Sarah Chavez requests a variance of 8 ft 8 inches to the 15 ft rear yard setback and a variance of 3 ft to the allowed 3 ft max wall height in the front yard for Lot D, Alsans Redivision, located on 1200 La Poblana RD NW, zoned R-T [Section 14-16-5-1 and 14-16-5-7-(D)(1)]

Special Exception No: .............VA-2018-00033
Project No: .........................Project#2018-001296
Hearing Date: ......................08-21-18
Closing of Public Record: ....08-21-18
Date of Decision: .................09-05-18

On the 21st day of August, 2018, Mel Candelaria, agent for property owner Sarah Chavez (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a variance of 8 ft 8 inches to the 15 ft rear yard setback and a variance of 3 ft to the allowed 3 ft max wall height in the front yard (“Application”) upon the real property located at 1200 La Poblana RD NW (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 8 ft 8 inches to the 15 ft rear yard setback and a variance of 3 ft to the allowed 3 ft max wall height in the front yard.
2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2) (Special Exceptions – Variance) reads: “A variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:
   (a) The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;
   (b) There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;
   (c) Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and
   (d) Substantial justice is done.”
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-4-2(C).
4. Mel Candelaria, agent for owner appeared and presented evidence in support of the application.
5. All property owners within 100 feet of the subject property and the affected neighborhood association were notified.
6. The Near North Valley Neighborhood Association submitted a letter in support of the application.
7. Joe Sabatini, Secretary for the Near North Valley Neighborhood Association appeared and testified in support of the application.
8. The subject property is one of the smaller lots in this neighborhood.
9. The subject property is a corner lot.
10. The smaller size of lot limits placement of accessory buildings on the lot.
11. The application is not contrary to the public safety, health or welfare.
12. The ZHE finds that Application is not: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property or improvements located in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(a).
13. The ZHE finds that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(b).
14. The ZHE finds that such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable district, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(c).
15. The ZHE finds that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved, as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(d).
16. The ZHE finds that the proper “Notice of Hearing” signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-4-2(B)(4).
17. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The criteria within Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code are satisfied.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 8 ft 8 inches to the 15 ft rear yard setback and a variance of 3 ft to the allowed 3 ft max wall height in the front yard.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by September 20, 2018 in the manner described below. A non-refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Planning Department’s Land Development Coordination counter and is required at the time the Appeal is filed.

Appeal is to be filed within 15 days of the decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, on the west side of the lobby. Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.
Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. **This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit.** If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

Stan Harada, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
Mel Candelaria, 7713 La Condesa Ave NE, 87110
Joe Sabatini, 3514 6th St NW, 87107