Ian Vanderwoude requests a variance of 3 feet to the 3 feet maximum wall height for Lot 9, Block 8, McDuffie Place Addn Unit 3, located at 3301 Mountain Rd NE, zoned R-1C [Section 14-16-5-7(D)]

On the 16th day of June, 2020, property owner Ian Vanderwoude (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a variance of 3 feet to the 3 feet maximum wall height (“Application”) upon the real property located at 3301 Mountain Rd NE (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

**FINDINGS:**

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 3 ft to the 3 ft maximum wall height.
2. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(c) Variance for a Taller Front or Side Yard Wall reads: “A variance application for a taller front or side yard wall shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:
   (1) The proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area;
   (2) The proposed wall would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community;
   (3) The wall is proposed on a lot that meets any of the following criteria:
      a. The lot is at least ½ acre;
      b. The lot fronts a street designated as a collector or above in the LRTS guide;
      c. At least 20 percent of the properties within 330 feet of the lot where the wall or fence is being requested have a wall or fence over 3 feet in the front yard.
   (4) The design of the wall complies with any applicable standard in Section 14-16-5-7 (Walls and Fences), including, but not limited to Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(2) (Articulation and alignment) and Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(3) (Wall Design), and all of the following:
      a. The wall or fence shall not block the view of any portion of any window on the front façade of the primary building when viewed from 5 feet above ground level at the centerline of the street in front of the house.
      b. The design and materials proposed for the wall or fence shall reflect the architectural character of the surrounding area.
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-6-4(N)(1).
4. All property owners within 100 feet and affected neighborhood association were notified of the application.
5. The subject property is currently zoned R-1C.
6. Applicant appeared and gave evidence in support of the application.
7. City Transportation issued a report stating that it does not object.
8. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, the proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area. Specifically, the wall is constructed of materials and workmanship that are in harmony with the home on site and with other properties in the surrounding area. While an opponent to the requested variance testified that the wall was out of character, no specific evidence was submitted as to why exactly that would be, and instead the opponent appeared opposed to walls in general. The ZHE finds that substantial evidence weighs in favor of the conclusion that the proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area.
9. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, the proposed wall would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community. Specifically, the wall would serve as a physical barrier, but would be see-through above three feet. No structural or drainage impact would be created. A neighbor submitted written testimony that the wall would add privacy for the resident family and will enhance the look of the property, and this submittal cited no adverse impact.
10. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, at least 20 percent of the properties within 330 feet of the lot where the wall or fence is being requested have a wall or fence over 3 feet in the front yard. No evidence to the contrary was submitted.
11. Based on substantial evidence presented by Applicant, the design of the wall complies with any applicable standard in Section 14-16-5-7 (Walls and Fences), including, but not limited to Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(2) (Articulation and alignment) and Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(3) (Wall Design), and all of the following: (a) The wall or fence shall not block the view of any portion of any window on the front façade of the primary building when viewed from 5 feet above ground level at the centerline of the street in front of the house; and (b) The design and materials proposed for the wall or fence shall reflect the architectural character of the surrounding area. Because of the elevation of the street, yard, and wall, the proposed wall blocks no view of any portion of any window on the front façade of the primary building when viewed from the street in front of the house. As stated in finding 8, above, the proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area.
12. The ZHE finds that the proper “Notice of Hearing” signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-6-4(K)(3).
13. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 3 feet to the 3 foot maximum wall height.
APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by July 16, 2020 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(U), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Robert Lucero, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner
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Ian Vanderwoude, ivander8@gmail.com