

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

JAMES C. SCOTT (MARK HIRSCH, AGENT) S requests a special exception to Section 14-16-P 2-6(E)(4): a VARIANCE request of 2' 10" to the required 10' side yard setback for proposed addition for all or a portion of Lot B, Block 12, VISTA LARGA zoned R-1, located on 1513 CORNELL DR. NE (J-16)

Special Exception No:	15ZHE-80066
Project No:	Project# 1010422
Hearing Date:	05-19-2015
Closing of Public Record:.	05-19-2015
Date of Decision:	05-29-2015

On the 19th day of May, 2015 (hereinafter "Hearing") MARK HIRSCH (hereinafter "Agent") acting as agent on behalf of the property owner JAMES C. SCOTT (hereinafter "Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (hereinafter "ZHE") requesting a Variance of 2' 10" to the required 10' side yard setback (hereinafter "Application") upon the real property located at 1513 CORNELL DR. NE ("Subject Property"). Below are the findings of facts:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 2' 10" (which was subsequently changed to 3') to the required 10' side yard setback.
- 2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) "SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS VARIANCE" reads in part: "<u>A variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds **all** of the following:</u>
 - (a) The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;
 - (b) There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;
 - (c) Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and (d) Substantial justice is done.
- 3. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the Application is not going to be: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property/improvements located in the nearby vicinity of the Subject Property. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that the Subject Property is located

within the Vista Larga Subdivision, which was created in 1946 (well before the adoption of the Zoning Code in 1959), and therefore a number of the adjacent properties have similar setbacks to their residential structures and this Application will not be materially injurious to the surrounding neighborhood [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (a)]. The Applicant indicated that the lot size of this Subject Property is 80' x 115' and two other properties have lot sizes and residence structures that are affected by the side yard setbacks. Further, the Application and testimony of the Applicant at the Hearing suggest that there is no neighborhood opposition to the Application.

- 4. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that there are "special circumstances" applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that the City of Albuquerque created the setback requirement (and therefore the "nonconformity") when it adopted the side yard setback requirement [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (b)]. The ZHE agrees with the Applicant that the adoption of the side yard setback regulation for a home that had existing side yard setbacks that were not in conformity with the new regulations meets the spirit of the "special circumstances" requirement in the Zoning Code.
- 5. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the special circumstances presented hereinabove were not "self-imposed", and that those special circumstances create an unnecessary hardship upon the Applicant. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that the inability to extend the home for this proposed addition would constitute an "unjustified limitation on the reasonable use of the Subject Property" [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (c)].
- 6. The Applicant desires to revamp the outdated kitchen and enlarge the master bath and bedroom.
- 7. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (d)]
- 8. Applicant testified at the Hearing that the yellow "Notice of Hearing" signs were posted for the required time period as articulated within City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances § 14-16-4-2 (B) (4).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Applicant has met their burden of submitting an Application that provides evidence that satisfies the elements required within §14-16-4-2 (C) (2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code.

DECISION:

APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS of a VARIANCE of 3' to the required 10' side yard setback.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

- A. The Applicant shall ensure that the new construction/remodel of the home shall comply with all City of Albuquerque codes of ordinances.
- B. The Applicant shall ensure that the addition is architecturally harmonious with the existing home.
- C. The Applicant shall ensure that the side yard setback is no less than 7' from the property line (3' variance).

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in the manner described below:

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

Zoning Hearing Examiner

Zoning Enforcement ZHE File cc:

mark.hirsch22@gmail.com

jscott692@comcast.net Robert Strell – 120 Morningside Dr SE, 87108