

## CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

ROBERT FOLLO requests a special exception to Section 14-16-2-11(E)(1) : a VARIANCE of 12 ft 8 in to the required 15 ft front yard setback for an existing carport in the front yard setback area for all or a portion of Lot 6, Avalon West zoned R-2, located on 229 AVALON PL NW (K-11)

Special Exception No:	15ZHE-80211
Project No:	Project# 1010563
Hearing Date:	. 09-15-15
Closing of Public Record:	. 09-15-15
Date of Decision:	. 09-23-2015

On the 15th day of September, 2015 (hereinafter "**Hearing**") ROBERT FOLLO (hereinafter "**Applicant**") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (hereinafter "**ZHE**") requesting a Variance of 12 ft 8 in to the required 15 ft front yard setback for an existing carport in the front yard setback (hereinafter "**Application**") upon the real property located at 229 AVALON PL NW ("**Subject Property**"). Below are the findings of facts:

## FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 12 ft 8 in to the required 15 ft front yard setback for an existing carport in the front yard setback.
- 3. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the Application is not going to be: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property/improvements located in the nearby vicinity of the Subject Property.

Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (a) that the carport:

- is well constructed and attractive in appearance and in harmony with the house
- allows full view of traffic and does not disrupt the view from the street
- has no part within 1' of the lot lines
- is no larger than needed to protect the Applicant's vehicles
- has elicited no objections from neighbors, and in fact some support
- has been on site for five years without complaint
- 4. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that there are "special circumstances" applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (b) that the small townhouse lot with closer setbacks is unlike the majority of R-1 lots in the neighborhood which are larger with adequate setbacks.
- 5. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the special circumstances presented hereinabove were not "self-imposed" (there is no indication that Applicant platted the subject property), and that those special circumstances create an unnecessary hardship upon the Applicant. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that inability to provide protect for Applicant's vehicles constitutes an "*unjustified limitation on the reasonable use of the Subject Property*" [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (c)]
- 6. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (d)]
- 7. Applicant testified at the Hearing that the yellow "Notice of Hearing" signs were posted for the required time period as articulated within City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances § 14-16-4-2 (B) (4).

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The Applicant has met their burden of submitting an Application that provides evidence that satisfies the elements required within §14-16-4-2 (C) (2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code.

## **DECISION:**

**APPROVAL** of a VARIANCE of 12 ft 8 in to the required 15 ft front yard setback for an existing carport in the front yard setback.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in the manner described below:

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

hou

Christopher L. Graeser, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement ZHE File robert7055@gmail.com