Date=90 days from date of receipt (unless there was an extension for an additional 30 days)

Inv. To ED=the date the investigator gave the case to Robin

ED to APD=the date Robin gave the file to APD

Date to POB=the date the case was heard by the POC/POB

Paul chose to include these additional columns:

Days from Rec. to ED= the amount of days between when we got the case and when it was turned into Robin

Days from ED to APD=the amount of days between when Robin got the case and when the case was given to APD for review

Days from Rec. to APD= total amount of days between receipt of the case and when the case went to APD for review

Days from Rec. to Ltr.=total days between receipt of the case and when the letter was sent to the citizen

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director
Civilian Police Oversight Agency
City of Albuquerque
P.O. Box 1293

M003470

Albuquerque, NM 87103
(505) 924-3770
Fax: (505) 924-3775
<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1	New Complaints									
2	Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due 90 days	Ext?	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POB	Ltr To Citizen	Inv.
3								<i>sent to us</i>		<i>who</i>
4	15-034	3/14/2015	3/16/2015	6/12/2015		4/14/2015	N/A			PS
5	15-035	3/15/2015	3/17/2015	6/13/2015						EO
6	15-036	3/11/2015	3/17/2015	6/10/2015						DM
7	15-037	3/17/2015	3/18/2015	6/15/2015						PS
8	15-038	3/21/2015	3/26/2015	6/19/2015		3/27/2015	4/28/2015			EO
9	15-039	3/25/2015	3/27/2015	6/23/2015						DM
10	15-040	3/26/2015	3/27/2015	6/24/2015						IA
11	15-041	3/26/2015	3/27/2015	6/24/2015						EO
12	15-042	3/25/2015	3/27/2015	6/23/2015		4/16/2015	N/A			DM
13	15-043	3/27/2015	4/1/2015	6/25/2015						PS
14	15-044	3/28/2015	4/1/2015	6/26/2015						EO
15	15-045	3/30/2015	4/1/2015	6/28/2015						DM
16	15-046	3/31/2015	4/1/2015	6/29/2015						PS
17	15-047	4/1/2015	4/6/2015	6/30/2015						EO
18	15-048	4/1/2015	4/6/2015	6/30/2015		4/21/2015	N/A			DM
19	15-049	4/2/2015	4/6/2015	7/1/2015						CD
20	15-050	4/3/2015	4/6/2015	7/3/2015						IA
21	15-051	4/11/2015	4/13/2015	7/10/2015						EO
22	15-052	4/12/2015	4/13/2015	7/11/2015		4/21/2015	N/A			DM
23	15-053	4/15/2015	4/17/2015	7/14/2015						CD
24	15-054	4/20/2015	4/21/2015	7/19/2015		5/5/2015				PS
25	15-055	4/21/2015	4/23/2015	7/19/2015		5/1/2015				EO
26	15-056	4/22/2015	4/24/2015	7/12/2015						DM
27	15-057	4/22/2015	4/22/2015	7/21/2015		5/4/2015				CD
28	15-058	4/23/2015	4/24/2015	7/22/2015						PS
29	15-059	4/28/2015	5/12/2015	7/27/2015						EO
30	15-060	5/1/2015	5/12/2015	7/30/2015						DM
31	15-061	5/4/2015	5/12/2015	8/2/2015						CD
32	15-062	5/4/2015	5/12/2015	8/2/2015						PS
33	15-063	5/6/2015	5/12/2015	8/4/2015						EO
34	15-064	5/7/2015	5/12/2015	8/5/2015						PS
35	15-065	5/8/2015	5/12/2015	8/6/2015						DM
36										
37	Active Complaints									
38	Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due 90 days	Ext?	Inv. to ED	ED to APD	Date to POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
39	11-254	12/30/2011	12/30/2011	3/29/2012						IA
40	12-167	8/17/2012	8/20/2012	12/14/2012	Y					PS
41	Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due 90 days	Ext?	Inv. to ED	ED to APD	Date to POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.
42	12-173	8/23/2012	8/24/2012	11/21/2012		12/21/2012	4/28/2015			PS
43	12-240	12/10/2012	12/11/2012	3/1/2013						IA
44	12-241	12/10/2012	12/11/2012	3/1/2013						IA
45	13-025	2/17/2013	2/20/2013	5/18/2013		Unk.	N/A			IA
46	13-065	4/10/2013	4/10/2013	5/11/2013		4/16/2015	N/A			CD
47	13-087	5/2/2013	5/3/2013	7/31/2013						CD
48	13-091	5/6/2013	5/8/2013	8/4/2013						CD
49	13-095	5/10/2013	5/14/2013	8/8/2013						IA
50	13-111	6/4/2013	6/6/2013	9/4/2013		5/5/2015				CD
51	13-119	6/12/2013	6/12/2013	9/10/2013						CD
52	13-122	6/26/2013	6/26/2013	9/8/2013						IA
53	13-129	6/26/2013	6/28/2013	9/24/2013						CD
54	13-132	6/27/2013	6/28/2013	9/25/2013		4/17/2015	4/28/2015			CD
55	13-142	7/16/2013	7/19/2013	10/14/2013						CD
56	13-159	8/5/2013	8/6/2013	11/4/2013						IA
57	13-161	8/6/2013	8/8/2013	11/4/2013						CD
58	13-171	8/14/2013	8/16/2013	11/12/2013						CD
59	13-176	8/16/2013	8/19/2013	11/14/2013						CD
60	13-182	8/23/2013	8/29/2013	11/15/2013		3/10/2015	4/28/2015			CD
61	13-190	9/4/2013	9/6/2013	12/2/2013						CD
62	13-202	9/20/2013	9/24/2013	12/19/2013						CD
63	13-218	10/22/2013	10/23/2013	1/20/2014						CD
64	13-249	12/17/2013	12/17/2013	3/17/2014						CD
65	13-253	12/23/2013	12/31/2013	3/23/2014						CD
66	13-255	12/30/2013	12/31/2013	3/30/2014						PS
67	13-257	12/27/2013	1/6/2014	3/27/2014						CD
68	14-006	1/6/2014	1/14/2014	4/6/2014		N/A				RH
69	14-009	1/13/2014	1/14/2014	4/13/2014						CD
70	14-014	1/20/2014	1/22/2014	4/20/2014		4/22/2015	4/28/2015			CD
71	14-018	1/22/2014	1/24/2014	4/22/2014						CD
72	14-021	1/28/2014	1/29/2014	4/28/2014						CD
73	14-023	1/29/2014	1/30/2014	5/29/2014	Y					PS
74	14-035	2/20/2014	2/21/2014	5/21/2014						CD
75	14-038	2/24/2014	2/25/2014	5/25/2014						CD
76	14-058	3/17/2014	3/18/2014	6/15/2014						CD

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
77	14-060	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	7/18/2014	Y					PS
78	14-061	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	7/18/2014	Y					PS
79	Active Complaints									
80	Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due 90 days	Ext?	Inv. to ED	ED to APD	Date to POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.
81	14-063	3/21/2014	3/26/2014	6/19/2014						CD
82	14-066	3/26/2014	3/27/2014	6/24/2014						CD
83	14-069	3/30/2014	4/4/2014	6/28/2014						CD
84	14-076	4/8/2014	4/9/2014	7/7/2014						CD
85	14-081	4/14/2014	4/17/2014	7/13/2014						CD
86	14-093	5/10/2014	5/15/2014	8/8/2014						CD
87	14-098	5/16/2014	5/20/2014	8/14/2014		N/A				RH
88	14-103	5/27/2014	5/29/2014	8/25/2014						CD
89	14-107	6/3/2014	6/3/2014	9/1/2014						IA
90	14-112	6/5/2014	6/5/2014	9/3/2014		Unk.	N/A			IA
91	14-129	6/30/2014	Unk.	9/28/2014						CD
92	14-132	7/2/2014	7/7/2014	9/30/2014						CD
93	14-141	7/18/2014	7/21/2014	10/16/2014		N/A				RH
94	14-147	7/24/2014	7/25/2014	10/22/2014						CD
95	14-162	8/25/2014	8/26/2014	11/23/2014						CD
96	14-170	9/8/2014	9/9/2014	12/7/2014		Unk.	N/A			IA
97	14-176	9/15/2014	9/23/2014	1/14/2015	Y	4/3/2015	4/28/2015			DM
98	14-202	11/1/2014	11/3/2014	02/31/15	Y	3/27/2015	4/28/2015			DM
99	14-204	10/30/2014	11/4/2014	1/27/2015		3/6/2015	4/28/2015			PS
100	14-205	11/3/2014	11/5/2014	2/1/2015		12/9/2014	N/A			DM
101	14-207	11/6/2014	11/7/2014	3/4/2015	Y	3/21/2015	4/20/2015			PS
102	14-210	11/17/2014	11/18/2014	2/15/2015		3/21/2015	4/28/2015			PS
103	14-213	11/24/2014	11/25/2014	3/21/2015	Y					PS
104	14-215	11/30/2014	12/2/2014	2/28/2015						DM
105	14-216	12/3/2014	12/4/2014	3/1/2015		3/23/2015	4/28/2015			PS
106	14-217	12/3/2014	12/5/2014	4/3/2015	Y	4/2/2015	4/20/2015			PS
107	14-218	12/5/2014	12/8/2014	3/5/2015		4/29/2015				DM
108	14-219	12/8/2014	12/9/2014	3/8/2015		3/25/2015	4/20/2015			PS
109	14-221	12/10/2014	12/15/2014	3/10/2015		4/23/2015	4/28/2015			DM
110	14-222	12/15/2014	12/17/2014	4/14/2015	Y					DM
111	14-223	12/16/2014	12/17/2014	4/15/2015	Y	4/23/2015	4/23/2015			PS
112	14-224	12/17/2014	12/18/2014	4/16/2015	Y					DM
113	14-225	12/17/2014	12/22/2014	4/16/2015	Y	4/30/2015				PS
114	14-228	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	4/22/2015	Y	5/5/2015				PS

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
115	14-229	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	3/23/2015		3/16/2015	4/28/2015			DM
116	14-231	12/29/2014	12/30/2014	3/29/2015		3/27/2015	4/20/2015			PS
117	14-232	12/29/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y					DM
118	14-233	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	03/12/15		EO
119										
120	15-002	1/5/2015	1/6/2015	4/5/2015						PS
121	15-003	1/7/2015	1/21/2015	4/7/2015		3/9/2015	4/28/2015			EO
122	15-004	1/14/2015	1/21/2015	5/14/2015	Y					DM
123	15-005	1/20/2015	1/21/2015	4/20/2015		5/5/2015				PS
124	15-006	1/13/2015	1/23/2015	5/13/2015	Y	4/23/2015	5/14/2015			EO
125	15-008	1/20/2015	1/26/2015	4/20/2015						DM
126	15-009	1/21/2015	1/26/2015	5/21/2015	Y	5/5/2015				PS
127	15-012	1/29/2015	2/3/2015	5/29/2015	Y					PS
128	15-013	1/30/2015	2/4/2015	4/30/2015		3/2/2015	4/28/2015			EO
129	15-014	2/3/2015	2/4/2015	5/3/2015		4/16/2015	4/28/2015			DM
130	15-015	2/4/2015	2/13/2015	6/4/2015	Y					PS
131	15-016	2/9/2015	2/13/2015	6/8/2015	Y	5/1/2015				EO
132	15-017	2/6/2015	2/17/2015	5/6/2015		2/26/2015	N/A			DM
133	15-019	2/17/2015	2/20/2015	5/18/2015		3/10/2015	N/A			EO
134	15-020	2/19/2015	2/20/2015	5/20/2015						DM
135	15-021	2/23/2015	2/27/2015	6/24/2015	Y					PS
136	15-022	2/24/2015	2/27/2015	5/25/2015			N/A			PS
137	15-023	2/24/2015	2/27/2015	5/25/2015						EO
138	15-024	2/25/2015	2/27/2015	5/26/2015						DM
139	15-025	2/25/2015	2/27/2015	5/26/2015						PS
140	15-026	2/26/2015	2/27/2015	5/27/2015						EO
141	15-027	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM
142	15-028	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM
143	15-029	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM
144	15-030	2/27/2015	3/9/2015	5/28/2015						IA
145	15-031	3/7/2015	3/9/2015	6/5/2015						IA
146	15-032	3/6/2015	3/9/2015	6/4/2015		5/1/2015	N/A			EO
147	15-033	3/10/2015	3/11/2015	6/8/2015		4/9/2015	4/28/2015			DM
148										
149										
150										
151	Resolved Complaints									
152	Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due 90 days	Ext?	Inv. to ED	ED to APD	Date to POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
153	14-186	10/2/2014	10/6/2014	12/31/2014		3/3/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM
154	14-196	10/21/2014	10/23/2014	1/19/2014		2/2/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM
155	14-198	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2014		2/25/2015	2/26/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	PS
156	14-209	11/14/2014	11/18/2014	2/12/2015		2/19/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM
157	14-230	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	3/22/2015		2/9/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	EO
158	Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due 90 days	Ext?	Inv. to ED	ED to APD	Date to POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.
159	14-233	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	03/12/15	pending	EO
160	15-001	1/2/2015	1/6/2015	4/2/2015		2/9/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM
161	15-010	1/21/2015	1/26/2015	4/21/2015		2/19/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	EO
162	15-018	2/14/2015	2/18/2015	5/15/2015		2/19/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	PS
163										
164										
165										
166										
167										
168										

	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
1							
2	Staff	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
3	4 outcomes		text will wrap				
4			Will be Adm.	31			
5			Investigation				
6			Conduct				
7			Damage to Vehicle				
8			Improper Information	6	32	38	
9			Investigation				
10			Criminal				
11			Reports				
12			Will be Adm.	22			
13			Failure Enforce				
14			Investigation				
15			Conduct				
16			Conduct				
17			Investigation				
18			Will be Adm.	20			
19			Conduct				
20			License Plate				
21			Driving, Conduct				
22			Will be Adm.	9			
23			Excessive Force				
24			Mental Health	15			
25			Conduct	10			
26			Reports				
27			Excessive Force	12			
28			Search/Seizure				
29			Reports				
30			Conduct				
31			Driving				
32			Will be Adm.				
33			Evidence				
34			Reports				
35			Reports				
36							
37							
38	Staff	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.

	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
39			Investigation				
40			Search/Seizure				
41	Staff	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
42			Investigation	120	858	978	
43			Excessive Force				
44			Investigation				
45			Will be Adm.				
46			Will be Adm.	736			
47			Abuse of Power,				
48			Conduct				
49			Vehicle				
50			Conduct	700			
51			Conduct				
52			ATM Stolen				
53			Homeless, Conduct				
54			Homeless, Conduct	659	11	670	
55			Reports				
56			Domestic Violence				
57			Arrest				
58			Excessive Force				
59			Homeless, Conduct				
60			Disability	564	49	613	
61			Conduct, Arrest				
62			Excessive Force				
63			Traffic, Conduct				
64			Conduct				
65			Investigation				
66			Civil				
67			Search/Seizure				
68			OIS-CPC				
69			DWI, Conduct				
70			Reports	457	6	463	
71			Bias, Traffic				
72			Accident, Conduct				
73			Excessive Force				
74			Conduct				
75			Conduct				
76			Conduct				

	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
77			Search/Seizure				
78			Search/Seizure				
79							
80	Staff	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
81			Conduct				
82			Investigation				
83			Excessive Force				
84			Excessive Force				
85			Conduct				
86			Arrest				
87			OIS-CPC				
88			Conduct				
89			Reports				
90			Will be Adm.				
91			Investigation				
92			Conduct				
93			OIS-CPC				
94			Excessive Force				
95			Court				
96			Will be Adm.				
97			Investigation	200	25	225	
98			Investigation	146	32	178	
99			Investigation	127	53	180	
100			Will be Adm.	36			
101			Investigation	135	30	165	
102			Bias	124	38	162	
103			Investigation				
104			Reports				
105			Evidence	110	36	146	
106			Civil	120	18	138	
107			Reports	145			
108			Reports	107	26	133	
109			Search/Seizure	134	5	139	
110			Excessive Force				
111			Driving	128		128	
112			Conduct				
113			Investigation	134			
114			Excessive Force	133			

	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
115			Investigation	83	43	126	
116			Reports	88	24	112	
117			Reports				
118	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Untruthfulness,	58	7	65	
119							
120			Evidence				
121			Driving	61	50	111	
122			Investigation				
123			Reports	105			
124	Sustained		Conduct	100	21	121	
125			Investigation				
126			Disability	104			
127			Driving				
128			Reports	31	57	88	
129			Investigation	72	12	84	
130			Reports				
131	Sustained		DWI, Conduct	81			
132			Will be Adm.	20			
133			Will be Adm.	21			
134			Investigation				
135			Civil, Custody				
136			Will be Adm./ Not APD				
137			DWI, Conduct				
138			Investigation				
139			Civil				
140			Arrests				
141			Investigation				
142			Conduct				
143			Investigation				
144			License Plate				
145			License Plate				
146			Will be Adm.	56			
147			Reports	30	19	49	
148							
149							
150							
151							
152	Staff	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.

	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
153	Exonerated	Exonerated	Conduct	152	10	162	162
154	Sustained	Sustained	Investigation, Recording	104	39	143	143
155	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Conduct, Recording	124	1	125	140
156	Sustained	Sustained	Conduct, Recording	97	22	119	119
157	Exonerated	Exonerated	Conduct	48	32	80	80
158	Staff	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
159	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Untruthfulness,	58	7	65	
160	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Civil Matter	38			70
161	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Conduct	29			51
162	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Conduct	5			27
163							
164							
165							
166							
167							
168							

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
12-106	6/11/2012	6/12/2012	9/9/2012		11/13/2012	4/2/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		155	140	295	424
12-110	6/14/2012	6/15/2012	9/12/2012		3/10/2014	3/18/2014	5/23/2014	5/14/2014	PS				634	8	642	699
12-118	7/7/2012	7/9/2012	10/5/2012		10/31/2012	5/13/2013	6/13/2014	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		116	194	310	342
12-122	7/10/2012	7/10/2012	10/8/2012		10/9/2012	10/16/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	DM				91	372	463	493
12-125	7/13/2012	7/16/2012	10/11/2012		9/7/2012	11/16/2012	5/23/2014	5/14/2014	PS				56	70	126	670
12-126	7/15/2012	7/16/2012	10/13/2012		12/6/2012	5/13/2013	6/14/2013	6/15/2013	DM				144	158	302	335
12-129	7/17/2012	7/17/2012	11/15/2012	Y	1/2/2013	5/13/2013	6/13/2013	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		169	131	300	332
12-132	7/17/2012	12/12/2012	11/15/2012	Y	12/4/2013	1/15/2014	3/13/2014	9/24/2014	DM				505	42	547	799
12-137	7/18/2012	7/23/2012	10/16/2012		12/20/2012	5/15/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		155	146	301	359
12-138	7/19/2012	7/23/2012	11/17/2012	Y	5/2/2013	5/13/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				287	11	298	358
12-142	7/24/2012	7/26/2012	10/22/2012		11/7/2012	5/13/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				106	187	293	353
12-144	7/25/2012	7/27/2014	10/23/2012		10/10/2012	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				77	310	387	443
12-147	7/27/2012	7/30/2012	11/25/2012	Y	4/22/2013	7/1/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM				269	70	339	378
12-150	7/30/2012	7/31/2012	10/28/2012		1/6/2013	3/26/2013	4/11/2013	4/15/2013	PS				160	79	239	259
12-151	7/31/2012	7/31/2012	11/29/2012	Y	11/21/2012	3/25/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		113	124	237	563
12-157	8/6/2012	8/7/2012	11/4/2012		4/22/2013	8/19/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		259	119	378	403
12-159	8/6/2012	8/7/2012	11/4/2012		5/28/2014	8/28/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				660	92	752	777
12-160	8/7/2012	8/7/2012	12/5/2012	Y	4/28/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		629	56	685	765
12-165	8/13/2012	8/14/2012	11/11/2012		5/14/2013	5/23/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				274	9	283	333
12-171	8/21/2012	8/22/2012	12/19/2012	Y	2/21/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		549	124	673	751
12-176	8/28/2012	8/29/2012	11/26/2012		6/20/2014	6/24/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		661	4	665	744
12-181	8/20/2012	8/21/2012	11/18/2012		3/27/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS				584	88	672	770
12-183	9/5/2012	9/7/2012	1/4/2013	Y	12/17/2013	1/14/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		468	28	496	555
12-184	9/7/2012	12/12/2012	1/6/2013	Y	12/3/2013	2/18/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		452	77	529	734
12-185	9/12/2012	9/13/2012	12/11/2012		3/18/2014	6/24/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		552	98	650	667
12-186	9/12/2012	9/17/2012	1/10/2013	Y	10/22/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		405	119	524	576
12-189	9/18/2012	9/19/2012	1/17/2013	Y	5/31/2013	10/16/2013	1/9/2014	1/10/2014	DM				255	138	393	479
12-190	9/19/2012	9/24/2012	12/17/2012		2/19/2014	2/20/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	CD				518	1	519	569
12-198	10/3/2012	10/3/2012	12/1/2013		5/22/2013	5/28/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	PS				231	6	237	282
12-201	10/16/2012	10/22/2012	2/14/2013	Y	3/4/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM				504	111	615	696
12-202	10/15/2012	12/12/2012	2/13/2013	Y	10/14/2013	1/2/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		364	80	444	487
12-203	10/16/2012	12/12/2012	1/14/2013		3/20/2014	6/23/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		520	95	615	658
12-204	10/16/2012	10/19/2012	1/14/2013		4/4/2013	9/26/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	PS				170	175	345	395
12-207	10/23/2012	10/24/2012	2/21/2013	Y	3/4/2013	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		132	581	713	713
12-210	10/29/2012	10/30/2012	1/27/2013		3/18/2013	8/21/2013	12/12/2013	12/13/2013	PS				140	156	296	410
Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
12-214	11/2/2012	11/5/2012	1/31/2013		3/21/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				504	5	509	558
12-216	11/5/2012	11/6/2012	3/3/2013	Y	6/2/2014	6/24/2014	no review	12/19/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		574	22	596	774
12-222	11/13/2012	11/14/2012	3/11/2013	Y	12/30/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				412	50	462	514
12-227	11/15/2012	11/16/2012	2/13/2013		12/12/2013	1/14/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		392	33	425	484
12-229	11/26/2012	12/3/2012	2/24/2013		4/1/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		491	83	574	672
12-230	11/27/2012	12/3/2012	2/25/2013		2/26/2014	6/24/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		456	118	574	653
12-233	11/29/2012	12/4/2012	3/17/2013		3/18/2014	7/22/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		474	126	600	652
12-237	12/3/2012	12/7/2012	3/3/2013		10/25/2013	1/15/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		326	82	408	660
12-243	12/11/2012	12/12/2012	3/11/2013		2/7/2013	5/13/2013	6/13/2013	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		58	95	153	185
12-247	12/12/2012	12/13/2012	3/12/2013		4/17/2013	5/23/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				126	36	162	212
12-249	12/17/2012	12/20/2012	3/10/2013		3/10/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		448	105	553	683
12-251	12/17/2012	1/4/2013	3/17/2013		6/12/2013	7/1/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		177	19	196	270
12-258	12/27/2012	12/28/2012	3/27/2013		3/21/2013	7/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		84	491	575	634
12-259	11/26/2012	3/6/2013	2/24/2013		4/2/2013	10/15/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	CD				127	196	323	354
13-008	1/17/2013	1/18/2013	4/17/2013		5/24/2013	5/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		127	4	131	204
13-010	1/23/2013	1/25/2013	5/23/2013	Y	6/13/2013	6/24/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		141	11	152	198
13-017	2/4/2013	2/5/2013	5/5/2013		6/27/2013	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				143	405	548	584
13-019	2/6/2013	2/8/2013	6/7/2013	Y	6/18/2013	1/17/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				132	213	345	373
13-022	2/11/2013	2/12/2013	5/12/2013		8/6/2013	2/18/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		176	196	372	457
13-024	2/17/2013	2/20/2013	5/18/2013		7/1/2013	8/20/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		134	50	184	236

13-029	2/21/2013	2/26/2013	5/22/2013	Y	6/28/2013	2/18/2014	6/12/2014	6/13/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		127	235	362	477
13-030	2/22/2013	2/26/2013	5/23/2013		5/30/2013	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		97	78	175	231
13-033	2/26/2013	3/1/2013	5/27/2013		7/7/2014	8/4/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		496	28	524	563
13-035	2/28/2013	3/1/2013	5/29/2013		6/11/2013	7/1/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		103	20	123	162
13-040	3/12/2013	3/18/2013	6/10/2013		6/20/2013	7/2/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	CD	Sustained	Sustained		100	12	112	185
13-041	3/13/2013	3/14/2013	6/11/2013		6/4/2014	7/11/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				448	37	485	520
13-046	3/19/2013	3/20/2013	7/17/2013	Y	6/27/2013	6/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	1	101	143
13-051	3/19/2013	3/26/2013	6/17/2013		8/16/2013	8/19/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				150	8	158	206
13-052	3/25/2013	3/26/2013	6/23/2013		8/9/2013	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	CD				137	7	144	200
13-053	3/26/2013	3/29/2013	6/24/2013		7/8/2013	1/16/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				104	192	296	325
13-055	3/24/2013	3/25/2013	6/22/2013		5/24/2013	5/31/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	CD	Sustained	Sustained		61	7	68	201
13-056	3/27/2013	4/3/2013	7/25/2013	Y	7/12/2013	1/17/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				107	189	296	324
13-057	3/28/2013	4/3/2013	6/26/2013		10/18/2013	2/9/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				204	108	312	351
13-058	4/1/2013	4/2/2013	6/30/2013		9/22/2014	10/10/2014	no review	10/3/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		539	18	557	550
13-059	4/2/2013	4/3/2013	8/1/2013	Y	7/23/2013	7/31/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		112	8	120	164
Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
13-061	4/3/2013	4/4/2013	7/2/2013		6/28/2013	6/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		86	0	86	128
13-064	4/9/2013	4/10/2013	7/8/2013		1/12/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD				643	21	664	664
13-066	4/10/2013	4/15/2013	7/9/2013		5/20/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				40	259	299	338
13-069	4/15/2013	6/17/2013	7/14/2013		8/7/2013	8/19/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				114	12	126	179
13-070	4/15/2013	4/17/2013	7/14/2013		10/29/2013	1/28/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	CD				197	91	288	333
13-075	4/17/2013	4/18/2013	8/16/2013	Y	7/16/2013	2/3/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM				90	202	292	392
13-078	4/19/2013	4/24/2013	7/18/2013		6/24/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				66	224	290	329
13-079	4/22/2013	4/24/2013	7/21/2013		11/10/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		567	16	583	583
13-081	4/24/2013	5/1/2013	7/23/2013		2/3/2014	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				285	0	285	324
13-084	4/30/2013	5/1/2013	7/29/2013		7/10/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				71	208	279	318
13-088	5/2/2013	5/3/2013	7/31/2013		5/28/2013	10/16/2013	11/14/2013	12/13/2013	DM				26	141	167	225
13-092	5/6/2013	5/8/2013	8/4/2013		7/23/2013	8/13/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		78	21	99	130
13-094	5/8/2013	5/9/2013	8/6/2013		11/12/2013	2/5/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	CD				188	86	274	310
13-096	5/12/2013	5/14/2013	8/10/2013		7/17/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				66	201	267	306
13-097	5/13/2013	5/14/2013	8/11/2013		8/6/2013	2/6/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				85	184	269	305
13-099	5/17/2013	5/20/2013	8/15/2013		2/17/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD				641	13	654	654
13-100	5/19/2013	5/21/2013	9/17/2013	Y	8/29/2013	9/23/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		102	25	127	180
13-101	5/23/2013	5/28/2013	8/21/2013		8/13/2013	8/13/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		82	0	82	113
13-102	5/24/2013	5/28/2013	8/22/2013		1/23/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD	Sustained	Sustained		609	38	647	647
13-104	5/27/2013	5/28/2013	9/25/2013	Y	9/4/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				100	167	267	319
13-106	5/28/2013	5/28/2013	8/26/2013		8/13/2013	8/15/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		77	2	79	136
13-107	5/28/2013	6/5/2013	8/26/2013		2/25/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD				638	5	643	643
13-109	5/31/2013	6/5/2013	8/29/2013		8/20/2013	8/29/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		81	9	90	133
13-112	6/5/2013	6/5/2013	10/3/2013	Y	9/13/2013	9/25/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	377	477	476
13-116	6/9/2013	6/11/2013	9/7/2013		8/28/2013	1/16/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS				80	141	221	250
13-120	6/14/2013	6/17/2013	9/12/2013		8/19/2013	6/23/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				66	308	374	427
13-135	7/1/2013	7/1/2013	9/29/2013		10/7/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				98	119	217	256
13-137	7/4/2013	7/8/2013	10/2/2013		7/10/2013	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				6	392	398	434
13-143	7/17/2013	7/19/2013	10/15/2013		8/14/2013	8/15/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		28	1	29	58
13-145	7/17/2013	7/19/2013	10/15/2013		8/26/2013	6/19/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	CD				40	297	337	359
13-147	7/19/2013	7/25/2013	10/17/2013		10/28/2013	2/18/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS				101	113	214	357
13-148	7/19/2013	7/25/2013	10/17/2013		6/24/2014	7/7/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	CD				340	13	353	392
13-149	7/22/2013	7/26/2013	10/20/2013		7/10/2014	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				353	27	380	416
13-150	7/22/2013	7/26/2013	11/20/2013	Y	11/1/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		102	109	211	263
13-151	7/22/2013	7/29/2013	10/20/2013		10/30/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS				100	111	211	263
Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
13-157	8/1/2013	8/2/2013	10/30/2013		10/7/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				67	134	201	258
13-164	8/8/2013	8/13/2013	11/6/2013		11/7/2014	11/25/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD				456	18	474	475
13-165	8/9/2013	8/13/2013	11/7/2013		10/17/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				69	109	178	217
13-168	8/12/2013	8/13/2013	11/10/2013		12/6/2013	12/17/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		116	11	127	186
13-172	8/14/2013	8/16/2013	12/12/2013	Y	11/22/2013	1/16/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	55	155	273

13-174	8/15/2013	8/16/2013	11/13/2013		11/18/2013	12/9/2013	1/9/2014	1/10/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained			95	21	116	148
13-178	8/19/2013	8/20/2013	12/17/2013	Y	11/26/2013	3/6/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained			99	100	199	268
13-184	8/26/2013	8/30/2013	11/24/2013		11/5/2013	2/18/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM					71	105	176	200
13-192	9/5/2013	9/10/2013	12/4/2013		11/13/2013	2/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM					69	97	166	441
13-198	9/16/2013	9/18/2013	12/15/2013		8/28/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD					346	27	373	373
13-201	9/19/2013	9/30/2013	12/18/2013		12/16/2013	12/19/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained			88	3	91	148
13-207	9/30/2013	10/1/2013	12/29/2013		6/28/2014	9/22/2014	no review	9/22/2014	CD					271	86	357	357
13-209	9/30/2013	10/7/2013	12/29/2013		10/30/2014	11/15/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD					395	16	411	422
13-217	10/22/2013	10/23/2013	1/20/2014		4/23/2014	8/29/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained			183	128	311	324
13-223	10/31/2013	11/4/2013	1/29/2014		2/20/2014	2/24/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained			112	4	116	162
13-224	11/1/2013	11/4/2013	1/30/2014		2/13/2014	3/6/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	CD					104	21	125	161
13-232	11/14/2013	11/19/2013	3/13/2014	Y	2/13/2014	6/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained			91	133	224	312
13-236	11/19/2013	11/20/2013	2/17/2014		2/25/2014	2/26/2014	6/12/2014	6/13/2014	PS					98	1	99	206
13-242	12/4/2013	12/5/2013	3/4/2014		1/27/2014	6/23/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM					54	147	201	219
13-243	12/4/2013	12/5/2013	3/4/2014		2/25/2014	3/14/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained			83	17	100	161
13-246	12/12/2013	12/16/2013	3/12/2014		2/6/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD					421	24	445	445
13-247	12/15/2013	12/17/2013	3/15/2014		2/18/2014	6/23/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM					65	125	190	208
13-248	12/16/2013	12/17/2013	3/16/2014		1/14/2014	1/14/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained			29	0	29	60
13-250	12/17/2013	12/18/2013	4/17/2014	Y	3/25/2014	6/2/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained			99	68	167	206
13-251	12/10/2013	12/20/2013	3/10/2014		3/25/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS					105	1	106	155
13-254	12/24/2013	1/3/2014	4/22/2014	Y	4/11/2014	6/2/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained			108	52	160	262
13-258	12/30/2013	1/7/2014	4/28/2014	Y	4/16/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained			107	70	177	256
13-259	12/31/2013	1/7/2014	3/31/2014		3/31/2014	6/25/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained			90	86	176	192
14-003	1/6/2014	1/6/2014	4/6/2014		4/15/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD					99	162	261	261
14-004	1/6/2014	1/6/2014	4/6/2014		4/15/2014	9/22/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD					99	160	259	261
14-005	1/8/2014	1/9/2014	4/8/2014		3/19/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained			70	7	77	126
14-010	1/13/2014	1/15/2014	4/13/2014		2/21/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM					39	186	225	252
14-016	1/21/2014	1/23/2014	4/21/2014		4/2/2014	6/26/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained			71	85	156	234
14-017	1/21/2014	1/23/2014	4/21/2014		3/25/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS					63	92	155	233
14-019	1/23/2014	1/27/2014	5/22/2014	Y	5/8/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM					105	110	215	244
										Staff		Case	Days	Days	Days		
Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Recommends	POB Action	Summary	From Rec. to ED	From ED to APD	From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.	
14-025	1/31/2014	2/5/2014	5/30/2014	Y	5/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		137	3	140	234	
14-028	2/6/2014	2/7/2014	6/5/2014	Y	6/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		131	3	134	228	
14-030	2/11/2014	2/13/2014	5/12/2014		5/13/2014	6/19/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				91	37	128	223	
14-036	2/23/2014	2/25/2014	6/22/2014	Y	7/25/2014	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM				152	12	164	200	
14-037	2/3/2014	2/25/2014	5/4/2014		5/22/2014	6/19/2014	8/14/2015	8/15/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		108	28	136	558	
14-040	2/24/2014	2/25/2014	6/23/2014	Y	9/4/2014	5/9/2014	no review	10/7/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		192	5	197	225	
14-042	2/26/2014	2/26/2014	5/27/2014		3/25/2014	3/25/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS				27	0	27	44	
14-043	2/28/2014	2/28/2014	5/29/2014		6/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		109	3	112	195	
14-048	3/10/2014	3/10/2014	6/8/2014		5/25/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				107	91	198	198	
14-051	3/12/2014	3/12/2014	6/10/2014		6/11/2014	6/13/2014	no review	9/12/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		91	2	93	184	
14-053	3/11/2014	3/17/2014	6/9/2014		6/28/2014	8/4/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				109	37	146	184	
14-057	3/17/2014	3/19/2014	6/15/2014		7/10/2014	8/5/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				115	26	141	189	
14-059	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	6/18/2014		3/28/2014	8/5/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				8	130	138	148	
14-064	3/24/2014	3/27/2014	6/22/2014		7/30/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM				128	56	184	184	
14-065	3/25/2014	3/25/2014	6/23/2014		6/18/2014	6/19/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	PS				85	1	86	143	
14-067	3/31/2014	4/2/2014	7/29/2013	Y	12/12/2014	12/12/2014	no review	12/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		256	0	256	266	
14-068	3/28/2014	3/28/2014	6/25/2013		6/19/2014	6/20/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		83	1	84	105	
14-073	4/8/2014	4/8/2014	8/7/2014	Y	7/11/2014	7/21/2014	no review	10/3/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		94	10	104	178	
14-077	4/8/2014	4/11/2014	7/7/2014		10/23/2014	11/20/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM				198	28	226	226	
14-084	4/19/2014	5/6/2014	7/18/2014		7/23/2014	7/24/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		95	1	96	156	
14-087	4/29/2014	5/1/2014	7/28/2014		5/14/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				15	133	148	148	
14-089	5/2/2014	5/6/2014	7/31/2014		10/28/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM				179	21	200	202	
14-095	5/14/2014	5/20/2014	8/12/2014		8/12/2014	8/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		90	13	103	131	
14-097	5/19/2014	5/20/2014	8/17/2014		9/26/2014	9/29/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		130	3	133	133	
14-101	5/27/2014	5/27/2014	8/25/2014		7/17/2014	8/5/2014	no review	9/12/2014	PS				51	19	70	108	
14-102	5/27/2014	5/29/2014	8/25/2014		8/5/2014	8/28/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM				70	23	93	118	
14-108	6/3/2014	6/3/2014	9/1/2014		7/22/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		49	35	84	111	
14-109	5/31/2014	6/5/2014	8/29/2014		8/26/2014	9/9/2014	no review	10/7/2014	PS				87	14	101	129	
14-115	6/5/2014	6/10/2014	10/3/2014	Y	10/20/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		137	29	166	168	

14-116	6/6/2014	6/6/2014	9/4/2014		8/27/2014	9/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		82	29	111	108
14-119	6/10/2014	6/13/2014	9/8/2014		9/8/2014	9/9/2014	no review	9/30/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		90	1	91	112
14-121	6/19/2014	6/20/2014	9/17/2014		9/11/2014	9/12/2014	no review	12/12/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		84	1	85	176
14-123	6/13/2014	6/23/2014	10/11/2014	Y	12/31/2014	1/30/2015	no review	1/30/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		201	30	231	231
14-127	6/23/2014	6/24/2014	10/21/2014	Y	12/4/2014	12/19/2014	no review	12/19/2014	DM				164	15	179	179
14-139	7/14/2014	7/17/2014	10/12/2014		10/9/2014	11/17/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS				87	39	126	129
										Staff		Case	Days	Days	Days	
Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Recommends	POB Action	Summary	From Rec. to ED	From ED to APD	From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
14-140	7/18/2014	7/21/2014	10/16/2014		11/13/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				118	13	131	131
14-143	7/21/2014	7/22/2014	10/19/2014		9/26/2014	10/1/2014	no review	9/29/2014	CD				67	5	72	70
14-144	7/21/2014	7/22/2014	10/19/2014		8/11/2014	8/25/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		21	14	35	122
14-146	7/24/2014	7/25/2014	10/22/2014		11/25/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	DM				124	34	158	158
14-148	7/28/2014	7/29/2014	10/26/2014		10/3/2014	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	PS				67	3	70	70
14-149	7/29/2014	7/30/2014	10/27/2014		1/8/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				163	25	188	188
14-153	8/4/2014	8/5/2014	11/2/2014		9/22/2014	9/29/2014	no review	9/29/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		49	7	56	56
14-154	8/5/2014	8/5/2014	11/3/2014		11/10/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		97	8	105	107
14-156	8/14/2014	8/19/2014	11/12/2014		10/24/2014	11/19/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				71	26	97	98
14-159	8/20/2014	8/21/2014	11/18/2014		11/19/2014	11/20/2014	no review	1/30/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		91	1	92	163
14-160	8/20/2014	8/21/2014	11/18/2014		11/18/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				90	8	98	98
14-161	8/18/2014	8/27/2014	11/16/2014		10/1/2014	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	DM				44	5	49	49
14-164	8/26/2014	8/27/2014	11/24/2014		11/21/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	PS				87	73	160	160
14-165	8/27/2014	8/28/2014	11/25/2014		10/2/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				36	47	83	85
14-166	8/29/2014	9/2/2014	11/27/2014		12/2/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/19/2014	PS				95	27	122	112
14-167	8/29/2014	9/3/2014	12/26/2014	Y	12/10/2014	12/22/2014	no review	12/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		103	12	115	115
14-168	9/2/2014	9/3/2014	12/1/2014		11/13/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				72	5	77	79
14-169	9/5/2014	9/9/2014	12/4/2014		9/26/2014	10/1/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS				21	5	26	24
14-172	9/9/2014	9/10/2014	12/8/2014		1/27/2015	2/3/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				140	7	147	146
14-173	9/11/2014	9/12/2014	12/10/2014		11/10/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	CD				60	49	109	109
14-177	9/18/2014	9/25/2014	12/17/2014		11/14/2014	12/30/2014	no review	12/30/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		57	46	103	103
14-178	9/16/2014	9/25/2014	12/15/2014		12/15/2014	12/20/2014	no review	12/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		90	5	95	97
14-180	9/16/2014	9/25/2014	12/15/2014		12/18/2014	1/16/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		93	29	122	105
14-181	9/19/2014	9/26/2014	12/18/2014		12/18/2014	1/9/2015	no review	12/22/2014	PS				90	22	112	94
14-182	9/20/2014	9/30/2014	12/19/2014		11/20/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				61	6	67	67
14-183	9/25/2014	9/30/2014	12/24/2014		10/17/2014	11/17/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		22	31	53	56
14-184	9/29/2014	9/30/2014	12/28/2014		12/23/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		85	6	91	91
14-186	10/1/2014	10/7/2014	1/28/2015	Y	3/3/2015	3/13/2015	no review	3/13/2015	DM				153	10	163	163
14-187	10/2/2014	10/6/2014	12/31/2014		12/19/2014	1/8/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD				78	20	98	89
14-188	10/3/2014	10/7/2014	1/1/2015		12/11/2014	1/2/2015	no review	12/19/2014	PS				69	22	91	77
14-189	10/3/2014	10/7/2014	1/30/2015	Y	1/30/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				119	3	122	122
14-190	10/3/2014	10/6/2014	1/1/2015		11/25/2014	12/3/2014	no review	12/4/2014	CD				53	8	61	62
14-192	10/7/2014	10/10/2014	1/5/2015		1/6/2015	2/5/2015	no review	1/30/2015	PS				91	30	121	115
14-194	10/15/2014	10/21/2014	1/13/2015		12/10/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD				56	54	110	110
14-195	10/15/2014	10/21/2014	1/13/2015		1/12/2015	1/30/2015	no review	1/30/2015	PS				89	18	107	107
										Staff		Case	Days	Days	Days	
Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Recommends	POB Action	Summary	From Rec. to ED	From ED to APD	From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
14-196	10/21/2014	10/23/2014	1/19/2015		2/2/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		104	39	143	143
14-197	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2015		11/20/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD	Sustained	Sustained		27	74	101	101
14-198	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2015		2/25/2015	2/26/2015	no review	2/26/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		124	1	125	125
14-200	10/28/2014	10/29/2014	1/26/2015		12/12/2014	1/13/2015	no review	12/29/2014	CD				45	32	77	62
14-203	11/2/2014	11/3/2014	1/31/2015		12/8/2014	1/9/2015	no review	12/19/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		36	32	68	47
14-206	11/4/2014	11/5/2014	2/2/2015		12/1/2014	12/19/2014	no review	12/19/2014	CD				27	18	45	45
14-209	11/14/2014	12/22/2014	3/13/2015	Y	2/9/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		87	32	119	119
14-211	11/19/2014	11/21/2014	2/17/2015		1/30/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				72	3	75	75
14-212	11/20/2014	11/21/2014	2/18/2015		12/16/2014	1/15/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD				26	30	56	40
14-230	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	2/21/2015		2/9/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	EO				48	32	80	80
14-233	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	3/28/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	3/12/2015	pending	EO	Sustained	Sustained		58	7	65	

From: Beth Mohr
To: Hammer, Robin
Cc: David Ring; Eric H. Cruz; Jeannette V. Baca; Joanne Fine; Leonard Waites; Moira Amado-McCoy; Scott S. Wilson; Susanne Brown; pob; pcash@cabq.gov; pskotchdopole@cabq.gov; dmcdermott@cabq.gov; eoneil@cabq.gov; christopherdavidson@cabq.gov
Subject: Re: Case Status Sheet in Excel
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 5:38:54 PM
Attachments: CPOA Status Report to POB 5-13-15 - MOHR.xlsx

Mr. Cash: Thank-you for all your work on this report. We very much appreciate you getting these into a useable format; I know that Excel isn't your favorite tool yet, but you'll soon be an expert and running circles around all of us on the analysis.

I have taken the liberty of doing a bit of formatting on your reports, Mr. Cash. You had all the information here already, it's just that now the data can be sorted, printed and used for analysis with the tools in Excel, and the categories can be used going forward for additional analysis. Mr. Cash, if you use these going forward, I think you'll find they will make your life much easier. If you have any questions on using these going forward, please feel free to call my cell at 505-450-2818

All: These can be printed for your use now. Please note that some of them are set to print on legal sized paper, you can change the paper size, but I recommend reading glasses be handed out accordingly. -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

On Wed, May 13, 2015 at 2:42 PM, Hammer, Robin <rhammer@cabq.gov> wrote:

POB Members:

Attached is an Excel sheet prepared by CPOA Analyst Paul Cash, pursuant to Board Member Fine and Amado-McCoy's directions.

Sheet 1 contains the pending cases (and the cases reviewed by the POB in March).

Sheet 2 contains the fully investigated and resolved cases (no inactivations/administrative closings) received after June 1, 2012.

Key

Rec. Date=the date the complaint was received by the City of Albuquerque

Assigned=the date the case was given to the investigator.

Due Date=90 days from date of receipt (unless there was an extension for an additional 30 days)

Inv. To ED=the date the investigator gave the case to Robin

ED to APD=the date Robin gave the file to APD

Date to POB=the date the case was heard by the POC/POB

Paul chose to include these additional columns:

Days from Rec. to ED= the amount of days between when we got the case and when it was turned into Robin

Days from ED to APD=the amount of days between when Robin got the case and when the case was given to APD for review

Days from Rec. to APD= total amount of days between receipt of the case and when the case went to APD for review

Days from Rec. to Ltr.=total days between receipt of the case and when the letter was sent to the citizen

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Robin

Robin S. Hammer, Esq.

Acting Executive Director

Civilian Police Oversight Agency

City of Albuquerque

P.O. Box 1293

Albuquerque, NM 87103

(505) 924-3770

Fax: (505) 924-3775

<http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa>

**CPOA Case Status Report
Current Cases**

Case #	Status	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due 90 days	Ext?	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POB	Ltr To Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
11-254	Active	12/30/2011	12/30/2011	3/29/2012						IA			Investigation				
12-167	Active	8/17/2012	8/20/2012	12/14/2012	Y					PS			Search/Seizure				
12-173	Active	8/23/2012	8/24/2012	11/21/2012		12/21/2012	4/28/2015			PS			Investigation	120	858	978	
12-240	Active	12/10/2012	12/11/2012	3/1/2013						IA			Excessive Force				
12-241	Active	12/10/2012	12/11/2012	3/1/2013						IA			Investigation				
13-025	Active	2/17/2013	2/20/2013	5/18/2013		Unk.	N/A			CD			Will be Adm.				
13-065	Active	4/10/2013	4/10/2013	5/11/2013		4/16/2015	N/A			CD			Will be Adm.	736			
13-087	Active	5/2/2013	5/3/2013	7/31/2013						CD			Abuse of Power, Medical				
13-091	Active	5/6/2013	5/8/2013	8/4/2013						CD			Conduct				
13-095	Active	5/10/2013	5/14/2013	8/8/2013						IA			Vehicle				
13-111	Active	6/4/2013	6/6/2013	9/4/2013		5/5/2015				CD			Conduct	700			
13-119	Active	6/12/2013	6/12/2013	9/10/2013						CD			Conduct				
13-122	Active	6/26/2013	6/26/2013	9/8/2013						IA			ATM Stolen				
13-129	Active	6/26/2013	6/28/2013	9/24/2013						CD			Homeless, Conduct				
13-132	Active	6/27/2013	6/28/2013	9/25/2013		4/17/2015	4/28/2015			CD			Homeless, Conduct	659	11	670	
13-142	Active	7/16/2013	7/19/2013	10/14/2013						CD			Reports				
13-159	Active	8/5/2013	8/6/2013	11/4/2013						IA			Domestic Violence				
13-161	Active	8/6/2013	8/8/2013	11/4/2013						CD			Arrest				
13-171	Active	8/14/2013	8/16/2013	11/12/2013						CD			Excessive Force				
13-176	Active	8/16/2013	8/19/2013	11/14/2013						CD			Homeless, Conduct				
13-182	Active	8/23/2013	8/29/2013	11/15/2013		3/10/2015	4/28/2015			CD			Disability	564	49	613	
13-190	Active	9/4/2013	9/5/2013	12/2/2013						CD			Conduct, Arrest				
13-202	Active	9/20/2013	9/24/2013	12/19/2013						CD			Excessive Force				
13-218	Active	10/22/2013	10/23/2013	1/20/2014						CD			Traffic, Conduct				
13-249	Active	12/17/2013	12/17/2013	3/17/2014						CD			Conduct				
13-253	Active	12/23/2013	12/31/2013	3/23/2014						CD			Investigation				
13-255	Active	12/30/2013	12/31/2013	3/30/2014						PS			Civil				
13-257	Active	12/27/2013	1/6/2014	3/27/2014						CD			Search/Seizure				
14-006	Active	1/6/2014	1/14/2014	4/6/2014		N/A				RH			OIS-CPC				
14-009	Active	1/13/2014	1/14/2014	4/13/2014						CD			DWI, Conduct				
14-014	Active	1/20/2014	1/22/2014	4/20/2014		4/22/2015	4/28/2015			CD			Reports	457	6	463	
14-018	Active	1/22/2014	1/24/2014	4/22/2014						CD			Bias, Traffic				
14-021	Active	1/28/2014	1/29/2014	4/28/2014						CD			Accident, Conduct				
14-023	Active	1/29/2014	1/30/2014	5/29/2014	Y					PS			Excessive Force				
14-035	Active	2/20/2014	2/21/2014	5/21/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-038	Active	2/24/2014	2/25/2014	5/25/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-058	Active	3/17/2014	3/18/2014	6/15/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-060	Active	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	7/18/2014	Y					PS			Search/Seizure				
14-061	Active	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	7/18/2014	Y					PS			Search/Seizure				
14-063	Active	3/21/2014	3/26/2014	6/19/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-065	Active	3/25/2014	3/27/2014	6/24/2014						CD			Investigation				
14-069	Active	3/30/2014	4/4/2014	6/28/2014						CD			Excessive Force				
14-076	Active	4/8/2014	4/9/2014	7/7/2014						CD			Excessive Force				
14-081	Active	4/14/2014	4/17/2014	7/13/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-093	Active	5/10/2014	5/15/2014	8/8/2014						CD			Arrest				

CPOA Case Status Report
Current Cases

14-098	Active	5/16/2014	5/20/2014	8/14/2014		N/A				RH		OIS-CPC				
14-103	Active	5/27/2014	5/29/2014	8/25/2014						CD		Conduct				
14-107	Active	6/3/2014	6/3/2014	9/1/2014						IA		Reports				
14-112	Active	6/5/2014	6/5/2014	9/3/2014		Unk.	N/A			IA		Will be Adm.				
14-129	Active	6/30/2014	Unk.	9/28/2014						CD		Investigation				
14-132	Active	7/2/2014	7/7/2014	9/30/2014						CD		Conduct				
14-141	Active	7/18/2014	7/21/2014	10/16/2014		N/A				RH		OIS-CPC				
14-147	Active	7/24/2014	7/25/2014	10/22/2014						CD		Excessive Force				
14-162	Active	8/25/2014	8/26/2014	11/23/2014						CD		Court				
14-170	Active	9/8/2014	9/9/2014	12/7/2014		Unk.	N/A			IA		Will be Adm.				
14-176	Active	9/15/2014	9/23/2014	1/14/2015	Y	4/3/2015	4/28/2015			DM		Investigation	200	25	225	
14-202	Active	11/1/2014	11/3/2014	02/31/15	Y	3/27/2015	4/28/2015			DM		Investigation	146	32	178	
14-204	Active	10/30/2014	11/4/2014	1/27/2015		3/6/2015	4/28/2015			PS		Investigation	127	53	180	
14-205	Active	11/3/2014	11/5/2014	2/1/2015		12/9/2014	N/A			DM		Will be Adm.	36			
14-207	Active	11/5/2014	11/7/2014	3/4/2015	Y	3/21/2015	4/20/2015			PS		Investigation	135	30	165	
14-210	Active	11/17/2014	11/18/2014	2/15/2015		3/21/2015	4/28/2015			PS		Bias	124	38	162	
14-213	Active	11/24/2014	11/25/2014	3/21/2015	Y					PS		Investigation				
14-215	Active	11/30/2014	12/2/2014	2/28/2015						DM		Reports				
14-216	Active	12/3/2014	12/4/2014	3/1/2015		3/23/2015	4/28/2015			PS		Evidence	110	36	146	
14-217	Active	12/3/2014	12/5/2014	4/3/2015	Y	4/2/2015	4/20/2015			PS		Civil	120	18	138	
14-218	Active	12/5/2014	12/8/2014	3/5/2015		4/29/2015				DM		Reports	145			
14-219	Active	12/8/2014	12/9/2014	3/8/2015		3/25/2015	4/20/2015			PS		Reports	107	26	133	
14-221	Active	12/10/2014	12/15/2014	3/10/2015		4/23/2015	4/28/2015			DM		Search/Seizure	134	5	139	
14-222	Active	12/15/2014	12/17/2014	4/14/2015	Y					DM		Excessive Force				
14-223	Active	12/16/2014	12/17/2014	4/15/2015	Y	4/23/2015	4/23/2015			PS		Driving	128		128	
14-224	Active	12/17/2014	12/18/2014	4/16/2015	Y					DM		Conduct				
14-225	Active	12/17/2014	12/22/2014	4/16/2015	Y	4/30/2015				PS		Investigation	134			
14-228	Active	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	4/22/2015	Y	5/5/2015				PS		Excessive Force	133			
14-229	Active	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	3/23/2015		3/16/2015	4/28/2015			DM		Investigation	83	43	126	
14-231	Active	12/29/2014	12/30/2014	3/29/2015		3/27/2015	4/20/2015			PS		Reports	88	24	112	
14-232	Active	12/29/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y					DM		Reports				
14-233	Active	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	09/12/15		EO	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Untruthfulness, Improper Computer Access	58	7	65
15-002	Active	1/5/2015	1/6/2015	4/5/2015						PS		Evidence				
15-003	Active	1/7/2015	1/21/2015	4/7/2015		3/9/2015	4/28/2015			EO		Driving	61	50	111	
15-004	Active	1/14/2015	1/21/2015	5/14/2015	Y					DM		Investigation				
15-005	Active	1/20/2015	1/21/2015	4/20/2015		5/5/2015				PS		Reports	105			
15-006	Active	1/13/2015	1/23/2015	5/13/2015	Y	4/23/2015	5/14/2015			EO	Sustained	Conduct	100	21	121	
15-008	Active	1/20/2015	1/26/2015	4/20/2015						DM		Investigation				
15-009	Active	1/21/2015	1/26/2015	5/21/2015	Y	5/5/2015				PS		Disability	104			
15-012	Active	1/29/2015	2/3/2015	5/29/2015	Y					PS		Driving				
15-013	Active	1/30/2015	2/4/2015	4/30/2015		3/2/2015	4/28/2015			EO		Reports	31	57	88	
15-014	Active	2/3/2015	2/4/2015	5/3/2015		4/16/2015	4/28/2015			DM		Investigation	72	12	84	
15-015	Active	2/4/2015	2/13/2015	6/4/2015	Y					PS		Reports				
15-016	Active	2/9/2015	2/13/2015	6/8/2015	Y	5/1/2015				EO	Sustained	DWI, Conduct	81			
15-017	Active	2/6/2015	2/17/2015	5/6/2015		2/26/2015	N/A			DM		Will be Adm.	20			
15-019	Active	2/17/2015	2/20/2015	5/18/2015		3/10/2015	N/A			EO		Will be Adm.	21			
15-020	Active	2/19/2015	2/20/2015	5/20/2015						DM		Investigation				

CPOA Case Status Report
Current Cases

15-021	Active	2/23/2015	2/27/2015	6/24/2015	Y					PS			Civil, Custody				
15-022	Active	2/24/2015	2/27/2015	5/25/2015			N/A			PS			Will be Adm./ Not APD				
15-023	Active	2/24/2015	2/27/2015	5/25/2015						EO			DWI, Conduct				
15-024	Active	2/25/2015	2/27/2015	5/26/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-025	Active	2/25/2015	2/27/2015	5/26/2015						PS			Civil				
15-026	Active	2/26/2015	2/27/2015	5/27/2015						EO			Arrests				
15-027	Active	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-028	Active	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM			Conduct				
15-029	Active	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-030	Active	2/27/2015	3/9/2015	5/28/2015						IA			License Plate				
15-031	Active	3/7/2015	3/9/2015	6/5/2015						IA			License Plate				
15-032	Active	3/6/2015	3/9/2015	6/4/2015		5/1/2015	N/A			EO			Will be Adm.	56			
15-033	Active	3/10/2015	3/11/2015	6/8/2015		4/9/2015	4/28/2015			DM			Reports	30	19	49	
15-034	New	3/14/2015	3/16/2015	6/12/2015		4/14/2015	N/A			PS			Will be Adm.	31			
15-035	New	3/15/2015	3/17/2015	6/13/2015						EO			Investigation				
15-036	New	3/11/2015	3/17/2015	6/10/2015						DWI			Conduct				
15-037	New	3/17/2015	3/18/2015	6/15/2015						PS			Damage to Vehicle				
15-038	New	3/21/2015	3/26/2015	6/19/2015		3/27/2015	4/28/2015			EO			Improper Information	6	32	38	
15-039	New	3/25/2015	3/27/2015	6/23/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-040	New	3/26/2015	3/27/2015	6/24/2015						IA			Criminal				
15-041	New	3/26/2015	3/27/2015	6/24/2015						EO			Reports				
15-042	New	3/25/2015	3/27/2015	6/23/2015		4/16/2015	N/A			DM			Will be Adm.	22			
15-043	New	3/27/2015	4/1/2015	6/25/2015						PS			Failure Enforce				
15-044	New	3/28/2015	4/1/2015	6/26/2015						EO			Investigation				
15-045	New	3/30/2015	4/1/2015	6/28/2015						DM			Conduct				
15-046	New	3/31/2015	4/1/2015	6/29/2015						PS			Conduct				
15-047	New	4/1/2015	4/6/2015	6/30/2015						EO			Investigation				
15-048	New	4/1/2015	4/6/2015	6/30/2015		4/21/2015	N/A			DM			Will be Adm.	20			
15-049	New	4/2/2015	4/6/2015	7/1/2015						CD			Conduct				
15-050	New	4/3/2015	4/6/2015	7/3/2015						IA			License Plate				
15-051	New	4/11/2015	4/13/2015	7/10/2015						EO			Driving, Conduct				
15-052	New	4/12/2015	4/13/2015	7/11/2015		4/21/2015	N/A			DM			Will be Adm.	9			
15-053	New	4/15/2015	4/17/2015	7/14/2015						CD			Excessive Force				
15-054	New	4/20/2015	4/21/2015	7/19/2015		5/5/2015				PS			Mental Health	15			
15-055	New	4/21/2015	4/23/2015	7/19/2015		5/1/2015				EO			Conduct	10			
15-056	New	4/22/2015	4/24/2015	7/12/2015						DM			Reports				
15-057	New	4/22/2015	4/22/2015	7/21/2015		5/4/2015				CD			Excessive Force	12			
15-058	New	4/23/2015	4/24/2015	7/22/2015						PS			Search/Seizure				
15-059	New	4/28/2015	5/12/2015	7/27/2015						EO			Reports				
15-060	New	5/1/2015	5/12/2015	7/30/2015						DM			Conduct				
15-061	New	5/4/2015	5/12/2015	8/2/2015						CD			Driving				
15-062	New	5/4/2015	5/12/2015	8/2/2015						PS			Will be Adm.				
15-063	New	5/6/2015	5/12/2015	8/4/2015						EO			Evidence				
15-064	New	5/7/2015	5/12/2015	8/5/2015						PS			Reports				
15-065	New	5/8/2015	5/12/2015	8/6/2015						DM			Reports				
14-186	Resolved	10/2/2014	10/6/2014	12/31/2014		3/3/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM	Exonerated	Exonerated	Conduct	152	10	162	162

**CPOA Case Status Report
Current Cases**

14-196	Resolved	10/21/2014	10/23/2014	1/19/2015		2/2/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM	Sustained	Sustained	Investigation, Recording	104	39	143	143
14-198	Resolved	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2015		2/25/2015	2/26/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	PS	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Conduct, Recording	124	1	125	140
14-209	Resolved	11/14/2014	11/18/2014	2/12/2015		2/19/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM	Sustained	Sustained	Conduct, Recording	97	22	119	119
14-230	Resolved	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	3/22/2015		2/9/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	EO	Exonerated	Exonerated	Conduct	48	32	80	80
14-233	Resolved	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	03/12/15	pending	EO	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Untruthfulness, Improper Computer Access	58	7	65	
15-001	Resolved	1/2/2015	1/6/2015	4/2/2015		2/9/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Civil Matter	38			70
15-010	Resolved	1/21/2015	1/26/2015	4/21/2015		2/19/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	EO	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Conduct	29			51
15-018	Resolved	2/14/2015	2/18/2015	5/15/2015		2/19/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	PS	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Conduct	5			27

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
12-106	6/11/2012	6/12/2012	9/9/2012		11/13/2012	4/2/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		155	140	295	424
12-110	6/14/2012	6/15/2012	9/12/2012		3/10/2014	3/18/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				634	8	642	699
12-118	7/7/2012	7/9/2012	10/5/2012		10/31/2012	5/13/2013	6/13/2014	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		116	194	310	342
12-122	7/10/2012	7/10/2012	10/8/2012		10/9/2012	10/16/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	DM				91	372	463	493
12-125	7/13/2012	7/16/2012	10/11/2012		9/7/2012	11/16/2012	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				56	70	126	670
12-126	7/15/2012	7/16/2012	10/13/2012		12/6/2012	5/13/2013	6/14/2013	6/15/2013	DM				144	158	302	335
12-129	7/17/2012	7/17/2012	11/15/2012	Y	1/2/2013	5/13/2013	6/13/2013	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		169	131	300	332
12-132	7/17/2012	12/12/2012	11/15/2012	Y	12/4/2013	1/15/2014	3/13/2014	9/24/2014	DM				505	42	547	799
12-137	7/18/2012	7/23/2012	10/16/2012		12/20/2012	5/15/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		155	146	301	359
12-138	7/19/2012	7/23/2012	11/17/2012	Y	5/2/2013	5/13/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				287	11	298	358
12-142	7/24/2012	7/26/2012	10/22/2012		11/7/2012	5/13/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				106	187	293	353
12-144	7/25/2012	7/27/2014	10/23/2012		10/10/2012	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				77	310	387	443
12-147	7/27/2012	7/30/2012	11/25/2012	Y	4/22/2013	7/1/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM				269	70	339	378
12-150	7/30/2012	7/31/2012	10/28/2012		1/6/2013	3/26/2013	4/11/2013	4/15/2013	PS				160	79	239	259
12-151	7/31/2012	7/31/2012	11/29/2012	Y	11/21/2012	3/25/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		113	124	237	563
12-157	8/6/2012	8/7/2012	11/4/2012		4/22/2013	8/19/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		259	119	378	403
12-159	8/6/2012	8/7/2012	11/4/2012		5/28/2014	8/28/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				660	92	752	777
12-160	8/7/2012	8/7/2012	12/5/2012	Y	4/28/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		629	56	685	765
12-165	8/13/2012	8/14/2012	11/11/2012		5/14/2013	5/23/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				274	9	283	333
12-171	8/21/2012	8/22/2012	12/19/2012	Y	2/21/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		549	124	673	751
12-176	8/28/2012	8/29/2012	11/26/2012		6/20/2014	6/24/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		661	4	665	744
12-181	8/20/2012	8/21/2012	11/18/2012		3/27/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS				584	88	672	770
12-183	9/5/2012	9/7/2012	1/4/2013	Y	12/17/2013	1/14/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		468	28	496	555
12-184	9/7/2012	12/12/2012	1/6/2013	Y	12/3/2013	2/18/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		452	77	529	734
12-185	9/12/2012	9/13/2012	12/11/2012		3/18/2014	6/24/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		552	98	650	667
12-186	9/12/2012	9/17/2012	1/10/2013	Y	10/22/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		405	119	524	576
12-189	9/18/2012	9/19/2012	1/17/2013	Y	5/31/2013	10/16/2013	1/9/2014	1/10/2014	DM				255	138	393	479
12-190	9/19/2012	9/24/2012	12/17/2012		2/19/2014	2/20/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	CD				518	1	519	569
12-198	10/3/2012	10/3/2012	12/1/2013		5/22/2013	5/28/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	PS				231	6	237	282
12-201	10/16/2012	10/22/2012	2/14/2013	Y	3/4/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM				504	111	615	696
12-202	10/15/2012	12/12/2012	2/13/2013	Y	10/14/2013	1/2/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		364	80	444	487
12-203	10/16/2012	12/12/2012	1/14/2013		3/20/2014	6/23/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		520	95	615	668
12-204	10/16/2012	10/19/2012	1/14/2013		4/4/2013	9/26/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	PS				170	175	345	395
12-207	10/23/2012	10/24/2012	2/21/2013	Y	3/4/2013	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		132	581	713	713
12-210	10/29/2012	10/30/2012	1/27/2013		3/18/2013	8/21/2013	12/12/2013	12/13/2013	PS				140	156	296	410
12-214	11/2/2012	11/5/2012	1/31/2013		3/21/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				504	5	509	558
12-216	11/5/2012	11/6/2012	3/3/2013	Y	6/2/2014	6/24/2014	no review	12/19/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		574	22	596	774
12-222	11/13/2012	11/14/2012	3/11/2013	Y	12/30/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				412	50	462	514
12-227	11/15/2012	11/16/2012	2/13/2013		12/12/2013	1/14/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		392	33	425	484

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
12-229	11/26/2012	12/3/2012	2/24/2013		4/1/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		491	83	574	672
12-230	11/27/2012	12/3/2012	2/25/2013		2/26/2014	6/24/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		456	118	574	653
12-233	11/29/2012	12/4/2012	2/27/2013		3/18/2014	7/22/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		474	126	600	652
12-237	12/3/2012	12/7/2012	3/3/2013		10/25/2013	1/15/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		326	82	408	660
12-243	12/11/2012	12/12/2012	3/11/2013		2/7/2013	5/13/2013	6/13/2013	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		58	95	153	185
12-247	12/12/2012	12/13/2012	3/12/2013		4/17/2013	5/23/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				126	36	162	212
12-249	12/17/2012	12/20/2012	3/17/2013		3/10/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		448	105	553	633
12-251	12/17/2012	1/4/2013	3/17/2013		6/12/2013	7/1/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		177	19	196	270
12-258	12/27/2012	12/28/2012	3/27/2013		3/21/2013	7/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		84	491	575	634
12-259	11/26/2012	3/6/2013	2/24/2013		4/2/2013	10/15/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	CD				127	196	323	354
13-008	1/17/2013	1/18/2013	4/17/2013		5/24/2013	5/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		127	4	131	204
13-010	1/23/2013	1/25/2013	5/23/2013	Y	6/13/2013	6/24/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		141	11	152	198
13-017	2/4/2013	2/5/2013	5/5/2013		6/27/2013	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				143	405	548	584
13-019	2/6/2013	2/8/2013	6/7/2013	Y	6/18/2013	1/17/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				132	213	345	373
13-022	2/11/2013	2/12/2013	5/12/2013		8/6/2013	2/18/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		176	196	372	457
13-024	2/17/2013	2/20/2013	5/18/2013		7/1/2013	8/20/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		134	50	184	236
13-029	2/21/2013	2/26/2013	6/22/2013	Y	6/28/2013	2/18/2014	6/12/2014	6/13/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		127	235	362	477
13-030	2/22/2013	2/26/2013	5/23/2013		5/30/2013	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		97	78	175	231
13-033	2/26/2013	3/1/2013	5/27/2013		7/7/2014	8/4/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		496	28	524	563
13-035	2/28/2013	3/1/2013	5/29/2013		6/11/2013	7/1/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		103	20	123	162
13-040	3/12/2013	3/18/2013	6/10/2013		6/20/2013	7/2/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	CD	Sustained	Sustained		100	12	112	185
13-041	3/13/2013	3/14/2013	6/11/2013		6/4/2014	7/11/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				448	37	485	520
13-046	3/19/2013	3/20/2013	7/17/2013	Y	6/27/2013	6/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	1	101	143
13-051	3/19/2013	3/26/2013	6/17/2013		8/16/2013	8/19/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				150	3	153	206
13-052	3/25/2013	3/26/2013	6/23/2013		8/9/2013	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	CD				137	7	144	200
13-053	3/26/2013	3/29/2013	6/24/2013		7/8/2013	1/16/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				104	192	296	325
13-055	3/24/2013	3/25/2013	6/22/2013		5/24/2013	5/31/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	CD	Sustained	Sustained		61	7	68	201
13-056	3/27/2013	4/3/2013	7/25/2013	Y	7/12/2013	1/17/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				107	189	296	324
13-057	3/28/2013	4/3/2013	6/26/2013		10/18/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				204	108	312	351
13-058	4/1/2013	4/2/2013	6/30/2013		9/22/2014	10/10/2014	no review	10/3/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		539	18	557	550
13-059	4/2/2013	4/3/2013	8/1/2013	Y	7/23/2013	7/31/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		112	8	120	164
13-061	4/3/2013	4/4/2013	7/2/2013		6/28/2013	6/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		86	0	86	128
13-064	4/9/2013	4/10/2013	7/8/2013		1/12/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD				643	21	664	664
13-066	4/10/2013	4/15/2013	7/9/2013		5/20/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				40	259	299	338
13-069	4/15/2013	6/17/2013	7/14/2013		8/7/2013	8/19/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				114	12	126	179
13-070	4/15/2013	4/17/2013	7/14/2013		10/29/2013	1/28/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	CD				197	91	288	333
13-075	4/17/2013	4/18/2013	8/16/2013	Y	7/16/2013	2/3/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM				90	202	292	392
13-078	4/19/2013	4/24/2013	7/18/2013		6/24/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				66	224	290	329
13-079	4/22/2013	4/24/2013	7/21/2013		11/10/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		567	16	583	583

CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
13-081	4/24/2013	5/1/2013	7/23/2013		2/3/2014	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				285	0	285	324
13-084	4/30/2013	5/1/2013	7/29/2013		7/10/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				71	208	279	318
13-088	5/2/2013	5/3/2013	7/31/2013		5/28/2013	10/16/2013	11/14/2013	12/13/2013	DM				26	141	167	225
13-092	5/6/2013	5/8/2013	8/4/2013		7/23/2013	8/13/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		78	21	99	130
13-094	5/8/2013	5/9/2013	8/6/2013		11/12/2013	2/6/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	CD				188	86	274	310
13-096	5/12/2013	5/14/2013	8/10/2013		7/17/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				66	201	267	306
13-097	5/13/2013	5/14/2013	8/11/2013		8/6/2013	2/6/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				85	184	269	305
13-099	5/17/2013	5/20/2013	8/15/2013		2/17/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD				641	13	654	654
13-100	5/19/2013	5/21/2013	9/17/2013	Y	8/29/2013	9/23/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		102	25	127	180
13-101	5/23/2013	5/28/2013	8/21/2013		8/13/2013	8/13/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		82	0	82	113
13-102	5/24/2013	5/28/2013	8/22/2013		1/23/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD	Sustained	Sustained		609	38	647	647
13-104	5/27/2013	5/28/2013	9/25/2013	Y	9/4/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				100	167	267	319
13-106	5/28/2013	5/28/2013	8/26/2013		8/13/2013	8/15/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		77	2	79	136
13-107	5/28/2013	6/5/2013	8/26/2013		2/25/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD				638	5	643	643
13-109	5/31/2013	6/6/2013	8/29/2013		8/20/2013	8/29/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		81	9	90	133
13-112	6/5/2013	6/6/2013	10/3/2013	Y	9/13/2013	9/25/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	377	477	476
13-116	6/9/2013	6/11/2013	9/7/2013		8/28/2013	1/16/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS				80	141	221	250
13-120	6/14/2013	6/17/2013	9/12/2013		8/19/2013	6/23/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				66	308	374	427
13-135	7/1/2013	7/1/2013	9/29/2013		10/7/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				98	119	217	256
13-137	7/4/2013	7/8/2013	10/2/2013		7/10/2013	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				6	392	398	434
13-143	7/17/2013	7/19/2013	10/15/2013		8/14/2013	8/15/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		28	1	29	58
13-145	7/17/2013	7/19/2013	10/15/2013		8/26/2013	6/19/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	CD				40	297	337	359
13-147	7/19/2013	7/25/2013	10/17/2013		10/28/2013	2/18/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS				101	113	214	357
13-148	7/19/2013	7/25/2013	10/17/2013		6/24/2014	7/7/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	CD				340	13	353	392
13-149	7/22/2013	7/26/2013	10/20/2013		7/10/2014	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				353	27	380	416
13-150	7/22/2013	7/26/2013	11/20/2013	Y	11/1/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		102	109	211	263
13-151	7/22/2013	7/29/2013	10/20/2013		10/30/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS				100	111	211	263
13-157	8/1/2013	8/2/2013	10/30/2013		10/7/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				67	134	201	253
13-164	8/8/2013	8/13/2013	11/6/2013		11/7/2014	11/25/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD				456	18	474	475
13-165	8/9/2013	8/13/2013	11/7/2013		10/17/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				69	109	178	217
13-168	8/12/2013	8/13/2013	11/10/2013		12/6/2013	12/17/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		116	11	127	186
13-172	8/14/2013	8/16/2013	12/12/2013	Y	11/22/2013	1/16/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	55	155	273
13-174	8/15/2013	8/16/2013	11/13/2013		11/18/2013	12/9/2013	1/9/2014	1/10/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		95	21	116	148
13-178	8/19/2013	8/20/2013	12/17/2013	Y	11/26/2013	3/6/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		99	100	199	268
13-184	8/26/2013	8/30/2013	11/24/2013		11/5/2013	2/18/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				71	105	176	200
13-192	9/5/2013	9/10/2013	12/4/2013		11/13/2013	2/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM				69	97	166	441
13-198	9/16/2013	9/18/2013	12/15/2013		8/28/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				346	27	373	373
13-201	9/19/2013	9/30/2013	12/18/2013		12/16/2013	12/19/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		88	3	91	148
13-207	9/30/2013	10/1/2013	12/29/2013		6/28/2014	9/22/2014	no review	9/22/2014	CD				271	86	357	357

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommendations	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
13-209	9/30/2013	10/7/2013	12/29/2013		10/30/2014	11/15/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD				395	16	411	422
13-217	10/22/2013	10/23/2013	1/20/2014		4/23/2014	8/29/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		183	128	311	324
13-223	10/31/2013	11/4/2013	1/29/2014		2/20/2014	2/24/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		112	4	116	162
13-224	11/1/2013	11/4/2013	1/30/2014		2/13/2014	3/6/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	CD				104	21	125	161
13-232	11/14/2013	11/19/2013	3/13/2014	Y	2/13/2014	6/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		91	133	224	312
13-236	11/19/2013	11/20/2013	2/17/2014		2/25/2014	2/26/2014	6/12/2014	6/13/2014	PS				98	1	99	206
13-242	12/4/2013	12/5/2013	3/4/2014		1/27/2014	6/23/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM				54	147	201	219
13-243	12/4/2013	12/5/2013	3/4/2014		2/25/2014	3/14/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		83	17	100	161
13-246	12/12/2013	12/16/2013	3/12/2014		2/6/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD				421	24	445	445
13-247	12/15/2013	12/17/2013	3/15/2014		2/18/2014	6/23/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM				65	125	190	208
13-248	12/16/2013	12/17/2013	3/16/2014		1/14/2014	1/14/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		29	0	29	60
13-250	12/17/2013	12/18/2013	4/17/2014	Y	3/26/2014	6/2/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		99	68	167	206
13-251	12/10/2013	12/20/2013	3/10/2014		3/25/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				105	1	106	155
13-254	12/24/2013	1/3/2014	4/22/2014	Y	4/11/2014	6/2/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		108	52	160	262
13-258	12/30/2013	1/7/2014	4/28/2014	Y	4/16/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		107	70	177	256
13-259	12/31/2013	1/7/2014	3/31/2014		3/31/2014	6/25/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		90	86	176	192
14-003	1/6/2014	1/6/2014	4/6/2014		4/15/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				99	162	261	261
14-004	1/6/2014	1/6/2014	4/6/2014		4/15/2014	9/22/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				99	160	259	261
14-005	1/8/2014	1/9/2014	4/8/2014		3/19/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		70	7	77	126
14-010	1/13/2014	1/15/2014	4/13/2014		2/21/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM				39	186	225	252
14-016	1/21/2014	1/23/2014	4/21/2014		4/2/2014	6/26/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		71	85	156	234
14-017	1/21/2014	1/23/2014	4/21/2014		3/25/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS				63	92	155	233
14-019	1/23/2014	1/27/2014	5/22/2014	Y	5/8/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM				105	110	215	244
14-025	1/31/2014	2/5/2014	5/30/2014	Y	6/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		137	3	140	234
14-028	2/6/2014	2/7/2014	6/5/2014	Y	6/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		131	3	134	228
14-030	2/11/2014	2/13/2014	5/12/2014		5/13/2014	6/19/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				91	37	128	223
14-036	2/23/2014	2/25/2014	6/22/2014	Y	7/25/2014	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM				152	12	164	200
14-037	2/3/2014	2/25/2014	5/4/2014		5/22/2014	6/19/2014	8/14/2015	8/15/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		108	28	136	558
14-040	2/24/2014	2/25/2014	6/23/2014	Y	9/4/2014	9/9/2014	no review	10/7/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		192	5	197	225
14-042	2/26/2014	2/26/2014	5/27/2014		3/25/2014	3/25/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS				27	0	27	44
14-043	2/28/2014	2/28/2014	5/29/2014		6/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		109	3	112	195
14-048	3/10/2014	3/10/2014	6/8/2014		6/25/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				107	91	198	198
14-051	3/12/2014	3/12/2014	6/10/2014		6/11/2014	6/13/2014	no review	9/12/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		91	2	93	184
14-053	3/11/2014	3/17/2014	6/9/2014		6/28/2014	8/4/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				109	37	146	184
14-057	3/17/2014	3/19/2014	6/15/2014		7/10/2014	8/5/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				115	26	141	189
14-059	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	6/18/2014		3/28/2014	8/5/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				8	130	138	148
14-064	3/24/2014	3/27/2014	6/22/2014		7/30/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM				128	56	184	184
14-065	3/25/2014	3/25/2014	6/23/2014		6/18/2014	6/19/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	PS				85	1	86	143
14-067	3/31/2014	4/2/2014	7/29/2013	Y	12/12/2014	12/12/2014	no review	12/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		256	0	256	266

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
14-068	3/28/2014	3/28/2014	6/26/2013		6/19/2014	6/20/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		83	1	84	105
14-073	4/8/2014	4/8/2014	8/7/2014	Y	7/11/2014	7/21/2014	no review	10/3/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		94	10	104	178
14-077	4/8/2014	4/11/2014	7/7/2014		10/23/2014	11/20/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM				198	28	226	226
14-084	4/19/2014	5/6/2014	7/18/2014		7/23/2014	7/24/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		95	1	96	156
14-087	4/29/2014	5/1/2014	7/28/2014		5/14/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				15	133	148	148
14-089	5/2/2014	5/6/2014	7/31/2014		10/28/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM				179	21	200	202
14-096	5/14/2014	5/20/2014	8/12/2014		8/12/2014	8/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		90	13	103	131
14-097	5/19/2014	5/20/2014	8/17/2014		9/26/2014	9/29/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		130	3	133	133
14-101	5/27/2014	5/27/2014	8/25/2014		7/17/2014	8/5/2014	no review	9/12/2014	PS				51	19	70	108
14-102	5/27/2014	5/29/2014	8/25/2014		8/5/2014	8/28/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM				70	23	93	118
14-108	6/3/2014	6/3/2014	9/1/2014		7/22/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		49	35	84	111
14-109	5/31/2014	6/5/2014	8/29/2014		8/26/2014	9/9/2014	no review	10/7/2014	PS				87	14	101	129
14-115	6/5/2014	6/10/2014	10/3/2014	Y	10/20/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		137	29	166	168
14-116	6/6/2014	6/6/2014	9/4/2014		8/27/2014	9/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		82	29	111	108
14-119	6/10/2014	6/13/2014	9/8/2014		9/8/2014	9/9/2014	no review	9/30/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		90	1	91	112
14-121	6/19/2014	6/20/2014	9/17/2014		9/11/2014	9/12/2014	no review	12/12/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		84	1	85	176
14-123	6/13/2014	6/23/2014	10/11/2014	Y	12/31/2014	1/30/2015	no review	1/30/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		201	30	231	231
14-127	6/23/2014	6/24/2014	10/21/2014	Y	12/4/2014	12/19/2014	no review	12/19/2014	DM				164	15	179	179
14-139	7/14/2014	7/17/2014	10/12/2014		10/9/2014	11/17/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS				87	39	126	129
14-140	7/18/2014	7/21/2014	10/16/2014		11/13/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				118	13	131	131
14-143	7/21/2014	7/22/2014	10/19/2014		9/26/2014	10/1/2014	no review	9/29/2014	CD				67	5	72	70
14-144	7/21/2014	7/22/2014	10/19/2014		8/11/2014	8/25/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		21	14	35	122
14-146	7/24/2014	7/25/2014	10/22/2014		11/25/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	DM				124	34	158	158
14-148	7/28/2014	7/29/2014	10/26/2014		10/3/2014	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	PS				67	3	70	70
14-149	7/29/2014	7/30/2014	10/27/2014		1/8/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				163	25	188	188
14-153	8/4/2014	8/5/2014	11/2/2014		9/22/2014	9/29/2014	no review	9/29/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		49	7	56	56
14-154	8/5/2014	8/5/2014	11/3/2014		11/10/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		97	8	105	107
14-156	8/14/2014	8/19/2014	11/12/2014		10/24/2014	11/19/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				71	26	97	98
14-159	8/20/2014	8/21/2014	11/18/2014		11/19/2014	11/20/2014	no review	1/30/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		91	1	92	163
14-160	8/20/2014	8/21/2014	11/18/2014		11/18/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				90	8	98	98
14-161	8/18/2014	8/27/2014	11/16/2014		10/1/2014	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	DM				44	5	49	49
14-164	8/26/2014	8/27/2014	11/24/2014		11/21/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	PS				87	73	160	160
14-165	8/27/2014	8/28/2014	11/25/2014		10/2/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				36	47	83	85
14-166	8/29/2014	9/2/2014	11/27/2014		12/2/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/19/2014	PS				95	27	122	112
14-167	8/29/2014	9/3/2014	12/26/2014	Y	12/10/2014	12/22/2014	no review	12/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		103	12	115	115
14-168	9/2/2014	9/3/2014	12/1/2014		11/13/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				72	5	77	79
14-169	9/5/2014	9/9/2014	12/4/2014		9/26/2014	10/1/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS				21	5	26	24
14-172	9/9/2014	9/10/2014	12/8/2014		1/27/2015	2/3/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				140	7	147	146
14-173	9/11/2014	9/12/2014	12/10/2014		11/10/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	CD				60	49	109	109

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
14-177	9/18/2014	9/25/2014	12/17/2014		11/14/2014	12/30/2014	no review	12/30/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		57	46	103	103
14-178	9/16/2014	9/25/2014	12/15/2014		12/15/2014	12/20/2014	no review	12/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		90	5	95	97
14-180	9/16/2014	9/25/2014	12/15/2014		12/18/2014	1/16/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		93	29	122	105
14-181	9/19/2014	9/26/2014	12/18/2014		12/18/2014	1/9/2015	no review	12/22/2014	PS				90	22	112	94
14-182	9/20/2014	9/30/2014	12/19/2014		11/20/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				61	6	67	67
14-183	9/25/2014	9/30/2014	12/24/2014		10/17/2014	11/17/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		22	31	53	56
14-184	9/29/2014	9/30/2014	12/28/2014		12/23/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		85	6	91	91
14-186	10/1/2014	10/7/2014	1/28/2015	Y	3/3/2015	3/13/2015	no review	3/13/2015	DM				153	10	163	163
14-187	10/2/2014	10/6/2014	12/31/2014		12/19/2014	1/8/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD				78	20	98	89
14-188	10/3/2014	10/7/2014	1/1/2015		12/11/2014	1/2/2015	no review	12/19/2014	PS				69	22	91	77
14-189	10/3/2014	10/7/2014	1/30/2015	Y	1/30/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				119	3	122	122
14-190	10/3/2014	10/6/2014	1/1/2015		11/25/2014	12/3/2014	no review	12/4/2014	CD				53	8	61	62
14-192	10/7/2014	10/10/2014	1/5/2015		1/6/2015	2/5/2015	no review	1/30/2015	PS				91	30	121	115
14-194	10/15/2014	10/21/2014	1/13/2015		12/10/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD				56	54	110	110
14-195	10/15/2014	10/21/2014	1/13/2015		1/12/2015	1/30/2015	no review	1/30/2015	PS				89	18	107	107
14-196	10/21/2014	10/23/2014	1/19/2015		2/2/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		104	39	143	143
14-197	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2015		11/20/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD	Sustained	Sustained		27	74	101	101
14-198	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2015		2/25/2015	2/26/2015	no review	2/26/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		124	1	125	125
14-200	10/28/2014	10/29/2014	1/26/2015		12/12/2014	1/13/2015	no review	12/29/2014	CD				45	32	77	62
14-203	11/2/2014	11/3/2014	1/31/2015		12/8/2014	1/9/2015	no review	12/19/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		36	32	68	47
14-206	11/4/2014	11/5/2014	2/2/2015		12/1/2014	12/19/2014	no review	12/19/2014	CD				27	18	45	45
14-209	11/14/2014	12/22/2014	3/13/2015	Y	2/9/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		87	32	119	119
14-211	11/19/2014	11/21/2014	2/17/2015		1/30/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				72	3	75	75
14-212	11/20/2014	11/21/2014	2/18/2015		12/16/2014	1/15/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD				26	30	56	40
14-230	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	2/21/2015		2/9/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	EO				48	32	80	80
14-233	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	3/28/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	3/12/2015	pending	EO	Sustained	Sustained		58	7	65	

From: Oney, Kathleen
To: Hammer, Robin; POB
Cc: Yntema IV, Hessel E.
Subject: IPRA's
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 3:25:57 PM
Attachments: image003.png

Hello Robin and POB,

For those of you who don't know me, I am the Public Records Specialist for the City of Albuquerque. Most recently we have had many public record requests for email correspondence within the POB. I am proposing that we go ahead and assign each POB member a CABQ email address that way it is easier for us to pull those emails and you don't have to do them manually yourselves. This would entail me working with our Department of Innovation to create and assign these email addresses to you. This would be a quick turnaround.

Also Robin, I would need you to appoint someone to be the Departmental Records Custodian to handle and respond to these requests on a timely basis.

Please email back your responses, or feel free to call me at 924-3657.

Thank you and have a great day!

Kathleen Oney

IPRA / Codification Specialist
Office of the City Clerk
505-924-3657



From: Beth Mohr
To: Oney, Kathleen
Cc: Hammer, Robin; POB; Yntema IV, Hessel E.
Subject: Re: IPRA's
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 4:41:00 PM
Attachments: Image003.png

Ms. Oney - Thank-you for your suggestion that the POB members get City of Albuquerque emails. I'm sure it would be much easier for the City to respond to IPRA requests if you owned our emails. However, there are a few of issues to consider with this, so I'm inclined to put it on the agenda for the May 19th POB meeting for public discussion. Here are some quick thoughts, in no particular order:

1 - Pragmatically: When I was a CABQ employee, it was a horrible bother getting my CABQ email on my personal "smart" phone. As a volunteer, I MUST have POB email on my phone, and it must be immediately and reliably accessible to me. In the past the City was never able to do that - I just want to confirm that it is no longer a problem to get the CABQ email on an Android device or iPhone, even if person who owns the phone does not own MS Exchange Server information rights.

In terms of security, the CABQ would have access to all POB email, all the time, and the POB volunteer members would have to be given log-in rights to the City of Albuquerque's server.

2- More philosophically: Having an email that with a cabq.gov address very much implies that we are paid City employees, rather than independent volunteers. Generally, rules precluded non-employees from having cabq.gov emails, has that been changed, or will there be some special exception for us? This goes along with the discussion we will already be having about whether or not folks want business card with a City logo. Will we have the option of accepting cabq.gov emails, or is this going to be mandated?

Is there some reason we can't just set up a cabq.gov client, and everyone can set up their individual emails to forward to the client, that way, you'd have everyone's emails all the time, without all of the issues above? I think most folks have Gmail, which can be set up to forward to a POP server or other email client.

I tried to forward my emails in this way, in bulk, but I was unable to get a response from Mr. Yntema that would allow me to forward bulk emails (the Gmail system thought I was trying to SPAM Mr. Yntema, and wouldn't forward anything without his specific permission).

Please let us know the answers to as many of these questions as you can as soon as you can, and we'll discuss this at our meeting on May 19th. We would all like to make the processing of IPRA requests as painless at possible, I'm sure. Assuming we have enough information then to make a decision, any decision regarding emails can be made during that meeting.

Thanks much, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair

M003500

Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

On Wed, May 13, 2015 at 3:25 PM, Oney, Kathleen <koney@cabq.gov> wrote:

Hello Robin and POB,

For those of you who don't know me, I am the Public Records Specialist for the City of Albuquerque. Most recently we have had many public record requests for email correspondence within the POB. I am proposing that we go ahead and assign each POB member a CABQ email address that way it is easier for us to pull those emails and you don't have to do them manually yourselves. This would entail me working with our Department of Innovation to create and assign these email addresses to you. This would be a quick turnaround.

Also Robin, I would need you to appoint someone to be the Departmental Records Custodian to handle and respond to these requests on a timely basis.

Please email back your responses, or feel free to call me at 924-3657.

Thank you and have a great day!

Kathleen Oney

IPRA / Codification Specialist

Office of the City Clerk

505-924-3657



From: Oney, Kathleen
To: Beth Mohr
Cc: Hammer, Robin; POB; Yntema IV, Hessel E.
Subject: RE: IPRA
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2015 8:21:45 AM
Attachments: image003.png
image004.png

Hello Beth,

Thank you very much for explaining the issues at hand with this. After speaking with the City's IPRA Attorney (Hessel Yntema), he suggested that we make a CABQ email for all POB members to CC or BCC on all POB related email correspondence, that way it's still in our CABQ system, and we can avoid all the other issues listed below.

If you could put it on your next available agenda, that would be great.

Let us know. Thank you for your time!

Kathleen Oney

IPRA / Codification Specialist
Office of the City Clerk
505-924-3657



From: Beth Mohr [mailto:cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 4:41 PM
To: Oney, Kathleen
Cc: Hammer, Robin; POB; Yntema IV, Hessel E.
Subject: Re: IPRA

Ms. Oney - Thank-you for your suggestion that the POB members get City of Albuquerque emails. I'm sure it would be much easier for the City to respond to IPRA requests if you owned our emails. However, there are a few of issues to consider with this, so I'm inclined to put it on the agenda for the May 19th POB meeting for public discussion. Here are some quick thoughts, in no particular order:

1 - Pragmatically: When I was a CABQ employee, it was a horrible bother getting my CABQ email on my personal "smart" phone. As a volunteer, I MUST have POB email on my phone, and it must be immediately and reliably accessible to me. In the past the City was never able to do that - I just want to confirm that it is no longer a problem to get the CABQ email on an Android device or iPhone, even if person who owns the phone does not own MS Exchange Server information rights.

In terms of security, the CABQ would have access to all POB email, all the time, and the POB volunteer members would have to be given log-in rights to the City of Albuquerque's server.

2- More philosophically: Having an email that with a cabq.gov address very much implies that we are paid City employees, rather than independent volunteers. Generally, rules precluded non-employees from having cabq.gov emails, has that been changed, or will there be some special exception for us? This goes along with the discussion we will already be having about whether or not folks want business card with a City logo. Will we have the option of accepting cabq.gov emails, or is this going to be mandated?

Is there some reason we can't just set up a cabq.gov client, and everyone can set up their individual emails to forward to the client, that way, you'd have everyone's emails all the time, without all of the issues above? I think most folks have Gmail, which can be set up to forward to a POP server or other email client.

I tried to forward my emails in this way, in bulk, but I was unable to get a response from Mr. Yntema that would allow me to forward bulk emails (the Gmail system thought I was trying to SPAM Mr. Yntema, and wouldn't forward anything without his specific permission).

Please let us know the answers to as many of these questions as you can as soon as you can, and we'll discuss this at our meeting on May 19th. We would all like to make the processing of IPRA requests as painless as possible, I'm sure. Assuming we have enough information then to make a decision, any decision regarding emails can be made during that meeting.

Thanks much, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

On Wed, May 13, 2015 at 3:25 PM, Oney, Kathleen <koney@cabq.gov> wrote:
Hello Robin and POB,

For those of you who don't know me, I am the Public Records Specialist for the City of Albuquerque. Most recently we have had many public record requests for email correspondence within the POB. I am proposing that we go ahead and assign each POB member a CABQ email address that way it is easier for us to pull those emails and you don't have to do them manually yourselves. This would entail me working with our Department of Innovation to create and assign these email addresses to you. This would be a quick turnaround.

Also Robin, I would need you to appoint someone to be the Departmental Records Custodian to handle and respond to these requests on a timely basis.

Please email back your responses, or feel free to call me at 924-3657.

Thank you and have a great day!

Kathleen Oney

IPRA / Codification Specialist

Office of the City Clerk

505-924-3657



From: [Beth A. Mohr](#)
To: [Oney, Kathleen](#)
Cc: [Robin S. Hammer, Esq.](#); [POB](#); [Yntema IV, Hessel E.](#)
Subject: Re: IPRA
Date: Thursday, May 14, 2015 8:28:13 AM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Outstanding, thanks. -B

Beth A. Mohr
Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing what you said couldn't be done. ~Amelia Earhart

Sent with haste from my "smart" phone.

Notice: This email may be subject to disclosure as public record. Please be thoughtful when forwarding or replying to this email.

"Oney, Kathleen" <koney@cabq.gov> wrote:

Hello Beth,

Thank you very much for explaining the issues at hand with this. After speaking with the City's IPRA Attorney (Hessel Yntema), he suggested that we make a CABQ email for all POB members to CC or BCC on all POB related email correspondence, that way it's still in our CABQ system, and we can avoid all the other issues listed below.

If you could put it on your next available agenda, that would be great.

Let us know. Thank you for your time!

Kathleen Oney

IPRA / Codification Specialist

Office of the City Clerk

505-924-3657

M003505



From: Beth Mohr [mailto:cabq.pob.mohr@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 4:41 PM
To: Oney, Kathleen
Cc: Hammer, Robin; POB; Yntema IV, Hessel E.
Subject: Re: IPRA's

Ms. Oney - Thank-you for your suggestion that the POB members get City of Albuquerque emails. I'm sure it would be much easier for the City to respond to IPRA requests if you owned our emails. However, there are a few of issues to consider with this, so I'm inclined to put it on the agenda for the May 19th POB meeting for public discussion. Here are some quick thoughts, in no particular order:

1 - Pragmatically: When I was a CABQ employee, it was a horrible bother getting my CABQ email on my personal "smart" phone. As a volunteer, I MUST have POB email on my phone, and it must be immediately and reliably accessible to me. In the past the City was never able to do that - I just want to confirm that it is no longer a problem to get the CABQ email on an Android device or iPhone, even if person who owns the phone does not own MS Exchange Server information rights.

In terms of security, the CABQ would have access to all POB email, all the time, and the POB volunteer members would have to be given log-in rights to the City of Albuquerque's server.

2- More philosophically: Having an email that with a cabq.gov address very much implies that we are paid City employees, rather than independent volunteers. Generally, rules precluded non-employees from having cabq.gov emails, has that been changed, or will there be some special exception for us? This goes along with the discussion we will already be having about whether or not folks want business card with a City logo. Will we have the option of accepting cabq.gov emails, or is this going to be mandated?

Is there some reason we can't just set up a cabq.gov client, and everyone can set up their individual emails to forward to the client, that way, you'd have everyone's emails all the time, without all of the issues above? I think most folks have Gmail, which can be set up to forward to a POP server or other email client.

I tried to forward my emails in this way, in bulk, but I was unable to get a response from Mr. Yntema that would allow me to forward bulk emails (the Gmail system

thought I was trying to SPAM Mr. Yntema, and wouldn't forward anything without his specific permission).

Please let us know the answers to as many of these questions as you can as soon as you can, and we'll discuss this at our meeting on May 19th. We would all like to make the processing of IPRA requests as painless as possible, I'm sure. Assuming we have enough information then to make a decision, any decision regarding emails can be made during that meeting.

Thanks much, -B

Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart

NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

On Wed, May 13, 2015 at 3:25 PM, Oney, Kathleen <koney@cabq.gov> wrote:

Hello Robin and POB,

For those of you who don't know me, I am the Public Records Specialist for the City of Albuquerque. Most recently we have had many public record requests for email correspondence within the POB. I am proposing that we go ahead and assign each POB member a CABQ email address that way it is easier for us to pull those emails and you don't have to do them manually yourselves. This would entail me working with our Department of Innovation to create and assign these email addresses to you. This would be a quick turnaround.

Also Robin, I would need you to appoint someone to be the Departmental Records Custodian to handle and respond to these requests on a timely basis.

Please email back your responses, or feel free to call me at 924-3657.

Thank you and have a great day!

Kathleen Oney

IPRA / Codification Specialist

Office of the City Clerk

505-924-3657



From: Beth Mohr
To: pob; Hammer, Robin; cabq.pob.cruz@gmail.com; christopherdavidson@cabq.gov; dmcdermott@cabq.gov; dzr3; sonell@cabq.gov; Joanne Fine; jvbaca.pob@gmail.com; Leonard Waites; Moira Amado-McCoy; nbullock@cabq.gov; pcash@cabq.gov; pskotchdopole@cabq.gov; Scott Wilson, POB; susanne.brown37@gmail.com
Subject: Draft Agenda for May 19th Meeting
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 8:07:46 PM
Attachments: [IA-Complaints-Jan-thru-June2014.pdf](#)
[CPOA Status Report to POB 5-13-15 - MOHR.xlsx](#)
[POB Draft Agenda - 05-13-2015.doc](#)
[DRAFT CPOA Director Posting Online v4.docx](#)
[Draft CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY DIRECTOR.docx](#)
[Questionnaire for Albuquerque Police Oversight Board Independent Counsel Applicants.docx](#)

Ms. Hammer & POB:

Please find the enclosed Draft Agenda for our May 19th meeting. Remember, the POB meeting is Tuesday, May 19th this time, not the regular Thursday. Officer Sharon Savaadra cancelled the Citizen Police Academy class, which was most kind; this means we will not fall further behind in our training due to the POB meetings.

Please review this agenda - if there are any proposed changes, please email them to Ms. Hammer and myself. I will have intermittent availability tomorrow, but should be able to look at emails from my "smart" phone. If you could email your proposed changes in a text email, rather than attaching updated documents, that would really help me out. I'll be corresponding with Ms. Hammer and approving those changes on the fly so that she can finalize the agenda and get it posted within the time frame to which we are obligated.

Ms. Hammer, we previously thought that Dr. Jim Ginger would be joining us for this meeting, however, since he is not speaking to City Council at the moment, I assume he will not attend our meeting either, and I have not put him on the agenda. If my assumption is incorrect, please let me know immediately, and let's decide where to fit him into the agenda.

Please note that I am asking APD to report back to us on our request from the first meeting to learn more about their IA Pro Software. I am also asking for them to present and discuss their report titled "IA Complaints, Jan-June 2014". It would be ideal if this could be updated through year-end, but the report (enclosed) is fascinating and worth some discussion. Ms. Hammer, please notify APD of their agenda items. If they will not be prepared with these items, please leave them on the agenda and we'll defer them individually as a full Board.

We will have a discussion of the CPOA Director job description, and after any mark-up, we will adopt that description. The CABQ will post the job within the week, per Mr. Yermal. After the POB's final mark-up, but before the Adoption of the final version - I will entertain public comment regarding the Director job description. This would be an appropriate time for CPOA staff to weigh in on any suggestions that they might have, after the final Board mark-up. POB, Robin & CPOA Staff - please come prepared with your marked up versions to slog through this job description at this meeting. We will also discuss the status of our Independent Counsel, I have enclosed the questionnaire that was sent to our remaining candidates.

Ms. Hammer, Please ensure that Mr. Cash will be available for our discussion of the new CPOA Status Report. I will endeavor to do some modest analysis of the report for discussion purposes; I will try to get that to the Complaint Review Committee over the weekend. If ANY of you would like to volunteer to do this, it is all yours! I've enclosed the most recent report here.

Thank-you all for your work on this Board and your continued confidence in me as Acting Chair. -B


Beth A. Mohr, Acting Chair
Albuquerque Police Oversight Board

Never interrupt someone doing something you said couldn't be done. ~ Amelia Earhart


NOTICE: This email may be subject to disclosure under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. Please be thoughtful forwarding or replying to this email.

CITIZEN POLICE COMPLAINTS JANUARY THROUGH JUNE

STATUS



FINDINGS



Legend

Received: This reflects the total number of complaints persons filed with the IRO against the police from January to prior month.

Inactivated: The IRO closed these complaints without conducting a full investigation. This was done for many reasons. Some cases are inactivated/closed because the IRO did not have jurisdiction to investigate the complaint, i.e. the officer was not employed by APD, or the complaint was filed greater than 90 after the incident. In other cases, the IRO inactivated/closed cases because the matter resolved through a mediated agreement, either formally or informally.

Closed: The number of complaints which the IRO conducted a thorough and impartial investigation and made findings for each alleged violation of APD's Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). Standard Operating Procedures are the rules which APD police officers must follow.

Exonerated: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the incident that occurred was lawful or proper.

Unfounded: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the allegation was false or not based on valid facts.


Not Sustained: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that there was insufficient or not enough evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.

Sustained: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the allegation was supported by sufficient or enough evidence.

Total: Each complaint may allege more than one SOP violation and may involve more than one officer. The IRO separately investigated each allegation against each officer and made findings on all of these alleged violations of SOPs. Therefore the total number of alleged SOP violations would be greater than the number of total complaints received.

INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS JANUARY THROUGH JUNE

STATUS



Legend

Received: Cases that were received to be investigated

Inactivated: Cases that were found to not need a full investigation

Closed: Investigations that were completed to their fullest extent


Exonerated: Act was within Standard Operating Procedure and laws; cleared of wrongdoing

Unfounded: Violation was unproved; baseless, did not occur


Not Sustained: Not enough evidence presented to prove there was a violation or not

Sustained: Violations of Standard Operating Procedure were found

FINDINGS



USE OF FORCE JANUARY THROUGH JUNE



Legend

Received: is equal to the number of Use of Force forms that had an incident date within the noted time frame

Reasonable: Use of Force was found to be within Standard Operating Procedures

Sustained: Use of Force was found to not be within Standard Operating Procedures

Investigate Pending: Use of Force forms that are currently being investigated to determine if the Use of Force was or was not within Standard Operating Procedure

Investigate: Total number of Use of Force forms that required additional investigation

****Use of Force graph is different from Internal Investigations and Citizen Police Complaints because the data comes from a Standard Operating Procedure mandated form that is filled out whe..**

CPOA Case Status Report
Current Cases

Case #	Status	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due 90 days	Ext?	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POB	Ltr To Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommend	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
11-254	Active	12/30/2011	12/30/2011	3/29/2012						IA			Investigation				
12-167	Active	8/17/2012	8/20/2012	12/14/2012	Y					PS			Search/Seizure				
12-173	Active	8/23/2012	8/24/2012	11/21/2012		12/21/2012	4/28/2015			PS			Investigation	120	858	978	
12-240	Active	12/10/2012	12/11/2012	3/1/2013						IA			Excessive Force				
12-241	Active	12/10/2012	12/11/2012	3/1/2013						IA			Investigation				
13-025	Active	2/17/2013	2/20/2013	5/18/2013		Unk.	N/A			IA			Will be Adm.				
13-065	Active	4/10/2013	4/10/2013	5/11/2013		4/16/2015	N/A			CD			Will be Adm.	736			
13-087	Active	5/2/2013	5/3/2013	7/31/2013						CD			Abuse of Power, Medical				
13-091	Active	5/6/2013	5/8/2013	8/4/2013						CD			Conduct				
13-095	Active	5/10/2013	5/14/2013	8/8/2013						IA			Vehicle				
13-111	Active	6/4/2013	6/6/2013	9/4/2013		5/5/2015				CD			Conduct	700			
13-119	Active	6/12/2013	6/12/2013	9/10/2013						CD			Conduct				
13-122	Active	6/26/2013	6/26/2013	9/8/2013						IA			ATM Stolen				
13-129	Active	6/26/2013	6/28/2013	9/24/2013						CD			Homeless, Conduct				
13-132	Active	6/27/2013	6/28/2013	9/25/2013		4/17/2015	4/28/2015			CD			Homeless, Conduct	659	11	670	
13-142	Active	7/16/2013	7/19/2013	10/14/2013						CD			Reports				
13-159	Active	8/5/2013	8/6/2013	11/4/2013						IA			Domestic Violence				
13-161	Active	8/6/2013	8/8/2013	11/4/2013						CD			Arrest				
13-171	Active	8/14/2013	8/16/2013	11/12/2013						CD			Excessive Force				
13-176	Active	8/16/2013	8/19/2013	11/14/2013						CD			Homeless, Conduct				
13-182	Active	8/23/2013	8/29/2013	11/15/2013		3/10/2015	4/28/2015			CD			Disability	564	49	613	
13-190	Active	9/4/2013	9/6/2013	12/2/2013						CD			Conduct, Arrest				
13-202	Active	9/20/2013	9/24/2013	12/19/2013						CD			Excessive Force				
13-218	Active	10/22/2013	10/23/2013	1/20/2014						CD			Traffic, Conduct				
13-249	Active	12/17/2013	12/17/2013	3/17/2014						CD			Conduct				
13-253	Active	12/23/2013	12/31/2013	3/23/2014						CD			Investigation				
13-255	Active	12/30/2013	12/31/2013	3/30/2014						PS			Civil				
13-257	Active	12/27/2013	1/6/2014	3/27/2014						CD			Search/Seizure				
14-006	Active	1/6/2014	1/14/2014	4/6/2014		N/A				RH			OIS-CPC				
14-009	Active	1/13/2014	1/14/2014	4/13/2014						CD			DWI, Conduct				
14-014	Active	1/20/2014	1/22/2014	4/20/2014		4/22/2015	4/28/2015			CD			Reports	457	6	463	
14-018	Active	1/22/2014	1/24/2014	4/22/2014						CD			Bias, Traffic				
14-021	Active	1/28/2014	1/29/2014	4/28/2014						CD			Accident, Conduct				
14-023	Active	1/29/2014	1/30/2014	5/29/2014	Y					PS			Excessive Force				
14-035	Active	2/20/2014	2/21/2014	5/21/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-038	Active	2/24/2014	2/25/2014	5/25/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-058	Active	3/17/2014	3/18/2014	6/15/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-060	Active	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	7/18/2014	Y					PS			Search/Seizure				
14-061	Active	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	7/18/2014	Y					PS			Search/Seizure				
14-063	Active	3/21/2014	3/26/2014	5/19/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-066	Active	3/26/2014	3/27/2014	5/24/2014						CD			Investigation				
14-069	Active	3/30/2014	4/4/2014	6/28/2014						CD			Excessive Force				
14-076	Active	4/8/2014	4/9/2014	7/7/2014						CD			Excessive Force				
14-081	Active	4/14/2014	4/17/2014	7/13/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-093	Active	5/10/2014	5/15/2014	8/8/2014						CD			Arrest				

CPOA Case Status Report
Current Cases

14-098	Active	5/16/2014	5/20/2014	8/14/2014		N/A				RH			OIS-CPC				
14-103	Active	5/27/2014	5/29/2014	8/25/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-107	Active	6/3/2014	6/3/2014	9/1/2014						IA			Reports				
14-112	Active	6/5/2014	6/5/2014	9/3/2014		Unk.	N/A			IA			Will be Adm.				
14-129	Active	6/30/2014	Unk.	9/28/2014						CD			Investigation				
14-132	Active	7/2/2014	7/7/2014	9/30/2014						CD			Conduct				
14-141	Active	7/18/2014	7/21/2014	10/16/2014		N/A				RH			OIS-CPC				
14-147	Active	7/24/2014	7/25/2014	10/22/2014						CD			Excessive Force				
14-162	Active	8/25/2014	8/26/2014	11/23/2014						CD			Court				
14-170	Active	9/8/2014	9/9/2014	12/7/2014		Unk.	N/A			IA			Will be Adm.				
14-176	Active	9/15/2014	9/23/2014	1/14/2015	Y	4/3/2015	4/28/2015			DM			Investigation	200	25	225	
14-202	Active	11/1/2014	11/3/2014	02/31/15	Y	3/27/2015	4/28/2015			DM			Investigation	146	32	178	
14-204	Active	10/30/2014	11/4/2014	1/27/2015		3/6/2015	4/28/2015			PS			Investigation	127	53	180	
14-205	Active	11/3/2014	11/5/2014	2/1/2015		12/9/2014	N/A			DM			Will be Adm.	36			
14-207	Active	11/6/2014	11/7/2014	3/4/2015	Y	3/21/2015	4/20/2015			PS			Investigation	135	30	165	
14-210	Active	11/17/2014	11/18/2014	2/15/2015		3/21/2015	4/28/2015			PS			Bias	124	38	162	
14-213	Active	11/24/2014	11/25/2014	3/21/2015	Y					PS			Investigation				
14-215	Active	11/30/2014	12/2/2014	2/28/2015						DM			Reports				
14-216	Active	12/3/2014	12/4/2014	3/1/2015		3/23/2015	4/28/2015			PS			Evidence	110	36	146	
14-217	Active	12/3/2014	12/5/2014	4/3/2015	Y	4/2/2015	4/20/2015			PS			Civil	120	18	138	
14-218	Active	12/5/2014	12/8/2014	3/5/2015		4/29/2015				DM			Reports	145			
14-219	Active	12/8/2014	12/9/2014	3/8/2015		3/25/2015	4/20/2015			PS			Reports	107	26	133	
14-221	Active	12/10/2014	12/15/2014	3/10/2015		4/23/2015	4/28/2015			DM			Search/Seizure	134	5	139	
14-222	Active	12/15/2014	12/17/2014	4/14/2015	Y					DM			Excessive Force				
14-223	Active	12/16/2014	12/17/2014	4/15/2015	Y	4/23/2015	4/23/2015			PS			Driving	128		128	
14-224	Active	12/17/2014	12/18/2014	4/16/2015	Y					DM			Conduct				
14-225	Active	12/17/2014	12/22/2014	4/16/2015	Y	4/30/2015				PS			Investigation	134			
14-228	Active	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	4/22/2015	Y	5/5/2015				PS			Excessive Force	133			
14-229	Active	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	3/23/2015		3/16/2015	4/28/2015			DM			Investigation	83	43	126	
14-231	Active	12/29/2014	12/30/2014	3/29/2015		3/27/2015	4/20/2015			PS			Reports	88	24	112	
14-232	Active	12/29/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y					DM			Reports				
14-233	Active	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	03/12/15		EO	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Untruthfulness, Improper Computer Access	58	7	65	
15-002	Active	1/5/2015	1/6/2015	4/5/2015						PS			Evidence				
15-003	Active	1/7/2015	1/21/2015	4/7/2015		3/9/2015	4/28/2015			EO			Driving	61	50	111	
15-004	Active	1/14/2015	1/21/2015	5/14/2015	Y					DM			Investigation				
15-005	Active	1/20/2015	1/21/2015	4/20/2015		5/5/2015				PS			Reports	105			
15-006	Active	1/13/2015	1/23/2015	5/13/2015	Y	4/23/2015	5/14/2015			EO	Sustained		Conduct	100	21	121	
15-008	Active	1/20/2015	1/26/2015	4/20/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-009	Active	1/21/2015	1/26/2015	5/21/2015	Y	5/5/2015				PS			Disability	104			
15-012	Active	1/29/2015	2/3/2015	5/29/2015	Y					PS			Driving				
15-013	Active	1/30/2015	2/4/2015	4/30/2015		3/2/2015	4/28/2015			EO			Reports	31	57	88	
15-014	Active	2/3/2015	2/4/2015	5/3/2015		4/16/2015	4/28/2015			DM			Investigation	72	12	84	
15-015	Active	2/4/2015	2/13/2015	6/4/2015	Y					PS			Reports				
15-016	Active	2/9/2015	2/13/2015	6/8/2015	Y	5/1/2015				EO	Sustained		DWI, Conduct	81			
15-017	Active	2/6/2015	2/17/2015	5/6/2015		2/26/2015	N/A			DM			Will be Adm.	20			
15-019	Active	2/17/2015	2/20/2015	5/18/2015		3/10/2015	N/A			EO			Will be Adm.	21			
15-020	Active	2/19/2015	2/20/2015	5/20/2015						DM			Investigation				

CPOA Case Status Report
Current Cases

15-021	Active	2/23/2015	2/27/2015	6/24/2015	Y					PS			Civil, Custody				
15-022	Active	2/24/2015	2/27/2015	5/25/2015			N/A			PS			Will be Adm./ Not APD				
15-023	Active	2/24/2015	2/27/2015	5/25/2015						EO			DWI, Conduct				
15-024	Active	2/25/2015	2/27/2015	5/26/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-025	Active	2/25/2015	2/27/2015	5/26/2015						PS			Civil				
15-026	Active	2/26/2015	2/27/2015	5/27/2015						EO			Arrests				
15-027	Active	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-028	Active	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM			Conduct				
15-029	Active	2/27/2015	3/3/2015	5/28/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-030	Active	2/27/2015	3/9/2015	5/28/2015						IA			License Plate				
15-031	Active	3/7/2015	3/9/2015	6/5/2015						IA			License Plate				
15-032	Active	3/6/2015	3/9/2015	6/4/2015		5/1/2015	N/A			EO			Will be Adm.	56			
15-033	Active	3/10/2015	3/11/2015	6/8/2015		4/9/2015	4/28/2015			DM			Reports	30	19	49	
15-034	New	3/14/2015	3/16/2015	6/12/2015		4/14/2015	N/A			PS			Will be Adm.	31			
15-035	New	3/15/2015	3/17/2015	6/13/2015						EO			Investigation				
15-036	New	3/11/2015	3/17/2015	6/10/2015						DM			Conduct				
15-037	New	3/17/2015	3/18/2015	6/15/2015						PS			Damage to Vehicle				
15-038	New	3/21/2015	3/26/2015	6/19/2015		3/27/2015	4/28/2015			EO			Improper Information	6	32	38	
15-039	New	3/25/2015	3/27/2015	6/23/2015						DM			Investigation				
15-040	New	3/26/2015	3/27/2015	6/24/2015						IA			Criminal				
15-041	New	3/26/2015	3/27/2015	6/24/2015						EO			Reports				
15-042	New	3/25/2015	3/27/2015	6/23/2015		4/16/2015	N/A			DM			Will be Adm.	22			
15-043	New	3/27/2015	4/1/2015	6/25/2015						PS			Failure Enforce				
15-044	New	3/28/2015	4/1/2015	6/26/2015						EO			Investigation				
15-045	New	3/30/2015	4/1/2015	6/28/2015						DM			Conduct				
15-046	New	3/31/2015	4/1/2015	6/29/2015						PS			Conduct				
15-047	New	4/1/2015	4/6/2015	6/30/2015						EO			Investigation				
15-048	New	4/1/2015	4/6/2015	6/30/2015		4/21/2015	N/A			DM			Will be Adm.	20			
15-049	New	4/2/2015	4/6/2015	7/1/2015						CD			Conduct				
15-050	New	4/3/2015	4/6/2015	7/3/2015						IA			License Plate				
15-051	New	4/11/2015	4/13/2015	7/10/2015						EO			Driving, Conduct				
15-052	New	4/12/2015	4/13/2015	7/11/2015		4/21/2015	N/A			DM			Will be Adm.	9			
15-053	New	4/15/2015	4/17/2015	7/14/2015						CD			Excessive Force				
15-054	New	4/20/2015	4/21/2015	7/19/2015		5/5/2015				PS			Mental Health	15			
15-055	New	4/21/2015	4/23/2015	7/19/2015		5/1/2015				EO			Conduct	10			
15-056	New	4/22/2015	4/24/2015	7/12/2015						DM			Reports				
15-057	New	4/22/2015	4/22/2015	7/21/2015		5/4/2015				CD			Excessive Force	12			
15-058	New	4/23/2015	4/24/2015	7/22/2015						PS			Search/Seizure				
15-059	New	4/28/2015	5/12/2015	7/27/2015						EO			Reports				
15-060	New	5/1/2015	5/12/2015	7/30/2015						DM			Conduct				
15-061	New	5/4/2015	5/12/2015	8/2/2015						CD			Driving				
15-062	New	5/4/2015	5/12/2015	8/2/2015						PS			Will be Adm.				
15-063	New	5/6/2015	5/12/2015	8/4/2015						EO			Evidence				
15-064	New	5/7/2015	5/12/2015	8/5/2015						PS			Reports				
15-065	New	5/8/2015	5/12/2015	8/6/2015						DM			Reports				
14-186	Resolved	10/2/2014	10/6/2014	12/31/2014		3/3/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM	Exonerated	Exonerated	Conduct	152	10	162	162

**CPOA Case Status Report
Current Cases**

14-196	Resolved	10/21/2014	10/23/2014	1/19/2014		2/2/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM	Sustained	Sustained	Investigation, Recording	104	39	143	143
14-198	Resolved	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2014		2/25/2015	2/26/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	PS	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Conduct, Recording	124	1	125	140
14-209	Resolved	11/14/2014	11/18/2014	2/12/2015		2/19/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM	Sustained	Sustained	Conduct, Recording	97	22	119	119
14-230	Resolved	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	3/22/2015		2/9/2015	3/13/2015	03/12/15	03/13/15	EO	Exonerated	Exonerated	Conduct	48	32	80	80
14-233	Resolved	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	4/29/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	03/12/15	pending	EO	Sustained	No Ltr. Sent	Untruthfulness, Improper Computer Access	58	7	65	
15-001	Resolved	1/2/2015	1/6/2015	4/2/2015		2/9/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	DM	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Civil Matter	38			70
15-010	Resolved	1/21/2015	1/26/2015	4/21/2015		2/19/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	EO	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Conduct	29			51
15-018	Resolved	2/14/2015	2/18/2015	5/15/2015		2/19/2015	N/A	03/12/15	03/13/15	PS	Admin. Closed	Admin. Closed	Conduct	5			27

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommend	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
12-106	6/11/2012	6/12/2012	9/9/2012		11/13/2012	4/2/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		155	140	295	424
12-110	6/14/2012	6/15/2012	9/12/2012		3/10/2014	3/18/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				634	8	642	699
12-118	7/7/2012	7/9/2012	10/5/2012		10/31/2012	5/13/2013	6/13/2014	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		116	194	310	342
12-122	7/10/2012	7/10/2012	10/8/2012		10/9/2012	10/16/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	DM				91	372	463	493
12-125	7/13/2012	7/16/2012	10/11/2012		9/7/2012	11/16/2012	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				56	70	126	670
12-126	7/15/2012	7/16/2012	10/13/2012		12/6/2012	5/13/2013	6/14/2013	6/15/2013	DM				144	158	302	335
12-129	7/17/2012	7/17/2012	11/15/2012	Y	1/2/2013	5/13/2013	6/13/2013	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		169	131	300	332
12-132	7/17/2012	12/12/2012	11/15/2012	Y	12/4/2013	1/15/2014	3/13/2014	9/24/2014	DM				505	42	547	799
12-137	7/18/2012	7/23/2012	10/16/2012		12/20/2012	5/15/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		155	146	301	359
12-138	7/19/2012	7/23/2012	11/17/2012	Y	5/2/2013	5/13/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				287	11	298	358
12-142	7/24/2012	7/26/2012	10/22/2012		11/7/2012	5/13/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				106	187	293	353
12-144	7/25/2012	7/27/2014	10/23/2012		10/10/2012	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				77	310	387	443
12-147	7/27/2012	7/30/2012	11/25/2012	Y	4/22/2013	7/1/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM				269	70	339	378
12-150	7/30/2012	7/31/2012	10/28/2012		1/6/2013	3/26/2013	4/11/2013	4/15/2013	PS				160	79	239	259
12-151	7/31/2012	7/31/2012	11/29/2012	Y	11/21/2012	3/25/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		113	124	237	563
12-157	8/6/2012	8/7/2012	11/4/2012		4/22/2013	8/19/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		259	119	378	403
12-159	8/6/2012	8/7/2012	11/4/2012		5/28/2014	8/28/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				660	92	752	777
12-160	8/7/2012	8/7/2012	12/5/2012	Y	4/28/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		629	56	685	765
12-165	8/13/2012	8/14/2012	11/11/2012		5/14/2013	5/23/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				274	9	283	333
12-171	8/21/2012	8/22/2012	12/19/2012	Y	2/21/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		549	124	673	751
12-176	8/28/2012	8/29/2012	11/26/2012		6/20/2014	6/24/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		661	4	665	744
12-181	8/20/2012	8/21/2012	11/18/2012		3/27/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS				584	88	672	770
12-183	9/5/2012	9/7/2012	1/4/2013	Y	12/17/2013	1/14/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		468	28	496	555
12-184	9/7/2012	12/12/2012	1/6/2013	Y	12/3/2013	2/18/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		452	77	529	734
12-185	9/12/2012	9/13/2012	12/11/2012		3/18/2014	6/24/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		552	98	650	667
12-186	9/12/2012	9/17/2012	1/10/2013	Y	10/22/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		405	119	524	576
12-189	9/18/2012	9/19/2012	1/17/2013	Y	5/31/2013	10/16/2013	1/9/2014	1/10/2014	DM				255	138	393	479
12-190	9/19/2012	9/24/2012	12/17/2012		2/19/2014	2/20/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	CD				518	1	519	569
12-198	10/3/2012	10/3/2012	12/1/2013		5/22/2013	5/28/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	PS				231	6	237	282
12-201	10/16/2012	10/22/2012	2/14/2013	Y	3/4/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM				504	111	615	696
12-202	10/15/2012	12/12/2012	2/13/2013	Y	10/14/2013	1/2/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		364	80	444	487
12-203	10/16/2012	12/12/2012	1/14/2013		3/20/2014	6/23/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		520	95	615	668
12-204	10/16/2012	10/19/2012	1/14/2013		4/4/2013	9/26/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	PS				170	175	345	395
12-207	10/23/2012	10/24/2012	2/21/2013	Y	3/4/2013	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		132	581	713	713
12-210	10/29/2012	10/30/2012	1/27/2013		3/18/2013	8/21/2013	12/12/2013	12/13/2013	PS				140	156	296	410
12-214	11/2/2012	11/5/2012	1/31/2013		3/21/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				504	5	509	558
12-216	11/5/2012	11/6/2012	3/3/2013	Y	6/2/2014	6/24/2014	no review	12/19/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		574	22	596	774
12-222	11/13/2012	11/14/2012	3/11/2013	Y	12/30/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				412	50	462	514

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommend	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
12-227	11/15/2012	11/16/2012	2/13/2013		12/12/2013	1/14/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		392	33	425	484
12-229	11/26/2012	12/3/2012	2/24/2013		4/1/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		491	83	574	672
12-230	11/27/2012	12/3/2012	2/25/2013		2/26/2014	6/24/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		456	118	574	653
12-233	11/29/2012	12/4/2012	2/27/2013		3/18/2014	7/22/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		474	126	600	652
12-237	12/3/2012	12/7/2012	3/3/2013		10/25/2013	1/15/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		326	82	408	660
12-243	12/11/2012	12/12/2012	3/11/2013		2/7/2013	5/13/2013	6/13/2013	6/14/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		58	95	153	185
12-247	12/12/2012	12/13/2012	3/12/2013		4/17/2013	5/23/2013	7/11/2013	7/12/2013	DM				126	36	162	212
12-249	12/17/2012	12/20/2012	3/17/2013		3/10/2014	6/23/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		448	105	553	633
12-251	12/17/2012	1/4/2013	3/17/2013		6/12/2013	7/1/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		177	19	196	270
12-258	12/27/2012	12/28/2012	3/27/2013		3/21/2013	7/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		84	491	575	634
12-259	11/26/2012	3/6/2013	2/24/2013		4/2/2013	10/15/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	CD				127	196	323	354
13-008	1/17/2013	1/18/2013	4/17/2013		5/24/2013	5/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		127	4	131	204
13-010	1/23/2013	1/25/2013	5/23/2013	Y	6/13/2013	6/24/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		141	11	152	198
13-017	2/4/2013	2/5/2013	5/5/2013		6/27/2013	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				143	405	548	584
13-019	2/6/2013	2/8/2013	6/7/2013	Y	6/18/2013	1/17/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				132	213	345	373
13-022	2/11/2013	2/12/2013	5/12/2013		8/6/2013	2/18/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		176	196	372	457
13-024	2/17/2013	2/20/2013	5/18/2013		7/1/2013	8/20/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		134	50	184	236
13-029	2/21/2013	2/26/2013	6/22/2013	Y	6/28/2013	2/18/2014	6/12/2014	6/13/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		127	235	362	477
13-030	2/22/2013	2/26/2013	5/23/2013		5/30/2013	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		97	78	175	231
13-033	2/26/2013	3/1/2013	5/27/2013		7/7/2014	8/4/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		496	28	524	563
13-035	2/28/2013	3/1/2013	5/29/2013		6/11/2013	7/1/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		103	20	123	162
13-040	3/12/2013	3/18/2013	6/10/2013		6/20/2013	7/2/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	CD	Sustained	Sustained		100	12	112	185
13-041	3/13/2013	3/14/2013	6/11/2013		6/4/2014	7/11/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				448	37	485	520
13-046	3/19/2013	3/20/2013	7/17/2013	Y	6/27/2013	6/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	1	101	143
13-051	3/19/2013	3/26/2013	6/17/2013		8/16/2013	8/19/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				150	3	153	206
13-052	3/25/2013	3/26/2013	6/23/2013		8/9/2013	8/16/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	CD				137	7	144	200
13-053	3/26/2013	3/29/2013	6/24/2013		7/8/2013	1/16/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				104	192	296	325
13-055	3/24/2013	3/25/2013	6/22/2013		5/24/2013	5/31/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	CD	Sustained	Sustained		61	7	68	201
13-056	3/27/2013	4/3/2013	7/25/2013	Y	7/12/2013	1/17/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	DM				107	189	296	324
13-057	3/28/2013	4/3/2013	6/26/2013		10/18/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				204	108	312	351
13-058	4/1/2013	4/2/2013	6/30/2013		9/22/2014	10/10/2014	no review	10/3/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		539	18	557	550
13-059	4/2/2013	4/3/2013	8/1/2013	Y	7/23/2013	7/31/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		112	8	120	164
13-061	4/3/2013	4/4/2013	7/2/2013		6/28/2013	6/28/2013	8/8/2013	8/9/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		86	0	86	128
13-064	4/9/2013	4/10/2013	7/8/2013		1/12/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD				643	21	664	664
13-066	4/10/2013	4/15/2013	7/9/2013		5/20/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				40	259	299	338
13-069	4/15/2013	6/17/2013	7/14/2013		8/7/2013	8/19/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS				114	12	126	179
13-070	4/15/2013	4/17/2013	7/14/2013		10/29/2013	1/28/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	CD				197	91	288	333
13-075	4/17/2013	4/18/2013	8/16/2013	Y	7/16/2013	2/3/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM				90	202	292	392

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommend	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
13-078	4/19/2013	4/24/2013	7/18/2013		6/24/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				66	224	290	329
13-079	4/22/2013	4/24/2013	7/21/2013		11/10/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		567	16	583	583
13-081	4/24/2013	5/1/2013	7/23/2013		2/3/2014	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				285	0	285	324
13-084	4/30/2013	5/1/2013	7/29/2013		7/10/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				71	208	279	318
13-088	5/2/2013	5/3/2013	7/31/2013		5/28/2013	10/16/2013	11/14/2013	12/13/2013	DM				26	141	167	225
13-092	5/6/2013	5/8/2013	8/4/2013		7/23/2013	8/13/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		78	21	99	130
13-094	5/8/2013	5/9/2013	8/6/2013		11/12/2013	2/6/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	CD				188	86	274	310
13-096	5/12/2013	5/14/2013	8/10/2013		7/17/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				66	201	267	306
13-097	5/13/2013	5/14/2013	8/11/2013		8/6/2013	2/6/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				85	184	269	305
13-099	5/17/2013	5/20/2013	8/15/2013		2/17/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD				641	13	654	654
13-100	5/19/2013	5/21/2013	9/17/2013	Y	8/29/2013	9/23/2013	11/14/2013	11/15/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		102	25	127	180
13-101	5/23/2013	5/28/2013	8/21/2013		8/13/2013	8/13/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		82	0	82	113
13-102	5/24/2013	5/28/2013	8/22/2013		1/23/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD	Sustained	Sustained		609	38	647	647
13-104	5/27/2013	5/28/2013	9/25/2013	Y	9/4/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				100	167	267	319
13-106	5/28/2013	5/28/2013	8/26/2013		8/13/2013	8/15/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		77	2	79	136
13-107	5/28/2013	6/5/2013	8/26/2013		2/25/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD				638	5	643	643
13-109	5/31/2013	6/6/2013	8/29/2013		8/20/2013	8/29/2013	10/10/2013	10/11/2013	PS	Sustained	Sustained		81	9	90	133
13-112	6/5/2013	6/6/2013	10/3/2013	Y	9/13/2013	9/25/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	377	477	476
13-116	6/9/2013	6/11/2013	9/7/2013		8/28/2013	1/16/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS				80	141	221	250
13-120	6/14/2013	6/17/2013	9/12/2013		8/19/2013	6/23/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				66	308	374	427
13-135	7/1/2013	7/1/2013	9/29/2013		10/7/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	PS				98	119	217	256
13-137	7/4/2013	7/8/2013	10/2/2013		7/10/2013	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				6	392	398	434
13-143	7/17/2013	7/19/2013	10/15/2013		8/14/2013	8/15/2013	9/12/2013	9/13/2013	DM	Sustained	Sustained		28	1	29	58
13-145	7/17/2013	7/19/2013	10/15/2013		8/26/2013	6/19/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	CD				40	297	337	359
13-147	7/19/2013	7/25/2013	10/17/2013		10/28/2013	2/18/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS				101	113	214	357
13-148	7/19/2013	7/25/2013	10/17/2013		6/24/2014	7/7/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	CD				340	13	353	392
13-149	7/22/2013	7/26/2013	10/20/2013		7/10/2014	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				353	27	380	416
13-150	7/22/2013	7/26/2013	11/20/2013	Y	11/1/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		102	109	211	263
13-151	7/22/2013	7/29/2013	10/20/2013		10/30/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS				100	111	211	263
13-157	8/1/2013	8/2/2013	10/30/2013		10/7/2013	2/18/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	DM				67	134	201	253
13-164	8/8/2013	8/13/2013	11/6/2013		11/7/2014	11/25/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD				456	18	474	475
13-165	8/9/2013	8/13/2013	11/7/2013		10/17/2013	2/3/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				69	109	178	217
13-168	8/12/2013	8/13/2013	11/10/2013		12/6/2013	12/17/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		116	11	127	186
13-172	8/14/2013	8/16/2013	12/12/2013	Y	11/22/2013	1/16/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		100	55	155	273
13-174	8/15/2013	8/16/2013	11/13/2013		11/18/2013	12/9/2013	1/9/2014	1/10/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		95	21	116	148
13-178	8/19/2013	8/20/2013	12/17/2013	Y	11/26/2013	3/6/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		99	100	199	268
13-184	8/26/2013	8/30/2013	11/24/2013		11/5/2013	2/18/2014	3/13/2014	3/14/2014	DM				71	105	176	200
13-192	9/5/2013	9/10/2013	12/4/2013		11/13/2013	2/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM				69	97	166	441

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommend	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
13-198	9/16/2013	9/18/2013	12/15/2013		8/28/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				346	27	373	373
13-201	9/19/2013	9/30/2013	12/18/2013		12/16/2013	12/19/2013	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		88	3	91	148
13-207	9/30/2013	10/1/2013	12/29/2013		6/28/2014	9/22/2014	no review	9/22/2014	CD				271	86	357	357
13-209	9/30/2013	10/7/2013	12/29/2013		10/30/2014	11/15/2014	no review	11/26/2014	CD				395	16	411	422
13-217	10/22/2013	10/23/2013	1/20/2014		4/23/2014	8/29/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		183	128	311	324
13-223	10/31/2013	11/4/2013	1/29/2014		2/20/2014	2/24/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		112	4	116	162
13-224	11/1/2013	11/4/2013	1/30/2014		2/13/2014	3/6/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	CD				104	21	125	161
13-232	11/14/2013	11/19/2013	3/13/2014	Y	2/13/2014	6/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		91	133	224	312
13-236	11/19/2013	11/20/2013	2/17/2014		2/25/2014	2/26/2014	6/12/2014	6/13/2014	PS				98	1	99	206
13-242	12/4/2013	12/5/2013	3/4/2014		1/27/2014	6/23/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM				54	147	201	219
13-243	12/4/2013	12/5/2013	3/4/2014		2/25/2014	3/14/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		83	17	100	161
13-246	12/12/2013	12/16/2013	3/12/2014		2/6/2015	3/2/2015	no review	3/2/2015	CD				421	24	445	445
13-247	12/15/2013	12/17/2013	3/15/2014		2/18/2014	6/23/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM				65	125	190	208
13-248	12/16/2013	12/17/2013	3/16/2014		1/14/2014	1/14/2014	2/13/2014	2/14/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		29	0	29	60
13-250	12/17/2013	12/18/2013	4/17/2014	Y	3/26/2014	6/2/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		99	68	167	206
13-251	12/10/2013	12/20/2013	3/10/2014		3/25/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	PS				105	1	106	155
13-254	12/24/2013	1/3/2014	4/22/2014	Y	4/11/2014	6/2/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		108	52	160	262
13-258	12/30/2013	1/7/2014	4/28/2014	Y	4/16/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		107	70	177	256
13-259	12/31/2013	1/7/2014	3/31/2014		3/31/2014	6/25/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		90	86	176	192
14-003	1/6/2014	1/6/2014	4/6/2014		4/15/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				99	162	261	261
14-004	1/6/2014	1/6/2014	4/6/2014		4/15/2014	9/22/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				99	160	259	261
14-005	1/8/2014	1/9/2014	4/8/2014		3/19/2014	3/26/2014	5/13/2014	5/14/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		70	7	77	126
14-010	1/13/2014	1/15/2014	4/13/2014		2/21/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM				39	186	225	252
14-016	1/21/2014	1/23/2014	4/21/2014		4/2/2014	6/26/2014	no review	9/12/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		71	85	156	234
14-017	1/21/2014	1/23/2014	4/21/2014		3/25/2014	6/25/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS				63	92	155	233
14-019	1/23/2014	1/27/2014	5/22/2014	Y	5/8/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM				105	110	215	244
14-025	1/31/2014	2/5/2014	5/30/2014	Y	6/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		137	3	140	234
14-028	2/6/2014	2/7/2014	6/5/2014	Y	6/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		131	3	134	228
14-030	2/11/2014	2/13/2014	5/12/2014		5/13/2014	6/19/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				91	37	128	223
14-036	2/23/2014	2/25/2014	6/22/2014	Y	7/25/2014	8/6/2014	no review	9/11/2014	DM				152	12	164	200
14-037	2/3/2014	2/25/2014	5/4/2014		5/22/2014	6/19/2014	8/14/2015	8/15/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		108	28	136	558
14-040	2/24/2014	2/25/2014	6/23/2014	Y	9/4/2014	9/9/2014	no review	10/7/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		192	5	197	225
14-042	2/26/2014	2/26/2014	5/27/2014		3/25/2014	3/25/2014	4/10/2014	4/11/2014	PS				27	0	27	44
14-043	2/28/2014	2/28/2014	5/29/2014		6/17/2014	6/20/2014	no review	9/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		109	3	112	195
14-048	3/10/2014	3/10/2014	6/8/2014		6/25/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				107	91	198	198
14-051	3/12/2014	3/12/2014	6/10/2014		6/11/2014	6/13/2014	no review	9/12/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		91	2	93	184
14-053	3/11/2014	3/17/2014	6/9/2014		6/28/2014	8/4/2014	no review	9/11/2014	CD				109	37	146	184
14-057	3/17/2014	3/19/2014	6/15/2014		7/10/2014	8/5/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS				115	26	141	189

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommends	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
14-059	3/20/2014	3/21/2014	6/18/2014		3/28/2014	8/5/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	DM				8	130	138	148
14-064	3/24/2014	3/27/2014	6/22/2014		7/30/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	DM				128	56	184	184
14-065	3/25/2014	3/25/2014	6/23/2014		6/18/2014	6/19/2014	8/14/2014	8/15/2014	PS				85	1	86	143
14-067	3/31/2014	4/2/2014	7/29/2013	Y	12/12/2014	12/12/2014	no review	12/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		256	0	256	266
14-068	3/28/2014	3/28/2014	6/26/2013		6/19/2014	6/20/2014	7/10/2014	7/11/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		83	1	84	105
14-073	4/8/2014	4/8/2014	8/7/2014	Y	7/11/2014	7/21/2014	no review	10/3/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		94	10	104	178
14-077	4/8/2014	4/11/2014	7/7/2014		10/23/2014	11/20/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM				198	28	226	226
14-084	4/19/2014	5/6/2014	7/18/2014		7/23/2014	7/24/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		95	1	96	156
14-087	4/29/2014	5/1/2014	7/28/2014		5/14/2014	9/24/2014	no review	9/24/2014	CD				15	133	148	148
14-089	5/2/2014	5/6/2014	7/31/2014		10/28/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM				179	21	200	202
14-096	5/14/2014	5/20/2014	8/12/2014		8/12/2014	8/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		90	13	103	131
14-097	5/19/2014	5/20/2014	8/17/2014		9/26/2014	9/29/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		130	3	133	133
14-101	5/27/2014	5/27/2014	8/25/2014		7/17/2014	8/5/2014	no review	9/12/2014	PS				51	19	70	108
14-102	5/27/2014	5/29/2014	8/25/2014		8/5/2014	8/28/2014	no review	9/22/2014	DM				70	23	93	118
14-108	6/3/2014	6/3/2014	9/1/2014		7/22/2014	8/26/2014	no review	9/22/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		49	35	84	111
14-109	5/31/2014	6/5/2014	8/29/2014		8/26/2014	9/9/2014	no review	10/7/2014	PS				87	14	101	129
14-115	6/5/2014	6/10/2014	10/3/2014	Y	10/20/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		137	29	166	168
14-116	6/6/2014	6/6/2014	9/4/2014		8/27/2014	9/25/2014	no review	9/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		82	29	111	108
14-119	6/10/2014	6/13/2014	9/8/2014		9/8/2014	9/9/2014	no review	9/30/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		90	1	91	112
14-121	6/19/2014	6/20/2014	9/17/2014		9/11/2014	9/12/2014	no review	12/12/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		84	1	85	176
14-123	6/13/2014	6/23/2014	10/11/2014	Y	12/31/2014	1/30/2015	no review	1/30/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		201	30	231	231
14-127	6/23/2014	6/24/2014	10/21/2014	Y	12/4/2014	12/19/2014	no review	12/19/2014	DM				164	15	179	179
14-139	7/14/2014	7/17/2014	10/12/2014		10/9/2014	11/17/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS				87	39	126	129
14-140	7/18/2014	7/21/2014	10/16/2014		11/13/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				118	13	131	131
14-143	7/21/2014	7/22/2014	10/19/2014		9/26/2014	10/1/2014	no review	9/29/2014	CD				67	5	72	70
14-144	7/21/2014	7/22/2014	10/19/2014		8/11/2014	8/25/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		21	14	35	122
14-146	7/24/2014	7/25/2014	10/22/2014		11/25/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	DM				124	34	158	158
14-148	7/28/2014	7/29/2014	10/26/2014		10/3/2014	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	PS				67	3	70	70
14-149	7/29/2014	7/30/2014	10/27/2014		1/8/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				163	25	188	188
14-153	8/4/2014	8/5/2014	11/2/2014		9/22/2014	9/29/2014	no review	9/29/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		49	7	56	56
14-154	8/5/2014	8/5/2014	11/3/2014		11/10/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		97	8	105	107
14-156	8/14/2014	8/19/2014	11/12/2014		10/24/2014	11/19/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				71	26	97	98
14-159	8/20/2014	8/21/2014	11/18/2014		11/19/2014	11/20/2014	no review	1/30/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		91	1	92	163
14-160	8/20/2014	8/21/2014	11/18/2014		11/18/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				90	8	98	98
14-161	8/18/2014	8/27/2014	11/16/2014		10/1/2014	10/6/2014	no review	10/6/2014	DM				44	5	49	49
14-164	8/26/2014	8/27/2014	11/24/2014		11/21/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	PS				87	73	160	160
14-165	8/27/2014	8/28/2014	11/25/2014		10/2/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				36	47	83	85
14-166	8/29/2014	9/2/2014	11/27/2014		12/2/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/19/2014	PS				95	27	122	112

**CPOA Case Status Report
Resolved Cases**

Case #	Rec. Date	Assigned	Due Date	Ext.	Inv. To ED	ED to APD	Date to POC/POB	Ltr to Citizen	Inv.	Staff Recommend	POB Action	Case Summary	Days From Rec. to ED	Days From ED to APD	Days From Rec. to APD	Days From Rec. to Ltr.
14-167	8/29/2014	9/3/2014	12/26/2014	Y	12/10/2014	12/22/2014	no review	12/22/2014	DM	Sustained	Sustained		103	12	115	115
14-168	9/2/2014	9/3/2014	12/1/2014		11/13/2014	11/18/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD				72	5	77	79
14-169	9/5/2014	9/9/2014	12/4/2014		9/26/2014	10/1/2014	no review	9/29/2014	PS				21	5	26	24
14-172	9/9/2014	9/10/2014	12/8/2014		1/27/2015	2/3/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				140	7	147	146
14-173	9/11/2014	9/12/2014	12/10/2014		11/10/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	CD				60	49	109	109
14-177	9/18/2014	9/25/2014	12/17/2014		11/14/2014	12/30/2014	no review	12/30/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		57	46	103	103
14-178	9/16/2014	9/25/2014	12/15/2014		12/15/2014	12/20/2014	no review	12/22/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		90	5	95	97
14-180	9/16/2014	9/25/2014	12/15/2014		12/18/2014	1/16/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		93	29	122	105
14-181	9/19/2014	9/26/2014	12/18/2014		12/18/2014	1/9/2015	no review	12/22/2014	PS				90	22	112	94
14-182	9/20/2014	9/30/2014	12/19/2014		11/20/2014	11/26/2014	no review	11/26/2014	DM				61	6	67	67
14-183	9/25/2014	9/30/2014	12/24/2014		10/17/2014	11/17/2014	no review	11/20/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		22	31	53	56
14-184	9/29/2014	9/30/2014	12/28/2014		12/23/2014	12/29/2014	no review	12/29/2014	PS	Sustained	Sustained		85	6	91	91
14-186	10/1/2014	10/7/2014	1/28/2015	Y	3/3/2015	3/13/2015	no review	3/13/2015	DM				153	10	163	163
14-187	10/2/2014	10/6/2014	12/31/2014		12/19/2014	1/8/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD				78	20	98	89
14-188	10/3/2014	10/7/2014	1/1/2015		12/11/2014	1/2/2015	no review	12/19/2014	PS				69	22	91	77
14-189	10/3/2014	10/7/2014	1/30/2015	Y	1/30/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				119	3	122	122
14-190	10/3/2014	10/6/2014	1/1/2015		11/25/2014	12/3/2014	no review	12/4/2014	CD				53	8	61	62
14-192	10/7/2014	10/10/2014	1/5/2015		1/6/2015	2/5/2015	no review	1/30/2015	PS				91	30	121	115
14-194	10/15/2014	10/21/2014	1/13/2015		12/10/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD				56	54	110	110
14-195	10/15/2014	10/21/2014	1/13/2015		1/12/2015	1/30/2015	no review	1/30/2015	PS				89	18	107	107
14-196	10/21/2014	10/23/2014	1/19/2015		2/2/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		104	39	143	143
14-197	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2015		11/20/2014	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	CD	Sustained	Sustained		27	74	101	101
14-198	10/24/2014	10/27/2014	1/22/2015		2/25/2015	2/26/2015	no review	2/26/2015	PS	Sustained	Sustained		124	1	125	125
14-200	10/28/2014	10/29/2014	1/26/2015		12/12/2014	1/13/2015	no review	12/29/2014	CD				45	32	77	62
14-203	11/2/2014	11/3/2014	1/31/2015		12/8/2014	1/9/2015	no review	12/19/2014	CD	Sustained	Sustained		36	32	68	47
14-206	11/4/2014	11/5/2014	2/2/2015		12/1/2014	12/19/2014	no review	12/19/2014	CD				27	18	45	45
14-209	11/14/2014	12/22/2014	3/13/2015	Y	2/9/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	DM	Sustained	Sustained		87	32	119	119
14-211	11/19/2014	11/21/2014	2/17/2015		1/30/2015	2/2/2015	no review	2/2/2015	DM				72	3	75	75
14-212	11/20/2014	11/21/2014	2/18/2015		12/16/2014	1/15/2015	no review	12/30/2014	CD				26	30	56	40
14-230	12/23/2014	12/30/2014	2/21/2015		2/9/2015	3/13/2015	3/12/2015	3/13/2015	EO				48	32	80	80
14-233	12/30/2014	12/31/2014	3/28/2015	Y	2/26/2015	3/5/2015	3/12/2015	pending	EO	Sustained	Sustained		58	7	65	

CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY

Dr. Moira Amado-McCoy Dr. Jeannette Baca Dr. Susanne Brown
Eric H. Cruz Joanne Fine Beth Mohr
Rev. Dr. David. Ring III Leonard Waites J. Scott Wilson
Robin S. Hammer, Acting Interim Executive Director

POLICE OVERSIGHT BOARD AGENDA

Tuesday, May 19, 2015 – 4:00 PM
Vincent E. Griego Chambers

- I. Welcome and Call to Order:** *Acting Chair, Beth A. Mohr*
- II. Pledge of Allegiance:** *Eric H. Cruz*
- III. Approval of the Agenda**
- IV. Approval of Minutes**
- V. Public Comments**
- VI. Report from the Subcommittees:**
 - A. Complaint Review Subcommittee**
 - Discussion of CPOA Citizen Complaint Process
 - Discussion of CPOA Status Report
 - Discussion of Selection of Complaints for POB Review
 - B. Outreach Subcommittee**
 - Discussion of Outreach issues: business cards, email, and other communication
 - C. Personnel Subcommittee**
 - Discussion of Process to Select Executive Director
 - Discussion, Mark-Up and Adoption of Job Description of CPOA Executive Director
 - Discussion of Personnel Issues – Acting Interim Executive Director
 - D. Consideration of Additional Subcommittees**
- VII. Civil Rights Training**
- VIII. Discussion of Contract to Retain Independent Legal Counsel**
- IX. Citizen Police Complaint Findings by CPOA:**

Consent agenda unless pulled by request of any single POB member:

CPC	12-173	CPC	14-221	CPC	15-019
CPC	13-025	CPC	14-223	CPC	15-022
CPC	13-065	CPC	14-229	CPC	15-033
CPC	13-132	CPC	15-003	CPC	15-034
CPC	13-182	CPC	15-006	CPC	15-038
CPC	14-014	CPC	15-013	CPC	15-042
CPC	14-098	CPC	15-014	CPC	15-048
CPC	14-216	CPC	15-017	CPC	15-052

X. Discussion of letters from POB regarding CPCs from prior meetings

XI. Discussion of POB Ride-Along Protocols

XII. Reports from City Staff:

A. Executive Director – *Report by Acting Interim Executive Director Robin Hammer*

B. SOP Update – *Report by Assistant Lead Investigator Paul Skotchdopole*

C. Mayor's Staff – *Report*

D. City Council Staff– *Report by Council Staff Julian Moya*

E. City Attorney's Staff – *Report by Assistant City Attorney Nicholas Bullock*

F. APD– *Report by APD Command Staff and/or Lt. Jennifer Garcia*

1. Monthly Report of Internal Affairs "I" Cases

2. Status of POB Request regarding information on IA Pro Software

3. Presentation and Discussion of "IA Complaints, Jan-June 2014"

XIII. Discussion to Consider Special Meeting

Special Meeting – Training, Discussion of Mission Statement, CPC Process Work Flow

XIV. Other Business

**XV. Adjournment - Next Regularly Scheduled POB meeting will be on
Thursday, June 11, 2015 at 4:00 PM in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers**

(Dinner break will be taken by POB at ~6:00 pm) during a natural break in the agenda)

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY DIRECTOR

Under the direction and supervision of the Police Oversight Board (POB), the Director supervises and directs the operations of the Civilian Police Oversight Agency (CPOA). The Director oversees, monitors, and reviews all citizen police complaints, serious uses of force including officer-involved shooting cases, and claims directed against officers and employees of the Albuquerque Police Department (APD). The Director will act as lead investigator and supervise the investigative and administrative staff of the CPOA; as well as assigning citizen police complaints to CPOA staff for investigation. The Director will provide recommendations and advice regarding Departmental policies and procedures to the POB; the Director will also provide advice, as appropriate, to the APD, the City Council, and the Mayor. The Director is responsible for the execution of the CPOA staff's duties in line with policy, guidance, and city ordinance. This position is open until filled. For more information or to apply for this position, please go to our website at www.cabq.gov/jobs.

CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY DIRECTOR

Job descriptions are intended to present a general list of tasks/duties performed by employees within this job classification. Job Descriptions are not intended to reflect all duties performed within the job.

POSITION SUMMARY:

Under the direction and supervision of the Police Oversight Board (POB), the Director supervises and directs the operations of the Civilian Police Oversight Agency (CPOA). The Director oversees, monitors, and reviews all citizen police complaints, serious uses of force including officer-involved shooting cases, and claims directed against officers and employees of the Albuquerque Police Department (APD). The Director will act as lead investigator and supervise the investigative and administrative staff of the CPOA; as well as assigning citizen police complaints to CPOA staff for investigation. The Director will provide recommendations and advice regarding Departmental policies and procedures to the POB; the Director will also provide advice, as appropriate, to the APD, the City Council, and the Mayor. The Director is responsible for the execution of the CPOA staff's duties in line with policy, guidance, and city ordinance.

SUPERVISION RECEIVED AND EXERCISED:

Receives direction from, and reports directly to the POB.

Exercises direct supervision over the investigative and administrative staff of the Civilian Police Oversight Agency, and manages the agency, in accordance with the Police Oversight Ordinance; is primarily responsible for all investigations and work product of the CPOA Agency and Agency staff.

ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS:

Essential functions may include, but are not limited to the following:

1. Oversees, reviews, and investigates all citizen police complaints against the officers and employees of the APD and delegates work as necessary to CPOA staff.
2. Analyzes citizen police complaints and assigns complaints for investigation by CPOA staff in accordance with policy, guidance, and city ordinance.
3. Monitors and conducts supervisory oversight of all of the investigations above, makes thorough and objective findings or disposition for each complaint, and reports these findings or other disposition of these citizen police complaints to the POB.
4. May refer cases to impartial mediation or other alternative dispute resolution as appropriate.
5. Provides recommendations and advises the POB, APD, the City Council, and the Mayor in police department policies and procedures, as appropriate.
6. Monitors all claims of excessive force and lawsuits against the APD, acting as an ex-officio member of the Claims Review Board.
7. Reviews and reports on the investigations on all officer involved shootings to the POB as well as to the Chief of Police and other appropriate parties. Is available for response to officer involved shootings, or other incidents as appropriate.
8. Compiles data for the analysis of applicable areas of concern within citizen complaints. Coordinates with CPOA staff to provide recommendations, analysis, and comment to the POB from collected data.
9. Collects and compiles information so as to satisfy all reporting requirements under the Oversight Ordinance for the POB.
10. Participates in budget preparation, attends related meetings, monitors expenditures, recommends budgetary adjustments, and makes quarterly budget reports to the POB.

11. Plays an active role in providing pro-active outreach to the community regarding police oversight. Responsible for the development of an outreach plan with the goals to educate the public and publicize the citizen complaint process.
12. Develops recommendations to the POB regarding the APD on specific training, changes in policy, or standard operating procedures (SOPs).
13. Provides staff support and technical assistance to the POB. Ensures the appropriate support for the POB, its subcommittees, and meetings.
14. Oversees the creation and maintenance of a public website for the posting of POB-related material.
15. Works collaboratively with the POB, the APD, the community and other stakeholders in order to facilitate communication between the POB, APD, the community and other groups.
16. Promote a spirit of accountability and communication between citizens and the APD, while working to improve community-police relations.

SUPPLEMENTAL FUNCTIONS:

1. Attends and participates in professional group meetings related to police oversight; stays abreast of new trends and innovations in the field of police oversight, police professionalism and police-community relations.
2. Performs other related duties and responsibilities as required or requested by the POB.

MINIMUM EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE REQUIREMENTS:

Whether an applicant is qualified for the position of Director shall be determined by the POB. However, the minimal qualifications shall include the following:

1. Must possess a professional law degree (J.D. or LL.B) from an ABA accredited law school.
2. Must be active members in good standing of the bar of any US state or territory, or the District of Columbia.
3. Experienced in criminal investigations.
4. Knowledge of administrative and judicial processes, policies, and procedures.
5. Knowledge of employment contract interpretation.
6. Proven track record of effective management experience.

All applicants must meet all minimum qualifications requirements described in this announcement by the closing date.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS: *(Licenses, Certifications, etc.):*

1. Possession of, or must obtain within ninety (90) days of employment, a valid New Mexico Driver's License.
2. Must undergo and pass a background check.
3. May require working non-traditional hours, including being on-call.

PREFERRED KNOWLEDGE, SKILL AND ABILITY:

Knowledge of:

Interpersonal communication skills

Standard investigative techniques

Pertinent Federal Law, State Statutes, City Ordinances, City and State Traffic Codes, APD SOPs and Albuquerque

Police Officers Association contract

Investigative and procedural standards and guidelines that pertain to the CPOA Office
Principles and practices of policy development and implementation
Data collection and data related software
Knowledge of Board dynamics; compliance with NM Open Meetings Act & NM Inspection of Public Records Act

Skill and Ability to:

Develop and administer program goals, objectives, policies and procedures
Plan, organize, direct and coordinate the work of staff
Select, supervise, train and evaluate staff
Analyze and assess Investigative Review program, policies and operational needs and make appropriate adjustments
Establish and maintain effective communication within the Agency, the community and the APD, as well as other stakeholders
Prepare administrative reports; analyze factual trends
Prepare business correspondence and reports
Prepare and make public presentations
Ability to develop collaborative working relationships with a variety of stakeholders and community members
Maintain confidentiality
Communicate clearly and concisely
Explain complex procedural processes and analysis in common language
Perform the essential functions of the job with or without reasonable accommodation
Develop and maintain positive, productive internal and external professional relationships

WORKING CONDITIONS:

Environmental:

Office environment, exposure to computer screens.

Physical:

Essential and marginal functions may require maintaining physical condition necessary for sitting, walking or standing for prolonged periods.

Questionnaire for Albuquerque Police Oversight Board Independent Counsel Applicants
Return responses to Beth A. Mohr at CABQ.POB.Mohr@gmail.com by May 15, 2015.

Thank-you for your interest in assisting the Police Oversight Board.

The Independent Counsel to the Police Oversight Board (POB) will be the POB's attorney. The Independent Counsel is expected to personally attend all POB meetings; the schedule is enclosed in this email. The Independent Counsel will advise and assist the POB on all relevant legal matters, and represent the POB in court as needed. This is a contract position and does not constitute employment with the City of Albuquerque. Please utilize the email above for any questions or call Beth Mohr at 505-554-2968; please do not direct questions to the Acting Interim Director of the Citizen Police Oversight Agency.

You may add your answer to this document, or format your answers separately.

1. Please provide your full name, firm name, address, desk phone, cell phone and email.
2. The POB has received a set budget for the contract with the Independent Counsel of \$15k for the remainder of FY15 (now to June 30, 2015) and \$75,000 for FY16; the approved rate is \$180 per hour. Are you willing to accept the rate of \$180 per hour for this contract, even though it is almost certainly lower than your regular billable hourly rate? If not, keeping in mind that all the POB members are volunteering their time, what is the lowest hourly rate that you would agree to accept for the POB?
3. Have you (or your firm, as appropriate) done any of the following within the past 2 years. If yes, please provide details to assist us in determining a conflict or appearance of a conflict:
 - Contracted with the City of Albuquerque to provide any services?
 - Sued the City of Albuquerque?
 - Sued the Albuquerque Police Department (APD)?
 - Filed an amicus brief concerning the APD-DOJ case?
 - Represented an APD officer in any matter? (Please do not include domestic relations (DR) cases)
 - Been employed by the City of Albuquerque?
 - Represented or have any relationship with a member of the Police Oversight Board (POB), or any staff or contractor with the Citizen Police Oversight Agency (CPOA), including DR cases?Do you feel you or your firm has any legal conflicts, or situations which may present the appearance of a conflict?
4. Please explain your vision for the POB, and how you can help us.
5. What in your experience will most make you valuable to the POB?
6. Which of your legal cases are you the most proud of and why?
7. Please describe your experience dealing with public boards, or bodies subject to the NM Open Meetings Act and Inspection of Public Records Act.
8. Would you be willing and available to provide one hour of pro bono Civil Rights training to the POB the evening of May 19th?
9. Please provide three professional references that we may contact, please include their full name, firm and position, phone number and email address, as well as a few words detailing their knowledge of you.
10. Is there anything else we should have asked? Feel free to share anything you wish the POB and subcommittee to know about you that will help us make a decision.