

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Mia Touchet And Michael Shiller (Agent, Michelle Negrette) requests a permit-carport for Lot 3, Block 45, Parkland Hills Addn, located at 806 Carlisle Place SE, zoned R-1D [Section 14-16-5-5(F)(2)(a)(3)(b)]

Special Exception No:	
Hearing Date:	12-20-22
Closing of Public Record:	12-20-22
Date of Decision:	01-04-23

On the 20th day of December, 2022, Michelle Negrette, agent for property owners Mia Touchet And Michael Shiller ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a permit-carport ("Application") upon the real property located at 806 Carlisle Place SE ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE's finding of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting permit-carport.
- 2. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance Section 14-16-6-6(L)(3)(d) states;
 - a. The proposed carport would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area.
 - b. The proposed carport would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community.
 - c. The design of the carport complies with the provisions in Subsection 14-16-5-5(F)(2)(a)2 (Carports).
 - d. No carport wall is a hazard to traffic visibility, as determined by the Traffic Engineer.
 - e. The carport is not taller than the primary building on the lot.
- 3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-6-4(N)(1).
- 4. All property owners within 100 feet and affected neighborhood associations were notified of the application.
- 5. The subject property is currently zoned R-1C.
- 6. Agent appeared and gave evidence in support of the application.
- 7. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the proposed carport would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area. Specifically, Applicant testified that the design of the carport comports with that of the residence on site and is in harmony with architecture of neighboring properties.
- 8. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the proposed carport would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community. Specifically, Applicant testified that the carport would not impact views from adjacent properties and that no water from the carport would flow onto adjacent properties.

- 9. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the proposed carport complies with IDO Subsection 14-16-5-5(F)(2)(a)(2)(a) (Carports).
- 10. The City Traffic Engineer issued a report indicating no objection to the proposed carport.
- 11. Kirtland Air Force Base submitted a report stating no objection, provided that any outdoor lighting is focused downward and is shielded, to which Applicant agreed.
- 12. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the proposed carport is not taller than the primary building on the lot. Specifically, Applicant testified that the top of the carport would be lower than the primary residence on the lot.

DECISION:

APPROVAL WITH CONDITION of a permit-carport.

CONDITION:

Any outdoor lighting must be focused downward and be shielded.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by January 19, 2023 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(V), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Robert Lucero, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

Hotert Lucy's

cc: ZHE File

Zoning Enforcement Michelle Negrette, stratadesign.nm@gmail.com



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Mia Touchet And Michael Shiller (Agent, Michelle Negrette) requests a permit wall/fence major in the front and corner street side for Lot 3, Block 45, Parkland Hills Addn, located at 806 Carlisle Place SE, zoned R-1D [Section 14-16-5-7(D)(3)(a)]

Special Exception No:	VA-2022-00320
Project No:	Project#2022-007840
Hearing Date:	12-20-22
Closing of Public Record:	12-20-22
Date of Decision:	01-04-23

On the 20th day of December, 2022, Michelle Negrette, agent for property owners Mia Touchet And Michael Shiller ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a permit wall/fence major in the front and corner street side ("Application") upon the real property located at 806 Carlisle Place SE ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE's finding of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a permit wall/fence major in the front and corner street side.
- 2. The ZHE finds that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-6-4(K)(4).
- 3. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application
- 4. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance Section 14-16-6-6(H)(3) Permit-Wall or Fence-Major reads: "An application for a Permit Wall or Fence Major for a wall in the front or street side yard of a lot with low-density residential development in or abutting any Residential zone district that meets the requirements in Subsection 14-16-5-7(D)(3)(g) (Exceptions to Maximum Wall Height) and Table 5-7-2 shall be approved if the following criteria are met:

6-6(H)(3)(a) The wall is proposed on a lot that meets any of the following criteria:

- 1. The lot is at least $\frac{1}{2}$ acre.
- 2. The lot fronts a street designated as a collector, arterial, or interstate highway.
- 3. For a front yard wall taller than allowed in Table 5-7-1, at least 20 percent of the properties with low-density residential development with a front yard abutting the same street as the subject property and within 330 feet of the subject property along the length of the street the lot faces have a front yard wall or fence over 3 feet. This distance shall be measured along the street from each corner of the subject property's lot line, and the analysis shall include properties on both sides of the street.
- 4. For a street side yard wall taller than allowed in Table 5-7-1, at least 20 percent of the properties with low-density residential development with a side yard abutting the same street as the subject

property and within 330 feet of the subject property along the length of the street the lot faces have a street side yard wall or fence over 3 feet. This distance shall be measured along the street from each corner of the subject property's lot line, and the analysis shall include properties on both sides of the street.

- 6-6(H)(3)(b) The proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area.
- 6-6(H)(3)(c) The proposed wall would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community.
- 6-6(H)(3)(d) The design of the wall complies with any applicable standards in Section 14-16-5-7 (Walls and Fences), including but not limited to Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(2) (Articulation and Alignment), Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(3) (Wall Design), and all of the following:
 - 1. The wall or fence shall not block the view of any portion of any window on the front façade of the primary building when viewed from 5 feet above ground level at the centerline of the street in front of the house.
 - 2. The design and materials proposed for the wall or fence shall reflect the architectural character of the surrounding area.
- 5. The applicant bears the burden of providing a sound justification for the requested decision, based on substantial evidence, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(3).
- 6. The applicant bears the burden of showing compliance with required standards through analysis, illustrations, or other exhibits as necessary, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(4).
- 7. All property owners within 100 feet and affected neighborhood associations were notified of the application.
- 8. The subject property is currently zoned R-1D.
- 9. Based on photographs, maps and oral evidence presented by Applicant, at least 20 percent of the properties within 330 feet of the lot where the wall or fence is being requested have a wall or fence over 3 feet in the applicable yard area.
- 10. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, the proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area. Specifically, photographs were submitted showing several walls/fences in the neighborhood. It appears from the evidence that the proposed wall would not be out of character with the surrounding area, but rather would reinforce the architectural character of the neighborhood by being in harmony with the other improvements on the Subject Property.
- 11. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, the proposed wall would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community. Specifically, that the wall would enhance the safety of both the subject property and neighboring properties by discouraging trespassers from coming onto the property.
- 12. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, the design of the wall complies with any applicable standard in Section 14-16-5-7 (Walls and Fences), including, but not limited to Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(2) (Articulation and alignment) and Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(3) (Wall Design), and all of the following: (a) The wall or fence shall not block the view of any portion of any window on the front façade of the primary building when viewed from 5 feet above ground level at the centerline of the street in front of the house; and (b) The design

and materials proposed for the wall or fence shall reflect the architectural character of the surrounding area.

- 13. The City Traffic Engineer issued a report indicating no objection to the Application.
- 14. Kirtland Air Force Base submitted a report stating no objection to the Application, provided that any outdoor lighting is focused downward and is shielded, to which Applicant agreed

DECISION:

APPROVAL WITH CONDITION of a permit wall/fence major in the front and corner street side.

CONDITION:

Any outdoor lighting must be focused downward and be shielded.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by January 19, 2023 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(V), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Robert Lucero, Esq.

Zoning Hearing Examiner

Voket Lucy's

cc:

ZHE File
Zoning Enforcement
Michelle Negrette, stratadesign.nm@gmail.com