

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

S & S Development LLC (Agent, Jeebs & Zuzu, LLC) requests a variance of 20 feet to the required 20-foot landscape buffer for Lot 24A, Block 8, Carter's Replat of Knob Heights Addn, located at 3511 Gibson BLVD SE, zoned MX-L [Section 14-16-5-6-(E)(3)]

Special Exception No:	VA-2023-00087
Project No:	Project#2020-004765
Hearing Date:	05-16-23
Closing of Public Record:	05-16-23
Date of Decision:	05-31-23

On the 16th day of May, 2023, Jeebs & Zuzu, LLC, agent for property owner S & S Development LLC ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a variance of 20 feet to the required 20-foot landscape buffer ("Application") upon the real property located at 3511 Gibson BLVD SE ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE's finding of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 20 feet to the required 20-foot landscape buffer.
- 2. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance, Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a) (Variance-Review and Decision Criteria) reads: "... an application for a Variance-ZHE shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:

(1) There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the property either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.

(2) The Variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.

(3) The Variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.

(4) The Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of this IDO, the applicable zone district, or any applicable Overlay Zone.

(5)*The Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.*"

- 3. Applicant bears the burden of providing a sound justification for the requested decision, based on substantial evidence, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(3).
- 4. Applicant bears the burden of showing compliance with required standards through analysis, illustrations, or other exhibits as necessary, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(4).

- 5. Agent appeared at the ZHE hearing on this matter and gave evidence in support of the Application.
- 6. Applicant established that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period.
- 7. Applicant established that all property owners and neighborhood association entitled to notice were notified of the Application.
- 8. Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.
- 9. The subject property is currently zoned MX-L.
- 10. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, it appears that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(1). Specifically, Applicant testified and provided written evidence that, the Subject Property has special circumstances because the lots comprising the Subject Property were platted and the improvements on the Subject Property constructed pre-IDO, resulting in a pattern of development that would not be permissible under the current IDO. These conditions are not self-imposed and create a hardship in that to develop the Subject Property consistent with the existing improvements would not be feasible under the current IUDO requirements.
- 11. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not be contrary to the public safety, health and welfare of the community as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(2). Specifically, evidence was submitted supporting that, if granted approval, the Applicant intends to construct the project in a manner that is consistent with the IDO and the Development Process Manual (DPM).
- 12. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not cause significant adverse material impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(3). Specifically, the proposed wall is designed to be in harmony and consistency with what currently exists in the neighborhood, which was supported by photographic evidence and oral testimony. Photographs were submitted showing the neighborhood and site plans and elevations of the proposed improvements.
- 13. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or applicable zone district as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(4). Specifically, Applicant presented evidence that the intent of IDO will still be met in that the subject site will continue the existing use and the proposed variance would merely add to the safety and useability of the site.
- 14. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(5). Specifically, Applicant submitted evidence that any smaller variance would be ineffective to provide for the privacy, safety and useability of the site. Thus. the applicant is not requesting more than what is minimally necessary for a variance.
- 15. City Transportation submitted a report stating no objection.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 20 feet to the required 20-foot landscape buffer.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by June 15, 2023 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(V), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Hertbluert

Robert Lucero, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc:

ZHE File Zoning Enforcement J. David Hickman <u>dave@jeebsandzuzu.com</u>



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

S & S Development LLC (Agent, Jeebs & Zuzu, LLC) requests a variance of 5 feet to the required 15 feet rear set back for Lot 24A, Block 8, Carter's Replat of Knob Heights Addn, located at 3511 Gibson BLVD SE, zoned MX-L [Section 14-16-5-1(D)(1)]

Special Exception No:	VA-2023-00088
Project No:	Project#2020-004765
Hearing Date:	05-16-23
Closing of Public Record:	05-16-23
Date of Decision:	05-31-23

On the 16th day of May, 2023, Jeebs & Zuzu, LLC, agent for property owner S & S Development LLC ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a variance of 5 feet to the required 15 feet rear set back ("Application") upon the real property located at 3511 Gibson BLVD SE ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE's finding of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 5 feet to the required 15 feet rear set back.
- 2. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance, Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a) (Variance-Review and Decision Criteria) reads: "... an application for a Variance-ZHE shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:

(1) There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the property either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.

(2) The Variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.

(3) The Variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.

(4) The Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of this IDO, the applicable zone district, or any applicable Overlay Zone.

(5)*The Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.*"

- 3. Applicant bears the burden of providing a sound justification for the requested decision, based on substantial evidence, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(3).
- 4. Applicant bears the burden of showing compliance with required standards through analysis, illustrations, or other exhibits as necessary, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(4).

- 5. Agent appeared at the ZHE hearing on this matter and gave evidence in support of the Application.
- 6. Applicant established that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period.
- 7. Applicant established that all property owners and neighborhood association entitled to notice were notified of the Application.
- 8. Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.
- 9. The subject property is currently zoned MX-L.
- 10. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, it appears that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(1). Specifically, Applicant testified and provided written evidence that, the Subject Property has special circumstances because the lots comprising the Subject Property were platted and the improvements on the Subject Property constructed pre-IDO, resulting in a pattern of development that would not be permissible under the current IDO. These conditions are not self-imposed and create a hardship in that to develop the Subject Property consistent with the existing improvements would not be feasible under the current IUDO requirements.
- 11. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not be contrary to the public safety, health and welfare of the community as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(2). Specifically, evidence was submitted supporting that, if granted approval, the Applicant intends to construct the project in a manner that is consistent with the IDO and the Development Process Manual (DPM).
- 12. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not cause significant adverse material impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(3). Specifically, the proposed wall is designed to be in harmony and consistency with what currently exists in the neighborhood, which was supported by photographic evidence and oral testimony. Photographs were submitted showing the neighborhood and site plans and elevations of the proposed improvements.
- 13. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or applicable zone district as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(4). Specifically, Applicant presented evidence that the intent of IDO will still be met in that the subject site will continue the existing use and the proposed variance would merely add to the safety and useability of the site.
- 14. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(5). Specifically, Applicant submitted evidence that any smaller variance would be ineffective to provide for the privacy, safety and useability of the site. Thus. the applicant is not requesting more than what is minimally necessary for a variance.
- 15. City Transportation submitted a report stating no objection.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 5 feet to the required 15 feet rear set back.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by June 15, 2023 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(V), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Hertbluert

Robert Lucero, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc:

ZHE File Zoning Enforcement J. David Hickman <u>dave@jeebsandzuzu.com</u>



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

S & S Development LLC (Agent, Jeebs & Zuzu, LLC) requests a variance of 26 to the required 47 parking space for a retail store for Lot 24A, Block 8, Carter's Replat of Knob Heights Addn, located at 3511 Gibson BLVD SE, zoned MX-L [Section 14-16-5-5]

Special Exception No:	VA-2023-00089
Project No:	Project#2020-004765
Hearing Date:	05-16-23
Closing of Public Record:	05-16-23
Date of Decision:	05-31-23

On the 16th day of May, 2023, Jeebs & Zuzu, LLC, agent for property owner S & S Development LLC ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a variance of 26 to the required 47 parking space for a retail store ("Application") upon the real property located at 3511 Gibson BLVD SE ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE's finding of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 26 to the required 47 parking spaces for a retail store.
- The City Traffic Engineer submitted a report stating an objection to the requested variance of 26 to the required 47 Parking Space for a Retail Store (VA-2023-00089). Namely, the report states that "Transportation requires a Parking Study Reduction per IDO Sections 5-5(C)(5)(d) & (e) and approval by the Planning Director before a variance for the reduction of the required parking spaces can be allowed."
- The ZHE's consideration of the Application should be deferred to allow Applicant to confer with the City Traffic Engineer and to otherwise address the requirements of IDO Sections 5-5(C)(5)(d) & (e).

DECISION:

DEFERRAL of the Application to the June 20, 2023, ZHE hearing, which begins at 9:00 a.m.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by June 15, 2023 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(V), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional

use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Hertburg

Robert Lucero, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc:

ZHE File Zoning Enforcement J. David Hickman <u>dave@jeebsandzuzu.com</u>