

## CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

JOSE MALDONADO requests a special exception to Section 14-16-2-6-(E)(4)(a): a VARIANCE of 11' 9" to the required 15' rear yard setback to attach an existing shed to a proposed patio cover for all or a portion of Lot 9, Block B, DESERT SPRINGS SUB UNIT 3 zoned R-LT, located on 7315 BLUE AVENA AVE SW (M-10)

Special Exception No:	*IR* 15ZHE-0164
Project No:	Project# 1010492
Hearing Date:	07-21-15
Closing of Public Record:	07-21-15
Date of Decision:	07-31-15

On the 21st day of July, 2015 (hereinafter "**Hearing** JOSE MALDONADO (hereinafter "**Applicant**") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (hereinafter "**ZHE**") requesting a Variance of 11' 9" to the required 15' rear yard setback to attach an existing shed to a proposed patio cover (hereinafter "**Application**") upon the real property located at 7315 BLUE AVENA AVE SW ("**Subject Property**"). Below are the findings of facts:

#### **FINDINGS:**

- 1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 11' 9" to the required 15' rear yard setback to attach an existing shed to a proposed patio cover.
- 3. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the Application is not going to be: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property/improvements located in the nearby vicinity of the Subject Property.

Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that the existing shed does not obstruct views, and is constructed in harmony with other structures in the surrounding area. The existence of similar structures on immediately abutting properties to the North and East of the Subject Property as well as others in the surrounding area are also evidence that the proposal is not injurious or contrary to the public interest[as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (a)]. Further, the Application and testimony of the Applicant at the Hearing suggest that there is no neighborhood opposition to the Application.

- 4. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that there are "special circumstances" applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that Due to the existing layout of the property there is very little back yard space to accommodate the necessary storage for the applicant's home-based business and to keep that equipment secure.[as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (b)]
- 5. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the special circumstances presented hereinabove were not "self-imposed", and that those special circumstances create an unnecessary hardship upon the Applicant. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that The circumstances are related to the Subject Property's layout and limited back yard size which constitutes an "*unjustified limitation on the reasonable use of the Subject Property*" [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (c)]
- 6. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (d)]
- 7. Applicant provided evidence that the yellow "Notice of Hearing" signs were posted for the required time period as articulated within City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances § 14-16-4-2 (B) (4).

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The Applicant has met their burden of submitting an Application that provides evidence that satisfies the elements required within §14-16-4-2 (C) (2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code.

# **DECISION:**

**APPROVAL** of a VARIANCE of 11' 9" to the required 15' rear yard setback to attach an existing shed to a proposed patio cover.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in the manner described below:

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning

Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

hend on

Christopher L. Graeser, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement ZHE File



## CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

JOSE MALDONADO requests a special exception to Section 14-16-2-6-(E)(5)(a): a VARIANCE of 2' 1" to the required 5' side yard setback for a shed that will attach to a proposed patio cover for all or a portion of Lot 9, Block B, DESERT SPRINGS SUB UNIT 3 zoned R-LT, located on 7315 BLUE AVENA AVE SW (M-10)

Special Exception No:	*IR* 15ZHE-0166
Project No:	Project# 1010492
Hearing Date:	07-21-15
Closing of Public Record:	07-21-15
Date of Decision:	07-31-15

On the 21st day of July, 2015 (hereinafter "**Hearing**") JOSE MALDONADO (hereinafter "**Applicant**") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (hereinafter "**ZHE**") requesting a Variance of 2' 1" to the required 5' side yard setback for a shed that will attach to a proposed patio cover (hereinafter "**Application**") upon the real property located at 7315 BLUE AVENA AVE SW ("**Subject Property**"). Below are the findings of facts:

#### **FINDINGS:**

- 1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 2' 1" to the required 5' side yard setback for a shed that will attach to a proposed patio cover.
- 3. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the Application is not going to be: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property/improvements located in the nearby vicinity of the Subject Property.

Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that the existing shed does not obstruct views, and is constructed in harmony with other structures in the surrounding area. The existence of similar structures on immediately abutting properties to the North and East of the Subject Property as well as others in the surrounding area are also evidence that the proposal is not injurious or contrary to the public interest [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (a)]. Further, the Application and testimony of the Applicant at the Hearing suggest that there is no neighborhood opposition to the Application.

- 4. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that there are "special circumstances" applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that Due to the existing layout of the property there is very little back yard space to accommodate the necessary storage for the applicant's home-based business and to keep that equipment secure. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (b)]
- 5. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the special circumstances presented hereinabove were not "self-imposed", and that those special circumstances create an unnecessary hardship upon the Applicant. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that The circumstances are related to the Subject Property's layout and limited back yard size which constitutes an "*unjustified limitation on the reasonable use of the Subject Property*" [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (c)]
- 6. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (d)]
- 7. Applicant provided evidence that the yellow "Notice of Hearing" signs were posted for the required time period as articulated within City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances § 14-16-4-2 (B) (4).

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The Applicant has met their burden of submitting an Application that provides evidence that satisfies the elements required within §14-16-4-2 (C) (2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code.

#### **DECISION:**

**APPROVAL** of a VARIANCE of 2' 1" to the required 5' side yard setback for a shed that will attach to a proposed patio cover.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in the manner described below:

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are

taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

Christopher L. Graeser, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement ZHE File



## CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

JOSE MALDONADO requests a special exception to Section 14-16-3-3(B)(e): a VARIANCE of 3' 8" to the required 10' separation for an accessory structure to a proposed dwelling patio cover for all or a portion of Lot 9, Block B, DESERT SPRINGS SUB UNIT 3 zoned R-LT, located on 7315 BLUE AVENA AVE SW (M-10)

Special Exception No:	*IR* 15ZHE-0167
Project No:	Project# 1010492
Hearing Date:	07-21-15
Closing of Public Record:	07-21-15
Date of Decision:	07-31-15

On the 21st day of July, 2015 (hereinafter "**Hearing**") JOSE MALDONADO (hereinafter "**Applicant**") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (hereinafter "**ZHE**") requesting a Variance of 3' 8" to the required 10' separation for an accessory structure to a proposed dwelling patio cover (hereinafter "**Application**") upon the real property located at 7315 BLUE AVENA AVE SW ("**Subject Property**"). Below are the findings of facts:

#### **FINDINGS:**

- 1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 3' 8" to the required 10' separation for an accessory structure to a proposed dwelling patio cover.
- 3. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the Application is not going to be: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious

to the property/improvements located in the nearby vicinity of the Subject Property. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that the existing shed does not obstruct views, and is constructed in harmony with other structures in the surrounding area. The existence of similar structures on immediately abutting properties to the North and East of the Subject Property as well as others in the surrounding area are also evidence that the proposal is not injurious or contrary to the public interest [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (a)]. Further, the Application and testimony of the Applicant at the Hearing suggest that there is no neighborhood opposition to the Application.

- 4. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that there are "special circumstances" applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that Due to the existing layout of the property there is very little back yard space to accommodate the necessary storage for the applicant's home-based business and to keep that equipment secure. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (b)]
- 5. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the special circumstances presented hereinabove were not "self-imposed", and that those special circumstances create an unnecessary hardship upon the Applicant. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that The circumstances are related to the Subject Property's layout and limited back yard size which constitutes an "*unjustified limitation on the reasonable use of the Subject Property*" [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (c)]
- 6. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (d)]
- 7. Applicant provided evidence that the yellow "Notice of Hearing" signs were posted for the required time period as articulated within City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances § 14-16-4-2 (B) (4).

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The Applicant has met their burden of submitting an Application that provides evidence that satisfies the elements required within §14-16-4-2 (C) (2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code.

# **DECISION:**

**APPROVAL** of a VARIANCE of 3' 8" to the required 10' separation for an accessory structure to a proposed dwelling patio cover.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in the manner described below:

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

Christopher L. Graeser, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement ZHE File