JOE GARCIA (PIERRE GONZALES, AGENT) requests a special exception to Section 14-16-2-6(E)(1): a VARIANCE of 14 ft 6 in to the required front yard setback of 20 ft for all or a portion of Lot 5, Block 1, Glenway Park Addn zoned R-1, located on 1836 PROSPECT AVE NW (H-13)

On the 20th day of February, 2018, PIERRE GONZALES ("Agent") acting as agent on behalf of the property owner JOE GARCIA ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a variance of 14 ft 6 in to the required front yard setback of 20 ft ("Application") upon the real property located at 1836 PROSPECT AVE NW ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 14 ft 6 in to the required front yard setback of 20 ft.
2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2) (Special Exceptions – Variance) reads: “A variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:
   (a) The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;
   (b) There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;
   (c) Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and
   (d) Substantial justice is done.”
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-4-2(C).
4. The ZHE finds that Application is not: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property or improvements located in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(a).
5. The Application relates to Applicant’s action in enclosing an existing carport.
6. The carport itself was constructed over 20 years ago, without objection.
7. The changes related to enclosure of the carport; that is, construction of walls and a door.
8. Those changes, particularly in the context of the Traffic Engineer’s requirement leave portions of the wall open for visibility, do not appear likely to cause injury.
9. There is no change in use, no additional groundcover or new roof where one was not before, and no indication that the new walls and door will block the light, views or access for any other parties.
10. A neighborhood association representative did express the reasonable concern that the precedent of constructing a project without a permit, and then seeking a variance when it becomes evidence that the project did not comply with the zoning code, will set a precedent and encourage the same behavior by others.
11. By way of assurance to the neighborhood association, the ZHE has a clear policy on this situation, which is not uncommon: An applicant is given no special consideration or dispensation for having already constructed a project that will have to be removed or modified if the application is denied and, conversely, the ZHE will not deny an otherwise-meritorious application for the purposes of punishing an applicant for the unpermitted development. The ZHE trusts Code Enforcement to properly execute their role, and applications before the ZHE are considered solely with respect to code criteria.
12. The ZHE finds that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(b).
13. The ZHE finds that such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable district, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(c).
14. The ZHE finds that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved, as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(d).
15. The ZHE finds that the proper “Notice of Hearing” signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-4-2(B)(4).
16. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The criteria within Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code are satisfied.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 14 ft 6 in to the required front yard setback of 20 ft.
If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by March 22, 2018, in the manner described below. A non-refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Planning Department’s Land Development Coordination counter and is required at the time the Appeal is filed.

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of $105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.
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