



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Anthony Kavanaugh requests a Variance of 1.5 feet to the 3-foot Front Yard Fence Height Requirement for Lot 49-P-1, Block 1, Hunters Ridge Subdivision, located at 6120 Alderman Drive NW, zoned R-1B [IDO Section 14-16-5-7(D)(1), Table 5-7-1]	Special Exception No:..	VA-2025-00141
	Project No:.....	NONE
	Hearing Date:	10-21-25
	Closing of Public Record:	10-21-25
	Date of Decision:.....	11-05-25

On October 21, 2025, Anthony Kavanaugh, (“**Applicant**”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (the “**ZHE**”) requesting a Variance of 1.5 feet to the 3-foot Front Yard Fence Height Requirement (“**Application**”) upon the real property located at 6120 Alderman Drive NW (the “**Subject Property**”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 1.5 feet to the 3-foot front yard fence height requirement, pursuant to City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances Integrated Development Ordinance (“**IDO**”) Section 14-16-6-6(O).
2. Applicant has authority to pursue this Application, pursuant to 14-16-6-4(D).
3. Applicant is not required to offer a meeting to Indian Nations, Tribes, and Pueblos, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(B).
4. The Planning Director’s delegee has determined that the Application is complete, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(G).
5. The analyses and studies listed in IDO Section 14-16-6-4(H) were delivered.
6. The Application was forwarded to commenting agencies pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(I).
7. The content of the notice of the Application satisfies IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(1).
8. Applicant has sent an electronic mail notice to the email addresses on file with the Office of Neighborhood Coordination for each pertinent Neighborhood Association as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(2).
9. Applicant has sent a mailed notice to all property owners within 100 feet of the subject property as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(3).
10. Applicant has posted sign notice(s) as required by IDO Section 14-16-6-4(J)(4).
11. The Subject Property is located in a R-1B zone district.
12. Therefore, a Variance of 1.5 feet to the 3-foot front yard fence height requirement wall height on the Subject Property requires a Variance Approval pursuant to IDO Subsection 14-16-6-6(O)

13. IDO Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a) (Review and Decision Criteria– Variance) reads: “*An application for a Variance - ZHE shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:*
1. *There are special circumstances applicable to a single lot that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity, including but not limited to size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, physical characteristics, natural forces, or by government actions for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the lot either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or economic return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.*
 2. *The Variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.*
 3. *The Variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.*
 4. *The Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of this IDO, the applicable zone district, or any applicable Overlay Zone.*
 5. *The Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.*
14. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, it appears that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, and such special circumstances of the lot either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or economic return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards, as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(1). Applicant is a disabled United States Marine Corps combat veteran diagnosed and living with Chronic Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, which Applicant submitted evidence requires a secure and controlled environment. Further, the Subject Property is located in an area that experiences high wind and the orientation of the Subject Property often results in trash and other debris coming onto the Subject Property. These circumstances cause practical difficulties to result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.
15. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the Variance will not be contrary to the public safety, health and welfare of the community as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(2). Applicant submitted evidence that the fence design is attractive and installation of the fence will enhance security of the Subject Property and surrounding area.
16. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the Variance will not cause significant adverse material impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(3). The fence provides for security and allows for other existing requirements to remain satisfied.
17. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or applicable zone district as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(4). Applicant testified the proposed wall will be built in

compliance with all IDO requirements and is consistent with the purposes of the zone district.

18. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties as required by Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a)(5). Any smaller fence would be insufficient to provide for security and sufficiently buffer from debris.
19. The City Traffic Engineer submitted a report stating no objection to the Application.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a Variance of 1.5 feet to the 3-foot Front Yard Fence Height.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by November 20, 2025 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(U), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This Notice of Decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your Application is approved, bring this Notice of Decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a Conditional Use is void after two (2) years from date of approval if the rights and privileges granted thereby have not been executed or utilized. Approval of a Variance is void after one (1) year from date of approval if the rights and privileges granted thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Robert Lucero, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Anthony Kavanaugh, kavanaughanthony@yahoo.com
ZHE File
Zoning Enforcement