## Environmental Planning Commission

### Staff Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Agent</strong></th>
<th>Consensus Planning, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applicant</strong></td>
<td>Titan Property Management, LCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Request</strong></td>
<td>Site Plan – EPC and Variance - EPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legal Description</strong></td>
<td>Tract 1 The Foothills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>Tennyson Street NE (E-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size</strong></td>
<td>16.05 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Zoning</strong></td>
<td>R-MH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Staff Recommendation

**APPROVAL of SI-2020-00690, PR-2020-004086, based on the Findings 1-19 beginning on Page 30 and Conditions on Page 35.**

---

**Summary of Analysis**

The request is for a Site Plan approval for an approximately 16.1-acre vacant site, consisting of the north end of Tennyson St. north of Academy and west of Tramway Blvd. in the northeast highlands of the City. The lot is zoned R-MH (Residential Multi-Family High).

The subject site is in an Area of Consistency, as designated by the Comprehensive Plan. The site plan requires EPC approval as it is over 5 acres and adjacent to Major Public Open Space.

The applicant requests a site plan approval for a 281-unit multi-family project. Part of this request is a variance of 10-feet to the 15-foot front setback and a variance to the 20-foot landscaped buffer required for properties adjacent to MPOS. The justification for the request, to provide housing options in the area is appropriate. The request for variances is justified.

The affected neighborhood organization is the District 8 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations, North Albuquerque Acres Community Association, and Antelope Run NA which were notified as required. Property owners within 100 feet of the subject site were also notified as required. A facilitated meeting was held on July 29th. Staff has not been contacted. There is no known opposition. Staff recommends approval.
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Project Number: PR-2020-004086
I. INTRODUCTION

Surrounding zoning, plan designations, and land uses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Comprehensive Plan Area; Applicable Rank II &amp; III Plans</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Right-of-way, County</td>
<td>Area of Consistency</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Area of Consistency</td>
<td>Multi-family Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Right-of-Way, NR-PO-B</td>
<td>Area of Consistency</td>
<td>Public Open Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Area of Consistency</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Request

The request is for a site plan approval for an approximately 16.1-acre, vacant site consisting of the end of Tennyson St. and south of San Antonio Dr. in the northeastern portion of the City. The lot is zoned R-MH (Residential-Multi-family High).

The applicant requests a site plan approval for a 281-unit multi-family project containing 16 residential buildings, one leasing/office building and one exercise building. Each building will contain a variety of housing units including 77 townhouses and 204 garden apartments. Buildings will be one and three stories with one, two, and three-bedroom units. The site also includes landscaping, parking and additional site improvements.

This application also includes a request for Variance of the front site setback at one building location from 15 feet to 5 feet. In addition, there is a request for a variance of 10 feet from the 20-foot buffer required of properties adjacent to MPOS.

EPC Role

The EPC is hearing this case because of the subject site’s size and location across Tramway Boulevard from designated MPOS, the IDO requires EPC approval of the Site Plan per Sections 14-16-5-2(H)(2)(b)§ and 14-16-6-6(H)(1)(b)§. Per IDO Section 14-16-6-6(H)(2)(f), the EPC is also empowered to grant any necessary variances to IDO standards as part of the Site Plan approval process. The EPC is the final decision-making body unless the EPC decision is appealed. If so, the Land Use Hearing Officer (LUHO) would hear the appeal and make a recommendation to the City Council. The City Council would make the final decision. The requests are quasi-judicial matters.

Context

The Subject Property is currently vacant and located on the west side of Tramway Blvd. at the end of Tennyson St. north of Academy Road. Access to the site is from the north end of Tennyson. The subject site is adjacent to Tramway Blvd, a major commuter corridor.
History

The subject site was previously part of the Academy/Tramway/Eubank Sector Development Plan, which was adopted by the City of Albuquerque in 1978. Several amendments were made to the Sector Plan over the years with the last one adopted in 1997. Nearly all the land within the Sector Development Plan has been developed over the past 40 years except for the subject site and its immediately neighboring tracts, which have significant challenges due to the Pino Arroyo and related floodplain encumbering the property among other easement issues. The owner has obtained approval of a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLMOR) from FEMA to construct improvements to the arroyo channel and modify the floodplain accordingly, which allows the proposed development to go forward. Related project history is outlined below:

1978 (S-78-1, AX-78-8, and Z-78-58): Annexation and establishment of R-D zoning. Land uses were defined by the Academy/Tramway/Eubank Sector Development Plan. EPC Finding #13 states the overall density appeared “economically inefficient” and that additional areas of higher density should be designated.

1980 (SD-78-1-1): Original Sector Plan was amended to reflect increased densities on two tracts and changes to development patterns due to platting that occurred since it was adopted.

1984 (SD-78-1-7): A major amendment to the Sector Development Plan was approved by the EPC, which included a redistribution and increase in residential densities on undeveloped tracts due to lower densities having been developed elsewhere within the Plan area. The subject site, previously known as Tracts N-2 and N-3, was designated for 105 and 321 dwelling units, respectively, with a maximum density of 24 dwelling units per acre and a total of 426 units.

1990s (Z-94-58, Z-96-31, and Z-96-38): The existing multi-family development south of the subject site and shopping center at the northeast corner of Tennyson and Academy were approved for development by the EPC and DRB.

2010 (1008435): “Future Public Street” easements and a future right-of-way easement for the extension of Tennyson Street through the subject site were vacated due to the City of Albuquerque not anticipating “any need to utilize the existing easement for roadway purposes based on the existing roadway network.”

2017 (1008435 and EC-17-7): A portion of Old Tramway/Panorama Boulevard right-of-way was vacated as obsolete with the current configuration of Tramway Boulevard and Tennyson Street. Tracts N-2 and N-3-A were replatted into the subject site; Tract 1 of The Foothills.

2018: The IDO became effective, thus converting the previous R-D zoning designation to the PD: Planned Development zone district due to the property being undeveloped.

2019: City Council approved a voluntary zoning conversion request from PD to the R-MH: Residential, Multi-family High Density zone district based on the surrounding context and similarity to previous entitlements and the allowed 426 dwelling units.

Transportation System

The Long-Range Roadway System (LRRS) map, produced by the Mid-Region Metropolitan Region Planning Organization (MRMPO), identifies the functional classifications of roadways. Tramway Blvd is classified as a Regional Principal Arterial roadway. Tennyson Road, is designated as a Major Collector roadway; however, “Future Public Street” easements and a future right-of-way easement
for the extension of Tennyson Street through the subject site were vacated due to the City of Albuquerque not anticipating “any need to utilize the existing easement for roadway purposes based on the existing roadway network.”

**Comprehensive Plan Corridor Designation**

The subject site is located adjacent to Tramway Blvd. which is designated as a Commuter Corridor in the Comprehensive Plan.

**Trails/Bikeways**

Tramway Blvd. is part of the 50 Mile Loop Bike Trail.

**Transit**

The subject site is about half a mile from the bus route on Academy Dr. to the south.

**Public Facilities/Community Services**

Please refer to the Public Facilities Map (see attachment), which shows public facilities and community services located within one mile of the subject site.

**II. ANALYSIS of APPLICABLE ORDINANCES, PLANS, AND POLICIES**

**Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO)**

The subject site is zoned R-MH, Residential high intensity, IDO 14-16-2-3(F)(1). The purpose of the R-MH zone district is to promote and encourage the development of high-density attached and multi-family housing, with taller, multi-story buildings encouraged in Centers and Corridors in areas close to major streets and public transit facilities. The primary land use is multi-family dwellings, with limited civic and institutional uses to serve the surrounding residential area.

The R-MH (Residential Multi-family High Intensity) zoning district that has a maximum height allowance of 45 feet. It requires a 15-foot minimum front setback and a 10-foot street side setback of 10 feet.

**Albuquerque / Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan**

The subject site is located in an area that the 2017 Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan has designated an Area of Consistency. Applicable Goals and policies are listed below. Applicant’s response follows in *italics*. Staff analysis follows in **bold italics** text.

Chapter 5: LAND USE

**GOAL 5.2 COMPLETE COMMUNITIES**
Foster communities where residents can live, work, learn, shop, and play together.
Promote development patterns that maximize the utility of existing infrastructure and public facilities and the efficient use of land to support the public good.

**POLICY 5.2.1 Land Uses:** Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods. [ABC]
d) Encourage development that broadens housing options to meet a range of incomes and lifestyles. [ABC]

The project will provide a range of housing options including townhomes and garden apartments. Unit sizes include 1, 2, and 3 bedrooms. The project is intended as a market-rate apartment complex catering to a specific clientele, but will provide an option from the predominantly single-family developments in the area.

f) Encourage higher density housing as an appropriate use in the following situations: [ABC]

iii. In areas where a mixed density pattern is already established by zoning or use, where it is compatible with existing area land uses, and where adequate infrastructure is or will be available.

Applicant Response: The project will add new multi-family residential housing in an area that has a mixture of single-family residential and multi-family residential. There is an existing apartment complex immediately south of the subject site, as well as on the south side of Academy Road at the Tennyson Street intersection and at other locations in the area. The proposed use will be compatible with these existing uses and will encourage choice in transportation, work areas, and lifestyles for residents with a range of incomes. The proposed apartment community will be located near a grocery store and other commercial services and will have great access to outdoor activities, such as the open space trail network.

There is adequate infrastructure in the area with similar land use to the south including Multi-family residential (PD) and single-family residential in all directions.

GOAL 5.3 EFFICIENT DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS
Balance jobs and housing by encouraging residential growth near employment across the region and prioritizing job growth west of the Rio Grande.

POLICY 5.3.1 Infill Development: Support additional growth in areas with existing infrastructure and public facilities. [ABC]

Applicant Response: The project furthers this goal by developing a long vacant property in northeast Albuquerque that has been planned for this use but unable to develop due to a variety of site constraints. Access will be to Tennyson Street, which connects the site to the major street network at Academy Road just west of Tramway Boulevard. Water and sewer services are also available to the property. Nearby schools include Georgia O'Keefe Elementary and Eisenhower Middle Schools, and transit service is available on Academy Road and Lowell Street just south and west of the subject site.

The parcel maximizes the use of existing infrastructure required to service the development demands as all required infrastructure surrounds the parcel. Albuquerque Public Schools
has expressed concern over the possible impact on Georgia O’Keefe Elementary School that is currently operating at capacity. Other schools have room for new students.

GOAL 5.6 CITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS
Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

Policy 5.6.2 Areas of Consistency: Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, and Major Public Open Space.

b) Ensure that development reinforces the scale, intensity, and setbacks of the immediately surrounding context.

The scale of development in the area of this project is diverse. Immediately to the north are large lots and small lot single-family homes. Immediately to the south of this project is a similarly scaled multi-family residential development and further south, on Academy Dr., is a neighborhood commercial center.

To the west of the site is the Pino Dam with a private golf course surrounding single-family residential development beyond that. The development is in keeping with the scale of those properties closest to it with the exception of the small lot homes to the north (Unincorporated county area).

g) Provide stepbacks and/or setbacks to protect solar access and privacy on abutting single-family residential properties.

Applicant Response: The request furthers this goal and policy by reinforcing the scale of development on this section of Tennyson Street that has long been planned for multi-family residential development. There is an existing apartment complex immediately south of the subject site with a similar building-scale to what is proposed, including a mixture of two and three-story buildings. The site plan also includes an approximately 75 to 100-foot-wide setback on the north side that separates the proposed apartments from the nearest single-family residential lots in the Willow Bend subdivision and North Albuquerque Acres.

No units are close to the adjoining single-family houses; hence they will not affect the solar rights and privacy of the single-family residential properties.

Chapter 9: HOUSING

GOAL 9.1 SUPPLY
Ensure a sufficient supply and range of high-quality housing types that meet current and future needs at a variety of price levels to ensure more balanced housing options.

POLICY 9.1.1 Housing Options: Support the development, improvement, and conservation of housing for a variety of income levels and types of residents and households. [ABC]

i) Provide for the development of multi-family housing close to public services, transit, and shopping.

*Applicant Response: The requested Site Plan furthers this goal and policy by providing new, high-quality multi-family housing close to neighborhood shopping and public services, as well as recreational opportunities.*

*This multi-family housing development furthers this policy as it is close to a major commuter corridor, has close access to transit, and is within walking distance of a neighborhood shopping center. It is also accessibly to major public open space across Tramway.*

GOAL 9.2 SUSTAINABLE DESIGN
Support increased housing density in appropriate places with adequate services and amenities.

POLICY 9.2.1 Compatibility: Encourage housing development that enhances neighborhood character, maintains compatibility with surrounding land uses, and responds to its development context – i.e. urban, suburban, or rural – with appropriate densities, site design, and relationship to the street. [ABC]

*Applicant Response: The requested Site Plan enhances the neighborhood character in this location with a high-quality design, appropriate colors for its location next to MPOS, and landscaping appropriate for the location near the foothills. The proposed development is at an appropriate scale and density for its location and is placed within the subject site in such a way as to limit any impacts to views as seen from surrounding single-family residential areas.*

*The proposed project provides multi-family housing with similar density and character of neighboring housing projects. Its style and site amenities are appropriate for the area. A large portion of the site is open.*

### III. SITE PLAN REQUIREMENTS

Any application for a site plan – FPC shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:

6-6(h)(3)(a) the site plan is consistent with the ABC Comp plan, as amended.

*The site plan development is consistent with the ABC Comp Plan especially in the areas of Development in an Area of Change, Housing, and Complete Communities and the*
encouragement of a broadened housing option to meet an increasing need. See the above analysis and justification.

6-6(h)(3)(b) the site plan is consistent with any applicable Terms and Conditions in any previously approved NR-SU or PD zoning covering the property and any related development agreements And/or regulations.

Applicant Response: The prior PD zoning designation was solely based on the previous Sector Development Plan’s R-D zone, which allowed up to 24 dwelling units per acre on the subject property. The current Site Plan shows a density of approximately 17 dwelling units per acre, far below the previous allowed density. This PD zone was converted to R-MH in the voluntary zoning conversion process and there is not a site plan with additional standards that applies to the property.

The subject property was zoned PD with the IDO conversion because it was undeveloped at the time. The site was rezoned to R-MH through the voluntary rezoning process. There is no previously approved or submitted site plan for this property.

6-6(h)(3)(c) The site plan complies with all applicable provisions of this IDO, the DPM, other adopted city regulations, and any terms and conditions specifically applied to development of the property in a prior permit or approval affecting the property.

Applicant Response: The proposed development complies with the applicable provisions of the IDO for the R-MH zone district and the proposed multi-family residential use, which is permissive except, for the front setback requirement. Approval of the requested Variance – EPC will bring this into compliance with the IDO requirements. The proposed Site Plan complies with all the requirements for properties located adjacent to MPOS, including but not limited to, the proposed colors and site lighting. Up to 45 feet of building height is allowed in the R-MH zone; the Applicant is proposing less than 40 feet. There is a previously approved CLOMOR for this property. The proposed drainage improvements shown in the Site Plan are consistent with that approval, and once accepted, the floodplain will be revised accordingly.

The proposed development has no previous plans or permits. The proposed plan complies with requirements of Multi-family residential use and once granted requested variances, will be in compliance with all setback and buffer requirements. The applicant is aware of various utility easements and is working with the various agencies for access, safety, and relocation of utility poles.

5-2 SITE DESIGN AND SENSITIVE LANDS

5-2(C)(1) Both the subdivision and site design processes shall begin with an analysis of site constraints related to sensitive lands. To the maximum extent practicable, new subdivisions of land and site design shall avoid locating development, except for open spaces and areas that will not be disturbed during the development process, in the following types of sensitive lands:
5-2(C)(1)(a) Floodplains and flood hazard areas

Applicant’s Response: The South Pino Arroyo and its old and inaccurate floodplain bisect the site and currently encumber nearly the entire property. In the 1980’s when Tramway Boulevard was constructed, upstream drainage paths were changed when entering the site. This adjacent portion of Tramway Blvd was constructed 10+ feet above the existing surface creating a dam like condition, which redirected those flows to the existing box culverts under Tramway. At the completion of Tramway, a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) should have been submitted to FEMA by local governmental authorities to correctly remap the floodplain, but this was never done. The correct floodplain as shown in the attached exhibit greatly reduces the area encumbered by the floodplain. The property owner has secured an approved Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR), from FEMA, to modify the arroyo and its associated floodplain. This process involves significant investment in infrastructure, which has been reviewed and approved by FEMA, AMAFCA, and the City. Once the infrastructure improvements are completed, the arroyo will be confined to an open channel and underground box culvert and a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) will be completed to remove the floodplain from the development area of the site.

The property is currently listed as a floodplain; although changes made during the construction of Tramway altered that condition even though it has not been recategorized. The site work to be carried out on this site will create a covered culvert across the property and under the parking/roadway. This culvert will go from the culvert under Tramway at the east edge of the site and carry to a concrete chute into the Pino Dam Pool.

5-2(C)(1)(b) Steep slopes

Applicant’s Response: Regarding steep slopes, most of these slopes are located to the north and east sides of the property as the site slopes from those adjacent roadways down toward the South Pino Arroyo and west toward the AMAFCA dam pool. On the east side of the site, the steep slopes are within the Tramway Boulevard right-of-way and are not impacted by this development. On the north side of the site along San Antonio, these steep slopes are avoided to the maximum extent practicable. The slopes are underneath the relocated PNM powerlines so buildings cannot be constructed on them. The parking lot is proposed to come to the bottom of the slope with some retaining walls, but most of the slope up to the roadway will remain. At the northwest part of the site, a second access is proposed to traverse this steep slope on the north side of the property, which is required by the Fire Department to provide emergency access to the site. This emergency access is located on the area with the smallest grade change to minimize impact.

Steep slopes adjacent to the site will be maintained and stabilized through seeding and natural vegetation. The applicant has discussed the various ways the slope is addressed.

5-2(C)(1)(e) Arroyos
The South Pino Arroyo transects the site from east to west. The Arroyo enters the site via an under-roadway culvert and continues across the site to the Pino Dam catchment area. Avoidance of the Arroyo would render the site undevelopable and there are no trails along the arroyo at this location. The arroyo function is protected using a concrete culvert under the site roadway.

5-2(H)(1) Properties within 330 Feet of Major Public Open Space

5-2(H)(1)(a) Limit the colors of exterior surfaces of structures, including but not limited to mechanical devices, roof vents to those with light reflective value (LRV) rating between 20 percent and 50 percent.

*Exterior surface colors range from 20 – 50 percent; however, no color or LRV has been given for the metal roof. This will need to be provided prior to DRB approval.*

5-2(H)(1)(b) Colors shall blend with the surrounding natural environment and generally include yellow ochres, browns, dull reds, and grey greens.

*Exterior colors specified are yellow, light and dark brown, and light red.*

1. Trim materials on façades constituting less than 20 percent of the façade’s opaque surface may be any color.

*Trim color is white and constitutes less than 20% of the façade.*

2. Use native and/or naturalized vegetation for landscaping materials.

*Native and/or naturalized vegetation is used, especially along preserved slopes and along natural pathways.*

5-2(H)(1)(c) Screen mechanical equipment pursuant to Subsection 14-16-5-6(G) (Screening of Mechanical Equipment and Support Areas).

*Mechanical equipment is screened by an eight-foot CMU wall with stucco finish.*

5-2(H)(1)(d) Design lighting pursuant to Section 14-16-5-8 (Outdoor Lighting).

*See Section 14-16-5-8 (Outdoor Lighting).*

5-2(H)(1)(e) Design signage per Subsections 14-16-5-12(C)(4) and Section 14-16-5-12(H) (2)(e) and locate signs to minimize visibility from Major Public Open Space.

*Signage is not visible from Major Public Open Space (MPOS).*
5-2(H)(1)(f) Provide pedestrian and bicycle access to the Major Public Open Space consistent with the City’s adopted Bikeways and Trails Facility Plan and as acceptable to the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department.

Existing access to MPOS is through the under-roadway Pino Arroyo culvert. The applicant is maintaining and enhancing this access by creating a small park outside the property fence, providing benches, water for both humans and pets, a bike pump, and bike loop. A natural trail is also provided around the perimeter of the site.

5-2(H)(2) Properties Adjacent to Major Public Open Space

In addition to the standards that apply within 330 feet of Major Public Open Space in Subsection 14-16-5-2(H)(1) above, the following standards apply to development adjacent to Major Public Open Space.

5-2(H)(2)(a) Development on properties of any size adjacent to Major Public Open Space shall:

1. Be platted and/or designed to incorporate a single-loaded street between the Major Public Open Space and development, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department. Where a single-loaded street is not desired by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department, a landscape buffer with a minimum width of 20 feet may be substituted as approved by the Open Space Superintendent.

The proposed development, though technically by definition is adjacent to MPOS, it is in reality separated by the Tramway Blvd. public right-of-way which extends from 300 to over 350 feet. Yet IDO Section 14-16-5-2(H)(2)(a) states that “development on properties of any size adjacent to Major Public Open Space shall:

   1. Be platted and/or designed to incorporate a single-loaded street between the Major Public Open Space and development, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department. Where a single-loaded street is not desired by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department, a landscape buffer with a minimum width of 20 feet may be substituted as approved by the Open Space Superintendent.”

In fact, there is a 300+ foot right-of-way and four lanes of Tramway Blvd. separating the MPOS from the development. Between the buildings and the eastern property line as designed, there is approximately 380 linear feet of the 760 feet where the setback is less than 20 feet.

2. Locate on-site open space to be contiguous with the Major Public Open Space, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department.
Due to the separation from the MPOS by the Tramway Blvd. right-of-way, contiguous open space is not possible. The memorial park and dog walk are located on the eastern site line closest to the MPOS.

3. Locate lower densities and less intense uses abutting the Major Public Open Space in any Mixed-use zone district.

None of the site abuts the MPOS. The site is located 30 feet below Tramway and across the street from the MPOS, making its visibility from the OP minimal.

4. Include a landscaped strip between off-street parking and the Major Public Open Space with a minimum width of 6 feet that varies in width to avoid the appearance of a hard, straight line. Plant selection shall include sufficient shrubs or trees to provide a visual barrier.

A naturally landscaped area is specified between the parking area nearest the MPOS. It varies in width.

5. Limit height of site lighting luminaires to 20 feet.

Site lighting is 15 feet or less.

6. Incorporate Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles to deter crime and to facilitate security measures.

The project incorporates CPTED principles with, gated entry, visually open wrought iron fencing, and lighting throughout the site.

7. Manage stormwater per Section 14-16-5-4(H).

5-4(H)(1)(a) Applicable standards in the DPM.

Stormwater handling will be per DPM standards.

5-4(H)(1)(b) Applicable standards in Article 14-5 of ROA 1994 (Flood Hazard and Drainage Control).

The property is currently listed as a flood plain; although changes made during the construction of Tramway altered that condition even though it has not been reclassified. The site work to be carried out on this site will create a covered culvert across the property and under the parking/roadway. This culvert will go from the culvert under Tramway at the east edge of the site and carry to a concrete chute into the Pino Dam Pool.

5-4(H)(1)(c) The requirements of AMAFCA.
A Turnkey Agreement is required for the improvements to the Pino Arroyo & Pino Dam Pool modification. The developer has already begun the process with AMAFCA.

8. Design grading per Section 14-16-5-4(J).

**Grading plans will meet the requirements of Section 14-16-5-4(J) and be reviewed by DRB.**

9. Locate and design vehicle access, circulation, and parking per Subsection 14-16-5-5(F) (Parking Location and Design) and Subsection 14-16-5-6(F) (Parking Lot Landscaping).

**See item 11 below.**

10. Locate and design all walls, fences, retaining walls, and combinations of those site features facing the Major Public Open Space in compliance with all applicable standards in Section 14-16-5-7(E)(4) (Walls Adjacent to Major Arroyos or Major Public Open Space).

**See item 11 below.**

11. Prevent and mitigate construction impact per the DPM.

**Construction will be well separated from the MPOS and will have no impact.**

5-2(H)(2)(b) Development on properties 5 acres or greater adjacent to Major Public Open Space shall:

1. Comply with the requirements of Subsection (a) above.

**See above.**

2. Not create any material negative environmental impacts on the visual, recreational, or habitat values of the Major Public Open Space.

**The proposed development will have no negative visual, recreational or habitat values of the open space that is over 300 feet away.**

3. Locate and design vehicle access, circulation, and parking to minimize impact to Major Public Open Space.

**Access to the proposed development is via Tennyson which is half a mile south of the MPOS.**

4. Design grading and manage stormwater to minimize impact to Major Public Open Space.

**Drainage is designed to accommodate the Pino Arroyo drainage requirements and adjust the flood plain of the site.**
5. Locate, design, and orient site lighting to be compatible with Major Public Open Space, including consideration of periphery lighting and lighting of any pedestrian access to Major Public Open Space that is acceptable to the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department.

_Due to the Tramway Blvd. right-of-way separation, lighting elements will not affect the MPOS. All exterior lights are kept below 15 feet._

6. Design walls to balance the following needs as appropriate on a case-by-case basis:
   a. Aesthetics that blend with the natural environment.
   b. Safety and surveillance.
   c. Screening and privacy.

_See below._

7. Locate, design, and orient signage to minimize impact to the Major Public Open Space.

_Building signage is located facing south and not visible from the MPOS._

5-2(E) MAJOR ARROYO STANDARDS

5-2(E)(2) Drainage

_A Turnkey Agreement is required for the improvements to the Pino Arroyo & Pino Dam Pool modification. The developer has already begun the process with AMAFCA. Drainage Easements granted to AMAFCA associated with the improvements to the Pino Arroyo & Pino Dam Pool will be needed._

5-2(E)(3) Arroyo Right-of-Way and Trails

5-2(E)(3)(b) Property owners shall dedicate property as shown in the Facility Plan for Arroyos for trails and/or arroyo right-of-way. Right-of-way for a trail and landscaping adjacent to the arroyo right-of-way shall average 20 feet in width.

_Although the South Pino Arroyo (a Major Public Open Space Link) was scheduled for an Arroyo Corridor Plan, one is not listed under Plans and Publications, and as such meets the caveat on page 16 for ROW “when appropriate, based upon an adopted arroyo corridor plan”. Also, the diagram on page 36 of the Facility Plan for Arroyos does not show ROW for the South Pino Arroyo as it is shown for the South Domingo Baca Arroyo or Bear Canyon Arroyo, so PRD does not request ROW._

5-2(E)(3)(e) Land adjacent to barriers across the arroyo, such as dams, roads, and culverts, shall be platted to allow space for a trail around the barrier, providing for a continuous trail system.
The applicant has allowed for a natural path to go around the east side of the project and connect into the Pino Arroyo culvert. This path/trail ties back into Tennyson St both at the north and the south ends of the property. This path provides continued access to an area that is not a dedicated trail, but is an informal one.

5-2(E)(4) Landscaping Adjacent to Arroyos

5-2(E)(4)(c) Development shall landscape usable open space along the property line abutting the arroyo easement or right-of-way.

The Arroyo easement abutting the property is located around the under-roadway culvert that will connect the natural pathways provided with the casual trails east of Tramway Blvd. in the MPOS. This area will be landscaped with a small park outside the gates of the development but maintained by the property.

5-2(E)(5) Walls and Fences

*See Below.*

5-3 ACCESS AND CONNECTIVITY

The project site plan provides access and conductivity to the site by various means of transportation.

5-3(A)(2) Providing adequate street connectivity.

Direct access is made to the site from Tennyson St NE north of Academy Road. It is also accessible by foot along Tennyson or from the under-Trimway culvert. Cyclists have access via Tennyson to the south.

5-3(A)(3) Supporting a multi-modal transportation network.

The project connects to bike paths, pedestrian walkways and is close to bus lines in addition to automobile and motorcycle networks.

5-3(A)(4) Ensuring convenient and efficient access to current and future neighborhoods.

The site is the last developable lot accessible from Tennyson St. It was determined that the project will not create sufficient trips to require a TIS.

5-3(D)(3) On-site Pedestrian Connections
All non-residential, mixed-use, and multi-family development shall comply with the following standards:
5-3(D)(3)(b) Network of Walkways

1. On-site pedestrian walkways that meet the minimum width required by the DPM shall be provided between the pedestrian entrances of each primary building on the site.

*Sidewalk details are not provided and will need to be verified by DRB. The design of the townhouses precludes sidewalk connectivity. Pedestrian driveway crossings are insufficient for the layout of the site. An additional crosswalk should be provided along the western length of units*

2. On-site pedestrian walkways shall connect to all of the following:
   a. A sidewalk meeting the standards of the DPM along at least one lot frontage that extends to the boundary of the subject parcel.

*A DPM standard sidewalk is provided from the west side of Tennyson St. to a sidewalk along the entry road of the project. This connection is partly demarcated as a striped crosswalk through the adjacent cul-de-sac.*

   b. Any abutting City park or trail, Major Public Open Space, or other Civic or Institutional uses, as long as such access is coordinated with and approved by the Parks and Recreation Department or the property owner of the civic or institutional use.

*A natural path is provided along the east edge of the property connecting the sidewalk along north Tennyson to the culvert trail and from there to the cul-de-sac at south Tennyson. Parks and Recreation would like to see a connection along the north edge of the site, connecting the proposed natural pathway on the site to pathways west of the site that connect to the Pino Dam path, a popular casual trail with joggers and walkers.*

   c. Any abutting public transit facility.

*Not Applicable.*

5-3(D)(3)(c) Materials to Alert Motorists

On-site walkways and crosswalks shall be identified to motorists and pedestrians through the use of one or more of the following:

1. Changing material, patterns, or paving color (i.e. changing the color of the paving itself, not painting the paving material).
2. Changing paving height.
3. Decorative bollards or planters.
4. Raised median walkways with landscaped buffers.
5. Stamped or stained concrete.

*The crosswalks are denoted as painted stripes as opposed to a change in material or color of the material. An actual change of material or material color will need to be incorporated.*
5-3(D)(4) Trails
Trails shall be dedicated on alignments that connect to any planned or existing trails on adjacent properties, as necessary to serve the residents, occupants, and users of the proposed development, and shall be constructed pursuant to the DPM.

The only trail is an unofficial trail through the under-Trimway culvert that connects to the MPOS to the east. A natural path is being provided to this culvert which also connects to Tennyson St both north and south of the site.

5-3(E)(3)(b) Residential Development

2. Multi-family development on sites greater than 5 acres shall include a minimum of 2 through-access drives, unless deemed impracticable by the DRB due to physical constraints or natural features.

The project site is at the end of a cul-de-sac and surrounded by existing development and Tramway Blvd. Two through access drives would be impractical; however, the site has an interior cul-de-sac inside the entrance that connects a ring road around the project. It also has an additional emergency access gate at the northwest corner of the site connecting to San Antonio Drive.

5-5 PARKING AND LOADING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5-5-1: Off-street Parking Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERMISSIVE PRIMARY USES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENTIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling, multi-family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential community amenity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project has 281 dwelling units and is, therefore, required to have 421 parking space and an additional 15 spaces for the 5150 square foot recreation and exercise buildings. The applicant has provided 503 parking spaces which meets and exceeds the minimum requirements.

Ten handicapped spaces are required and eleven are provided.
Bicycle parking is required in the amount of 10 percent of the required parking. The applicant shows 77 spaces required and is providing all of these in garages of units that have them. That means the remaining 200 units have not access to secure bike storage or parking. The applicant needs to provide a minimum of 30 bicycle parking slots located around the site.

5-5(C)(5)(d) Electric Vehicle Charging Station Credit
When a new parking lot containing more than 200 off-street spaces is constructed, at least 2 percent of the vehicle parking spaces shall include electric vehicle charging stations with a rating of 240 volts or higher.

Two percent of parking spaces are required to be electric charging station, or a total of 10. Ten are to be provided but are not called out on the site plan.

5-6 LANDSCAPING, BUFFERING, AND SCREENING

Landscaping Meets Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LOT AREA (ACRES)</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LOT AREA (SF)</td>
<td>699,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUILDING AREA (SF)</td>
<td>371,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET LOT AREA (SF)</td>
<td>327,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQUIRED LANDSCAPE (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQUIRED LANDSCAPE (SF)</td>
<td>49,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANDSCAPE PROVIDED (SF)</td>
<td>137,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGETATIVE COVER (% - REQ)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGETATIVE COVER (SF - REQ)</td>
<td>102,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGETATIVE COVER (SF - PROV.)</td>
<td>103,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQ. TREES - 1ST AND 2ND STORY UNITS</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROVIDED UNIT TREES</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUNDCOVER (% - REQ)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUNDCOVER (SF - REQ)</td>
<td>25,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUNDCOVER (SF - PROV.)</td>
<td>31,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKING LOT AREA (SF)</td>
<td>201,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQ. PARKING LANDSCAPE 15% (SF)</td>
<td>30,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROV. PARKING LANDSCAPE (SF)</td>
<td>31,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQ. PARKING TREES (1/10 SPOTS)</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROV. PARKING TREES</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5-7 WALLS AND FENCES

The site utilizes a 6-foot tubular steel fence around the perimeter with 24”X24” stone faced columns every 50 feet. The open steel with decorative columns allows for placement up to 60 feet maximum. In areas where additional screening is required, vines will be placed at the base of the fence.

5-8 OUTDOOR LIGHTING

Outdoor lighting on the site is at a maximum height of 15 feet with LED lamp. This is within the limit of 16 feet for residential zones.

5-11 BUILDING DESIGN

Building elevations and materials have been reviewed for required glass surfaces, changes in materials and changes in height or setbacks. The elevations as presented meet the IDO requirements.

6-6(H)(3)(d) The City’s existing infrastructure and public improvements, including but not limited to its street, trail, drainage, and sidewalk systems, have adequate capacity to serve the proposed development, and any burdens on those systems have been mitigated to the extent practicable.

Applicant Response: The City’s existing infrastructure has adequate capacity for the proposed development. A trip generation study was done by Terry Brown, which indicated the project did not meet the minimum thresholds to require a traffic impact study. The Applicant will install sidewalk connections as required by the City Transportation Development Section. A Water and Sewer Availability Statement request and Fire Marshal approval will be completed prior to issuance of a building permit for construction of the development. These numerous approvals and reviewing agencies will ensure that any burdens are mitigated to the extent practicable.

The project site is within an area of existing infrastructure and services. Currently the site is within the AO flood zone (areas of 1% shallow flooding (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet.) Development of the site will result in reconfiguration of the site and removal of the flood zone status.

6-6(h)(3)(e) the application mitigates any significant adverse impacts on the surrounding area to the maximum extent practicable.

Applicant Response: The Applicant has carefully considered the impacts of the proposed
development on the surrounding area. Site lighting has been kept to 15 feet and walls are strategically located to minimize glare from headlights off the subject property. Many residents utilize this property as an informal access to the culverts under Tramway Boulevard to reach the MPOS trails and multi-use path along Tramway. The Applicant is maintaining an informal access along the eastern edge of the property and enhancing it with a public memorial park that will include a water fountain, benches, and a bicycle pump. No resident vehicular access is proposed on the north side of the site onto San Antonio Drive with only a gated, emergency access provided. All regular traffic coming and going from the development will be via the entrance located on Tennyson Street at the south side of the subject site, which mitigates the impact of the development on the lower density North Albuquerque Acres community to the north.

The applicant’s response is sufficient. Access from the site via Tennyson St. is handles with a signal at Academy Rd. regulating left turns towards Tramway. Community access to the MPOS via the Pino Arroyo culvert will remain available with additional features added for walkers and cyclists.

IV. VARIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Per IDO Section 6-6(m)(3)(a), except as indicated in subsections (b) and (c), an application for a variance – EPC shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:

1. There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity, including but not limited to size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, and physical characteristics, and such special circumstances were created either by natural forces or by government eminent domain actions for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the Property either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.

   Applicant Response: There are several special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity.

   As previously described, the subject site is bisected by the Pino Arroyo and significantly encumbered by a floodplain and grading challenges created by the topography. While the property owner has received approval of a CLOMR to modify this floodplain, there are significant governmental requirements and infrastructure required to complete this process and the arroyo will remain on the property within a buried box culvert. This culvert requires a 60-foot wide easement in which buildings may not be constructed. As such, the Applicant had to design the site around this easement, which included moving some buildings to the south and closer to the front lot line. Additionally, the applicant is relocating PNM transmission lines which bifurcate the site. The relocation of the lines, at a significant
expense, to the north and east sides of the property, creates another 60-foot wide easement in which buildings may not be constructed.

Further, front lot lines usually border a street along their entire width; however, the south property line only borders Tennyson Street for a small portion of its width, while the remainder borders adjacent properties. Any other similarly situated property at the end of a cul-de-sac would treat the lot line extensions from the cul-de-sac and adjacent to other properties as side lot lines. If that were the case, no variance would be required because the side setback requirement is only 5 feet (see Figure 4).

Regarding the 10-foot variance to the 20-foot buffer, the Applicant had to design the site around this easement, which included locating the buildings and parking as shown on the site plan without a single-loaded street adjacent to Tramway Boulevard and the MPOS, and less than a 20-foot landscape buffer in lieu of the single-loaded street. The subject site is also unique in its location adjacent to MPOS but separated by such a wide right-of-way that more than meets the intent of the regulations. Tramway Boulevard is an extremely wide roadway at over 200 feet, and this property is extremely unique in the City of Albuquerque as it is "adjacent" to MPOS but separated by a great distance, including an elevation change that blocks views to and from the MPOS toward the proposed development. In addition, if Tramway were a local road that allowed single-loaded access facing the MPOS, it would very clearly meet the referenced regulation, but due to the grade changes and NMDOT limited access policies, the developer is unable to access Tramway for it to be considered a single-loaded street.

These circumstances are not self-imposed but are the result of previous government actions and requirements, as well as the natural forces of the arroyo and drainage across the sloping topography. These circumstances create practical difficulties with strict compliance with the standards for placing buildings on the site, and create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property as the applicant is expending significant funds to improve the drainage infrastructure to make this lot developable.

The subject property has multiple factors that create hardship for the developer and are not self-imposed. The Pino Arroyo passes through the southern half of the site. A large culvert which passes underneath Tramway Blvd. to which access will remain for the general community to continue to use. The culvert is used as an under-roadway path to recreational areas adjacent to the property but across the Tramway public right-of-way.

The culvert has a 60-foot easement which cannot be built on and runs across the site from east to west. Site improvements to the culvert area at the eastern edge of the site, with landscaping and natural pathways, pushes the block of housing units further to the south creating the need of the 10-foot variance to the 15-foot front setback. The designated front of the site was determined as the south edge because access to the site is from Tennyson Street. The units nearest Tennyson and the southern property line where the variance is requested are actually the side of the unit. South of the site is undevelopable right-of-way with no concern for additional construction later.
The variance of 10 feet for the buffer adjacent to the MPOS is warranted as the project site is not realistically adjacent to the MPOS because the Tramway Blvd. right-of-way is so wide as to negate most, if not all, impacts that could be caused by development of this site. The proposed development, though technically by definition is adjacent to MPOS, it is in reality separated by the Tramway Blvd. public right-of-way which extends from 300 to over 350 feet. IDO Section 14-16- 5-2(H)(2)(a) states that “development on properties of any size adjacent to Major Public Open Space shall:

1. Be platted and/or designed to incorporate a single-loaded street between the Major Public Open Space and development, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department. Where a single-loaded street is not desired by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department, a landscape buffer with a minimum width of 20 feet may be substituted as approved by the Open Space Superintendent.”

The intention of this buffer or single-loaded street is to provide a meaningful separation and transition between development on the site and the MPOS. That separation is more than provided by the four lanes of Tramway Blvd. Between the buildings and the eastern property line as designed, there is only about 360 linear feet of the 760 feet where the buffered setback is less than 20 feet.

2. The variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.

Applicant Response: The setback variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare. The variance will not allow the southeastern building to be setback any closer than the R-MH side setback requirements, so adequate spacing, light, and air will still be provided. In addition, the variance will allow for development of the property, including improvements to the arroyo that will improve the public safety and welfare by completing drainage infrastructure improvements.

The buffer variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare. The variance will not allow the development to be built any closer to the adjacent MPOS than would otherwise be required in any other location in the City. A typical single-loaded street or buffer provides between 20 and 50 feet between the MPOS and developed private property. The Tramway Boulevard right-of-way provides approximately 200 feet of separation in addition to the 5 to 10 feet of additional separation as shown on the site plan. In addition, the variance will allow for development of the property, including improvements to the arroyo that will improve the public safety and welfare by completing the necessary drainage infrastructure improvements.

This variance request will not be contrary to the public safety, health, or general welfare. The units nearest Tennyson and the southern property line where the variance is requested are actually the side of the unit. South of the site is undevelopable right-of-way with no concern for additional construction later.
3. The variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.

Applicant Response: The variance will not cause material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements. The proposed multi-family residential use has long been planned for the site and infrastructure is available to serve this development. The variance will not allow for any additional development that creates traffic impacts, flooding, or any other adverse impacts. The southeastern building will be setback at least 5 feet from the front lot line, and significant drainage improvements will be constructed. A trip generation report was completed for the proposed development, which demonstrates that the project does not meet the thresholds for a full Traffic Impact Study to be completed. Tennyson Street has access to Academy Road at a fully signalized intersection where traffic can disperse to Tramway Boulevard to the east and other roadways to the west.

The variance itself will cause no adverse effects on the surrounding area; whereas, the accompanying site improvements will be beneficial to the surrounding with better access to the under-roadway culvert, an accompanying park and improvements to the flood plain.

4. The variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of this IDO or the applicable zone district.

Applicant Response: The variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or the R-MH zone district. It will not allow any uses that are not allowed by the underlying zoning. Additionally, as mentioned for most other similarly situated properties, the location of the required variance would be considered a side lot line with a minimum setback requirement of 5 feet. The proposed variance of 10 feet to the 15-foot front setback still leaves a 5-foot setback between the proposed building and any adjacent properties. The Site Plan accompanying this application meets all other IDO requirements related to the RMH zone.

This site has long been designated for multi-family high intensity development and its current zoning, R-MH, establishes the land use entitlements for the site. It will provide an option in housing types to the area with access to basic amenities and major roadways. The requested variance does not undermine the applicable zoning district or the intended growth of the area.

5. The variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.

Applicant Response: The variance is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship and practical difficulties. The requested variance is necessary for the placement of the buildings as shown on the accompanying Site Plan – EPC, which also requires the provision of parking, landscaping, and setbacks from other lot lines. The drainage easement location at the center of the property requires the proposed buildings to be located farther south, and the required variance is the minimum amount necessary to make this happen.
The variance requested is the minimum necessary to avoid hardship given the dimensional site constraints produced by the culvert easement. Only through the removal of the southern most unit, on a site providing 17 DU per acres as opposed to the 24 DU per acre permissible, can the design work without this variance. The variance of 10 feet along the eastern boundary is necessary to prevent a grave redundancy of separation.

V. AGENCY & NEIGHBORHOOD CONCERNS

Reviewing Agencies

City departments and other interested agencies reviewed this application from 8/3/2020 to 8/18/2020. A number of comments have been received.

Parks and Recreation Department

Parks and recreation would like to see a connecting natural path along the north edge of the site connecting into natural paths to the west of the site. In addition, the vines or other vegetation to be planted at the fences adjacent to parking and the arroyo is not apparent and needs to be clearly denoted on the plan and within the plant schedule.

Long Range Planning

The proposed site plan seems consistent with Comp Plan goals and policies. Existing design requirements in IDO Subsections 14-16-5-2(H)(1) and (2) provide protections for adjacent Major Public Open Space to ensure development on adjacent properties that is compatible and that mitigates potential negative impact. The EPC should carefully review compliance with these standards.

The site is separated from Major Public Open Space by a significant roadway, Tramway Boulevard, so any adverse impacts the development might have on the MPOS would appear to be minimal. Councilor Jones has already proposed an amendment (Amendment B10) to the IDO for the 2019 annual update that would eliminate the required design standards for properties separated from MPOS by a principal arterial or freeway; however, because this request was submitted prior to adoption of the IDO amendments, the design standards provided in 5-2(H)(1) and (2) Lots Adjacent to Major Public Open Space are required.

The setback variance request would allow the development to be constructed around an existing drainage easement; a 10-foot variance in the proposed location should have minimal impact. The EPC and engineers should carefully consider the overall site design to ensure that it will accommodate any flood activities.

Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control Authority

Drainage Easements granted to AMAFCA associated with the improvements to the Pino Arroyo & Pino Dam Pool will be needed.

The Water Utility Authority had no adverse comments.
The Mid-Region Council of Governments (MRCOG) had no adverse comments.

New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) has no adverse comment.

Albuquerque Public Schools

The development of residential dwellings has direct impacts to Albuquerque Public Schools. A residential development at this location will have impacts to Georgia O’Keeffe Elementary School, Eisenhower Middle School, and La Cueva High School. At present, Georgia O’Keeffe Elementary operates at capacity, and development will be a strain on the school.

PNM

PNM needs to complete the identification of transmission line and distribution line easements on the property that may interfere with the current location of one of the proposed 3 story buildings. This discussion is critical to the safety of the occupants of the building and to the workers during the building of the building.

1. Applicant needs to locate all PNM easements on submittal and all existing distribution and transmission pole structures locations on submittal.
2. An existing overhead distribution line is located along the east side of the subject site. It is the applicant’s obligation to abide by any conditions or terms of those easements.
3. There are two transmission lines along the east side and the north side of the property. It is the applicant’s obligation to abide by any conditions or terms of those easements.
4. The developer needs to contact PNM regarding re-location of the distribution and transmission structures with new easements.

Neighborhood/Public

Planning Staff has received no comments regarding this project from the public either for against the project.

VI. CONCLUSION

The request is for a Site Plan approval with variances for an approximately 16.1-acre property located at the end of Tennyson St to the north of Academy Road. The project consists of 16 apartment buildings totaling 281 units and two community amenity buildings.

The subject site is along a Major Commuter Corridor. The request generally furthers a preponderance of applicable Goals and Policies of the ABC Comprehensive Plan and meets most applicable IDO requirements. Notable exceptions are the front setback at Tennyson, and a 20-foot buffer, for which variances are being requested. Other instances of non-compliance can be remedied through Conditions of Approval, which are also needed for clarification. The proposed site plan is recommended to go to the Development Review Board (DRB) after the EPC process.
FINDINGS - PR-2020-004086/ SI-2020-006901, September 10, 2020 - Site Development Plan

1. The request is for approval of Site Plan-EPC on a site containing 16.1 acres, described as Tract 1 The Foothills.

2. The applicant is requesting a Site Plan - EPC for a multi-family project with 16 residential buildings, one exercise building and one leasing/recreation building on the site.

3. Seven of the sixteen buildings have garages at ground level and a maximum building height of 37 feet. The remaining nine buildings have a maximum height of 40 feet.

4. The applicant is requesting two variances as shown and noted on the Site Plan - EPC: 1) 10 feet of the 15-foot front setback at one building; and 2) 10-foot variance of the 20-foot buffer between the MPOS.

5. The subject site is located in an Area of Consistency as designated in the ABC Comprehensive Plan.

6. The Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan and the Integrated Development Ordinance are incorporated herein by reference and made part of the record for all purposes.

7. The request is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan Goal from the Land Use Chapter:

**GOAL 5.2 COMPLETE COMMUNITIES:**

Foster communities where residents can live, work, learn, shop, and play together.

Promote development patterns that maximize the utility of existing infrastructure and public facilities and the efficient use of land to support the public good.

**Policy 5.2.1 Land Uses:** Capture regional growth in Centers and Corridors to help shape the built environment into a sustainable development pattern.

d) Encourage development that broadens housing options to meet a range of incomes and lifestyles.

The project will provide a range of housing options including townhomes and garden apartments. Unit sizes include 1, 2, and 3 bedrooms. The project is intended as a luxury apartment complex catering to a specific clientele, but will provide a variation from the predominantly single-family developments in the area.

f) Encourage higher density housing as an appropriate use in the following situations: [ABC]

iii. In areas where a mixed density pattern is already established by zoning or use, where it is compatible with existing area land uses, and where adequate infrastructure is or will be available.
There is adequate infrastructure in the area with similar land use to the south including Multi-family residential (PD) and single-family residential in remaining areas.

8. The request is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan Goal from the Land Use Chapter:

**GOAL 5.3 EFFICIENT DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS:**

Promote development patterns that maximize the utility of existing infrastructure and public facilities and the efficient use of land to support the public good.

**Policy 5.3.1 Infill Development:** Support additional growth in areas with existing infrastructure and public facilities. [ABC]

The parcel maximizes the use of existing infrastructure required to service the development demands as all required infrastructure surrounds the parcel. Albuquerque Public Schools has expressed concern over the possible impact on Georgia O’Keefe Elementary School that is currently operating at capacity.

9. The request is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan Goal from the Land Use Chapter:

**GOAL 5.6 CITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS**

Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

**Policy 5.6.2 Areas of Consistency:** Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, and Major Public Open Space.

b) Ensure that development reinforces the scale, intensity, and setbacks of the immediately surrounding context.

The scale of development in the area of this project is diverse. Immediately to the north are large lots and small lot single-family homes. Immediately to the south of this project is a similarly scaled multi-family residential development and further south, on Academy Dr., is a neighborhood commercial center.

g) Provide stepbacks and/or setbacks to protect solar access and privacy on abutting single-family residential properties

No units are close to the adjoining single-family houses, hence protecting solar rights and privacy.

10. The request is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan Goal from the Housing:

**GOAL 9.1 SUPPLY**

Promote housing design that is sustainable and compatible with the natural and built environments.
POLICY 9.1.1 Housing Options: Support the development, improvement, and conservation of housing for a variety of income levels and types of residents and households. [ABC]

i) Provide for the development of multi-family housing close to public services, transit, and shopping.

This multi-family housing development furthers this policy as it is close to a major commuter corridor, has close access to transit, and is within walking distance of a neighborhood shopping center. It is also accessibly to major public open space across Tramway.

14. The request is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan Goal from the Housing:

GOAL 9. 2 SUSTAINABLE DESIGN
Support increased housing density in appropriate places with adequate services and amenities.

POLICY 9.2.1 Compatibility: Encourage housing development that enhances neighborhood character, maintains compatibility with surrounding land uses, and responds to its development context – i.e. urban, suburban, or rural – with appropriate densities, site design, and relationship to the street. [ABC]

The proposed project provides multi-family housing with similar density and character of neighboring housing projects. Its style and site amenities are appropriate for the area. A large portion of the site is open.

15 The request meets the Site Plan-EPC Review & Decision Criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-6(H)(3) as follows:

A. 6-6(H)(3)(a) The Site Plan is consistent with the ABC Comp Plan, as amended.
   As demonstrated by the policy analysis above, the request is consistent with applicable Comprehensive Plan Goals and policies.

B. 6-6(H)(3)(b) The Site Plan is consistent with any applicable terms and conditions in any previously approved NR-SU or PD zoning covering the property and any related development agreements and/or regulations.
   The subject property was zoned PD with the IDO conversion because it was undeveloped at the time. The site was rezoned to R-MH through the voluntary rezoning process. There is no previously approved or submitted site plan for this property.

C. 6-6(H)(3)(c) The Site Plan complies with all applicable provisions of this IDO, the DPM, other adopted City regulations, and any terms and conditions specifically applied to development of the property in a prior permit or approval affecting the property.
   With the application of conditions of approval, the site plan will comply with all applicable provisions of the IDO. The request will need to be reviewed by the Development Review Board (DRB) to ensure compliance with applicable provisions of the Development Process Manual (DPM).

D. 6-6(H)(3)(d) The City's existing infrastructure and public improvements, including but not limited to its street, trail, drainage, and sidewalk systems, have adequate capacity to serve the
proposed development, and any burdens on those systems have been mitigated to the extent practicable.

The project site is within an area of existing infrastructure and services. The request will provide improvements to trails and drainage and will be reviewed by the Development Review Board (DRB), to ensure that infrastructure has sufficient capacity to serve a proposed development.

E. 6-6(H)(3)(e) The application mitigates any significant adverse impacts on the surrounding area to the maximum extent practicable.

The Applicant has carefully considered the impacts of the proposed development on the surrounding area. Site lighting has been kept to 15 feet and walls are strategically located to minimize glare from headlights off the subject property. Many residents utilize this property as an informal access to the culverts under Tramway Boulevard to reach the MPOS trails and multi-use path along Tramway. Access from the site via Tennyson St. is handles with a signal at Academy Rd. regulating left turns towards Tramway.

16. The request meets the Variance-EPC Review & Decision Criteria IDO Section 6-6(m)(3)(a) in as follows:

A. There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity, including but not limited to size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, and physical characteristics, and such special circumstances were created either by natural forces or by government eminent domain actions for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the Property either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.

There are several special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity. The subject site is bisected by the Pino Arroyo and significantly encumbered by a floodplain and grading challenges created by the topography. The arroyo will remain on the property within a buried box culvert. This culvert requires a 60-foot wide easement in which buildings may not be constructed. Site improvements to the culvert area at the eastern edge of the site, with landscaping and natural pathways, pushes the block of housing units further to the south creating the need of the 10-foot variance to the 15-foot front setback.

The designated front of the site was determined as the south edge because access to the site is from Tennyson Street. The units nearest Tennyson and the southern property line where the variance is requested are actually the side of the unit. South of the site is undevelopable right-of-way with no concern for additional construction later.

The variance of 10 feet for the buffer adjacent to the MPOS is warranted as the project site, though by definition, is adjacent, it is actually separated by the Tramway Blvd. public right-of-way which extends from 300 to over 350 feet. IDO Section 14-16- 5-2(H)(2)(a) states that “development on properties of any size adjacent to Major Public Open Space shall:
Be platted and/or designed to incorporate a single-loaded street between the Major Public Open Space and development, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department. Where a single-loaded street is not desired by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department, a landscape buffer with a minimum width of 20 feet may be substituted as approved by the Open Space Superintendent."

The intention of this buffer or single-loaded street is to provide a separation. That separation is more than provided by the four lanes of Tramway. Between the buildings and the eastern property line as designed, there is only about 360 linear feet of the 760 feet where the setback is less than 20 feet.

B. The variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.

This variance request will not be contrary to the public safety, health, or general welfare. The units nearest Tennyson and the southern property line where the variance is requested are actually the side of the unit. South of the site is undevelopable right-of-way with no concern for additional construction later.

C. The variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.

The variances will cause no adverse effects on the surrounding area; whereas, the accompanying site improvements will be beneficial to the surrounding with better access to the under-roadway culvert, an accompanying park and improvements to the flood plain.

D. The variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of this IDO or the applicable zone district.

This site has long been designated for multi-family high intensity development. It will provide an option in housing types to the area with access to basic amenities and major roadways. The requested variances do not undermine the applicable zoning district or the intended growth of the area.

E. The variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.

The variance requested is the minimum necessary to avoid hardship given the dimensional site constraints produced by the culvert easement. Only through the removal of the southernmost unit, on a site providing 17 DU per acre as opposed to the 24 DU per acre permissible, can the design work without this variance.

The variance of 10 feet along the eastern boundary is necessary to prevent a grave redundancy of separation.

17. IDO criteria 5-3(D)(3)(c) Materials to Alert Motorists calls for a change in material, level, or color, specifically stated not paint on material. The onsite crosswalks are only demarcated with painted stripes which is insufficient. The number of crosswalks also seems insufficient with none being provided to the dog walk area, nor along the longest run of buildings on the west side of the site.
18. IDO criteria 5-5 Parking and Loading is not sufficiently met as garages are calculated as the only bicycle parking spots. The applicant needs to provide a minimum of 30 bicycle parking slots located around the site to meet the requirement.

19. PNM has concerns with some building locations. These details will need to be worked out between the applicant and PNM prior to DRB submittal.

20. The District 8 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations, North Albuquerque Acres Community Association, and Antelope Run NA were notified by the applicant. Property owners within 100 feet of the subject site were also notified, as required. A community meeting was requested and held. There is no known opposition to the request.

**RECOMMENDATION - SI-2020-00690, September 10, 2020**

**APPROVAL of Project #: 2020-004086, Case #: SI-2020-00690, a site plan for an approximately 16.1-acre vacant site, consisting of the north end of Tennyson St. north of Academy and west of Tramway Blvd. in the northeast highlands of the city, based on the preceding Findings and subject to the following Conditions of Approval.**

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, Site Plan**

**Project # 2020-004086, Case #: SI-2020-00690**

1. The EPC delegates final sign-off authority of this site development plan to the Development Review Board (DRB) to ensure all technical issues are resolved. The DRB is responsible for ensuring that technical EPC Conditions have been satisfied and that other applicable City requirements have been met.

2. A letter shall accompany the submittal, specifying all modifications that have been made to the site plan since the EPC hearing, including how the site plan has been modified to meet each of the EPC conditions. Unauthorized changes to this site plan, including before or after DRB final sign-off, may result in forfeiture of approvals.

3. The applicant shall meet with the Staff planner prior to applying to the DRB to ensure that all conditions of approval are addressed and met. Upon receiving sign-off from the DRB, the applicant shall submit a finalized version of the site plan for filing at the Planning Department.
4. Pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-5-2(H)(1)(a) Properties within 330 Feet of Major Public Open Space, roof material and color shall be submitted for compliance with light reflective value (LRV) rating between 20 percent and 50 percent.

5. Exterior surface colors range from 20 – 50 percent; however, no color or LRV has been given for the metal roof. This will need to be provided prior to DRB approval.

6. Pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-5-3(D)(3)(b) Network of Walkways, pedestrian driveway crossings are insufficient for the layout of the site. An additional crosswalk should be provided along the western length of units at the point of connection to amenities sidewalk location.

7. Pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-5-3(D)(3)(b)2b, continue soft-surface Nature Path at north property line in order to connect with informal trail that connects with the Pino Dam gravel road/trail for recreation purposes.

8. Pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-5-2(E)(4)(d) Parking lots abutting major arroyos shall provide a buffer pursuant to Subsection 5-6(F)(3)(a) Walls or fencing a minimum of 6 feet high; fencing requires landscaping with evergreen shrubs or vines to form a screen at least 75 percent opaque, provide space and vine symbol at base of 6-foot wrought iron fence located at surface parking where it abuts arroyo property, and add vine symbol in plant schedule.

9. Pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-5-3(D)(3)(c) Materials to Alert Motorists, provide a change in material color or texture for crosswalk areas and provide a minimum of two additional crosswalks on the site.

10. Pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-5-5(C)(5)(d) Electric Vehicle Charging Station Credit, the applicant will provide ten (10) EVC stations on the site, not all of which are to be in designated garages.

11. Applicant will establish are required utility easements with the appropriate agencies.

Leslie Naji, AIA
Senior Planner

Notice of Decision cc list:
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AGENCY COMMENTS

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Zoning Enforcement

Office of Neighborhood Coordination

Long Range Planning
PR-2020-004086 / Case Number: SI-2020-00690
Address: Tennyson St. NE, between Academy Rd. NE,
and San Antonio Dr. NE west of Tramway Blvd.
IDO Zoning: R-MH
Request: Site Plan – EPC & Variance – EPC

Long-Range Planning Agency Comments

The proposed site plan seems consistent with Comp Plan goals and policies. Existing design
requirements in IDO Subsections 14-16-5-2(H)(1) and (2) provide protections for adjacent Major
Public Open Space to ensure development on adjacent properties that is compatible and that
mitigates potential negative impact. The EPC should carefully review compliance with these
standards.

The site is separated from Major Public Open Space by a significant roadway, Tramway
Boulevard, so any adverse impacts the development might have on the MPOS would appear to be
minimal. Councilor Jones has already proposed an amendment (Amendment B10) to the IDO for
the 2019 annual update that would eliminates the required design standards for properties separated
from MPOS by a principal arterial or freeway; however, because this request was submitted prior
to adoption of the IDO amendments, the design standards provided in 5-2(H)(1) and (2) Lots
Adjacent to Major Public Open Space are required.

The setback variance request would allow the development to be constructed around an existing
drainage easement; a 10-foot variance in the proposed location should have minimal impact. The
EPC and engineers should carefully consider the overall site design to ensure that it will
accommodate any flood activities.
Background for Case Planner

Background
The applicant, Titan Property Managements, LLC, represented by Consensus Planning, Inc., is requesting approval of a site plan and a variance of 10 feet to allow buildings along one side of the property a 5-foot setback rather than the required 15 feet.
The project site is 16.6 acres and is located entirely within and surrounded by an Area of Consistency and is not impacted by any overlay zones. The site is zoned R-MH, and multi-family residential is an allowed use. The zoning prior to the IDO was Residential Developing area (RD) with a 24-unit per acre maximum, not to exceed 321 units for the site. The proposed site plan has 238 units.

The site is largely bordered by PD zoning and, to the north, unincorporated County land. Across Tramway Boulevard to the east is Major Open Space (MPOS). The surrounding area is largely residential.

Discussion

The size of the site and its location across Tramway from the Pino Arroyo Major Public Open Space (MPOS) area require EPC approval of the site plan. The site is separated from the MPOS by a significant roadway, Tramway Boulevard, so any adverse impacts the development might have on the MPOS would be minimal. Councilor Jones has already proposed an amendment to the IDO for the 2019 annual update that would eliminate the required design standards for properties separated from MPOS by a principal arterial or freeway; however, because this request was submitted prior to adoption of the IDO amendments, the design standards provided in 5-2(II)(1) and (2) Lots Adjacent to Major Public Open Space are required.

The site plan accommodates an existing arroyo with a buried box culvert. The EPC and engineers should carefully review the proposed floodplain modification. The proposed culvert requires a 60-foot easement, which led to the variance request by pushing proposed buildings south. Other utility easements also limit building location. Given these restrictions are not of the applicant’s own making, and the location of the site on a cul-de-sac, which creates greater distance between the proposed buildings and public infrastructure along front lot line, the proposed variance would have minimal negative impacts, if any.

Analysis

The proposed development complements and expands the existing mix of housing options in the area, achieving comp plan goals 5.2 (Complete Communities), 9.1.1 (Housing Options), and 9.2.1 (Compatibility). And as utilities, roads, and some transit are already present in the area, this proposal also supports Comp Plan goal 5.3 (Efficient Development Patterns).
The site plan also adheres to IDO standards, with the exception of the one variance request for a setback reduction on the east side, discussed above. All standards for properties within 330 feet of Major Public Open Space (5-2(H)(1)) appear to be met in the site plan as well.

**CITY ENGINEER**

*Transportation Development Services*

PR-2020-004086 Tennyson Apartments  
SI-2020-6090 – Site Plan

- At applicant’s request, City Planning is working with Traffic Operations and the applicant to propose speed control measures on Tennyson prior to DRB action.

- As a designated collector street, Tennyson is meant to connect with San Antonio Road per the MRCOG Long Range Masterplan. (Planning is seeking input from DMD on this.)
- Per IDO and DPM requirements, sidewalk is required along frontage of site.

Also, prior to DRB address the following comments:

- Right-of-way boundaries are unclear on the site plan.
- Call out curb and all curb radii.
- If moving forward with a turn-around on Tennyson, the diameter of the turnaround  
  - shall follow current standards to allow a large enough of a turn-around  
  - for emergency vehicles, or other options may be used as shown below:

- In lieu of having a pedestrian path painted through the Tennyson turn-around, there shall be sidewalk adjacent to the vehicular way to connect to existing sidewalk.
- Show curb ramps at all locations where needed, at the end of pedestrian paths, etc.
- Label all pedestrian accessways and width of all accessways.
- Provide barrier curb, mountable curb, sidewalk, and bike rack details. Show 2% maximum cross-slope for sidewalk.
Show width of emergency accessway.

For the private gates north of Tennyson, one of the gates is shown to swing outward toward the main driving aisle. Show whether this gated area is meant to be one-way and if so, have signs and pavement markings for designation.

**Hydrology Development**

**NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (NMDOT)**

**Project Number:** 2020-004086  
**Case Description:** Site Plan

- NMDOT no comments at this time.

**DEPARTMENT of MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT**

**Transportation Planning**

**Traffic Engineering Operations (Department of Municipal Development)**

**Street Maintenance (Department of Municipal Development)**

**RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS FROM THE CITY ENGINEER:**

**WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY**

No adverse comment to the proposed set back variance.

For information only: An Availability Statement is currently being researched for this site. Once executed this statement will dictate the requirements for service.

**ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT**

**Air Quality Division**

**Environmental Services Division**

**PARKS AND RECREATION**

**Planning and Design**

**Project #2020-004086, SI-2020-00690**
Parks and Open Space representatives visited the subject site with the applicant regarding the proposed access to the underpass joining the MPOS to the east of the subject site and Tramway, and this is acceptable to PRD.

Questions:
1. Pursuant to IDO 5-2(C), Sensitive Lands Analysis required the applicant provided a lot of information regarding the site, but I did not see this diagram in the application packet – will this be provided? or is the current submission seen as sufficient?
2. PRD appreciates the park area. Just to confirm – is this development gated? and therefore the private ‘Memorial Park’ has pedestrian public access but not vehicular public access? Can we confirm the species adjacent to arroyo are native?
3. The Pino Arroyo is a Major Open Space Link per the Facility Plan for Arroyos, and therefore subject to IDO Section 5-2(E). I tried to review the Site Plan and Notes but since everything is on small screens, I am not sure if all regulations have been satisfied or Variances are being sought?
   a. 5-2(E)(3)(b) calls for ROW for trail adjacent to arroyo – is this accommodated by the entrance drive over the arroyo? Is this acceptable to Open Space?
   b. 5-2(E)(3)(c) calls for the trail system to extend to all roadway intersections – application mentions trail but extent not clear?
   c. 5-2(E)(4)(d) calls for parking lot buffer or screening per 5-6(F)(3) – wall or fencing or shrubs and trees – does not seem to be shown on Landscape Plan.
4. Pursuant to IDO Section 5-2(H)(2)(a), a 20-foot buffer is required on the east property line. It looks like there is a buffer in most places but not all. I am unable to measure on the screen, and perhaps they need a Variance?

   b) Many people recreate (jog, etc.) at the top of the Pino dam to the west of the site. IDO section 5-3(D)(3)(b)2b states: “On-site pedestrian walkways shall connect to any abutting City park or trail, Major Public Open Space, or other Civic or Institutional uses, as long as such access is coordinated with and approved by the Parks and Recreation Department or the property owner of the civic or institutional use.” I understand a “formal” trail may cause issues, but AMAFCA does have a policy for dual use of lands for flood control and recreation. There is an informal trail that starts on the other side of the fire access driveway (as shown in the attached diagram). The Site Plan appears to show a flat space to the north of the parking lot. Is the area too steep and therefore cost prohibitive for a soft surface 3-foot wide Nature Path at the north property line? Thank you for continuing the path to the south in your diagram.

Suggested Condition: Pursuant to IDO section 5-3(D)(3)(b), continue soft-surface Nature Path at north property line in order to connect with informal trail that connects with the Pino Dam gravel road/trail for recreation purposes.

c) Am I missing the vines? – to be located at the base of fence areas where parking and arroyo abut – northeast subject site – or a note that this will be provided?

Suggested Condition: Pursuant to IDO “5-2(E)(4)(d) Parking lots abutting major arroyos shall provide a buffer pursuant to Subsection 5-6(F)(3)(a) Walls or fencing a minimum of 6 feet high; fencing requires landscaping with evergreen shrubs or vines to form a screen at least 75 percent
opaque.”, provide space and vine symbol at base of 6-foot wrought iron fence located at surface parking where it abuts arroyo property, and add vine symbol in plant schedule.

1. PRD supports the applicant’s request/justification for a Variance to (5-2(H)(2)(a)1). It should be noted that the IDO regulation calls for a single-loaded-street or 20-foot or buffer to be located ON the subject property (adjacent to MPOS). And the IDO currently defines adjacency to include properties across ROW. Therefore, Tramway would not fulfill this requirement as written. It is understood that the proposed IDO amendments would change this regulation to exempt properties adjacent to principal arterials; however, under the existing IDO, DRB asks for a Variance. The applicant’s supplemental material adequately justifies the Variance request due to extenuating circumstances - the existing buffer/naturalized area located off the property provides the same function. It is a Planning Department decision regarding notification.

Open Space Division

City Forester

POLICE DEPARTMENT/Planning

Regarding the proposed site plan for Allaso High Desert, I respectfully submit the following comments based on Crime Prevention through Environmental Design:

Ensure adequate lighting throughout the project, to include parking areas, pedestrian walkways, and common areas such as amenities, courtyards, carports.

Ensure natural surveillance and clear lines of sight throughout the property. Natural surveillance requires a space free from natural and physical barrier (i.e. open picket vs. solid fences). Establish a clear line of sight from the parking areas to the buildings and from the buildings the parking areas/carports

Ensure that landscaping is installed so as not to obstruct windows, doors, entryways, or lighting.

Ensure that landscaping is maintained to provide natural surveillance, trimming trees up to create a canopy of at least six feet; and trimming shrubs and bushes down to three feet.

Ensure adequate locking devices (i.e. deadbolt locks) on residential units.

Ensure that addresses are posted and clearly visible.

Ensure eye-viewers on primary and secondary entrance doors to residential units.

Limit and clearly delineate access to the property; i.e. Resident Parking and Visitor Parking.
Clearly delineate public, semi-public, semi-private, and private space throughout the project.

Install No Trespassing signs that cite the City Ordinance so that they are visible immediately upon entering the property.

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

FIRE DEPARTMENT/Planning

TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

Project #2020-004086
SI-2020-00690
Site Plan for 16.06 acres on Tennyson Street between Academy Road and San Antonio Drive
Not on a Corridor
Not on a route
With considerable walking the site can be served by Commuter Route 93 (currently inactive) and Fixed Route 1. The nearest westbound stop for the Route 93 is 3/4 mile from the centroid of the site; the nearest Route 1 stop is somewhat over one mile. The routes cross at Academy and Tramway and there are no plans to extend service further north on Academy toward this site.

COMMENTS FROM OTHER AGENCIES

BERNALILLO COUNTY

ALBUQUERQUE METROPOLITAN ARROYO FLOOD CONTROL AUTHORITY

• No adverse comments to the Site Plan.
• A Turnkey Agreement is required for the improvements to the Pino Arroyo & Pino Dam Pool modification. The developer has already begun the process with AMAFCA.
• Drainage Easements granted to AMAFCA associated with the improvements to the Pino Arroyo & Pino Dam Pool will be needed

ALBUQUERQUE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Project #2020-003455
EPC Description: SI-2020-00051 –Site Plan.

Site Information: K.O.A. Subdivision, Tract B-3-A, Unit 2.
Site Location: 540 Paisano Street NE, between Juan Tabo Boulevard NE and Interstate-40.
Request Description: Request for a site plan to develop 163 apartments on approximately five acres, currently zoned PD (Planned Development).
APS Case Comments: This site is located approximately a half mile and across Interstate 40 from Manzano High School. Residential development at this location will have impacts to Tomasita Elementary School, Kennedy Middle School, and Manzano High School.
1. Residential Units: 163
2. Est. Elementary School Students: 42
3. Est. Middle School Students: 18
4. Est. High School Students: 18
5. Est. Total # of Students from Project: 78

*The estimated number of students from the proposed project is based on an average student generation rate for the entire APS district.

### School Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>2019-2020 40th Day Enrollment</th>
<th>Facility Capacity</th>
<th>Space Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tomasita Elementary School</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Middle School</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manzano High School</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MID-REGION COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**

PR 2020-004086_SI 2020-00690

The unbuilt portion of Tennyson is shown as a Major Collector in the Long-Range Roadway System (LRRS) in the project area. The site plan shows Tennyson dead ending, thereby cutting off the continuity between Academy and San Antonio. Because the LRRS is a guiding document that is developed in coordination with our member agencies, the ultimate decision to allow for this modification would be CABQ. MRMPO will recommend that the City should analyze the impacts on traffic and circulation, but modifications to the LRRS can be done without the approval of MRCOG.

For Informational purposes:
Tramway Blvd. is an NMDOT limited access facility. Please refer to the State Access Management Manual (SAMM) or contact Acting District 3 Engineer Rick Padilla at 505-934-0354 or Rick.Padilla@state.nm.us with any questions about access control.
Tramway Blvd. is functionally classified as a Principal Arterial roadway in the subject area.
Tramway Blvd. is listed as part of the National Highway System (NHS).
Tramway Blvd. is an Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Corridor. Please consult the reviewing agency's Traffic Engineering and/or ITS Department with any questions regarding ITS infrastructure.
The built portion of Tennyson is currently classified as a Major Collector roadway.
Appendix G of the MTP recommends the following as it relates to the proposed project:
- Promote a diverse mix of housing, in cost, unit types, and neighborhood settings

**MIDDLE RIO GRANDE CONSERVANCY DISTRICT**

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO**
PNM needs to complete the identification of transmission line and distribution line easements on the property that may interfere with the current location of one of the proposed 3 story buildings. This discussion is critical to the safety of the occupants of the building and to the workers during the building of the buildings.

Conditions for approval for Project #2020-004086 (SI-2020-00690) Site Improvement Located on Tennyson St NE between Academy Rd NE and San Antonio NE

1. Applicant needs to locate all PNM easements on submittal and all existing distribution and transmission pole structures locations on submittal.
2. An existing overhead distribution line is located along the east side of the subject site. It is the applicant’s obligation to abide by any conditions or terms of those easements.
3. There are two transmission lines along the east side and the north side of the property. It is the applicant’s obligation to abide by any conditions or terms of those easements.
4. The developer needs to contact PNM regarding re-location of the distribution and transmission structures with new easements.
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5. The developer shall contact PNM’s New Service Delivery Department to coordinate electric service regarding the project. Please submit a service application at www.pnm.com/erequest for PNM to review.
6. Ground-mounted equipment screening will be designed to allow for access to utility facilities. All screening and vegetation surrounding ground-mounted transformers and utility pads are to allow 10 feet of clearance in front of the equipment door and 5-6 feet of clearance on the remaining three sides for safe operation, maintenance and repair purposes. Refer to the PNM Electric Service Guide at www.pnm.com for specifications.
7. It is necessary that the applicant coordinate with PNM regarding proposed tree species, the height at maturity and tree placement, sign location and height, and lighting height in order to ensure sufficient safety clearances to avoid interference with the existing electric transmission and/or distribution lines along the project site. PNM’s standard is for trees to be planted outside the PNM easement.
PICTURE PAGES
Figure 1: Looking southwest from Tramway across the site.

Figure 2: Looking west along north property line from Tramway.
Figure 3: Looking west across the site from above the arroyo culvert

Figure 4: Looking along the natural arroyo.
Figure 5: Looking northeast from entry to the site at Tennyson St.

Figure 6: Northern facing from southern end of the site.
Figure 7: Proposed western edge of the site development where arroyo drops to Pino Dam retention area.

Figure 7: Northeast view from southwest corner of site.
ZONING

Please refer to the Zoning Code for specifics of the R-MH zone.
APPLICATION
### Application Information

**Applicant:** Titan Property Management, LLC  
**Phone:** (505) 998-0163  
**Email:** jrogers@titan-development.com  
**Address:** 6300 Riverside Plaza Lane NW, Suite 200  
**City:** Albuquerque  
**State:** NM  
**Zip:** 87120  
**Professional/Agent:** Consensus Planning, Inc.  
**Phone:** (505) 764-9801  
**Email:** vos@consensusplanning.com  
**Address:** 302 8th Street NW  
**City:** Albuquerque  
**State:** NM  
**Zip:** 87102  
**Proprietary Interest In Site:** Contract Purchaser  
**List all owners:** Americus, LLC

### Brief Description of Request

Site Plan - EPC for a 281 unit multi-family residential development and Variance - EPC of 10 feet to the 15-foot front setback requirement.

### Site Information (Accuracy of the existing legal description is crucial! Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

- **Lot or Tract No.:** Tract 1  
- **Block:**  
- **MRGCD Map No.:**  
- **UPC Code:** 102206249948010202  
- **Subdivision/Addition:** The Foothills  
- **Zone Atlas Page(s):** E-22  
- **Existing Zoning:** R-MH  
- **Proposed Zoning:** No Change  
- **# of Existing Lots:** 1  
- **# of Proposed Lots:** 1  
- **Total Area of Site (acres):** 16.0519 acres

### Location of Property by Streets

- **Site Address/Street:** Tennyson Street NE  
- **Between:** Academy Road NE and San Antonio Drive NE

### Case History (List any current or prior project and case number(s) that may be relevant to your request.)

**PR-2020-004086** and Project #1008435

**Signature:** Michael J. Vos, AICP  
**Printed Name:** Michael J. Vos, AICP

### For Official Use Only

- **Case Numbers**  
- **Action**  
- **Fees**  
- **Case Numbers**  
- **Action**  
- **Fees**

**Meeting/Hearing Date:**  
**Staff Signature:**  
**Date:**  
**Fee Total:**  
**Project #**
FORM P1: SITE PLAN – EPC

Please refer to the EPC hearing schedule for public hearing dates and deadlines. Your attendance is required.

☐ SITE PLAN – EPC
☐ MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN
☐ MAJOR AMENDMENT TO SITE PLAN – EPC OR MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN
☐ EXTENSION OF SITE PLAN – EPC OR MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN

☒ Interpreter Needed for Hearing? ☐ No. If yes, indicate language:
☒ A Single PDF file of the complete application including all documents being submitted must be emailed to PLNDRS@cabq.gov prior to making a submittal. Zipped files or those over 9 MB cannot be delivered via email, in which case the PDF must be provided on a CD. PDF shall be organized with the Development Review Application and this Form P1 at the front followed by the remaining documents in the order provided on this form.
☒ Zone Atlas map with the entire site clearly outlined and labeled
☒ Letter of authorization from the property owner if application is submitted by an agent
☒ Sites 5 acres or greater: Archaeological Certificate in accordance with IDO Section 14-16-6-5(A)
☒ Signed Traffic Impact Study (TIS) Form
☒ Justification letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Sections 14-16-6-6(H)(3) or 14-16-6-6(P)(4)(3), as applicable
☒ Explanation of requested deviations, if any, in accordance with IDO Section 14-16-6-4(O)
☒ Proof of Pre-Application Meeting with City staff per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(B)
☒ Proof of Neighborhood Meeting per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(C)
☒ Office of Neighborhood Coordination neighborhood meeting inquiry response
☒ Proof of email with read receipt OR Certified Letter offering meeting to applicable associations
☒ If a meeting was requested/held, copy of sign-in sheet and meeting notes
☒ Sign Posting Agreement
☒ Required notices with content per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(K)(6)
☒ Office of Neighborhood Coordination notice inquiry response
☒ Copy of notification letter and proof of first class mailing
☒ Proof of emailed notice to affected Neighborhood Association representatives
☒ Buffer map and list of property owners within 100 feet (excluding public rights-of-way) provided by Planning Department or created by applicant, copy of notifying letter, and proof of first class mailing
☒ Completed Site Plan Checklist
☒ Scaled Site Plan or Master Development Plan and related drawings (10 copies, 24" x 36" folded)
Master Development Plans should include general building and parking locations, as well as design requirements for buildings, landscaping, lighting, and signage.
☒ Copy of the original approved Site Plan or Master Development Plan (for amendments only) (1 copy, 24" x 36")
☒ Site Plan or Master Development Plan and related drawings reduced to 8.5" x 11" format (1 copy)
☒ Landfill disclosure statement per IDO Section 14-16-5-2(G) if site is within a designated landfill buffer zone

☒ VARIANCE – EPC

☒ in addition to the above requirements for the Site Plan – EPC or Master Development Plan the proposed variance request is related to, please describe, explain, and justify the variance per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-6(M)(3).

Note: Any variance request from IDO Standards in Sections 14-16-5-3 (Access and Connectivity), 14-16-5-4 (Subdivision of Land), 14-16-5-5 (Parking and Loading), or DPM standards shall only be granted by the DRB per IDO Section 14-16-6-6(L) See Form V.

I, the applicant or agent, acknowledge that if any required information is not submitted with this application, the application will not be scheduled for a public meeting or hearing, if required, or otherwise processed until it is complete.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 7/30/20
Printed Name: Michael J. Vois, AICP
☐ Applicant or ☒ Agent

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Case Numbers: ________________________________ Project Number: ________________________________

Staff Signature: ________________________________ Date: ________________________________

Revised 2/6/19
IDO Zone Atlas
May 2018

IDO Zoning Information as of May 17, 2018
The Zone Districts and Overlay Zones are established by the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO).

For more details about the Integrated Development Ordinance visit: http://www.cabq.gov/planning/codes-policies-regulations/integrated-development-ordinance
May 22, 2020

Mr. Dan Serrano, Chair
Environmental Planning Commission
City of Albuquerque
Planning Department
600 Second Street NW
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

RE: Tennyson Street NE Multi-Family Site Plan and Related Applications

Dear Mr. Serrano:

The purpose of this letter is to authorize Consensus Planning, Isaacson & Arfman, and ORB Architecture to act as our agents for approval of a Site Plan – EPC and related applications for a multi-family development on the below referenced property.

Legal Description: Tract 1, The Poothills, containing 16.0519 acres

Americus, LLC is the owner of the property and Titan Development is the contract purchaser. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Managing Member
Americus, LLC
May 22, 2020

Mr. Dan Serrano, Chair
Environmental Planning Commission
City of Albuquerque
Planning Department
600 Second Street NW
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

RE: Tennyson Street NE Multi-Family Site Plan and Related Applications

Dear Mr. Serrano:

The purpose of this letter is to authorize Consensus Planning, Isaacson & Arfman, and ORB Architecture to act as our agents for approval of a Site Plan – EPC and related applications for a multi-family development on the below referenced property.

Legal Description: Tract 1, The Foothills, containing 16.0519 acres

Americus, LLC is the owner of the property and Titan Development is the contract purchaser. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Josh Rogers
Director
Titan Development
DATE: July 8, 2020

SUBJECT: Albuquerque Archaeological Ordinance - Compliance Documentation

Case Number(s): PR-2020-004086
Agent: Consensus Planning, Inc.
Applicant: Titan Property Management, LLC
Legal Description: Tract 1, The Foothills
Zoning: R-MH
Acreage: 16.0519
Zone Atlas Page(s): E-22-Z

CERTIFICATE OF NO EFFECT: ☑ Yes ☐ No

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL: ☐ Yes ☑ No

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION:
Historic Google earth images, ARMS records (NMCRIS 36375)

SITE VISIT: N/A

RECOMMENDATIONS:
Portions bladed by 2005, crossed by a network of two-track roads, prior and since. The arroyo passing through the property has likely been reworked to manage drainage in the vicinity of the nearby dam. 8.5 acres was surveyed in 1991.
CERTIFICATE OF NO EFFECT ISSUED-under 6-5(A) (3)(a) criterion 1 "an archaeological investigation has been conducted..." and under 6-5(A) (3)(a) criterion 2 "The property has been disturbed through previous land use"

SUBMITTED BY:

[Signature]
Douglas H. M. Boggesta, MA, RPA
Senior Principal Investigator
Acting City Archaeologist
Lone Mountain Archaeological Services, Inc.

SUBMITTED TO:
Russell Brito, Planning Manager
City of Albuquerque Planning Department
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY (TIS) FORM

APPLICANT: Titan Property Management, LLC

DATE OF REQUEST: 5/22/2020 ZONE ATLAS PAGE(S): E-22

CURRENT:

ZONING R-MH

PARCEL SIZE (AC/SQ. FT.) 16.0519 acres

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

LOT OR TRACT # Tract 1

BLOCK #

SUBDIVISION NAME: The Foothills

REQUESTED CITY ACTION(S):

ANNEXATION [ ]

ZONE CHANGE [ ] From To

SECTOR, AREA, FAC, COMP PLAN [ ]

AMENDMENT (Map/Text) [ ]

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN:

SUBDIVISION* [ ] AMENDMENT [ ]

BUILDING PERMIT [X] ACCESS PERMIT [ ]

BUILDING PURPOSES [ ] OTHER [ ]

*includes platting actions

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

NO CONSTRUCTION/DEVELOPMENT [ ]

NEW CONSTRUCTION [X]

EXPANSION OF EXISTING DEVELOPMENT [ ]

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF ACTION:

# OF UNITS: 283 DU

BUILDING SIZE: 330,000 (sq. ft.)

Note: changes made to development proposals / assumptions, from the information provided above, will result in a new TIS determination.

APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE

(To be signed upon completion of processing by the Traffic Engineer)

DATE 5/22/20

Planning Department, Development & Building Services Division, Transportation Development Section - 2nd Floor West, 600 2nd St. NW, Plaza del Sol, Building City, 87102, phone 924-3994

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY (TIS) REQUIRED: YES [X] NO [X] BORDERLINE [ ]

THRESHOLDS MET YES [X] NO [X]

MITIGATING REASONS FOR NOT REQUIRING TIS: PREVIOUSLY STUDIED: [ ]

Notes:

This development exceeds the lower threshold of 24 units based on EPC Criteria, MultiFamily Housing (Low-Rise) - peak hour traffic.

The development is proposed as low-rise and mid-rise MultiFamily dwellings. The number of units proposed do not reach the threshold for a TIS.

If a TIS is required: a scoping meeting (as outlined in the development process manual) must be held to define the level of analysis needed and the parameters of the study. Any subsequent changes to the development proposal identified above may require an update or new TIS.

TRAFFIC ENGINEER

DATE

5/24/2020 5/26/2020

Required TIS must be completed prior to applying to the EPC and/or the DRB. Arrangements must be made prior to submittal if a variance to this procedure is requested and noted on this form, otherwise the application may not be accepted or deferred if the arrangements are not complied with.

TIS -SUBMITTED__/__/__

-FINALIZED__/__/__

TRAFFIC ENGINEER DATE

Revised January 20, 2011
Monday, May 25, 2020

Matthew Grush, P.E.
City of Albuquerque Transportation Development
600 Second St. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Re: Tennyson Apartments (Academy Rd. / Tennyson)

Dear Matt:

Attached for your review and information are the following items:

- Vicinity Map
- Preliminary Site Plan for the Tennyson Apartment Complex
- Trip Generation Table
- Trip Generation Worksheets


Based on the Trip Generation rate calculations, it does not appear that the proposed Tennyson Apartments meets the City of Albuquerque warrant for a Traffic Impact Study under the current policy.

Please call me if you have questions.

Best Regards,

Terry O. Brown, P.E.

Attachments as noted

cc: Brian Patterson, Director of Development, Titan Development w/ attachments
## Tennyson Apartments Development (Academy Rd. / Tennyson)
### Trip Generation Data (ITE Trip Generation Manual - 10th Edition)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>USE (ITE CODE)</th>
<th>24 HR VOL</th>
<th>A. M. PEAK HR.</th>
<th>P. M. PEAK HR.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GROSS</td>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td>EXIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summary Sheet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Story</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Story</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1,385</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Trips Generated</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,556</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tennyson Apartments Development (Academy Rd. / Tennyson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USE (ITE CODE)</th>
<th>24 HOUR TWO-WAY VOLUME</th>
<th>A.M. PEAK HOUR</th>
<th>P.M. PEAK HOUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GROSS ENTER EXIT ENTER EXIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)</td>
<td>28 171 3 11 12 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling Units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITE Trip Generation Equations:

Average Vehicle Trip Ends on a Weekday (24 HOUR TWO-WAY VOLUME):

$$T = 7.56 \times (X) + -40.86$$

50% Enter, 50% Exit

Average Vehicle Trip Ends on a Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7am and 9am (A.M. PEAK HOUR)

$$\ln(T) = 0.95 \ln(X) + -0.51$$

23% Enter, 77% Exit

Average Vehicle Trip Ends on a Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4pm and 6pm (P.M. PEAK HOUR)

$$\ln(T) = 0.89 \ln(X) + 0.02$$

63% Enter, 37% Exit

Comments:

2-Story

Based on ITE Trip Generation Manual - 10th Edition
Tennyson Apartments Development (Academy Rd. / Tennyson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USE (ITE CODE)</th>
<th>24 HOUR TWO-WAY VOLUME</th>
<th>A.M. PEAK HOUR</th>
<th>P.M. PEAK HOUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>GROSS ENTER EXIT ENTER EXIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling Units</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1,385 22 63 66 42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITE Trip Generation Equations:

Average Vehicle Trip Ends on a Weekday (24 HOUR TWO-WAY VOLUME)

\[
T = 5.44 \times (X) + \frac{-1.75}{50\% \text{ Enter, } 50\% \text{ Exit}}
\]

Average Vehicle Trip Ends on a Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7am and 9am (A.M. PEAK HOUR)

\[
\ln(T) = 0.98 \times \ln(X) + \frac{-0.98}{26\% \text{ Enter, } 74\% \text{ Exit}}
\]

Average Vehicle Trip Ends on a Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4pm and 6pm (P.M. PEAK HOUR)

\[
\ln(T) = 0.96 \times \ln(X) + \frac{-0.63}{61\% \text{ Enter, } 39\% \text{ Exit}}
\]

Comments:
3-Story

Based on ITE Trip Generation Manual - 10th Edition
PRE-APPLICATION REVIEW TEAM (PRT) MEETING REQUEST

Pre-application Review Team (PRT) Meetings are available to help applicants identify and understand the allowable uses, development standards, and processes that pertain to their request. PRT Meetings are for informational purposes only; they are non-binding and do not constitute any type of approval. Any statements regarding zoning at a PRT Meeting are not certificates of zoning. The interpretation of specific uses allowed in any zone district is the responsibility of the Zoning Enforcement Officer (ZEO).

When you submit PRT notes to meet a Pre-application Meeting requirement in Table 6-1-1, you will be charged a $50 PRT fee.

PA#: 20-057 Received By: Official Use only
APPOINTMENT DATE & TIME: Monday, March 2, 2020 @ 3:00 p.m.

Applicant Name: Titan Development Phone#: (505) 764-9801 Email: vos@consensusplanning.com
Agent: Consensus Planning

PROJECT INFORMATION:
For the most accurate and comprehensive responses, please complete this request as fully as possible and submit any relevant information, including site plans, sketches, and previous approvals.

Size of Site: approx. 15 ac Existing Zoning: R-MH Proposed Zoning: R-MH

Previous case number(s) for this site: 1008435 and DRB-93-272

Applicable Overlays or Mapped Areas: N/A

Residential – Type and No. of Units: ~281 units multi-family

Non-residential – Estimated building square footage: N/A No. of Employees: N/A

Mixed-use – Project specifics: N/A

LOCATION OF REQUEST:
Physical Address: 99999 Tramway Blvd NE Tennyson Street north of Academy

Zone Atlas Page (Please identify subject site on the map and attach) E-22

BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR REQUEST (What do you plan to develop on this site?)
Multi-family development with ~281 dwelling units

QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS (Please be specific so that our staff can do the appropriate research)
Site is more than 5 acres adjacent to Major Public Open Space (MPOS) across Tramway. Confirming process is via Site Plan - EPC.

Access is from Tennyson but site has other street frontages. Discussion of how setbacks will be applied and potential to request a Variance - EPC, if necessary.

Review MPOS requirements as they apply to this site.
PRE-APPLICATION REVIEW TEAM (PRT) MEETING NOTES

PA#: 20-057  Date: 02 Mar 2020  Time: 3:00pm

Address: ____________________________

AGENCY REPRESENTATIVES AT MEETING:
Planning: Russell Brito
Code Enforcement: Carl Garcia, Jacobo Martinez
Fire Marshall: ____________________________
Transportation: ____________________________
Other: ____________________________

PRT DISCUSSIONS ARE FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY!
THEY ARE NON-BINDING AND DO NOT CONSTITUTE ANY KIND OF APPROVAL.
Additional research may be necessary to determine the exact type of application and/or process needed.
Factors unknown at this time and/or thought of as minor could become significant as the case progresses.

REQUEST: Site Plan - EPC

SITE INFORMATION:
Zone: P-MH
Use: ____________________________
Overlay Zone: ____________________________
Comp Plan Area Of: ____________________________
Comp Plan Corridor: ____________________________
Comp Plan Center: ____________________________
MPOS or Sensitive Lands: ____________________________
Parking: ____________________________
MR Area: ____________________________
Landscaping: ____________________________
Street Trees: ____________________________
Use Specific Standards: ____________________________
Dimensional Standards: ____________________________

*Neighborhood Organization/s: ____________________________
*This is preliminary information only. Neighborhood Organization information is only accurate when obtained from the Office of Neighborhood Coordination (ONC) at www.cabq.gov/neighborhoods/resources.

PROCESS:
Type of Action: Site Plan - EPC
Review and Approval Body: EPC
Is this PRT a requirement? Yes
PA# 20-057  Date: 02Mar2020  Time: 3:00pm

Address:

NOTES:

• 16-acre site
• Adjacent to MPOS
  - Site Plan - EPC
  - S-2(H)2 Properties Adjacent to MPOS
• AMAFCA
  - drainage facility
  - pedestrian access to MPOS across Tramway Blvd.
  - coordinate with Park & Rec and Open Space

• Variance - EPC
  - if necessary, can be submitted with Site Plan - EPC
  - 6-6(M)

• Transportation
  - Jeanne Wolferstarger 924-3991

• Front is the south side adjacent to Tennyson
SITE PLAN CHECKLIST

2. Location and dimension of drive aisle crossings, including paving treatment
3. Location and description of amenities, including patios, benches, tables, etc.

\( \times \) E. Off-Street Loading
1. Location and dimensions of all off-street loading areas

\( \checkmark \) F. Vehicle Stacking and Drive-Through or Drive-Up Facilities
1. Location and dimensions of vehicle stacking spaces and queuing lanes
2. Landscaped buffer area if drive-through lanes are adjacent to public R/W
3. Striping and Sign details for one-way drive through facilities

3. Streets and Circulation
\( \times \) A. Locate and identify adjacent public and private streets and alleys.
1. Existing and proposed pavement widths, right-of-way widths and curve radii
2. Identify existing and proposed turn lanes, deceleration lanes and similar features related to the functioning of the proposal, with dimensions
3. Location of traffic signs and signals related to the functioning of the proposal
4. Identify existing and proposed medians and median cuts
5. Sidewalk widths and locations, existing and proposed
6. Location of street lights
7. Show and dimension clear sight triangle at each site access point
8. Show location of all existing driveways fronting and near the subject site.

\( \times \) B. Identify Alternate transportation facilities within site or adjacent to site
1. Bikeways and bike-related facilities
2. Pedestrian trails and linkages
3. Transit facilities, including routes, bus bays and shelters existing or required

4. Phasing
\( \times \) A. Proposed phasing of improvements and provision for interim facilities. Indicate phasing plan, including location and square footage of structures and associated improvements including circulation, parking and landscaping.

SHEET #2 - LANDSCAPING PLAN

1. Scale - must be same as scale on sheet #1 - Site plan
2. Bar Scale
3. North Arrow
4. Property Lines
5. Existing and proposed easements
6. Identify nature of ground cover materials
   \( \times \) A. Impervious areas (pavement, sidewalks, slope pavings, curb and gutters, etc.)
   \( \times \) B. Pervious areas (planting beds, gravel areas, grass, ground cover vegetation, etc.)
   \( \times \) C. Ponding areas either for drainage or landscaping/recreational use
SITE PLAN CHECKLIST

7. Identify type, location and size of plantings (common and/or botanical names).
   A. Existing, indicating whether it is to preserved or removed.
   B. Proposed, to be established for general landscaping.
   C. Proposed, to be established for screening BUFFERING.

8. Describe irrigation system - Phase I & II

9. Planting Beds, indicating square footage of each bed

10. Turf Area - only 20% of landscaped area can be high water turf; provide square footage and percentage.

11. Responsibility for Maintenance (statement)

12. Landscaped area requirement; square footage and percent (specify clearly on plan)

13. Landscaped buffer areas provided; dimensions, label clearly that it is a landscape buffer, square footage and percent (specify clearly on plan)

14. Planting or tree well detail

15. Street Trees (only trees from the Official Albuquerque Plant Palette and Sizing list or 8 inch caliper or larger will be counted)

16. Parking lot edges and interior - calculations, dimensions and locations including tree requirements.

17. Show Edge Buffer Landscaping (14-16-5-6(D)) - location, dimensions and plant material

SHEET #3 - GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN
A separate grading and drainage plan (and drainage report) must be submitted to the DRS Hydrology Section prior to the DRB submittal for a site plan (See DRWS Form).

A. General Information

1. Scale - must be same as Sheet #1 - Site Plan

2. Bar Scale

3. North Arrow

4. Property Lines

5. Existing and proposed easements

6. Building footprints

7. Location of retaining walls

B. Grading Information

1. On the plan sheet, provide a narrative description of existing site topography, proposed grading improvements and topography within 100 feet of the site.

2. Indicate finished floor elevation and provide spot elevations for all corners of the site (existing and proposed) and points of maximum cut or fill exceeding 1 foot.

3. Identify ponding areas, erosion and sediment control facilities.

4. Cross Sections
   Provide cross section for all perimeter property lines where the grade change is greater than 4 feet at the point of the greatest grade change. Provide one additional cross section in each direction within no more than 100 feet of the reference point.
SITE PLAN CHECKLIST

SHEET #4 - UTILITY PLAN

✓ A. Fire hydrant locations, existing and proposed. (or submit signed off Fire One Plan)
✓ B. Distribution lines
✓ C. Right-of-Way and easements, existing and proposed, on the property and adjacent to the boundaries, with identification of types and dimensions.
✓ D. Existing water, sewer, storm drainage facilities (public and/or private).
✓ E. Proposed water, sewer, storm drainage facilities (public and/or private)
✓ F. Existing electric lines both overhead and underground. Power Poles shown with dimensions to proposed buildings and structures must be clearly shown.

SHEET #5 - BUILDING AND STRUCTURE ELEVATIONS

A. General Information

✓ A. Scale
✓ B. Bar Scale
✓ C. Detailed Building Elevations for each facade
   ✓ 1. Identify facade orientation
   ✓ 2. Dimensions of facade elements, including overall height and width
   ✓ 3. Location, material and colors of windows, doors and framing
   ✓ 4. Materials and colors of all building elements and structures
   ✓ 5. Location and dimensions of mechanical equipment (roof and/or ground mounted)

B. Building Mounted Signage

✓ 1. Site location(s)
✓ 2. Sign elevations to scale
✓ 3. Dimensions, including height and width
✓ 4. Sign face area - dimensions and square footage clearly indicated
✓ 5. Lighting
✓ 6. Materials and colors for sign face and structural elements.
✓ 7. List the sign restrictions per the IDO
July 30, 2020

Dan Serrano, Chairman
Environmental Planning Commission
City of Albuquerque
600 Second Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Re: Approval of a Site Plan and Variance – EPC for Allaso High Desert Luxury Apartments

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The purpose of this letter is to request approval of a Site Plan – EPC for a 281-unit multi-family residential development located on Tennyson Street NE north of Academy Road and west of Tramway Boulevard. Concurrent with this request for the Site Plan approval, the Applicant is also requesting approval of a Variance – EPC of 10 feet to the required 15-foot front setback. The proposed buildings closest to the southern lot line have a setback of 5 feet.

The subject site is legally described as Tract 1 of the Foothills, containing 16.0519 acres (See Figure 1). The subject site is zoned R-MH and multi-family residential is a permissive use. The EPC is hearing these requests due to the subject site being greater than 5 acres in size and situated adjacent to Major Public Open Space (MPOS) across Tramway to the east.

![Figure 1. Site vicinity map showing the subject site in blue.](image-url)
PROJECT CONTEXT

History
The subject site was previously part of the Academy/Tramway/Eubank Sector Development Plan, which was adopted by the City of Albuquerque in 1978. Several amendments were made to the Sector Plan over the years with the last one adopted in 1997. Nearly all the land within the Sector Development Plan has been developed over the past 40 years except for the subject site and its immediately neighboring tracts, which have significant challenges due to the Pino Arroyo and related floodplain encumbering the property among other easement issues. The owner has obtained approval of a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) from FEMA to construct improvements to the arroyo channel and modify the floodplain accordingly, which allows the proposed development to go forward. Related project history is outlined below:

1978 (S-78-1, AX-78-8, and Z-78-58): Annexation and establishment of R-D zoning. Land uses were defined by the Academy/Tramway/Eubank Sector Development Plan. EPC Finding #13 states the overall density appeared “economically inefficient” and that additional areas of higher density should be designated.

1980 (SD-78-1-1): Original Sector Plan was amended to reflect increased densities on two tracts and changes to development patterns due to platting that occurred since it was adopted.

1984 (SD-78-1-7): A major amendment to the Sector Development Plan was approved by the EPC, which included a redistribution and increase in residential densities on undeveloped tracts due to lower densities having been developed elsewhere within the Plan area. The subject site, previously known as Tracts N-2 and N-3, was designated for 105 and 321 dwelling units, respectively, with a maximum density of 24 dwelling units per acre and a total of 426 units.

1990s (Z-94-58, Z-96-31, and Z-96-38): The existing multi-family development south of the subject site and shopping center at the northeast corner of Tennyson and Academy were approved for development by the EPC and DRB.

2010 (1008435): “Future Public Street” easements and a future right-of-way easement for the extension of Tennyson Street through the subject site were vacated due to the City of Albuquerque not anticipating “any need to utilize the existing easement for roadway purposes based on the existing roadway network.”

2017 (1008435 and EC-17-7): A portion of Old Tramway/Panorama Boulevard right-of-way was vacated as obsolete with the current configuration of Tramway Boulevard and Tennyson Street. Tracts N-2 and N-3-A were replatted into the subject site; Tract 1 of The Foothills.

2018: The IDO became effective, thus converting the previous R-D zoning designation to the PD: Planned Development zone district due to the property being undeveloped.

2019: City Council approved a voluntary zoning conversion request from PD to the R-MH: Residential, Multi-family High Density zone district based on the surrounding context and similarity to previous entitlements and the allowed 426 dwelling units.
Existing Conditions and Land Use
The subject site lies mostly within the Foothills Community Planning Area of the Comprehensive Plan and is designated as an Area of Consistency. Tramway Boulevard, to the east of the site, is designated as a Commuter Corridor. The ABQ Ride Academy Commuter Route 93 has bus stops located in front of the shopping center at the Tennyson and Academy intersection.

The area surrounding the subject site is predominantly single-family residential with a variety of lot sizes. To the north is North Albuquerque Acres with homes located on one-acre lots with Bernalillo County A-1 zoning. Also, within the unincorporated county to the northeast of the subject site is the Willow Bend subdivision with much smaller lots and Sandia Heights with larger lots located across Tramway Boulevard.

Directly east of the subject site and across Tramway Boulevard is the Pino Arroyo Major Public Open Space (MPOS) area. Adjacency to this MPOS requires EPC approval of the proposed site plan along with several design considerations that are discussed later in this letter. Currently, many residents in the area traverse the subject site and cross underneath Tramway via the Pino Arroyo culverts in order to access the MPOS trails and multi-use path along Tramway. The Applicant has committed to keeping this informal access in place with the proposed site plan and will enhance it by creating an enhanced pedestrian path and a public memorial park on the east side of the property near the arroyo channel.

South of the subject site is the Allegro apartment complex and a shopping center, which includes an Albertson's Market grocery store, coffee shop, dry cleaner, and several other neighborhood-scale businesses. To the west is the Tanoan community and golf course. Closest to the subject site is the Pino Dam flood control facility and ponding area, which collects water from the Pino Arroyo that flows through the subject site.

Figure 2. Land use context with the subject site bounded in red.
Zoning
Much of the surrounding tracts are zoned PD: Planned Development based on the former R-D zoning designation established under the prior Sector Development Plan. The subject site is zoned R-MH: Residential, Multi-family High Density because it was the IDO zone district that most closely aligned with the 1984 allowance for up to 426 dwelling units to be built on the property. The other PD properties are for mixed residential densities, except for the flood control facility, which was designated for an AMAFCA flood control structure, water retention, and recreational uses. The subject site is not located in any overlay zone.

Other surrounding zone districts include MX-L for the commercial area south of the subject site, NR-PO-B for the City-owned MPOS, NR-C for the Tanoan Golf Course, NR-PO-C for private open space in High Desert, and a variety of R-1 and R-T designations for the remaining single-family residential areas.

| TABLE 1. Surrounding Zoning & Land Use |
| NORTH | Bernalillo County A-1 | Single-family residential |
| EAST  | NR-PO-B, NR-PO-C, R-1D | MPOS, private open space, and single-family residential |
| SOUTH | PD, MX-L, R-1B, and R-1D | Multi-family residential, grocery store, restaurant, and other commercial services |
| WEST  | PD, NR-C, and R-1D | Golf course and single-family residential |

Figure 3. Existing zoning with the subject site bounded in red.
SUMMARY OF REQUEST
The Applicant, Titan Property Management, LLC, is requesting approval of the following two requests:
1. Site Plan – EPC for a 281 dwelling unit multi-family residential development consisting of 18 buildings between one and three stories in height along with associated parking, landscaping, and site improvements.
2. Variance – EPC of 10 feet to the 15-foot minimum front setback to allow buildings to be setback only 5 feet from the front (south) lot line.

Due to the subject site's size and location across Tramway Boulevard from designated MPOS, the IDO requires EPC approval of the Site Plan per Sections 14-16-5-2(H)(2)(b)8 and 14-16-6-6(H)(1)(b)3. Per IDO Section 14-16-6-6(H)(2)(f), the EPC is also empowered to grant any necessary variances to IDO standards as part of the Site Plan approval process.

As this letter and the submitted Site Plan demonstrates, the Applicant has taken great care to design a high quality, context-sensitive project that meets the IDO design standards and will be an asset to the community. As previously mentioned, the subject site is currently used by many community members to access the culverts underneath Tramway to get to the nearby open space trails and multi-use pathways. The Applicant committed to keeping this informal access in place along the eastern boundary of the property.

The Applicant has also held site visits and a facilitated neighborhood meeting (July 29, 2020) with the affected neighborhood associations and has addressed many concerns, including those related to site lighting and access to San Antonio Drive to the north (emergency only).

SITE PLAN CRITERIA
The Site Plan – EPC request complies with the criteria outlined in IDO Section 14-16-6-6(H)(3) as follows:

6-6(H)(3)(a) The Site Plan is consistent with the ABC Comp Plan, as amended.

*Applicant Response: The Site Plan is consistent with the Comp Plan by furthering the following Goals and Policies:*

Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies (responses in italics):

Goal 5.2 Complete Communities: Foster communities where residents can live, work, learn, shop, and play together.

Policy 5.2.1 Land Uses: Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

b) Encourage development that offers choice in transportation, work areas, and lifestyles.

d) Encourage development that broadens housing options to meet a range of incomes and lifestyles.

f) Encourage higher density housing as an appropriate use in the following situations:
iii. In areas where a mixed density pattern is already established by zoning or use, where it is compatible with existing area land uses, and where adequate infrastructure is or will be available.

**Applicant Response:** The project will add new multi-family residential housing in an area that has a mixture of single-family residential and multi-family residential. There is an existing apartment complex immediately south of the subject site, as well as on the south side of Academy Road at the Tennyson Street intersection and at other locations in the area. The proposed use will be compatible with these existing uses and will encourage choice in transportation, work areas, and lifestyles for residents with a range of incomes. The proposed apartment community will be located near a grocery store and other commercial services and will have great access to outdoor activities, such as the open space trail network.

Goal 5.3 Efficient Development Patterns: Promote development patterns that maximize the utility of existing infrastructure and public facilities and the efficient use of land to support the public good.

**Policy 5.3.1 Infill Development:** Support additional growth in areas with existing infrastructure and public facilities.

**Applicant Response:** The project furthers this goal by developing a long vacant property in northeast Albuquerque that has been planned for this use but unable to develop due to a variety of site constraints. Access will be to Tennyson Street, which connects the site to the major street network at Academy Road just west of Tramway Boulevard. Water and sewer service are also available to the property. Nearby schools include Georgia O’Keefe Elementary and Eisenhower Middle Schools, and transit service is available on Academy Road and Lowell Street just south and west of the subject site.

Goal 5.6 City Development Areas: Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

**Policy 5.6.2 Areas of Consistency:** Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, and Major Public Open Space.

b) Ensure that development reinforces the scale, intensity, and setbacks of the immediately surrounding context.

g) Provide stepbacks and/or setbacks to protect solar access and privacy on abutting single-family residential properties.

**Applicant Response:** The request furthers this goal and policy by reinforcing the scale of development on this section of Tennyson Street that has long been planned for multi-family residential development. There is an existing apartment complex immediately south of the subject site with a similar building-scale to what is proposed, including a mixture of two- and three-story buildings. The site plan also includes an approximately 75 to 100-foot-wide setback on the north side that separates the proposed apartments from the nearest single-family residential lots in the Willow Bend subdivision and North Albuquerque Acres.
Goal 9.1 Supply: Ensure a sufficient supply and range of high-quality housing types that meet current and future needs at a variety of price levels to ensure more balanced housing options.

Policy 9.1.1 Housing Options: Support the development, improvement, and conservation of housing for a variety of income levels and types of residents and households.
   i) Provide for the development of multi-family housing close to public services, transit, and shopping.

Applicant Response: The requested Site Plan furthers this goal and policy by providing new, high-quality multi-family housing close to neighborhood shopping and public services, as well as recreational opportunities.

Goal 9.2 Sustainable Design: Promote housing design that is sustainable and compatible with the natural and built environments.

Policy 9.2.1 Compatibility: Encourage housing development that enhances neighborhood character, maintains compatibility with surrounding land uses, and responds to its development context – i.e. urban, suburban, or rural – with appropriate densities, site design, and relationship to the street.

Applicant Response: The requested Site Plan enhances the neighborhood character in this location with a high-quality design, appropriate colors for its location next to MPOS, and landscaping appropriate for the location near the foothills. The proposed development is at an appropriate scale and density for its location and is placed within the subject site in such a way as to limit any impacts to views as seen from surrounding single-family residential areas.

6-6(H)[3](b) The Site Plan is consistent with any applicable terms and conditions in any previously approved NR-SU or PD zoning covering the property and any related development agreements and/or regulations.

Applicant Response: The prior PD zoning designation was solely based on the previous Sector Development Plan’s R-D zone, which allowed up to 24 dwelling units per acre on the subject property. The current Site Plan shows a density of approximately 17 dwelling units per acre, far below the previous allowed density. This PD zone was converted to R-MH in the voluntary zoning conversion process and there is not a site plan with additional standards that applies to the property.

6-6(H)[3](c) The Site Plan is consistent with all applicable provisions of this IDO, the DPM, other adopted City regulations, and any terms and conditions specifically applied to the development of the property in a prior permit or approval affecting the property.

Applicant Response: The proposed development complies with the applicable provisions of the IDO for the R-MH zone district and the proposed multi-family residential use, which is permissive except, for the front setback requirement. Approval of the requested Variance – EPC will bring this into compliance with the IDO requirements. The proposed Site Plan complies with all the requirements for properties located adjacent to MPOS, including but not limited to, the proposed colors and site lighting. Up to 45 feet of building height is
allowed in the R-MH zone; the Applicant is proposing less than 40 feet. There is a previously approved CLOMR for this property. The proposed drainage improvements shown in the Site Plan are consistent with that approval, and once accepted, the floodplain will be revised accordingly.

6-6[H][3][d] The City’s existing infrastructure and public improvements, including but not limited to its street, trail, drainage, and sidewalk systems, have adequate capacity to serve the proposed development, and any burdens on those systems have been mitigated to the extent practicable.

**Applicant Response:** The City’s existing infrastructure has adequate capacity for the proposed development. A trip generation study was done by Terry Brown, which indicated the project did not meet the minimum thresholds to require a traffic impact study. The Applicant will install sidewalk connections as required by the City Transportation Development Section. A Water and Sewer Availability Statement request and Fire Marshal approval will be completed prior to issuance of a building permit for construction of the development. These numerous approvals and reviewing agencies will ensure that any burdens are mitigated to the extent practicable.

6-6[H][3][e] The application mitigates any significant adverse impacts on the surrounding area to the maximum extent practicable.

**Applicant Response:** The Applicant has carefully considered the impacts of the proposed development on the surrounding area. Site lighting has been kept to 15 feet and walls are strategically located to minimize glare from headlights off the subject property. Many residents utilize this property as an informal access to the culverts under Tramway Boulevard to reach the MPOS trails and multi-use path along Tramway. The Applicant is maintaining an informal access along the eastern edge of the property and enhancing it with a public memorial park that will include a water fountain, benches, and a bicycle pump. No resident vehicular access is proposed on the north side of the site onto San Antonio Drive with only a gated, emergency access provided. All regular traffic coming and going from the development will be via the entrance located on Tennyson Street at the south side of the subject site, which mitigates the impact of the development on the lower density North Albuquerque Acres community to the north.

**VARIANCE JUSTIFICATION**

As part of this Site Plan – EPC request, the Applicant is also seeking approval of a Variance – EPC to allow the building at the southeastern corner of the subject site to be placed with a setback of 5 feet from the front property line (See Figure 4 on the following page). Per the required pre-application discussion with staff, the southern lot line is considered the front as it borders on Tennyson Street where the primary vehicular access is proposed. However, most of this property line functions like a side lot line and there are other special circumstances that warrant approval of the request variance.

The proposed building is located on the north side of a proposed access road for AMAFCA to access the proposed box culvert. The access road will be built with construction of this project and will act as an additional buffer to any future development to the south. The required front setback in the R-MH zone is 15 feet, so this request is for a variance of 10 feet to the required standard. As explained below, this request meets the criteria for a
variance and is justified because of special circumstances applicable to the subject site that are not self-imposed and pose an extraordinary hardship and practical difficulties on development of the site. Per IDO Section 6-6(M)(3)(a), except as indicated in Subsections (b) and (c), an application for a Variance – EPC shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:

1. There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity, including but not limited to size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, and physical characteristics, and such special circumstances were created either by natural forces or by government eminent domain actions for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the property either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.

**Applicant Response:** There are several special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity.

As previously described, the subject site is bisected by the Pina Arroyo and significantly encumbered by a floodplain and grading challenges created by the topography. While the property owner has received approval of a CLOMR to modify this floodplain, there are significant governmental requirements and infrastructure required to complete this process and the arroyo will remain on the property within a buried box culvert. This culvert requires a 60-foot wide easement in which buildings may not be constructed. As such, the Applicant had to design the site around this easement, which included moving some buildings to the south and closer to the front lot line. Additionally, the Applicant is relocating PNM transmission lines which bifurcate the site. The relocation of the lines, at a significant expense, to the north and east sides of the property, creates another 60-foot wide easement in which buildings may not be constructed.

Further, front lot lines usually border a street along their entire width; however, the south property line only borders Tennyson Street for a small portion of its width, while the remainder borders adjacent properties. Any other similarly situated property at the end of a cul-de-sac would treat the lot line extensions from the cul-de-sac and adjacent to other properties as side lot lines. If that were the case, no variance would be required because the side setback requirement is only 5 feet (see Figure 4).

These circumstances are not self-imposed but are the result of previous government actions and requirements, as well as the natural forces of the arroyo and drainage across the sloping topography. These circumstances create practical difficulties with strict compliance with the standards for placing buildings on the site, and create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property as the applicant is expending significant funds to improve the drainage infrastructure to make this lot developable.
2. The Variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.

*Applicant Response:* The variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare. The variance will not allow the southeastern building to be setback any closer than the R-MH side setback requirements, so adequate spacing, light, and air will still be provided. In addition, the variance will allow for development of the property, including improvements to the arroyo that will improve the public safety and welfare by completing drainage infrastructure improvements.

3. The Variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.

*Applicant Response:* The variance will not cause material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements. The proposed multi-family residential use has long been planned for the site and infrastructure is available to serve this development. The variance will not allow for any additional development that creates traffic impacts, flooding, or any other adverse impacts. The southeastern building will be setback at least 5 feet from the front lot line, and significant drainage improvements will be constructed. A trip generation report was completed for the proposed development, which demonstrates that the project does not meet the thresholds for a full Traffic Impact Study to be completed. Tennyson Street has access to Academy Road at a fully signalized intersection where traffic can disperse to Tramway Boulevard to the east and other roadways to the west.

4. The Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of this IDO or the applicable zone district.

*Applicant Response:* The variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or the R-MH zone district. It will not allow any uses that are not allowed by the underlying zoning. Additionally, as mentioned for most other similarly situated properties, the location of the required variance would be considered a side lot line with a minimum setback requirement of 5 feet. The proposed variance of 10 feet to the 15-foot front setback still leaves a 5-foot setback between the proposed building and any adjacent properties. The Site Plan accompanying this application meets all other IDO requirements related to the R-MH zone.
5. The Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.

Applicant Response: The variance is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship and practical difficulties. The requested variance is necessary for the placement of the buildings as shown on the accompanying Site Plan – EPC, which also requires the provision of parking, landscaping, and setbacks from other lot lines. The drainage easement location at the center of the property requires the proposed buildings to be located farther south, and the required variance is the minimum amount necessary to make this happen.

Sections 6-6(M)(3)(b) and (c) are not applicable to this variance request.

CONCLUSION
Based on all the information provided, on behalf of Titan Property Management, LLC, we respectfully request approval of the proposed Site Plan and Variance – EPC requests for development of a 281-unit multi-family development.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James K. Strozier, FAICP
Principal
Memorandum

To: Leslie Naji, Senior Planner, City of Albuquerque Planning Department

From: Michael Vos, AICP, Senior Planner, Consensus Planning, Inc.

Date: August 31, 2020

Re: Sensitive Lands Analysis and Additional Variance Justification to the Required Buffer Adjacent to Major Public Open Space

SENSITIVE LANDS ANALYSIS
This memo serves as the analysis of site constraints related to sensitive lands as described by IDO Section 5-2(C)(1). New development is intended to avoid locating in the following types of sensitive lands, to the maximum extent practicable:
- Floodplains and flood hazard areas
- Steep slopes
- Unstable soils
- Wetlands
- Arroyos
- Irrigation facilities (acequias)
- Escarpments
- Rock outcroppings
- Large stands of mature trees
- Archaeological sites

Of these listed sensitive lands, Floodplains and flood hazard areas; steep slopes; and arroyos are the three sensitive lands present on the property. Primarily, the South Pino Arroyo and its old and inaccurate floodplain bisect the site and currently encumber nearly the entire property. In the 1980’s when Tramway Boulevard was constructed, upstream drainage paths were changed when entering the site. This adjacent portion of Tramway Blvd was constructed 10+ feet above the existing surface creating a dam like condition, which redirected those flows to the existing box culverts under Tramway. At the completion of Tramway, a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) should have been submitted to FEMA by local governmental authorities to correct the floodplain, but this was never done. The correct floodplain as shown in the attached exhibit greatly reduces the area encumbered by the floodplain. The property owner has secured an approved Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR), from FEMA, to modify the arroyo and its associated floodplain. This process involves significant investment in infrastructure, which has been reviewed and approved by FEMA, AAMFCA, and the City. Once the infrastructure improvements are completed, the arroyo will be confined to an open channel and underground box culvert and a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) will be completed to remove the floodplain from the development area of the site.

Regarding steep slopes, most of these slopes are located to the north and east sides of the property as the site slopes from those adjacent roadways down toward the South Pino Arroyo and west toward the
AMAFCA dam pool. On the east side of the site, the steep slopes are within the Tramway Boulevard right-of-way and are not impacted by this development. On the north side of the site along San Antonio, these steep slopes are avoided to the maximum extent practicable. The slopes are underneath the relocated PNM powerlines so buildings cannot be constructed on them. The parking lot is proposed to come to the bottom of the slope with some retaining walls, but most of the slope up to the roadway will remain. At the northwest part of the site, a second access is proposed to traverse this steep slope on the north side of the property, which is required by the Fire Department to provide emergency access to the site. This emergency access is located on the area with the smallest grade change to minimize impact.

**ADDITIONAL VARIANCE JUSTIFICATION**

Based on staff comments received during review of the Site Plan – EPC it came to our attention that an additional variance to IDO Section 5-2(H)(2)(a)1 is potentially necessary. The referenced Section requires development on properties of any size that are located adjacent to Major Public Open Space (MPOS) “Be platted and/or designed to incorporate a single-loaded street between the Major Public Open Space and development, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department. Where a single-loaded street is not desired by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department, a landscape buffer with a minimum width of 20 feet may be substituted as approved by the Open Space Superintendent.”

It should be noted that the referenced Section of the IDO only requires the provision of a 20-foot buffer when a single-loaded street is not incorporated between the MPOS and the development. It is our belief that insofar as the above referenced Section requires the provision of a single-loaded street to separate the MPOS from the proposed development, Tramway Boulevard more than meets the intent of the rule. While a single-loaded street typically takes the form of a smaller, local street with homes and businesses facing toward the open space, Tramway Boulevard is effectively a ‘zero-loaded’ street due to its limited access policy. Tramway Boulevard fulfills this requirement without any substitute buffer. It separates the project from the MPOS in a better way than a single-loaded street typically does, and access is not being provided except for existing informal pathways that will remain in place.

We do not believe it makes sense to require an additional street or buffer area next to Tramway, which is a 200-foot-wide or greater right-of-way. There is approximately 30 feet of elevation change from the roadway down to this development, and this elevation change effectively blocks the view and impact of the project from the MPOS. In addition, there is between 90 to 145 feet of naturalized landscape area within the Tramway Boulevard right-of-way between the property line of this project and the actual roadway pavement. This buffer also more than meets the intent of the buffer requirement. This incongruency has been recognized by the City Council because there is currently an IDO amendment under consideration that would exempt properties that are adjacent to MPOS but separated by a principal arterial or highway from this requirement.

We propose that the EPC approve the Site Plan as designed with the current landscape buffers shown on the plan. If a variance is necessary to accomplish this, we believe such a request meets the criteria for a variance and is justified because of special circumstances applicable to the subject site that are not self-imposed and pose an extraordinary hardship and practical difficulties on development of the site. Per IDO Section 6-6(M)(3)(a), except as indicated in Subsections (b) and (c), an application for a Variance – EPC shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:
1. There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity, including but not limited to size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, and physical characteristics, and such special circumstances were created either by natural forces or by government eminent domain actions for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the property either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.

**Applicant Response:** There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and do not apply generally to other property in the same zone district and vicinity.

As described in our original justification, the subject site is bisected by the Pino Arroyo and significantly encumbered by a floodplain and grading challenges created by the topography. While the property owner has received approval of a CLOMCR to modify this floodplain, there are significant governmental requirements and infrastructure required to complete this process and the arroyo will remain on the property within a buried box culvert. This culvert requires a 60-foot wide easement in which buildings may not be constructed. As such, the Applicant had to design the site around this easement, which included locating the buildings and parking as shown on the site plan without a single-loaded street adjacent to Tramway Boulevard and the MPOS, and less than a 20-foot landscape buffer in lieu of the single-loaded street.

The subject site is also unique in its location adjacent to MPOS but separated by such a wide right-of-way that more than meets the intent of the regulations. Tramway Boulevard is an extremely wide roadway at over 200 feet, and this property is extremely unique in the City of Albuquerque as it is “adjacent” to MPOS but separated by a great distance, including an elevation change that blocks views to and from the MPOS toward the proposed development. In addition, if Tramway were a local road that allowed single-loaded access facing the MPOS, it would very clearly meet the referenced regulation, but due to the grade changes and NMDOT limited access policies, the developer is unable to access Tramway for it to be considered a single-loaded street.

These circumstances are not self-imposed but are the result of government actions and requirements, as well as the natural forces of the arroyo and drainage across the sloping topography. These circumstances create practical difficulties with strict compliance with the standards for placing buildings on the site, and create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property as the applicant is expending significant funds to improve the drainage infrastructure to make this lot developable. In addition, due to the nature of Tramway Boulevard it creates an unnecessary hardship upon this property to provide effectively a second buffer to the MPOS that is already protected by the existing roadway configuration.

2. The Variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.

**Applicant Response:** The variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare. The variance will not allow the development to be built any closer to the adjacent MPOS than would otherwise be required in any other location in the City. A typical single-loaded street or buffer provides between 20 and 50 feet between the MPOS and developed private property. The Tramway Boulevard...
right-of-way provides approximately 200 feet of separation in addition to the 5 to 10 feet of additional separation as shown on the site plan. In addition, the variance will allow for development of the property, including improvements to the arroyo that will improve the public safety and welfare by completing the necessary drainage infrastructure improvements.

3. The variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.

Applicant Response: The variance will not cause material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements. The proposed multi-family residential use has long been planned for the site and infrastructure is available to serve this development. The variance will not allow for any additional development that creates traffic impacts, flooding, or any other adverse impacts. The development will be separated from the MPOS by over 200 feet, and significant drainage improvements will be constructed.

4. The variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of this IDO or the applicable zone district.

Applicant Response: The variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or the R-MH zone district. It will not allow any uses that are not allowed by the underlying zoning. Additionally, as mentioned previously, this property is extremely unique in the City being “adjacent” to MPOS but separated by a great distance via a DOT highway. The intent of the IDO is to provide appropriate separation of development from MPOS, which is accomplished by the existing DOT highway 556, Tramway Boulevard, right-of-way.

5. The variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.

Applicant Response: The variance is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship and practical difficulties. The requested variance is necessary for the placement of the buildings as shown on the accompanying Site Plan – EPC, which also requires the provision of parking, landscaping, and setbacks from other lot lines. The drainage easement location at the center of the property and overhead powerline easements at the north end of the property dictate the location of the proposed buildings throughout the site including those located along the eastern property line, and the required variance is the minimum amount necessary to make this happen.

Sections 6-6(M)(3)(b) and (c) are not applicable to this variance request.

CONCLUSION

Based on the information provided above, we respectfully request that staff recommend the Site Plan – EPC for approval based on the following findings relative to Sensitive Lands and Major Public Open Space adjacency:

1. The applicant has demonstrated that sensitive lands (14-16-5-2(C)) have been analyzed and demonstrated that the design successfully avoided locating development on the existing steep
slopes (along the northern edge of the property) and the arroyo (pursuant to the approved CLOMR) to the maximum extent practicable.

2. As required for properties adjacent to Major Public Open Space (MPOS) (14-16-5-2(H)(2)(a)) Tramway Boulevard functions as a single loaded street effectively separating the development from the MPOS and providing unobstructed views from Tramway Boulevard into the MPOS.

Or

2. The applicant has adequately justified a variance to the requirements in Section 14-16-5-2(H)(2)(a) to eliminate the requirement for a single-loaded street or substitute buffer because of special circumstances applicable to the subject site that are not self-imposed and pose an extraordinary hardship and practical difficulties on development of the site.
APPLICATION
SUPPORT MATERIALS
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

ALLASO HIGH DESERT SITE PLAN

Regarding 5-2(H)(1)(f), describe how this project provides access to existing pedestrian and bike pathways of the MPOS.

It is understood that you are in consultation Traffic Operations, however, site plan should address those elements presented below.

Per CABQ Transportation Development Review Services  Tennyson Apartments
PR-2020-004086  SI-2020-6090 — Site Plan

- At applicant’s request, City Planning is working with Traffic Operations and the applicant to propose speed control measures on Tennyson prior to DRB action.
- As a designated collector street, Tennyson is meant to connect with San Antonio Road per the MRCOG Long Range Masterplan. (Planning is seeking input from DMD on this.)
- Per IDO and DPM requirements, sidewalk is required along frontage of site.

Also, prior to DRB address the following comments:

- Right-of-way boundaries are unclear on the site plan.
- Call out curb and all curb radii.
- If moving forward with a turn-around on Tennyson, the diameter of the turn-around shall follow current standards to allow a large enough of a turn-around for emergency vehicles, or other options may be used as shown below:

![Diagram of turn-around options]

- In lieu of having a pedestrian path painted through the Tennyson turn-around, there shall be sidewalk adjacent to the vehicular way to connect to existing sidewalk.
- Show curb ramps at all locations where needed, at the end of pedestrian paths, etc.
- Label all pedestrian accessways and width of all accessways.
• Provide barrier curb, mountable curb, sidewalk, and bike rack details. Show 2% maximum cross-slope for sidewalk.

• Show width of emergency accessway.

• For the private gates north of Tennyson, one of the gates is shown to swing outward toward the main driving aisle. Show whether this gated area is meant to be one-way and if so, have signs and pavement markings for designation.
Memorandum

To: Ms. Leslie Naji, Case Planner
From: Jim Strozier, Consensus Planning, Inc.
Date: August 24, 2020
Re: Allaso High Desert – Site Plan – EPC
    Request for Additional Information

Please see our responses to your questions in red.

Regarding 5-2(H)(1)(f), describe how this project provides access to existing pedestrian and bike pathways of the MPOS.

While there is no designated trail from this property to the MPOS on the east side of Tramway Boulevard, we are aware that there is an informal trail system that is used by community members to access the existing box culverts under Tramway Boulevard, which connect the east and west sides of Tramway. Our Landscape Plan shows a “natural path” that will maintain this access to the culvert crossing and provide for north-south access on the east side of the project fence. The Landscape Plan also shows a Memorial Park that will be accessible from the natural path that includes several community amenities including: a water fountain for humans and dogs, bike pump, bike loop, and proposed benches. This will be outside the property fence and will include a pedestrian gate so that residents of Allaso High Desert can have direct access to the natural path and memorial park as well.

It is understood that you are in consultation Traffic Operations, however, site plan should address those elements presented below.

Per CABQ Transportation Development Review Services   Tennyson Apartments
PR-2020-004086   SI-2020-6090 – Site Plan

• At applicant’s request, City Planning is working with Traffic Operations and the applicant to propose speed control measures on Tennyson prior to DRB action.

Agreed, we will continue these discussions.

• As a designated collector street, Tennyson is meant to connect with San Antonio Road per the MRCOG Long Range Masterplan. (Planning is seeking input from DMD on this.)

The City approved a vacation of Tennyson Boulevard in 2010 (Project #1008435), which included the following reason: “That the City is not anticipating any need to utilize the existing easement for roadway purposes based on the existing roadway network.” The neighbors to the north are opposed to Tennyson extending as a through street.

• Per IDO and DPM requirements, sidewalk is required along frontage of site.

Since there are no existing sidewalks along San Antonio or Tennyson adjacent to the northern boundary of the property, we are not proposing to build a sidewalk in this location. The developer
plans to request a sidewalk waiver from the DRB. North Albuquerque Acres Community Association has stated that they are adamantly opposed to a sidewalk on San Antonio and has offered to write a letter to oppose the sidewalk.

The existing sidewalk along the west side of Tennyson adjacent to the existing apartments is proposed to be extended north into the project site and then cross through the existing cul-de-sac. The reason for this cul-de-sac crossing, is the area off to the westside is private property and features a retaining wall supporting the cul-de-sac. Any additional area needed for the sidewalk on the westside of the cul-de-sac would have to be granted from the private property owner (Allegro Apartments) which is unlikely. The moving of the retaining wall to create the width necessary for the sidewalk would cause the loss of several parking spaces at Allegro. We would be amenable to extending the sidewalk directly to the front of our property if the cul-de-sac can be eliminated. Otherwise, we’ll need to provide a crossing to the eastside and a sidewalk around the eastern edge of the cul-de-sac to connect to the site. Since there is no sidewalk on the east side of Tennyson to connect to, the developer is not proposing to build any additional sidewalk on the east side of Tennyson.

Also, prior to DRB address the following comments:

- Right-of-way boundaries are unclear on the site plan.
  
  This will be clarified.

- Call out curb and all curb radii.
  
  These will be added to the site plan.

- If moving forward with a turn-around on Tennyson, the diameter of the turn-around shall follow current standards to allow a large enough of a turn-around for emergency vehicles, or other options may be used as shown below:

The existing cul-de-sac is approximately 80 feet in diameter, which is adequate for a passenger vehicle. Additionally, there is not adequate space to create any of these options due to other surrounding property owners needing to dedicate their private property. Since the only property served by this portion of Tennyson is Allaso High Desert, it is not anticipated to get much use. In addition, the turnaround proposed interior to the project provides for vehicles to safely turn around at that location. This turn around has been reviewed and approved by the Fire and Solid Waste Departments. We would be willing to grant an access easement from the end of Tennyson through the new interior turn around located within the project.
• In lieu of having a pedestrian path painted through the Tennyson turn-around, there shall be sidewalk adjacent to the vehicular way to connect to existing sidewalk. We will meet with Transportation staff to determine how to make this connection and modify the site plan accordingly.

• Show curb ramps at all locations where needed, at the end of pedestrian paths, etc.
  These will be added to the site plan.

• Label all pedestrian accessways and width of all accessways.
  This information will be added to the site plan.

• Provide barrier curb, mountable curb, sidewalk, and bike rack details. Show 2% maximum cross-slope for sidewalk.
  This information will be added to the site plan.

• Show width of emergency accessway.
  This information will be added to the site plan.

• For the private gates north of Tennyson, one of the gates is shown to swing outward toward the main driving aisle. Show whether this gated area is meant to be one-way and if so, have signs and pavement markings for designation.
  This information will be added to the site plan.

Please don’t hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding these responses or have any additional questions.

c: Project File
   Josh Rogers, Titan Development
Cheryl,

Thank you for your additional comments and clarifications. I have added some responses to those below (in red) and attached additional information for your review. Please let us know if there are any questions.

Michael

From: Somerfeldt, Cheryl <csomerfeldt@cabq.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2020 2:55 PM
To: Najj, Leslie <lnajj@cabq.gov>; Michael Vos <Vos@consensusplanning.com>
Cc: Jim Strozier <cp@consensusplanning.com>; Josh Rogers <jrogers@titan-development.com>; Brian Patterson <bpatterson@titan-development.com>
Subject: FW: Responses to Parks and Recreation Comments on PR-2020-004086

Hello,

Response (answers correspond with number below):

1. Thank you -- will you provide exhibit before or at the hearing?
   Our analysis was provided by separate email and has also been attached.

2. Please confirm the 'Memorial Park' will be maintained by the property owner?
   Yes, the Memorial Park will be maintained by the owner of this property along with the other private landscape improvements.

3. 
   a. "5-2(E)(3)(b) Property owners shall dedicate property as shown in the Facility Plan for Arroyos for trails and/or arroyo right-of-way. Right-of-way for a trail and landscaping adjacent to the arroyo right-of-way shall average 20 feet in width." Thank you for your description. Although the South Pino Arroyo (a Major Public Open Space Link) was scheduled for an Arroyo Corridor Plan, one is not listed under Plans and Publications, and as such meets the caveat on page 16 for ROW "when appropriate, based upon an adopted arroyo corridor plan". Also, the diagram on page 36 of the Facility Plan for Arroyos does not show ROW for the South Pino Arroyo as it is shown for the South Domingo Baca Arroyo or Bear Canyon Arroyo, so PRD does not request ROW. Thank you for this comment. We agree with the assessment.

   b. "5-2(E)(3)(c) Access to the trail system shall be provided at all roadway intersections and adjacent public facilities, including parks, libraries, community centers, and Major Public Open Space." The MTP 2040 shows an unpaved trail existing along Sims Park Rd, which connects under Tramway to the project’s “Nature Path” shown on the Site Plan. Can the “Nature Path” be extended along the north edge to meet the driveway intersection to San Antonio drive NE. Is there a reason, there is no pedestrian gate where it meets San Antonio Drive NE and/or on the west property line? Can the “Nature Path” be extended along the eastern and southern property lines to meet up with Tennyson - perhaps abut the wrought iron fence at the garages/walls in order to provide space for the “Nature Path” behind the garages?
Can you provide us with the exhibit from the MTP showing the proposed connection from the existing trail network to this property? Regardless of the connection under Tramway, the proposed path on this property will connect from San Antonio to the north down to Tennyson to the south. See the attached rendered site plan clearly showing this full pedestrian connection in blue. On the north side of the property, the path connects to an existing sidewalk on the east side of Tennyson Street. The path is not proposed to extend along the north edge to meet the driveway intersection at San Antonio because there is nothing beyond the subject property for it to connect to. There are no sidewalks or trails along any portion of San Antonio so the additional path would serve no purpose. The reason there are no pedestrian gates from this property to the north or west are due to the significant topography and grading challenges with the site. The proposed emergency access drive at the northwest corner of the site has an approximately 10% slope, which works for vehicles but is not feasible for pedestrian access due to ADA requirements.

c. “5-2(E)(4)(d) Parking lots abutting major arroyos shall provide a buffer pursuant to Subsection 14-16-5-6(F)(3). 5-6(F)(3)(a) Walls or fencing a minimum of 6 feet high; fencing requires landscaping with evergreen shrubs or vines to form a screen at least 75 percent opaque.” The proposal to add vines to the 6-foot fence meets this regulation in our view - I apologize if I missed this but please show note on Landscape Plan that this is being met where appropriate.

The landscape plan was updated to reflect this. Please see that updated plan attached.

4. 5-2(H)(2)(a)1. The Open Space Superintendent permitted omission of the single-loaded street due to the proximity of Tramway. To substitute for the single-loaded street, the 20-foot buffer is the option permitted by the current IDO. DRB has heard cases with adjacency to MPOS across a major ROW - and the applicant either provided a buffer or a Variance. The case planner stated that the applicant is possibly pursuing an EPC Variance?

Our rationale for a variance to this standard was included with the sensitive lands analysis via separate email and is attached as well.

Thank you,

Cheryl Somerfeldt
Senior Planner
505.768.5363
619.573.5324
csomerfeldt@cabq.gov
cabq.gov/parksandrecreation

From: Michael Vos [mailto:Vos@consensusplanning.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2020 8:41 AM
To: Najji, Leslie <najji@cabq.gov>; Somerfeldt, Cheryl <csomerfeldt@cabq.gov>
Cc: Jim Strozier <cp@consensusplanning.com>; Josh Rogers <jrogers@titan-development.com>; Brian Patterson <bpatterson@titan-development.com>
Subject: Responses to Parks and Recreation Comments on PR-2020-004086

Good morning Leslie and Cheryl,
Please see below for our responses to the questions and comments raised by the Parks and Recreation Department on the proposed site plan located on Tennyson north of Academy Road (original questions in black and responses in red). Please let us know if you have any follow-up questions or comments after you have a chance to review.

Questions:
1. Pursuant to IDO 5-2(C), Sensitive Lands Analysis required The applicant provided a lot of information regarding the site, but I did not see this diagram in the application packet — will this be provided? or is the current submission seen as sufficient?
   We will prepare and submit a memo to more fully respond to the sensitive lands analysis.
2. PRD appreciates the park area. Just to confirm — is this development gated? and therefore the private ‘Memorial Park’ has pedestrian public access but not vehicular public access? Can we confirm the species adjacent to arroyo are native?
   Yes, the development is gated, however access to the Memorial Park is located outside the fence and open to everyone. It is accessed via pedestrian pathways along the eastern edge of the property and through a private gate from the property. No vehicular access or parking is proposed. The tree species located adjacent to the arroyo are native species, including Desert Willow and Desert Olive and this can be further clarified at the DRB.
3. The Pino Arroyo is a Major Open Space Link per the Facility Plan for Arroyos, and therefore subject to IDO Section 5-2(E). I tried to review the Site Plan and Notes but since everything is on small screens, I am not sure if all regulations have been satisfied or Variances are being sought?
   a. 5-2(E)(3)(b) calls for ROW for trail adjacent to arroyo — is this accommodated by the entrance drive over the arroyo? Is this acceptable to Open Space?
      The referenced requirement is to dedicate property for trails or arroyo right-of-way in accordance with the Facility Plan for Arroyos, the policy of which states “Future dedicated rights-of-way or recorded easements which allow for public uses other than drainage shall be publicly acquired, **when appropriate, based upon an adopted arroyo corridor plan.**” [emphasis added] Over the years, the City of Albuquerque has developed and adopted several arroyo corridor plans (now considered ‘resource management plans’); however, the South Pino Arroyo is not one of those arroyos. Due to development of the Tanoan Golf Course and gated residential communities, the Facility Plan states that the “open space trail link should be located north of the Tanoan Golf Course, sharing right-of-way with the San Antonio Corridor.” No other guidance is provided. It is our belief that the intent of this connection and trail link is complete with the existing paved multi-use trail diverting from the South Pino Arroyo corridor around the edge of the Albuquerque Academy property at Wyoming, crossing Harper and Barstow around the Cherry Hills Library and following the San Antonio/PNM corridor east through Heritage Hills Park where it meets the North Pino Arroyo corridor. Rather than continuing in this corridor however, the trail diverts farther northward (consistent with the location of the North Pino alignment) at Holbrook and turns east to meet Eubank Boulevard at Santa Monica. East of Eubank, San Antonio is not a paved through connection, and Santa Monica doesn’t connect to Eubank, so the east-west multi-use trail has been built on Del Rey Avenue connecting Eubank all the way east to Tennyson.

Due to these constraints and the alternative alignment developed, there is no purpose for dedication of the arroyo corridor for trail purposes on the subject property since it would not connect to anything (it empties into a dam pool before entering the private Tanoan property). The Applicant is granting an easement for drainage and maintenance purposes to AMAFCA. From the end of the existing trail corridor on Del Rey at Tennyson, users head north to San Rafael to cross Tramway and access the Tramway Multi-use Trail, or they head south and cross the eastern edge of the subject property using an informal path and through the culverts under Tramway to the South Pino MPOS. The existing informal path, which is about 3’ wide, exists on private property connecting pedestrians from San Antonio down and through the box culverts. Through many discussions with neighbors and firsthand experience by the developer, this informal path will be maintained with the development of this project. The project is willing to grant a permanent access easement over this informal path. Due to liability concerns, AMAFCA and NMDOT will not agree to a formal access point since the box culverts are a drainage feature and not intended for pedestrian traffic. There is one existing trail crossing the MPOS, which is also an informal,
soft surface path that is not designated in the Bikeways and Trails Facility Plan. The formal trail in this location is on the south side of Simms Park Road, and access to this trail is best made from the Tramway Trail at either San Rafael to the north or Academy Road to the south. Again, there is no purpose for additional right-of-way for a trail, and it does not appear to be required based on the Facility Plan for Arroyos.

b. 5-2(E)(3)(c) calls for the trail system to extend to all roadway intersections – application mentions trail but extent not clear?
Access to the trail system is as described above.

c. 5-2(E)(4)(d) calls for parking lot buffer or screening per 5-6(F)(3) – wall or fencing or shrubs and trees – does not seem to be shown on Landscape Plan.
The Applicant intends to add vines at the base of the fence surrounding the project where the parking lot abuts the open arroyo channel at the eastern side of the property to provide the required screening as allowed by Section 5-6(F)(3)(a). If staff does not agree that this meets the requirement, the Applicant would like consideration of a Variance to this standard.

4. Pursuant to IDO Section 5-2(H)(2)(a), a 20-foot buffer is required on the east property line. It looks like there is a buffer in most places but not all. I am unable to measure on the screen, and perhaps they need a Variance? This requirement is to “incorporate a single-loaded street between the Major Public Open Space and development, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department.” The 20-foot buffer is required as a substitute when a single-loaded street is not desired by the Open Space Division. While a single-loaded street typically takes the form of a smaller, local street with homes and businesses facing toward the open space, we believe that Tramway Boulevard, which is effectively a ‘zero-loaded’ street due to its limited access policy, fulfills this requirement without any substitute buffer. It separates the project from the MPOS in a better way than a single-loaded street does, and access is not being provided except for existing informal pathways that will remain in place. It doesn’t make any logical sense to require another street adjacent to Tramway or to provide additional buffering next to a 200-foot-wide or greater right-of-way. In addition, if buffering is needed, it should be noted that there are 60 to 80 feet (minimum) of naturalized landscape area between the property line of this project and the actual Tramway roadway pavement, as well as a difference of 30 feet of elevation to effectively buffer the MPOS. The project site is not visible from the MPOS due to the elevation change of Tramway.

Thanks,
Michael Vos, AICP
CONSENSUS PLANNING, INC.
302 Eighth Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
phone (505) 764-9801
vos@consensusplanning.com

________________________________________________________________________

This message has been analyzed by Deep Discovery Email Inspector.

________________________________________________________________________

This message has been analyzed by Deep Discovery Email Inspector.
Hello Open Space Planners,

I just wanted to send a clarification to the email below since I am off work this afternoon and tomorrow. Leslie, the case planner, would like the Open Space Division to send a brief statement/email acknowledging the request for a Variance (before the hearing Sept 10 – but the sooner the better). This case may have to be deferred for the applicant to advertise this Variance, but this is not confirmed – so Leslie will update.

As we discussed earlier, the IDO regulation is for the property owner to provide a single-loaded-street OR a 20-foot buffer ON the subject property. Tramway and the existing buffer area gives this property a good justification for a Variance and the applicant sent a justification letter (see attached). If possible, please let Leslie know if the Open Space Division accepts the request for a Variance. It still has to be approved by the EPC.

Thank you!

--

From: Naji, Leslie
Sent: Thursday, September 3, 2020 10:33 AM
To: Langan-McRoberts, Colleen <cmcroberts@cabq.gov>
Cc: Somerfeldt, Cheryl <csomerfeldt@cabq.gov>
Subject: 20 foot buffer variance

Superintendent Langan-McRoberts:

I wanted to bring to your attention a request we have for a variance of the 20 foot buffer for properties adjacent to MPOS. Consensus Planning, agent for PR-2020-004086/SI-2020-00690, an application for site plan-EPC for an apartment development, is also requesting a variance of 10 feet to the 20 foot buffer required. The site does not abut the MPOS but is separated by the Tramway R-O-W and a utility easement.

Per IDO 5-2(H)(2)(a) Development on properties of any size adjacent to Major Public Open Space shall:
1. Be platted and/or designed to incorporate a single-loaded street between the Major Public Open Space and development, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department. Where a single-loaded street is not desired by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department, a landscape buffer with a minimum width of 20 feet may be substituted as approved by the Open Space Superintendent.

The variance is requested as the site is well separated from the MPOS with a great deal of buffer but not always on the site. Your comments on this request is required for staff evaluation. Unfortunately, that report needs to be posted tomorrow.

Thank you for your input.

Sincerely,

Leslie Nají
Senior Planner, Landmarks Commission
o 505.924.3927
e lnaji@cabq.gov
cabq.gov/planning
Good morning Leslie and Cheryl,

Please see below for our responses to the questions and comments raised by the Parks and Recreation Department on the proposed site plan located on Tennyson north of Academy Road (original questions in black and responses in red). Please let us know if you have any follow-up questions or comments after you have a chance to review.

Questions:

1. Pursuant to IDO 5-2(C), Sensitive Lands Analysis required. The applicant provided a lot of information regarding the site, but I did not see this diagram in the application packet – will this be provided? or is the current submission seen as sufficient? We will prepare and submit a memo to more fully respond to the sensitive lands analysis.

2. PRD appreciates the park area. Just to confirm – is this development gated? and therefore the private ‘Memorial Park’ has pedestrian public access but not vehicular public access? Can we confirm the species adjacent to arroyo are native? Yes, the development is gated, however access to the Memorial Park is located outside the fence and open to everyone. It is accessed via pedestrian pathways along the eastern edge of the property and through a private gate from the property. No vehicular access or parking is proposed. The tree species located adjacent to the arroyo are native species, including Desert Willow and Desert Olive and this can be further clarified at the DRB.

3. The Pino Arroyo is a Major Open Space Link per the Facility Plan for Arroyos, and therefore subject to IDO Section 5-2(E). I tried to review the Site Plan and Notes but since everything is on small screens, I am not sure if all regulations have been satisfied or Variances are being sought?
   a. 5-2(E)(3)(b) calls for ROW for trail adjacent to arroyo – is this accommodated by the entrance drive over the arroyo? Is this acceptable to Open Space?

The referenced requirement is to dedicate property for trails or arroyo right-of-way in accordance with the Facility Plan for Arroyos, the policy of which states “Future dedicated rights-of-way or recorded easements which allow for public uses other than drainage shall be publicly acquired, when appropriate, based upon an adopted arroyo corridor plan.” Over the years, the City of Albuquerque has developed and adopted several arroyo corridor plans (now considered ‘resource management plans’); however, the South Pino Arroyo is not one of those arroyos. Due to development of the Tanoan Golf Course and gated residential communities, the Facility Plan states that the “open space trail link should be located north of the Tanoan Golf Course, sharing right-of-way with the San Antonio Corridor.” No other guidance is provided. It is our belief that the intent of this connection and trail link is complete with the existing paved multi-use trail diverting from the South Pino Arroyo corridor around the edge of the Albuquerque Academy property at Wyoming, crossing Harper and Barstow around the Cherry Hills Library and following the San Antonio/PNM corridor east through Heritage Hills Park where it meets the North Pino Arroyo corridor. Rather than continuing in this corridor however, the trail diverts farther northward (consistent with the location of the North Pino alignment) at Holbrook and turns east to meet Eubank Boulevard at Santa Monica. East of Eubank, San Antonio is not a paved through connection, and Santa Monica doesn’t connect to Eubank, so the east-west multi-use trail has been built on Del Rey Avenue connecting Eubank all the way east to Tennyson.

Due to these constraints and the alternative alignment developed, there is no purpose for dedication of the arroyo corridor for trail purposes on the subject property since it would not connect to anything (it
empties into a dam pool before entering the private Tanoan property). The Applicant is granting an easement for drainage and maintenance purposes to AMAFCA. From the end of the existing trail corridor on Del Rey at Tennyson, users head north to San Rafael to cross Tramway and access the Tramway Multi-use Trail, or they head south and cross the eastern edge of the subject property using an informal path and through the culverts under Tramway to the South Pino MPOS. The existing informal path, which is about 3’ wide, exists on private property connecting pedestrians from San Antonio down and through the box culverts. Through many discussions with neighbors and firsthand experience by the developer, this informal path will be maintained with the development of this project. The project is willing to grant a permanent access easement over this informal path. Due to liability concerns, AMAFCA and NMDOT will not agree to a formal access point since the box culverts are a drainage feature and not intended for pedestrian traffic. There is one existing trail crossing the MPOS, which is also an informal, soft surface path that is not designated in the Bikeways and Trails Facility Plan. The formal trail in this location is on the south side of Simms Park Road, and access to this trail is best made from the Tramway Trail at either San Rafael to the north or Academy Road to the south. Again, there is no purpose for additional right-of-way for a trail, and it does not appear to be required based on the Facility Plan for Arroyos.

b. 5-2(E)(3)(c) calls for the trail system to extend to all roadway intersections – application mentions trail but extent not clear? Access to the trail system is as described above.

c. 5-2(E)(4)(d) calls for parking lot buffer or screening per 5-6(F)(3) – wall or fencing or shrubs and trees – does not seem to be shown on Landscape Plan. The Applicant intends to add vines at the base of the fence surrounding the project where the parking lot abuts the open arroyo channel at the eastern side of the property to provide the required screening as allowed by Section 5-6(F)(3)(a). If staff does not agree that this meets the requirement, the Applicant would like consideration of a Variance to this standard.

4. Pursuant to IDO Section 5-2(H)(2)(a)1, a 20-foot buffer is required on the east property line. It looks like there is a buffer in most places but not all. I am unable to measure on the screen, and perhaps they need a Variance? This requirement is to “incorporate a single-loaded street between the Major Public Open Space and development, with access generally not allowed unless approved by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department.” The 20-foot buffer is required as a substitute when a single-loaded street is not desired by the Open Space Division. While a single-loaded street typically takes the form of a smaller, local street with homes and businesses facing toward the open space, we believe that Tramway Boulevard, which is effectively a ‘zero-loaded’ street due to its limited access policy, fulfills this requirement without any substitute buffer. It separates the project from the MPOS in a better way than a single-loaded street does, and access is not being provided except for existing informal pathways that will remain in place. It doesn’t make any logical sense to require another street adjacent to Tramway or to provide additional buffering next to a 200-foot-wide or greater right-of-way. In addition, if buffering is needed, it should be noted that there are 50 to 80 feet (minimum) of naturalized landscape area between the property line of this project and the actual Tramway roadway pavement, as well as a difference of 30 feet of elevation to effectively buffer the MPOS. The project site is not visible from the MPOS due to the elevation change of Tramway.

Thanks,
Michael Vos, AICP
CONSENSUS PLANNING, INC.
302 Eighth Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
phone (505) 764-9801
vos@consensusplanning.com

This message has been analyzed by Deep Discovery Email Inspector.
Dear Applicant,

See list of associations below regarding your Public Notice Inquiry. In addition, we have included web links below that will provide you with additional details about the new Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) requirements. The web links also include notification templates that you may utilize when contacting each association. Thank you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Association Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Address Line 1</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Stare</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Mobile Phone</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District 8 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations</td>
<td>Donald</td>
<td>Couchman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dhc@zlanet.com">dhc@zlanet.com</a></td>
<td>6441 Concordia Road NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>8711</td>
<td></td>
<td>5052698335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 8 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dix.mary.ann@gmail.com">dix.mary.ann@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>13132 Malagena Lane NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>8711</td>
<td></td>
<td>5057159197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Albuquerque Acres Community Association</td>
<td>Carol</td>
<td>Ambabo</td>
<td><a href="mailto:craesp@swcp.com">craesp@swcp.com</a></td>
<td>8921 Glendale Avenue NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
<td></td>
<td>5052743254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Albuquerque Acres Community Association</td>
<td>Doug</td>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td><a href="mailto:theraisingdentist@gmail.com">theraisingdentist@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>9721 San Francisco NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
<td></td>
<td>5058569100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Run NA</td>
<td>Dean</td>
<td>Willingham</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dwillingham@ncw.com">dwillingham@ncw.com</a></td>
<td>11809 Ilhox Avenue NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
<td></td>
<td>5052502679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Run NA</td>
<td>Alex</td>
<td>Robinson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:alexrmm@comcast.net">alexrmm@comcast.net</a></td>
<td>12032 Ilhox Avenue NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
<td></td>
<td>5056198561</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IDO – Public Notice Requirements & Template: [https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/public-notice](https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/public-notice)

IDO – Neighborhood Meeting Requirements & Template: [https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/neighborhood-meeting-requirement-to-the-integrated-development-ordinance](https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/neighborhood-meeting-requirement-to-the-integrated-development-ordinance)


Thanks.

Dataina L. Carmona
Senior Administrative Assistant
Office of Neighborhood Coordination
Council Services Department
1 Civic Plaza NW, Suite 9087, 9th Floor
Albuquerque, NM 87102
505-768-3334
dcarmona@cabq.gov or DNC@cabq.gov
Website: www.cabq.gov/neighborhoods

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.
Subject: Neighborhood Meeting Inquiry Sheet Submission

Neighborhood Meeting Inquiry For:
   Environmental Planning Commission
If you selected "Other" in the question above, please describe what you are seeking a Neighborhood Meeting Inquiry for below:
   Contact Name: Michael Vas
   Telephone Number: 5057649801
   Email Address: vas@consensusplanning.com
   Company Name: Consensus Planning, Inc.
   Company Address: 302 8th Street NW
   City: Albuquerque
   State: NM
   ZIP: 87102

Legal description of the subject site for this project:
   Tract 1, The Foothills
   Physical address of subject site:
      99999 Tramway Blvd NE
   Subject site cross streets:
      Tennyson Street and San Antonio NE
   Other subject site identifiers:
      Tract south of San Antonio and west of Tramway
   This site is located on the following zone atlas page:
      E-22

This message has been analyzed by Deep Discovery Email Inspector.
Michael Vos

From: Michael Vos
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:25 PM
To: dhc@zielanet.com; dix.mary.ann@gmail.com; theracingdentist@gmail.com; cracpa@swcp.com;
dwllingham@redw.com; alexirnm@comcast.net
Cc: Jim Strozier; Josh Rogers
Subject: Neighborhood Meeting Notification for Tennyson South of San Antonio
Attachments: ZoneAtlasPage_E-22-Z_Site.pdf; Conceptual Site Plan 200609.pdf

Tracking:

Recipient Read
dhc@zielanet.com

dix.mary.ann@gmail.com

theracingdentist@gmail.com

cracpa@swcp.com

dwllingham@redw.com

alexirnm@comcast.net

Jim Strozier Read: 6/12/2020 3:26 PM
Josh Rogers

Dear Neighbors:

This email is notification that Consensus Planning is preparing an application on behalf of Titan Property Management, LLC for a Site Plan to be submitted to the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) and Development Review Board (DRB) for the property located at the north end of Tennyson Street, north of Academy Boulevard, south of San Antonio Drive, and west of Tramway Blvd. The site is legally described as Tract 1, The Foothills. The property is approximately 16 acres in size, currently vacant, and zoned R-MH, Residential Multi-family High Density (see attached zone atlas page).

The applicant is proposing to develop the property with an approximately 283-unit higher end, market-rate multi-family apartment complex. These units are proposed to be distributed throughout the site in approximately 15 buildings with a mix of traditional apartment-style dwellings and townhouse-style dwellings. A clubhouse and common amenity area are in the center of the site. Access will be from Academy Road via Tennyson Street on the south side of the property. There will be emergency only access for the fire department from San Antonio. The project will retain the informal access around the perimeter in order to maintain connections north and south and to the open space.

In addition to the Site Plan, a Variance is proposed to the Front Setback requirement of the R-MH zone district. The southern edge of the property is considered the front, but since there is not a street along the entire edge it functions more like a side property line than a front property line. The variance request is to reduce the required front setback from 15 feet to 5 feet, which is consistent with the side setback requirement. The Site Plan and Variance request will be heard concurrently by the EPC.

As part of the City process, we are providing you an opportunity to discuss the application prior to submittal. Should you have any questions or would like to request a meeting regarding this pending application, please do not hesitate to email us at cp@consensusplanning.com or contact us by phone at 505-764-9801. Per City requirements, you have 15 days or until June 27, 2020 to request a meeting. If you do not want to schedule a meeting, please also let me know, so we can continue in our application process.
If a meeting is requested, we are committed to work with you to provide a virtual meeting format during the ongoing restrictions on public gatherings during the current public health emergency.

Sincerely,

Michael Vos, AICP
CONSENSUS PLANNING, INC.
302 Eighth Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
phone (505) 764-8801
vos@consensusplanning.com
Dear Mr. Voss,

After studying your proposal, the board of North Albuquerque Acres Community Association has several questions and concerns about this proposed project. Therefore, we would like to formally request a facilitated meeting so that we may talk to you about them.

Please let me know when that facilitated meeting can be scheduled. Thank you, and thank you for notifying us about this project.

Carol Ambabo, President
North Albuquerque Acres Community Association
505-274-3254

---

Dear Neighbors:

This email is notification that Consensus Planning is preparing an application on behalf of Titan Property Management, LLC for a Site Plan to be submitted to the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) and Development Review Board (DRB) for the property located at the north end of Tennyson Street, north of Academy Boulevard, south of San Antonio Drive, and west of Tramway Blvd. The site is legally described as Tract 1, The Foothills. The property is approximately 16 acres in size, currently vacant, and zoned R-MH, Residential Multi-family High Density (see attached zone atlas page).

The applicant is proposing to develop the property with an approximately 283-unit higher end, market-rate multi-family apartment complex. These units are proposed to be distributed throughout the site in approximately 15 buildings with a mix of traditional apartment-style dwellings and townhouse-style dwellings. A clubhouse and common amenity area are in the center of the site. Access will be from Academy Road via Tennyson Street on the south side of the property. There will be emergency only access for the fire department from San Antonio. The project will retain the informal access around the perimeter in order to maintain connections north and south and to the open space.

In addition to the Site Plan, a Variance is proposed to the Front Setback requirement of the R-MH zone district. The southern edge of the property is considered the front, but since there is not a street along the entire edge it functions more like a side property line than a front property line. The variance request is to reduce the required front setback...
from 15 feet to 5 feet, which is consistent with the side setback requirement. The Site Plan and Variance request will be heard concurrently by the EPC.

As part of the City process, we are providing you an opportunity to discuss the application prior to submittal. Should you have any questions or would like to request a meeting regarding this pending application, please do not hesitate to email us at cp@consensusplanning.com or contact us by phone at 505-764-9801. Per City requirements, you have 15 days or until June 27, 2020 to request a meeting. If you do not want to schedule a meeting, please also let me know, so we can continue in our application process.

If a meeting is requested, we are committed to work with you to provide a virtual meeting format during the ongoing restrictions on public gatherings during the current public health emergency.

Sincerely,

Michael Vos, AICP
CONSENSUS PLANNING, INC.
302 Eighth Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
phone (505) 764-9801
vos@consensusplanning.com
From: Philip Crump
To: alexmm@comcast.net; "Josh Rogers"; Michael Vos; Jim Strozier; "Carol Ambalo NAA CA"; "Jocelyn Torres"; gbcs@zi@net.com; dix.nary.anp@gmail.com; thecocknodentist@gmail.com; dwillingham@redw.com
Cc: "Wilson, Betty"; "Steve Shackley"
Subject: Zoom Meeting for proposed Tennyson Apartments
Date: Saturday, July 25, 2020 10:07:41 AM

Dear All:

And for a final schedule...

Philip Crump is inviting you to a scheduled Zoom meeting.

Topic: Tennyson Apartment proposal Facilitated Meeting
Time: Wednesday Jul 29, 2020 04:00-6:00 PM Mountain Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting
https://us02web.zoom.us/j/7379809160

Meeting ID: 737 980 9160
One tap mobile
+13462487799,7379809160# US (Houston)
+16699009128,7379809160# US (San Jose)

Dial by your location
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 669 900 9128 US (San Jose)
+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)
+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
+1 646 558 8656 US (New York)
+1 301 715 8592 US (Germantown)

Meeting ID: 737 980 9160
Find your local number: https://us02web.zoom.us/u/kbWMJITdWD

Philip Crump
1301 Luisa Street
Santa Fe, NM 87505
(505) 989-8558
phcrumpsf@gmail.com
Titan Development is one of the Southwest’s largest and most active, vertically integrated real estate developers. Titan offers development and construction services for a variety of asset classes.

Titan Development has ten multifamily projects with five completed and five under construction or in lease-up. The completed projects total 994 units with a development cost of $142 million. Titan Development has multifamily projects in New Mexico, Florida, and Texas.

www.titan-development.com
Project Leadership

Josh Rogers
Director of Development

Josh is focused on multifamily development. He is responsible for the selection of all potential multifamily deals and manages Titan’s extensive pipeline of multifamily and mixed-use projects. Josh has created over $200 million in development opportunities for Titan. He has a Masters in Architecture and Bachelor of Business Administration in Marketing from the University of New Mexico. His charitable contributions include organizing Polly’s Run which has created an endowment of over $200,000 for pancreatic cancer research.

Brian Patterson
Director of Development

Brian is focused on mixed-use and self storage development. Brian’s expertise is in sourcing deals, project management, design, entitlements, acquisitions, and dispositions. Brian has extensive experience developing and managing master planned communities, commercial developments, and infrastructure improvements. Brian received a Bachelor’s of Science degree in Civil Engineering and is a licensed professional engineer. He is a current member of commercial real estate organization NAIOP and is involved in its Developing Leaders program.

Kurt Browning
Partner

Kurt is a Principal of Titan Fund Management, and a partner of Titan Development. Kurt specializes in strategic planning, investment underwriting, entitlements, and equity/debt management. He also assists with sourcing equity. Kurt has experience across several asset classes over 25 years, overseeing $750M in total development. Kurt will join the NAIOP National Board in 2020. Kurt received his Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering from Texas Tech University.

Ben F. Spencer
Partner

Ben is a Principal of Titan Fund Management, as well as founding partner of Titan Development. With over 30 years of experience, Ben specializes in real estate development and investment with a focus on deal structure and financial analysis. Ben worked in the Tax Division at Arthur Andersen & Co. and Industrial Division at Trammel Crow. Ben received his MBA from Southern Methodist University.
What is Class-A Multifamily?

Class-A properties are upscale apartments with high average rents, located in desirable areas, and tailored towards high earners. They include high-end amenities and interior/ exterior finishes. The goal is to create a resort-style living experience.
Broadstone Cottonwood
Albuquerque, NM
Class-A Interior Finishes

Luxurious interior finishes and detailing includes high-end fixtures, marble counter tops, and vinyl wooden floors. The spacious layouts appeal to modern sensibilities.
Class-A apartments are rented by individuals with high household incomes. Allaso High Desert will follow this trend.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class-A Apartments</th>
<th># Units</th>
<th>Avg. Rent</th>
<th>Avg. Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadstone Northpoint</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>$1,422</td>
<td>$121,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markena</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>$1,531</td>
<td>$135,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympus Encantada</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>$1,484</td>
<td>$124,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyStone Apartments</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>$1,345</td>
<td>$113,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altezza at High Desert</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>$1,362</td>
<td>$107,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Income

$120,413

COMPARABLE PROJECTS
Allaso High Desert Luxury Apartments

Resort-Style Living in the High Desert Neighborhood

Type: Class-A Multifamily
Units: 281
Height: 2-3 Story Building
Size: 16.05 AC

 Dwelling Units/Acre: 17.05 (Current Zoning: R-MH – Density restricted by design standards. Previous Zoning and Sector Plan allowed for 426 at 24 units/acre)
Jobs: 400 Construction / 8 Full-time
Project Elevations
Luxury Outdoor Amenities

Specific design features include:

- Pool/Spa
- Outdoor Grilling Area
- Putting Green
- Activity Area
- Fitness Center
- Fire Pit
- Outdoor Entertaining Area
- Clubhouse
# Unit Mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Stabilized Base Rent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1 BR/1BA</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>2 BR/2BA</td>
<td>$1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>3 BR/2BA</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhome 1</td>
<td>1 BR/1BA</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhome 2</td>
<td>2 BR/2BA</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhome 3</td>
<td>3 BR/2BA</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Units**
Community Amenities

As part of our commitment to the community, the project is proposing to enhance the neighborhood through a memorial park complete with amenities. Additionally, the project will create an improved pedestrian path connecting the site north to south and under Tramway to connect with the Ellena Gallegos Open Space.

The memorial park will feature the following amenities:

- Water fountains
- Dog water fountain
- Seating
- Shading
- Enhanced Landscaping
- Bicycle pump
Floodplain

- When Tramway was built in the 1980's, the drainage flows were confined to a smaller easement (in blue)
- The FEMA floodplain was not remapped in the 1980's, therefore the previous floodplain is on record (in red)
- This project will correct and fix the floodplain to be within the blue easement.
Box Culverts
Site Access
Views of Project from Surroundings
Memorandum

To: City Planning Staff

From: Jim Strozier, Consensus Planning, Inc.

Date: July 30, 2020

Re: Facilitated Neighborhood Meeting

The purpose of this memo is to provide a summary of the issues discussed at a facilitated meeting held on July 29, 2020 with representatives from the North Albuquerque Acres Community Association. The meeting was concerning the proposed Site Plan – EPC application for the Alaso High Desert Luxury Apartments, located at the north end of Tennyson north of Academy, west of Tramway and South of San Antonio. There were also several on-site meetings between the developer and interested neighbors prior to the facilitated meeting.

The meeting was facilitated and there will be a report prepared by the facilitator provided by the end of the week. The following is a list of topics discussed:

- Access
- Height and Density
- Lighting and Dark Sky Concerns
- Drainage
- Access to the existing culverts under Tramway
- Project and Public Amenities
SIGN POSTING AGREEMENT

REQUIREMENTS

POSTING SIGNS ANNOUNCING PUBLIC HEARINGS

All persons making application to the City under the requirements and procedures established by the Integrated Development Ordinance are responsible for the posting and maintaining of one or more signs on the property which is subject to the application, as shown in Table 6-1-1. Vacations of public rights-of-way (if the way has been in use) also require signs. Waterproof signs are provided at the time of application for a $10 fee per sign. If the application is mailed, you must still stop at the Development Services Front Counter to pick up the sign(s).

The applicant is responsible for ensuring that the signs remain posted throughout the 15-day period prior to any public meeting or hearing. Failure to maintain the signs during this entire period may be cause for deferral or denial of the application. Replacement signs for those lost or damaged are available from the Development Services Front Counter.

1. LOCATION
   A. The sign shall be conspicuously located. It shall be located within twenty feet of the public sidewalk (or edge of public street). Staff may indicate a specific location.
   B. The face of the sign shall be parallel to the street, and the bottom of the sign shall be at least two feet from the ground.
   C. No barrier shall prevent a person from coming within five feet of the sign to read it.

2. NUMBER
   A. One sign shall be posted on each paved street frontage. Signs may be required on unpaved street frontages.
   B. If the land does not abut a public street, then, in addition to a sign placed on the property, a sign shall be placed on and at the edge of the public right-of-way of the nearest paved City street. Such a sign must direct readers toward the subject property by an arrow and an indication of distance.

3. PHYSICAL POSTING
   A. A heavy stake with two crossbars or a full plywood backing works best to keep the sign in place, especially during high winds.
   B. Large headed nails or staples are best for attaching signs to a post or backing; the sign tears out less easily.

4. TIME
   Signs must be posted from ___________________________ To ___________________________

5. REMOVAL
   A. The sign is not to be removed before the initial hearing on the request.
   B. The sign should be removed within five (5) days after the initial hearing.

I have read this sheet and discussed it with the Development Services Front Counter Staff. I understand (A) my obligation to keep the sign(s) posted for (15) days and (B) where the sign(s) are to be located. I am being given a copy of this sheet.

[Signature]
(Applicant or Agent) 7/30/20
(Date)

I issued _____ signs for this application, ___________________________  ___________________________
(Date)  (Staff Member)

PROJECT NUMBER: ___________________________
Dear Applicant,

Please find the neighborhood contact information listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Association Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Address Line 1</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>Mobile Phone</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District 8 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>Ann</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dix.marv.an@gmail.com">dix.marv.an@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>13312 Malaguena Lane NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
<td>5057719919</td>
<td>5052710546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 8 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations</td>
<td>Donald</td>
<td>Couchman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dbc@sunet.com">dbc@sunet.com</a></td>
<td>6441 Cordova Road NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
<td>5052698335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Albuquerque Acres Community Association</td>
<td>Carol</td>
<td>Ambobo</td>
<td><a href="mailto:carol@swcp.com">carol@swcp.com</a></td>
<td>8931 Glendale Avenue NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87123</td>
<td>505743254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Albuquerque Acres Community Association</td>
<td>Doug</td>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fhercogdentist@gmail.com">fhercogdentist@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>9721 San Francisco NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
<td></td>
<td>5058569100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Run NA</td>
<td>Dean</td>
<td>Willingham</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dwillingham@reedw.com">dwillingham@reedw.com</a></td>
<td>11809 Iber Avenue NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
<td>5052602679</td>
<td>5052918906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Run NA</td>
<td>Alex</td>
<td>Robinson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:alexrobinson@comcast.net">alexrobinson@comcast.net</a></td>
<td>12033 Iber Avenue NE</td>
<td>Albuquerque</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
<td>5056109561</td>
<td>5052940473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You will need to e-mail each of the listed contacts and let them know that you are applying for a permit for your project. You can use this online link to find template language if you’re not sure what information you need to include in your e-mail: [https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/public-notice](https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/public-notice).

If your permit application or project requires a neighborhood meeting, you can click on this link to find template language to use in your e-mail notification: [https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/neighborhood-meeting-requirement-in-the-integrated-development-ordinance](https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/neighborhood-meeting-requirement-in-the-integrated-development-ordinance).

If you have questions about what type of notification is required for your particular project, please click on the link below to see a table of different types of projects and what notification is required for each:


Once you have e-mailed the contact individuals in each neighborhood, you will need to attach a copy of those e-mails AND a copy of this e-mail from the ONC to your permit application and submit it to the Planning Department for approval. PLEASE NOTE: The ONC does not have any jurisdiction over any other aspect of your permit application beyond the neighborhood contact information. We can’t answer questions about sign postings, pre-construction meetings, permit status, site plans, or project plans, so we encourage you to contact the Planning Department at: 505-924-3860 or visit: [https://www.cabq.gov/planning/online-planning-permitting-applications](https://www.cabq.gov/planning/online-planning-permitting-applications) with those types of questions.

If your permit or project requires a pre-application or pre-construction meeting, please plan on utilizing virtual platforms to the greatest extent possible and adhere to all current Public Health Orders and recommendations. The health and safety of the community is paramount.

Thanks,

Dalaina L. Carnes
Senior Administrative Assistant
Office of Neighborhood Coordination
Council Services Department
1 Civic Plaza NW, Suite 3009, 9th Floor
Albuquerque, NM 87102
505-768-3334
dalaina@cabq.gov or CityOfAlbq.gov
Website: [www.cabq.gov/neighborhoods](http://www.cabq.gov/neighborhoods)

Confidentiality Notice: This email, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any
From: webmaster@cabq.gov\mailto:webmaster@cabq.gov\On Behalf Of webmaster@cabq.gov
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2020 3:23 PM
To: Office of Neighborhood Coordination <onsense@consensusplanning.com>
Cc: Office of Neighborhood Coordination <onsense@cabq.gov>
Subject: Public Notice Inquiry Sheet Submission

Public Notice Inquiry For:
Environmental Planning Commission
If you selected "Other" in the question above, please describe what you are seeking a Public Notice Inquiry for below:
Contact Name
Michael Yes
Telephone Number
5657649901
Email Address
yes@consensusplanning.com
Company Name
Consensus Planning, Inc.
Company Address
302 8th Street NW
City
Albuquerque
State
NM
ZIP
87102
Legal description of the subject site for this project:
Tract 1, The Foothills
Physical address of subject site:
99999 Tramway Blvd NE
Subject site cross streets:
Terryson Street and San Antonio NE
Other subject site identifiers:
South of the identified intersection
This site is located on the following zone atlas page:
E-22

This message has been analyzed by Deep Discovery Email Inspector.
July 30, 2020

Dear Neighbors:

This letter is notification that Titan Property Management (Consensus Planning, Agent) has applied for a Site Plan – EPC and a Variance - EPC. Americus, LLC is the owner of the property.

The subject site consists of Tract 1, The Foothills at the end of Tennyson Street, north of Academy Road, south of San Antonio Drive, and west of Tramway Boulevard. It is 16.0519 acres in size. Titan is requesting approval of a Site Plan for a 281-unit multi-family residential development on the subject site. The primary buildings are 2- and 3-stories in building height and will be located between 75 and 100 feet from San Antonio Drive because of the overhead powerlines. No resident access will be allowed to San Antonio, and only a gated entry for emergency vehicles is provided, as discussed in the neighborhood meetings. In conjunction with the site plan, the Applicant is requesting approval of a Variance of 10 feet to the 15-foot minimum front setback on the south side of the property in order to construct the building located at the southeast corner as shown on the attached site plan.

The EPC Public Hearing for this application will be held on Thursday, September 10, 2020 starting at 8:40 AM. Due to the ongoing public health orders, this meeting will be in a virtual format via the Zoom software platform. The URL for joining via videoconference or call-in numbers for audio only are as follows:

Join Zoom Meeting: https://cabq.zoom.us/j/95170033733

Meeting ID: 951 7003 3733
One tap mobile
+13017158592,,95170033733# US (Germantown)
+13126266799,,95170033733# US (Chicago)

Dial by your location
+1 301 715 8592 US (Germantown)
+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 646 558 8656 US (New York)
+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)
+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

Meeting ID: 951 7003 3733
Find your local number: https://cabq.zoom.us/u/aeCzG8gSxI

The meeting agenda will be posted on the Planning Department website by the Friday before the hearing on September 4, 2020. Please visit http://www.cabq.gov/planning/boards-commissions/environmental-planning-commission/epc-agendas-reports-minutes to review the agenda and staff reports.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions, would like to meet, or desire any additional information.

Under the IDO, anyone may request, and the City may require an applicant to attend a City-sponsored facilitated meeting with Neighborhood Associations, based on the complexity and potential impacts of a proposed project (IDO Section 14-16-6-4(D)).
Visit: https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/facilitated-meetings-for-proposed-development/ to view and download the Facilitated Meetings Criteria. If you wish to request a Facilitated Meeting, please contact the Planning Department at (505) 924-3337.

Sincerely,

Jim Strozier, FAICP
Principal

Attached: Proposed Site Plan and Elevation Reductions
DUFFIELD DANIEL O & ZHANG
HONGJIUAN
PO BOX 14091
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87191-4091

\MERICANWEST CORPORATION C/O TANOAN
COMMUNITIES EAST
PO BOX 67590
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87193-7590

AMERICUS LLC
7736 JACOBO DR NE
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87109
HAMMOND VIRGINIA FAYE  
2148 BLACK WILLOW DR NE  
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87122

HIGH DESERT RESIDENTIAL OWNERS  
ASSOC INC C/O HOAMCO  
PO BOX 67590  
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87193

WAGNER DONETTE  
1711 QUAIL RUN CT NE  
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87122-1139
FOTTER MATTHEW S & ROBERTA M
2150 BLACK WILLOW DR NE
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87122-1013

WILLIAM E GALBRETH LAND
DEVELOPMENT CO LLC
4830 JUAN TABO BLVD NE SUITE H
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87111

PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH
7171 TENNSYON NE
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87122
ALLEGRO APARTMENTS LLC C/O DINAPOLI CAPITAL PARTNERS LLC
3021 CITRUS CIR SUITE 130
WALNUT CREEK CA 94598-2692

SWEDBERG JODY CRYSTAL CALL
12411 SAN ANTONIO DR NE
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87122

Carol Ambabo
NAACA
8921 Glendale Avenue NE
Albuquerque, NM 87122
Dean Willingham
Antelope Run NA
11809 Ibex Avenue NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Alex Robinson
Antelope Run NA
12033 Ibex Avenue NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Doug Cloud
NAACA
9721 San Francisco NE
Albuquerque, NM 87122
Mary Ann Dix
District 8 Coalition
11312 Malguena Lane NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111

Donald Couchman
District 8 Coalition
6441 Concordia Road NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111
Dear Neighbors:

This email is notification that Titan Property Management (Consensus Planning, Agent) has applied for a Site Plan – EPC and a Variance - EPC. Americus, LLC is the owner of the property. For those of you who met with the team either on site or via the facilitated Zoom meeting yesterday afternoon, thank you for your comments and questions, and we look forward to continuing to work with you as this project moves forward.

The subject site consists of Tract 1, The Foothills at the end of Tennyson Street, north of Academy Road, south of San Antonio Drive, and west of Tramway Boulevard. It is 16.0519 acres in size. Titan is requesting approval of a Site Plan for a 281-unit multi-family residential development on the subject site. The primary buildings are 2- and 3-stories in building height and will be located approximately 75 and 100 feet from San Antonio Drive because of the overhead powerlines. No resident vehicular access will be allowed to San Antonio, and only a gated entry for emergency vehicles is provided, as discussed in the neighborhood meetings. In conjunction with the Site Plan, the Applicant is requesting approval of a Variance of 10 feet to the 15-foot minimum front setback on the south side of the property in order to construct the building located at the southeast corner as shown on the attached site plan.

The EPC Public Hearing for this application will be held on Thursday, September 10, 2020 starting at 8:40 AM. Due to the ongoing public health orders, this meeting will be in a virtual format via the Zoom software platform. The URL for joining via videoconference or call-in numbers for audio only are as follows:

Join Zoom Meeting: [https://cabq.zoom.us/j/95170033733](https://cabq.zoom.us/j/95170033733)

Meeting ID: 951 7003 3733

One tap mobile
+13017158592,,95170033733# US (Germantown)
+13126266799,,95170033733# US (Chicago)

Dial by your location
+1 301 715 8592 US (Germantown)
+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 646 558 8656 US (New York)
+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)
+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

Meeting ID: 951 7003 3733

Find your local number: [https://cabq.zoom.us/u/aeCz68gsx](https://cabq.zoom.us/u/aeCz68gsx)
The meeting agenda will be posted on the Planning Department website by the Friday before the hearing on September 4, 2020. Please visit http://www.cabq.gov/planning/boards-commissions/environmental-planning-commission/epc-agendas-reports-minutes to review the agenda and staff reports.

Please do not hesitate to contact us or members of the Titan Development team if you have any questions, would like to meet, or desire any additional information.

Under the IDO, anyone may request, and the City may require an applicant to attend a City-sponsored facilitated meeting with Neighborhood Associations, based on the complexity and potential impacts of a proposed project (IDO Section 14-16-6-4(D)). Visit: https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/facilitated-meetings-for-proposed-development/ to view and download the Facilitated Meetings Criteria. If you wish to request a Facilitated Meeting, please contact the Planning Department at (505) 924-3337.

Sincerely,

Michael Vos, AICP
CONSSENSUS PLANNING, INC.
302 Eighth Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
phone (505) 784-9801
vos@consensusplanning.com
SITE PLAN CHECKLIST

Project #: PR-2020-004086 Application #: ________________________________

This checklist will be used to verify the completeness of site plans submitted for review by the Planning Department. Because development proposals vary in type and scale, there may be submittal requirements that are not specified here. Also there may additional requirements if a site is located in CPO, HPO, and/or VPO or if located in DT-UC-MS or PT areas. See the IDO or AGIS for boundaries. Nonetheless, applicants are responsible for providing a complete submittal. Certification as specified below is required.

I CERTIFY THAT THE SUBMITTED SITE PLAN IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE, AND THAT ALL APPLICABLE INFORMATION AS SPECIFIED IN THIS CHECKLIST IS PROVIDED. FURTHER, I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS APPLICATION IS BEING ACCEPTED PROVISIONALLY AND THAT INACCURATE AND/OR INCOMPLETE INFORMATION MAY RESULT IN THE SUBSEQUENT REJECTION OF THE APPLICATION OR IN A DELAY OF ONE MONTH OR MORE IN THE DATE THE APPLICATION IS SCHEDULED FOR PUBLIC HEARING. 

[Signature] 7/30/20

Applicant or Agent Signature / Date

Site plan packets shall be composed of the following plan sheets (unless otherwise approved in writing prior to submittal by the Planning Department):

1. Site Plan (including utilities and easements)
2. Landscaping Plan
3. Grading and Drainage Plan
4. Utility Plan
5. Building and Structure Elevations
6. Previously approved Development Plan (if applicable)

The electronic format must be organized in the above manner.

The following checklist describes the minimum information necessary for each plan element. The Applicant must include all checklist items on their site plan drawings and confirm inclusion by checking off the items below. Non-applicable items must be labeled “N/A.” Each non-applicable designation must be explained by notation on the Checklist.

NOTE: There may be additional information required if site is located with a CPO, VPO or HPO and/or any other special areas as defined by the IDO.

NOTE: If there requests for deviations (Section 14-16-6-4(O), they must be clearly labelled on the site plan (Sheet 1) as well as addressed in the application letter made with the submittal.

 SHEET #1 - SITE PLAN

A. General Information

X 1. Date of drawing and/or last revision

X 2. Scale: 1.0 acre or less 1" = 10'
    1.0 - 5.0 acres 1" = 20'
    Over 5 acres 1" = 50'
    Over 20 acres 1" = 100'
SITE PLAN CHECKLIST

3. Bar scale
4. North arrow
5. Legend
6. Scaled vicinity map
7. Property lines (clearly identify)
8. Existing and proposed easements (identify each)
9. Phases of development, if applicable

B. Proposed Development

1. Structural
   A. Location of existing and proposed structures (distinguish between existing & proposed) and include any accessory structures
   B. Square footage of each structure
   C. Proposed use of each structure
   D. Signs (freestanding) and other improvements
   E. Walls, fences, and screening: indicate height, length, color and materials
   F. Dimensions of all principal site elements or typical dimensions
   G. Loading facilities
   H. Site lighting (indicate height & fixture type)
   I. Indicate structures within 20 feet of site
   J. Elevation drawing of refuse container and enclosure, if applicable.
   K. Existing zoning/land use of all abutting properties

2. Parking, Loading and Internal Circulation
   A. Parking layout with spaces numbered per aisle and totaled.
      1. Location and typical dimensions, including motorcycle spaces, bicycle spaces, ADA accessible spaces, and compact spaces
      2. Calculations: spaces required and proposed (include any reduction calculations) for motorcycle, bicycle, compact and ADA spaces
      3. On street parking spaces
   B. Bicycle parking & facilities
      1. Bicycle racks – location and detail
      2. Other bicycle facilities, if applicable
   C. Vehicular Circulation (Refer to DPM and IDO)
      1. Ingress and egress locations, including width and curve radii dimensions
      2. Drive aisle locations, including width and curve radii dimensions
      3. End aisle locations, including width and curve radii dimensions
      4. Location & orientation of refuse enclosure, with dimensions
      5. Loading, service area, and refuse service locations and dimensions
   D. Pedestrian Circulation
      1. Location and dimensions of all sidewalks and pedestrian paths (including ADA connection from ROW to building and from ADA parking to building)
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
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Project: Pre-application; application to be submitted to EPC and then to DRB
Property Description/Address: Allaso High Desert Luxury Apartments, located west of Tramway on Tennyson
Date Submitted: July 30, 2020; Amended version submitted 8/4/20.
Submitted By: Philip Crump and Jocelyn M. Torres
Meeting Date/Time: July 29, 2020, 4:00 – 5:30 PM
Meeting Location: Via Zoom
Facilitator: Philip Crump
Co-facilitator: Jocelyn M. Torres
Applicant: Titan Development (Titan)
Agent: Consensus Planning

Background/Meeting Summary:

Titan is submitting applications with the CABQ Environmental Protection Commission (EPC) and Development Review Board (DRB) to develop Luxury Apartments, with full amenities, and 281 units for this 16.05-acre site.
Titan representatives provided details of the proposed project in a lengthy PowerPoint description; much of the information below is taken from that presentation.
The resident gated access route would face south on the Tennyson cul-de-sac. There would be four additional south facing gates that exit through the main Tennyson gate. The northern access would be used solely by emergency and fire vehicles. AMAFCA also seeks northern access.
Titan intends to construct a 12’x 12’ cross-section box culvert, spanning from east to west across the existing arroyo, to control water discharge and accommodate service vehicles. The roadway and a parking lot would be located above the culvert, with no buildings to be constructed above the culvert.
The average rent is planned to be $1,650 per unit, with an anticipated average resident income of $120,413. The apartment complex is to be fully landscaped, with townhouses and up to three story apartments. The project area borders the Allegro Apartments and does not border existing single-family neighborhoods.
Amenities are to include: Pool/Spa; Outdoor Grilling; Area Putting Green; Activity Area; Fitness Center; Fire Pit; Outdoor Entertaining; Area Clubhouse; walking and biking trails connecting to the Elena Gallegos Open Space. A memorial park will feature: water fountains; dog water fountain; seating; shading; enhanced landscaping; and bicycle pump.
Neighborhood concerns include: heavy traffic on Tennyson; potential San Antonio access; lighting under the Dark Sky Ordinance; flood control; and wildlife.

Outcomes:

- **Areas of Agreement:**
  - Available participants had a site visit.
  - Participants agreed to meet via Zoom.
  - Participants said they were encouraged by Titan Development’s site visits and transparency.
  - Titan notified participants that it will file its EPC application on July 30, 2020.
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE  
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- The DRB application will then be filed per applicable timelines.
- The neighborhood will be notified of application submittals and hearing dates per the CABQ IDO.

- Titan will address action items.
- Neighbors did not state opposition to the proposed development.

- Unresolved Issues & Concerns:
  - Neighbors seek to remain informed of application submittals and hearing dates.
  - Titan will provide neighbors notice of action item completion.

Meeting Specifics:

1) Introduction.

Facilitator: Philip Crump’s email address is: phcrumpsf@gmail.com. Philip asked that those in attendance email their name, affiliation and contact email for the meeting record and to receive a report. Philip Crump and Jocelyn M. Torres are neutral facilitators for the City of Albuquerque. Titan Development Directors Josh Rogers and Brian Patterson and Partner Kurt Browning attended the meeting and spoke regarding Titan’s background and the planned development. Neighborhood names, affiliations and contact information provided by participants are listed in this report.

2) Titan’s Background,

a) Kurt Browning stated that Titan was founded in 1999. It is based in ABQ, NM and has handled several Class A multi-family developments including: Highlands North; Broadstone Northpoint; Broadstone Promenade; Broadstone Santa Monica; and Broadstone Cottonwood.
   i) Titan seeks neighborhood consensus prior to constructing new developments.
   ii) Titan’s prior developments have not been opposed.

b) Development Director Josh Rogers grew up in North Albuquerque Acres and Brian Patterson currently lives just outside of the NAA boundary.

3) Traffic and San Antonio Access.

a) The only public entrance for residents and other traffic is to be located on the south side of the property facing the Tennyson cul-de-sac and Allegro Apartments.

b) The northern access on San Antonio is only for emergency and fire vehicles.

c) AMAFCA seeks permission to access the property from the northern gate.

d) The northern gate would likely be solid.

e) Per trip generation data, Tennyson’s current morning traffic peak is 74 cars and its evening traffic peak is 78 cars.

f) Because of the four-gate design for residents entering or exiting the complex, one public entrance is optimal; hence, a northern gate is neither necessary nor contemplated as public access.
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
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g) There will never be a roadway from north to south between San Antonio and Tennyson for the following reasons:
   i) Titan does not plan for this type of roadway within this development as to protect North Albuquerque Acres.
   ii) The land’s elevation and topography make this type of roadway impossible to construct.

h) Broadstone Cottonwood, which has nearly the same number of apartment units, only has one public access gate and has not had reported traffic problems to date.

i) Antelope Run residents have requested a pedestrian crosswalk between Tennyson and the Academy Albertsons, which Titan will pursue approval with the City of Albuquerque and fund the improvements.

4) Apartment Capacity and Appearance.

   a) The 281 apartments and townhouses would include two and three-story buildings.
   b) Allaso High Desert’s design does allow for greater density, and the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) would allow up to 321 units, but Titan has not maximized the density and has left it at 281 units. The original sector development plan for this area allowed for 426 units.
   c) The highest building elevation would be 38 feet, although the IDO allows for a building height of 45 feet.
   d) The building design would follow Northern New Mexico architecture.
   e) The development would include earth tone colors allowed by the IDO.

5) Lighting and Dark Sky Ordinance.

   a) Neighbors expressed lighting concerns, that excess light would be seen beyond the project boundaries.
      i) Titan’s design accommodates for appropriate lighting criteria.
      ii) Titan will conduct further lighting studies as part of the DRB process.
      iii) Titan will consider constructing either a six-foot wall, three-foot solid with three-foot view fence combination, and/or vegetative screening where necessary, and in such locations as to prevent vehicle headlights from shining outside the property as the IDO allows.
         (1) Of particular concern would be persons on the Pino Dam to the west observing balloons or fireworks, or residents of the Allegro Apartments to the south.
      iv) Titan will comply with the Dark Sky Ordinance.
      v) There are no south-facing parking lots alongside the Allegro Apartments.

6) Utility Distribution Realignment.

   a) Titan, with the current property owner, will realign and reconstruct existing utility poles to follow the distribution line depicted in its Powerlines Exhibit provided to meeting participants to remove the eyesore from all the existing powerlines.
   b) Utility structures and distribution lines will remain within existing utility easements.
7) **Flood Control.**

a) When Tramway was built and the existing box culverts were installed, the floodplain was realigned and reduced. The arroyo floodplain was not aligned or mapped correctly when Tramway was constructed.

b) FEMA therefore requires that the existing floodplain be realigned and remapped.

c) A LOMR submittal to FEMA would have officially corrected the floodplain but this was never done.

d) A CLOMR has already been approved by FEMA and once these drainage improvements are built, Titan will submit the LOMR to FEMA. *FEMA, AMAFCA and the City of Albuquerque Flood Plain Administrator approved the CLOMR in 2016 and 2017.*

e) Drainage improvements include:
   i) 250' open channel transition section with maintenance road access
   ii) ~500' of 12'x12' box culverts

f) Because of the topography, elevation and box culvert design, it is extremely unlikely that flooding will ever be a problem in this development.

g) As part of the DRB process, Titan will submit a Grading and Drainage Plan which is fairly consistent with the approved CLOMR that FEMA already approved.

8) **Views.**

a) Because buildings would sit low on this site, they would not obstruct Sandia Mountain views.

b) Existing topography grades, sloping downward from east to west, will mostly be maintained.

c) Buildings would therefore drop in elevation from east to west.

9) **Wildlife.**

a) Neighbors expressed concerns about wildlife.
   i) The box culvert is to be open on both ends facing east and west.
      (1) The east side of the culvert would exit onto the Elena Gallegos open space.
      (2) Wildlife could travel freely through the culvert.
   ii) The design does not pose a risk to Wildlife being trapped within the culvert.
   iii) Titan does not expect that this development would be harmful to wildlife.

10) **Construction Timelines and Development Jobs.**

a) Titan plans to complete the EPC and DRB application and approval process as of the Fall of 2020.

b) It plans to close on the property and have groundbreaking in January of 2021.

c) It expects that the construction process will take two years.

d) This development will provide 400 construction and eight full time jobs.
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11) Administrative Timeline.  

   a) Neighbors requested notice of applications and administrative hearings.  
      i) Titan stated that notice will be sent to the neighborhood per CABQ IDO requirements.  
      ii) Neighbors may also check in with CABQ and Titan regarding the administrative  
            process and timelines.  

   Next Steps and Action Plan:  

   - Titan will complete time-specified action items.  
   - EPC application will be submitted July 30, 2020.  
   - **As an update, the EPC hearing is scheduled for September 10th, 2020.**  
   - Neighbors will receive notice of the EPC application and hearing.  
   - DRB application will be submitted.  
   - DRB will require lighting and drainage plans.  
   - DRB hearing is expected to occur in October 2020.  
   - Neighbors will receive notice of the DRB application and hearing.  

   Action Items:  

   - Titan will notify neighbors of EPC and DRB applications and hearings as per CABQ IDO  
     requirements.  
   - Titan invites neighbors to inquire into the development process as necessary.  
   - The FEMA floodplain process will take approximately nine months to complete.  
   - If approved, the development is anticipated to commence in approximately January of  
     2021, and take approximately two years to complete.  
   - Titan intends to continue its tradition of transparency and communication with neighbors  
     regarding this development process.  

   Application Hearing Details:  

   - No applications were filed as of July 29, 2020.  
   - There are no scheduled hearings.  
   - Titan will notify neighbors of future applications and hearings per the CABQ IDO.  

Meeting Adjourned.
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
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Names & Affiliations of Attendees:
John L Wilson        Antelope Run NA
Betty Kay Wilson     Antelope Run NA
Alex Robinson        Antelope Run NA
Dean Willingham      Antelope Run NA
Steven Shackley      North Albq Acres CA
Carol Ambabo         North Albq Acres CA
Doug Cloud           North Albq Acres CA
Josh Rogers          Titan Development
Kurt Browning        Titan Development
Brian Patterson      Titan Development
Michael Vos          Consensus Planning

NOTE: 21 people signed into Zoom meeting,
though not all provided their names and affiliations.

The Zoom meeting was recorded and can be viewed here:

https://us02web.zoom.us/rec/share/u-l4Jp7t9kplcK_xtHPYevETM9jreaa82nBMrqBen0jpM5btgsBljF032GlqDO0R
Password: 6^jz9E9c
July 30, 2020
Property Owner:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Notice is hereby given that the City of Albuquerque Environmental Planning Commission will hold a Public Hearing on Thursday, September 10, 2020 at 8:40 a.m. to consider the following items. Due to the ongoing public health orders, this meeting will be in a virtual format via the Zoom software platform. The URL for joining via videoconference or call-in numbers for audio only are as follows:

Join Zoom Meeting: https://cabq.zoom.us/j/95170033733

Meeting ID: 951 7003 3733
One tap mobile
+13017158592,,95170033733# US (Germantown)
+13126266799,,95170033733# US (Chicago)

Dial by your location
  +1 301 715 8592 US (Germantown)
  +1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
  +1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
  +1 646 558 8656 US (New York)
  +1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)
  +1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)
Meeting ID: 951 7003 3733
Find your local number: https://cabq.zoom.us/u/aeCzG8gSx

EPC RULES OF CONDUCT OF BUSINESS

A copy of the Rules of Conduct is posted on the Planning Department’s website at http://www.cabq.gov/planning/boards-commissions/environmental-planning-commission and printed copies are available in the Planning Department office on the third floor of the Plaza del Sol Building, 600 Second Street NW. For more information, please contact Russell Brito, Current Planning Division Manager, at (505) 924-3337 or at rbrito@cabq.gov.

Staff reports and supplemental materials will be posted on the City website, http://www.cabq.gov/planning/boards-commissions/environmental-planning-commission/epc-agendas-reports-minutes, on Friday, September 4, 2020.

REQUEST

Titan Property Management, LLC (Agent: Consensus Planning) is requesting approval of a Site Plan – EPC and a Variance – EPC. Americus, LLC is the current owner of the property.

The subject site consists of Tract 1, The Foothills located at the end of Tennyson Street north of Academy Road, south of San Antonio Drive, and west of Tramway Boulevard, containing 16.0519 acres. The Applicant is requesting approval of a Site Plan for a 281-unit garden-style multi-family residential development on the subject site. The primary buildings will be 2 and 3-stories in height and access will be from Tennyson on the south side of the property. Emergency access only will be provided to San Antonio and buildings will be located 75 to 100 feet away from the San Antonio right-of-way due to the overhead powerlines. In addition, the Applicant is requesting approval of a Variance of 10 feet to the 15-foot front setback requirement in order to place the proposed building at the southeast corner of the property as shown on the attached site plan.

If you have questions or need additional information regarding this request feel free to contact me at (505) 764-9801 or Russell Brito with the City of Albuquerque Planning Department at (505) 924-3337 or at rbrito@cabq.gov.

Sincerely,
Michael Vos, Senior Planner
Consensus Planning, Inc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allegro Apartments LLC C/O</td>
<td>3021 Citrus Cir Suite 130, Walnut Creek, CA</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da Vito Capital Partners LLC</td>
<td>3021 Citrus Cir Suite 130, Walnut Creek, CA</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budianto-Ho Irene A &amp; Ho Jungseok</td>
<td>2147 Black Willow Dr NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fotter Matthew S &amp; Roberta M</td>
<td>2150 Black Willow Dr NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protestant Episcopal Church</td>
<td>7171 Tennyson NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond Virginia Faye</td>
<td>2148 Black Willow Dr NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duffield Daniel O &amp; Zhang</td>
<td>104091 Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Desert Residential Owners</td>
<td>104091 Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William E Galbreth Land</td>
<td>4850 Juan Tabo Blvd NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyatt Jerry O &amp; Margaret G</td>
<td>1702 Dewberry Brook CT, Humble, TX</td>
<td>Humble</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>77345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Ambabo</td>
<td>8921 Glendale Avenue NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Cloud</td>
<td>9721 San Francisco NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean Willingham</td>
<td>11809 Ibex Avenue NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Robinson</td>
<td>12033 Ibex Avenue NE, Albuq</td>
<td>Albuq</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>87111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SITE PLAN REDUCTIONS