



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER  
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Donald Harville (Agent, RSDGP, LLC) requests a conditional use to allow for alcohol sales within 500 feet of a residential zone for Lot D1, Paradise Heights Unit 1, located at 10850 Golf Course Road RD NW, zoned MX-M [Section 14-16-4-3-(D)(38)(c)]

Special Exception No:..... **VA-2021-00052**  
Project No: ..... **Project#2021-005151**  
Hearing Date: ..... 04-20-21  
Closing of Public Record: ..... 04-20-21  
Date of Decision: ..... 05-05-21

On the 20th day of April, 2021, RSDGP, LLC, agent for property owner Donald Harville (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a conditional use to allow for alcohol sales within 500 feet of a residential zone (“Application”) upon the real property located at 10850 Golf Course Road RD NW (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s finding of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a conditional use to allow alcohol sales within 500 feet of a residential zone.
2. The Subject Property is currently zoned MX-M under the City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance (“IDO”).
3. The MX-M zone lists liquor retail as a permissive use with use-specific standards as required under IDO Section 4-3(D)(38).
4. In turn, IDO Section 4-3(D)(38)(c) provides: “Notwithstanding other provisions in this Subsection 14-16-4-3(D)(38), this use requires a Conditional Use Approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A) when proposed within 500 feet of any Residential or NR-PO zone district or any group home use, as measured from the nearest edge of the building containing the use to the nearest Residential or NR-PO zone district or lot containing a group home.”
5. The Subject Property is located within 500 feet of a residential zone.
6. Therefore, liquor retail on the Subject Property requires a Conditional Use Approval pursuant to IDO Subsection 14-16-6-6(A).
7. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinances Section 14-16-6-6(A)(3) (Review and Decision Criteria– Conditional Use) reads: “*An application for a Conditional Use Approval shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:*
  - (a) *It is consistent with the ABC Comp. Plan, as amended;*
  - (b) *It complies with all applicable provisions of the IDO, including, but not limited to any Use-specific Standards applicable to the use in Section 14-16-4-3; the DPM; other adopted City regulations; and any conditions specifically applied to development of the property in any prior permit or approval affecting the property;*

*(c) It will not create significant adverse impacts on adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community;*

*(d) It will not create material adverse impacts on other land in the surrounding area, through increases in traffic congestion, parking congestion noise, or vibration without sufficient mitigation or civic or environmental benefits that outweigh the expected impacts;*

*(e) It will not increase non-residential activity within 300 feet of a lot in any residential zone district between the hours of 8:00 pm and 6:00 am;*

*(f) It will not negatively impact pedestrian or transit connectivity without appropriate mitigation*

8. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-6-4(F)(2).
9. Applicant appeared at the ZHE hearing on this matter and gave evidence in support of the Application.
10. Applicant testified at the hearing that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-6-4(K)(3).
11. Applicant testified at the hearing that all property owners and neighborhood association entitled to notice were notified of the Application.
12. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the requested Conditional Use approval is consistent with the ABC Comp. Plan, as amended. Specifically, Applicant submitted the following evidence supporting that the requested Conditional Use approval furthers the goals and policies of the ABC Comp. Plan by helping to ensure appropriate scale and location of development and character of design, placing new development along corridors, and providing employment and services for the area. Further, Applicant's agent testified that there is a need for liquor retail in this area, because there is no other liquor retail in the near vicinity, and the location of this use therefore equitably locates the liquor sales establishment in an area of need.
13. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the requested Conditional Use approval complies with all applicable provisions of the IDO, including, but not limited to any Use-specific Standards applicable to the use in Section 14-16-4-3; the DPM; other adopted City regulations; and any conditions specifically applied to development of the property in any prior permit or approval affecting the property. Specifically, Applicant testified and confirmed in written submittals that the requested Conditional Use approval would comport with all applicable requirements.
14. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the requested Conditional Use approval will not create significant adverse impacts on adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community. Specifically, Applicant testified and confirmed in written submittals that the requested Conditional Use approval would not create any adverse impact other than as would be effectively limited by the use-specific standards and other requirements of the IDO, with which Applicant will comply.
15. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the requested Conditional Use approval will not create material adverse impacts on other land in the surrounding area, through increases in traffic congestion, parking congestion noise, or vibration without sufficient mitigation or civic or environmental benefits that outweigh the expected impacts. Specifically, Applicant testified and confirmed in written submittals that the requested Conditional Use approval would not create any adverse impact, given that the

proposed use is located on along a corridor and will have appropriate buffering between it and residential uses.

16. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the requested Conditional Use approval will not increase non-residential activity within 300 feet of a lot in any residential zone district between the hours of 8:00 pm and 6:00 am. Specifically, Applicant testified that non-residential activity would not increase in any prohibited manner, because the proposed use is not located with 300 feet of any residentially-zoned lot.
17. Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence that establishes that the requested Conditional Use approval will not negatively impact pedestrian or transit connectivity without appropriate mitigation. Specifically, Applicant testified that no negative impact on pedestrian or transit connectivity would result. No evidence was submitted to the contrary.
18. The City Traffic Engineering Division stated no objection.
19. The criteria within IDO Section 14-16-6-6(A)(3) are satisfied.
20. Applicant has demonstrated compliance with the use-specific standards in IDO Section 14-16-4-3(D)(38).

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a conditional use to allow for alcohol sales within 500 feet of a residential zone.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by May 20, 2021 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(U), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.



---

Robert Lucero, Esq.  
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc:

ZHE File

Zoning Enforcement

Jack Kurz, [jkurz@redskyholdings.com](mailto:jkurz@redskyholdings.com)