



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

DONALD VOSS (DAVID WALL, AGENT) requests a special exception to Section 14-16-3-19(A)(3) : a VARIANCE of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line for all or a portion of Lot A, Block 9, Valley View Addn zoned C-2, located on 416 WASHINGTON ST SE (K17)

Special Exception No:..... **16ZHE-80268**
Project No:..... **Project# 1011017**
Hearing Date:..... 11-17-16
Closing of Public Record:..... 11-17-16
Date of Decision: 11-30-16

On the 17th day of November, 2016, DAVID WALL (“Agent”) acting as agent on behalf of the property owner DONALD VOSS (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line (“Application”) upon the real property located at 416 WASHINGTON ST SE (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line.
2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2) (Special Exceptions – Variance) reads: “A *variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:*
 - (a) *The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;*
 - (b) *There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;*
 - (c) *Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and*
 - (d) *Substantial justice is done.*”
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-4-2(C).
4. The ZHE finds that Application is not: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property or improvements located in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(a).
5. Specifically, the proposed wall is designed to coordinate with the property and otherwise be harmonious with the subject property. The proposed wall will not

interfere with the views, light or access of other residents or detrimentally impact neighborhood character or security. The ZHE finds that there will be no significant increase in intensity of use, noise or traffic associated with the wall.

6. The proposed wall will help ensure security for the Applicant and the community, and will do so in a manner recommended by the APD crime prevention specialist with whom Applicant consulted.
7. Comments were received from an adjacent property owner to the east, expressing concerns that the proposed wall would create a traffic hazard at the intersection of Washington SE and Zuni Road SE, and that the wall would create an alley between it and the neighboring building, blocking light.
8. The proposed wall fronts the three parcels on Washington St. SE. It does not extend to Zuni Rd. SE, as there is another property (a gas station) between the Subject Property and Zuni Rd. SE. In addition, the Traffic Engineer has reviewed the Application and approved it, subject to clarification of certain required design elements (this approval assumes compliance with the Traffic Engineer requirements).
9. Review of the Application confirms that no wall is intended adjacent to the property to the east, and thus the wall should have no effect on that property.
10. The ZHE finds that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(b).
11. Specifically, the ZHE finds that the Applicant's business, which is a federal contractor that is subject to security requirements, stretches over several buildings on three different parcels. It is a larger business than others in the area, with more employees employed in a scientific/professional capacity. This results in unique circumstances.
12. The ZHE finds that such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable district, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(c).
13. Specifically, the ZHE finds that Applicant is subject to third-party security requirements, and must comply with those requirements if it wishes to maintain its business at this location.
14. The ZHE finds that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved, as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(d).
15. The ZHE finds that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-4-2(B)(4).
16. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The criteria within Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code are satisfied.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by December 15, 2016, in the manner described below. A non-refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Planning Department's Land Development Coordination counter and is required at the time the Appeal is filed.

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.


An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit.

If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris L. Graeser", written over a horizontal line.

Christopher L. Graeser, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
davew@vosssci.com
lifts@swcp.com



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

DONALD VOSS (DAVID WALL, AGENT) requests a special exception to Section 14-16-3-19(A)(3) : a VARIANCE of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line for all or a portion of Lot B, Block 9, Valley View Addn zoned C-2, located on 418 WASHINGTON ST SE (K17)

Special Exception No:..... **16ZHE-80269**
Project No:..... **Project# 1011017**
Hearing Date:..... 11-17-16
Closing of Public Record:..... 11-17-16
Date of Decision: 11-30-16

On the 17th day of November, 2016, DAVID WALL (“Agent”) acting as agent on behalf of the property owner DONALD VOSS (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line (“Application”) upon the real property located at 418 WASHINGTON ST SE (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line.
2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2) (Special Exceptions – Variance) reads: “*A variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:*
 - (a) *The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;*
 - (b) *There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;*
 - (c) *Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and*
 - (d) *Substantial justice is done.*”
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-4-2(C).
4. Specifically, the proposed wall is designed to coordinate with the property and otherwise be harmonious with the subject property. The proposed wall will not interfere with the views, light or access of other residents or detrimentally impact neighborhood character or security. The ZHE finds that there will be no significant increase in intensity of use, noise or traffic associated with the wall.

5. The proposed wall will help ensure security for the Applicant and the community, and will do so in a manner recommended by the APD crime prevention specialist with whom Applicant consulted.
6. Comments were received from an adjacent property owner to the east, expressing concerns that the proposed wall would create a traffic hazard at the intersection of Washington SE and Zuni Road SE, and that the wall would create an alley between it and the neighboring building, blocking light.
7. The proposed wall fronts the three parcels on Washington St. SE. It does not extend to Zuni Rd. SE, as there is another property (a gas station) between the Subject Property and Zuni Rd. SE. In addition, the Traffic Engineer has reviewed the Application and approved it, subject to clarification of certain required design elements (this approval assumes compliance with the Traffic Engineer requirements).
8. Review of the Application confirms that no wall is intended adjacent to the property to the east, and thus the wall should have no effect on that property.
9. The ZHE finds that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(b).
10. Specifically, the ZHE finds that the Applicant's business, which is a federal contractor that is subject to security requirements, stretches over several buildings on three different parcels. It is a larger business than others in the area, with more employees employed in a scientific/professional capacity. This results in unique circumstances.
11. The ZHE finds that such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable district, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(c).
12. Specifically, the ZHE finds that Applicant is subject to third-party security requirements, and must comply with those requirements if it wishes to maintain its business at this location.
13. The ZHE finds that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved, as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(d).
14. The ZHE finds that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-4-2(B)(4).
15. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The criteria within Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code are satisfied.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by December 15, 2016, in the manner described below. A non-refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Planning Department's Land Development Coordination counter and is required at the time the Appeal is filed.

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit.

If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris L. Graeser", written over a horizontal line.

Christopher L. Graeser, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
davew@vosssci.com
lifts@swcp.com



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

DONALD VOSS (DAVID WALL, AGENT) requests a special exception to Section 14-16-3-19(A)(3) : a VARIANCE of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line for all or a portion of Lot 25,26, Block 9, Valley View Addn zoned C-2, located on 420 WASHINGTON ST SE (K17)

Special Exception No:..... **16ZHE-80270**
Project No:..... **Project# 1011017**
Hearing Date:..... 11-17-16
Closing of Public Record:..... 11-17-16
Date of Decision: 11-30-16

On the 17th day of November, 2016, DAVID WALL (“Agent”) acting as agent on behalf of the property owner DONALD VOSS (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line (“Application”) upon the real property located at 420 WASHINGTON ST SE (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line.
2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2) (Special Exceptions – Variance) reads: “A *variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:*
 - (a) *The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;*
 - (b) *There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;*
 - (c) *Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and*
 - (d) *Substantial justice is done.”*
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-4-2(C).
4. Specifically, the proposed wall is designed to coordinate with the property and otherwise be harmonious with the subject property. The proposed wall will not interfere with the views, light or access of other residents or detrimentally impact neighborhood character or security. The ZHE finds that there will be no significant increase in intensity of use, noise or traffic associated with the wall.

5. The proposed wall will help ensure security for the Applicant and the community, and will do so in a manner recommended by the APD crime prevention specialist with whom Applicant consulted.
6. Comments were received from an adjacent property owner to the east, expressing concerns that the proposed wall would create a traffic hazard at the intersection of Washington SE and Zuni Road SE, and that the wall would create an alley between it and the neighboring building, blocking light.
7. The proposed wall fronts the three parcels on Washington St. SE. It does not extend to Zuni Rd. SE, as there is another property (a gas station) between the Subject Property and Zuni Rd. SE. In addition, the Traffic Engineer has reviewed the Application and approved it, subject to clarification of certain required design elements (this approval assumes compliance with the Traffic Engineer requirements).
8. Review of the Application confirms that no wall is intended adjacent to the property to the east, and thus the wall should have no effect on that property.
9. The ZHE finds that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(b).
10. Specifically, the ZHE finds that the Applicant's business, which is a federal contractor that is subject to security requirements, stretches over several buildings on three different parcels. It is a larger business than others in the area, with more employees employed in a scientific/professional capacity. This results in unique circumstances.
11. The ZHE finds that such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable district, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(c).
12. Specifically, the ZHE finds that Applicant is subject to third-party security requirements, and must comply with those requirements if it wishes to maintain its business at this location.
13. The ZHE finds that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved, as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(d).
14. The ZHE finds that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-4-2(B)(4).
15. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The criteria within Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code are satisfied.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 6 ft to the allowed 3 ft wall at property line.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by December 15, 2016, in the manner described below. A non-refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Planning Department's Land Development Coordination counter and is required at the time the Appeal is filed.

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit.

If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Christopher L. Graeser, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
davew@vosssci.com
lifts@swcp.com