

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Atalie Ives-Garcia and Delano Garcia (Agent, Michelle Negrette) requests a permit-wall or fence-major for Lot 10, Block 24, Parkland Hills Addn, located at 812 Grandview DR SE, zoned R-1D [Section 14-16-5-7-D]

Special Exception No:	VA-2021-00373
Project No:	Project#2021-006128
Hearing Date:	11-16-21
Closing of Public Record:	11-16-21
Date of Decision:	12-01-21

On the 16th day of November, 2021, Michelle Negrette, agent for property owners Atalie Ives-Garcia and Delano Garcia ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a permit-wall or fence-major ("Application") upon the real property located at 812 Grandview DR SE ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE's finding of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a Permit-Wall or Fence-Major.
- 2. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance Section 14-16-6-6(H)(3) Permit-Wall or Fence-Major reads: "An application for a Permit Wall or Fence Major for a wall in the front or street side yard of a lot with low-density residential development in or abutting any Residential zone district that meets the requirements in Subsection 14-16-5-7(D)(3)(g) (Exceptions to Maximum Wall Height) and Table 5-7-2 shall be approved if the following criteria are met:

6-6(H)(3)(a) The wall is proposed on a lot that meets any of the following criteria:

- 1. The lot is at least $\frac{1}{2}$ acre.
- 2. The lot fronts a street designated as a collector, arterial, or interstate highway.
- 3. For a front yard wall taller than allowed in Table 5-7-1, at least 20 percent of the properties with low-density residential development with a front yard abutting the same street as the subject property and within 330 feet of the subject property along the length of the street the lot faces have a front yard wall or fence over 3 feet. This distance shall be measured along the street from each corner of the subject property's lot line, and the analysis shall include properties on both sides of the street.
- 4. For a street side yard wall taller than allowed in Table 5-7-1, at least 20 percent of the properties with low-density residential development with a side yard abutting the same street as the subject property and within 330 feet of the subject property along the length of the street the lot faces have a street side yard wall or fence over 3 feet. This distance shall be measured along the street

from each corner of the subject property's lot line, and the analysis shall include properties on both sides of the street.

- 6-6(H)(3)(b) The proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area.
- 6-6(H)(3)(c) The proposed wall would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community.
- 6-6(H)(3)(d) The design of the wall complies with any applicable standards in Section 14-16-5-7 (Walls and Fences), including but not limited to Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(2) (Articulation and Alignment), Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(3) (Wall Design), and all of the following:
 - 1. The wall or fence shall not block the view of any portion of any window on the front façade of the primary building when viewed from 5 feet above ground level at the centerline of the street in front of the house.
 - 2. The design and materials proposed for the wall or fence shall reflect the architectural character of the surrounding area.
- 3. The applicant bears the burden of providing a sound justification for the requested decision, based on substantial evidence, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(3).
- 4. The applicant bears the burden of showing compliance with required standards through analysis, illustrations, or other exhibits as necessary, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(4).
- 5. All property owners within 100 feet and affected neighborhood associations were notified of the application.
- 6. The subject property is currently zoned R-1D.
- 7. City Transportation issued a report stating that it does not object.
- 8. The ZHE finds that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-6-4(K)(3).
- 9. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.
- 10. Based on photographs, maps and oral evidence presented by Applicant, the Application is unable to satisfy IDO Section 14-16-6-6(H)(3)(a), because the Subject Property is less than ½ acre, does not front a street designated as a collector, arterial, or interstate highway, and less than 20 percent of the properties within 330 feet of the lot where the wall or fence is being requested have a wall or fence over 3 feet in the front yard area. Consequently, this Application must be denied.
- 11. Nevertheless, the companion applications for variance are approved, and the proposed wall therefore may remain.

DECISION:

DENIAL of a Permit-Wall or Fence-Major.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by December 16, 2021 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(V), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Voket Lucy's

Robert Lucero, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc:

ZHE File Zoning Enforcement Michelle Negrette, stratadesign.nm@gmail.com