



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Rio Grande Realty & Investment, LLC (Todd Kruger, Elevated Mechanical Services – Agent) requests a Variance of 15 feet to the 25-foot rear setback requirement to construct a single-car garage addition for Lot 1 Plat of Lots 1, 2 & 3 Lands of Frances & Theresa, located at 3741 San Isidro Street NW, zoned R-A (G-13) (IDO Section 14-16-5-1; Table 5-1-1)	Special Exception No:	VA-2025-00166
	Project No:	PR-2025-020151
	Hearing Date:	01-20-2026
	Closing of Public Record:	01-20-2026
	Date of Decision:	02-04-2026

On January 20, 2026, Todd Kruger – Elevated Mechanical Services, Inc. (“Agent”) as agent for Rio Grange Realty & Investments, LLC (“**Applicant**”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (the “**ZHE**”) requesting a Variance of 15 feet to the 25-foot rear setback requirement to construct a single-car garage addition (“**Application**”) upon the real property located at 3741 San Isidro Street NW (the “**Subject Property**”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 15 feet to the 25-foot rear setback requirement to construct a single-car garage addition, pursuant to City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances Integrated Development Ordinance (“IDO”) Section 14-16-6-6(O).
2. Applicant has authority to pursue this Application, pursuant to 14-16-6-4(D).
3. Applicant has duly authorized Agent to act on Applicant’s behalf regarding the Application.
4. Applicant is not required to offer a meeting to Indian Nations, Tribes, and Pueblos no more than 1 calendar year before filing the application, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(B).
5. The Planning Director’s delegee has determined that the Application is complete, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(G).
6. The analyses and studies listed in IDO Section 14-16-6-4(H) were delivered.
7. The Application was forwarded to commenting agencies pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(I).
8. The content of the notice of the Application satisfies IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(1).
9. Applicant has sent an electronic mail notice to the email addresses on file with the Office of Neighborhood Coordination for each pertinent Neighborhood Association as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(2).
10. Applicant has sent a mailed notice to all property owners within 100 feet of the subject property as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(3).

11. Applicant has posted sign notice(s) as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(4).
12. The Subject Property is located at 3741 San Isidro Street NW in a R-A zone district.
13. Therefore, Variance of 15 feet to the 25-foot rear setback requirement on the Subject Property requires a Variance Approval pursuant to IDO Subsection 14-16-6-6(O).
14. IDO Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a) (Review and Decision Criteria– Variance) reads: “*An application for a Variance - ZHE shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:*
 1. *There are special circumstances applicable to a single lot that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity, including but not limited to size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, physical characteristics, natural forces, or by government actions for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the lot either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or economic return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.*
 2. *The Variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.*
 3. *The Variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.*
 4. *The Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of this IDO, the applicable zone district, or any applicable Overlay Zone.*
 5. *The Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.”*
15. Applicant has provided a sound justification for the requested decision, based on substantial evidence, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(3).
16. Applicant bears the burden of showing compliance with required standards through analysis, illustrations, or other exhibits as necessary, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(4).
17. Agent appeared at the January 20, 2026 ZHE hearing on the Application and gave evidence in support of the Application.
18. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, it appears that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(1). The Subject Property is a uniquely shaped lot. The location of existing improvements not placed by Applicant and the access from the public right of way, result in the need for a variance to accommodate the reasonably proposed addition. Therefore, the Subject Property is uniquely impacted by these special circumstances, which create an undue hardship and justify the variance requested.
19. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the Variance will not be contrary to the public safety, health and welfare of the community as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(2). The setback does not appear to cause any adverse impacts in these regards.
20. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the Variance will not cause significant adverse material impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(3). The proposed

setback maintains adequate buffer to adjacent properties and does not impact infrastructure.

21. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or applicable zone district as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(4). Applicant intends to develop the property in a manner consistent with the intent and purpose of the IDO and applicable law.
22. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(5). Any smaller variance would be impracticable to allow for the reasonable structures proposed for Subject Property.
23. The City Traffic Engineer submitted a report stating no objection to the Application.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a Variance of 15 feet to the 25-foot rear setback requirement.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by February 19, 2026 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(U), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This Notice of Decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this Notice of Decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a Conditional Use is void after two (2) years from date of approval if the rights and privileges granted thereby have not been executed or utilized. Approval of a Variance is void after one (1) year from date of approval if the rights and privileges granted thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Robert Lucero, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Todd Kruger, tkruger@rgri.net
Chris Cowan, elevated@emsplumbing.com
ZHE File
Zoning Enforcement