On the 23rd day of July, 2018, property owner Thomas Montano (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a variance of 3 ft to the 15 ft building height for an 18 ft accessory building (“Application”) upon the real property located at 5900 Equestrian DR NW (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

**FINDINGS:**

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 3 ft to the 15 ft building height for an 18 ft accessory building.
2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2) (Special Exceptions – Variance) reads: “A variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:
   (a) The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;
   (b) There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;
   (c) Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and
   (d) Substantial justice is done.”
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-4-2(C).
4. The subject property is zoned R-1.
5. Thomas Montano gave testimony in support of his request.
6. No one appeared in opposition to the Application.
7. Specifically, the ZHE finds that the proposed structure is for use as an enclosing garage for his recreational vehicle.
8. The proposed structure requires the additional height because his RV is a larger model.
9. The proposed structure is on the far rear portion of the subject property.
10. The proposed structure has been ordered to closely match the roofline of the primary residence.
11. It is the same color as the stucco on the primary residence.
12. The proposed structure would be in harmony with the neighborhood and would be more harmonious than merely parking the exposed RV in the side yard.
13. The ZHE finds that Application is not: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property or improvements located in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(a).
14. Applicant also stated his property has a 10 foot alley, half (5 feet) is a required City utility easement.
15. Neighboring properties have chosen to fence off the entire alley, but Applicant leaves the entire portion free of construction in case the City requires utility modifications.
16. The ZHE finds that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(b).
17. The ZHE finds that such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable district, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(c).
18. The ZHE finds that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved, as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(d).
19. The ZHE finds that the proper “Notice of Hearing” signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-4-2(B)(4).
20. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The criteria within Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code are satisfied.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 3 ft to the 15 ft building height for an 18 ft accessory building.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by August 23, 2018 in the manner described below. A non-refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Planning Department’s Land Development Coordination counter and is required at the time the Appeal is filed.
Appeal is to be filed within 15 days of the decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.**

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. **This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit.** If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

_______________________________
Stan Harada, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner
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