



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Raymond Mena Jr & Andrea Gomez request a Permit to allow a Carport Major to be placed on the side setback of the property for Lt 6 Blk 1 Corrected Plat for Las Lomas Unit I, 8009 Camino Paisano NW, zoned R-1B IDO Section 14-16-6-6(A), 14-16-6-6(O), Table 2-3-3

Special Exception No:.....	MZP-2025-00037
Project No:	PR-2026-000010
Hearing Date:	03-17-2026
Closing of Public Record:	03-17-2026
Date of Decision:.....	04-01-2026

On March 17, 2026, Raymond Mena Jr & Andrea Gomez (“Applicant”) were scheduled to appear before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (the “ZHE”) requesting a Permit to allow a Carport Major to be placed on the side setback of the property (“Application”) upon the real property located at 8009 Camino Paisano NW (the “Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting Approval to allow a Carport Permit Major to place carport in the side setback of the property, pursuant to City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances Integrated Development Ordinance (“**IDO**”) Sections 14-16-6-6(G).
2. Applicant has authority to pursue this Application, pursuant to 14-16-6-4(D).
3. Applicant has not duly authorized Agent to act on Applicant’s behalf regarding the Application.
4. Applicant is not required to offer a meeting to Indian Nations, Tribes, and Pueblos before filing the application, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(B).
5. The Planning Director’s delegee has determined that the Application is complete, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(G).
6. The analyses and studies listed in IDO Section 14-16-6-4(H) were delivered.
7. The Application was forwarded to commenting agencies pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(I).
8. The content of the notice of the Application satisfies IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(1).
9. Applicant has sent an electronic mail notice to the email addresses on file with the Office of Neighborhood Coordination for each pertinent Neighborhood Association as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(2).
10. Applicant has sent a mailed notice to all property owners within 100 feet of the subject property as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(3).
11. Applicant has posted sign notice(s) as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(4).
12. The Subject Property is located at 8009 Camino Paisano NW in a R-1B zone district.
13. Therefore, a Carport on the Subject Property requires a Carport-Major Permit Approval pursuant to IDO Subsection 14-16-6-6(G).

1. IDO Section 14-16-6-6(G)(3) (Review and Decision Criteria for a Permit-Carport) reads:
“An application for a Permit-Carport shall be approved if all of the following criteria are met.
 - (a) The carport would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area.*
 - (b) The carport would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community..*
 - (c) The design of the carport complies with the provisions in Subsection 14-16-5-5(F)(2)(a)3 (Carports).*
 - (d) No carport wall is a hazard to traffic visibility, as determined by the Traffic Engineer.*
 - (e) The carport is not taller than the primary building on the lot.*
2. Applicant bears the burden of providing a sound justification for the requested decision, based on substantial evidence, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(3).
3. Applicant bears the burden of showing compliance with required standards through analysis, illustrations, or other exhibits as necessary, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(4).
4. Agent appeared at the ZHE hearing on the Application and gave evidence in support of the Application.
5. Applicant has met the burden of providing evidence that established that the requested carport would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area. The carport is designed to complement the existing home and neighborhood. Applicant has obtained approval of a setback variance to the 3-foot setback requirement for carports, pursuant to the ZHE’s decision in the accompanying application, VA-2025-00143.
6. Applicant has met the burden of providing evidence that establishes that the requested carport would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community. The carport would cause no such injury.
7. Applicant has met the burden of providing evidence that establishes that the design of the carport complies with the provisions in Subsection 14-16-5-5(F)(2)(a)3 (Carports).
8. Applicant has met the burden of providing evidence that establishes that no carport wall is a hazard to traffic visibility, as determined by the Traffic Engineer. The Traffic Engineer stated no objection to the proposed carport.
9. Applicant has met the burden of providing evidence that establishes that the requested carport is not taller than the primary building on the lot.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a Permit-Carport.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by April 16, 2026, pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4-(U), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This Notice of Decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this Notice of Decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a Conditional Use is void after two (2) years from date of approval if the rights and privileges granted thereby have not been executed or utilized. Approval of a Variance is void after one (1) year from date of approval if the rights and privileges granted thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Robert Lucero, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Andrea Gomez, (Property Owner)
iloveannie84@hotmail.com

Raymond Mena, (Applicant)
8009 Camino Paisano NW
Dgtrucking1@hotmail.com

ZHE File
Zoning Enforcement