

NEIGHBORHOOD INFORMATION

Rumpf, Linda

From: Rumpf, Linda
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2020 11:53 AM
To: Dan Regan; Maden, Shawn M.; Bassan, Brook
Cc: Emillio, Dawn Marie; 'Jim Griffiee'; peggyd333@yahoo.com; Mildred Griffiee; 'Courtney McKelvey'; 'Jim Harvey'; 'rmtarin@comcast.net'; Rumpf, Linda
Subject: RE: Facility Plan at EPC - Pr-2020-004467

Thank you for taking the time to comment.



LINDA RUMPF
planner
e lrumpf@cabq.gov
cabq.gov/planning

From: Dan Regan <dlreganabq@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2020 11:11 AM
To: Rumpf, Linda <lrumpf@cabq.gov>; Maden, Shawn M. <smaden@cabq.gov>; Bassan, Brook <bbassan@cabq.gov>
Cc: Emillio, Dawn Marie <dawnmarie@cabq.gov>; 'Jim Griffiee' <jgriffiee@noreste.org>; peggyd333@yahoo.com; Mildred Griffiee <sect.dist4@gmail.com>; 'Courtney McKelvey' <cork.mckelvey@gmail.com>; 'Dan Regan' <dlreganabq@gmail.com>; 'Jim Harvey' <Kupona1@msn.com>; 'rmtarin@comcast.net' <rmtarin@comcast.net>
Subject: RE: Facility Plan at EPC - Pr-2020-004467

External

Linda,

Thanks for this information. I found the “Facility Plan” in the Definitions section of the IDO and can relate to the “normally cover only one ty[r of utility or public facility such as electric facilities or Major Public Open Space” that appears there.

And I understand the need to maintain “language” that maps to legal documents.....but, to not be calling things by their most accurate names could well be the first steps on the path to obfuscation, confusion and the ability to mislead others as to what is being considered as public meetings are being held. NOTE: I am not suggesting that these nefarious purposes are occurring, but calling things by inaccurate names can make one wonder about what’s going on.

Thanks Dan R.

From: Rumpf, Linda [<mailto:lrumpf@cabq.gov>]
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2020 9:39 AM
To: Maden, Shawn M. <smaden@cabq.gov>
Cc: dlreganabq@gmail.com; Rumpf, Linda <lrumpf@cabq.gov>
Subject: RE: Facility Plan at EPC - Pr-2020-004467

Hi, Dan,
The term 'Facility Plan' comes from the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO).

Thanks,
Linda



LINDA RUMPF
planner
[e lrumpf@cabq.gov](mailto:lrumpf@cabq.gov)
cabq.gov/planning

From: Maden, Shawn M.
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2020 9:19 AM
To: Rumpf, Linda <lrumpf@cabq.gov>
Subject: Fw: Facility Plan at EPC - Pr-2020-004467

Attached is an email I received from a Neighborhood Association regarding the project (at the bottom) and my reply.

SHAWN MADEN
cip strategic program manager
o 505.768.3616
m 505.264.3717
cabq.gov/dmd

From: Maden, Shawn M.
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2020 9:11 AM
To: Dan Regan; Bassan, Brook; Emillio, Dawn Marie
Cc: 'Jim Griffie'; peggyd333@yahoo.com; Mildred Griffie; 'Courtney McKelvey'; 'Jim Harvey'; 'rmtarin@comcast.net'
Subject: Re: Facility Plan at EPC - Pr-2020-004467

The Facility Plan is the entire 2020 GO Bond Program and 2021-2030 Decade Plan that you mention. The Community Facilities portion are the projects being presented by the Departments considered as Community Facilities, which include Senior Affairs, Cultural Services, Family & Community Services, etc. We have the separated online to make it easier for people to see individual areas they may be more interested in, as well as the entirety of the program.

For your second question, I do not know, but I will ask. Many of the dates are established by Ordinance, such as EPC in November and Council in January.

EPC Classifies it as a Facility Plan, for reasons I am unsure of but will ask. Community Services may be a more accurate listing, but for this project we used the terminology and classification that City Council used when they passed R-19-219. They group those projects/Departments under Community Facilities, and using common language is key when trying to ensure we're meeting and operating under legal obligations.

EPC may comment on every section of the program they wish, and those comments, along with public comment, will be compiled and passed along to the Mayor. EPC does not have the inherent power to force any changes, the findings are non-binding, although the comments made by the Committee, Public Groups, and outside Agencies are very valuable and considered highly. The program still must go to Council on January 4th, and they may request changes, as the program requires their approval to move forward, this may require several sessions and negotiations between Council and Administration. They also must hold a public meeting of the GO Bond Program, so there are several steps for public input and several routes to provide that input: through EPC, CIP, or your Councilor.

I apologize that the provided booklet is not the most self-explanatory; it is designed mostly to meet the process for EPC. For a better example of what the final product is about, you may wish to look at the 2019 GO Bond Program. That is the process after negotiation and approval from Council and Administration, and represented the final product presented to the voters.

Thank you for your questions, I hope I have answered most of them!

SHAWN MADEN

cip strategic program manager

o 505.768.3616

cabq.gov/dmd

From: Dan Regan <dlreganabq@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, November 1, 2020 1:34 PM

To: Maden, Shawn M.; Bassan, Brook; Emillio, Dawn Marie

Cc: 'Jim Griffee'; peggyd333@yahoo.com; Mildred Griffee; 'Courtney McKelvey'; 'Dan Regan'; 'Jim Harvey'; 'rmtarin@comcast.net'

Subject: Facility Plan at EPC - Pr-2020-004467

External

Shawn,

I am in receipt of your 10/27/20 Notice of the Facility Plan being submitted to EPC to be heard by the EPC on Nov. 12, 2020 (attached). I have a number of questions and an observation or maybe two.

Questions:

- Is the “Facility Plan” being presented to the EPC any different than the “Community Facilities” from page 26 to page 50 of the 2021-2030 Decade Plan for C.I. & 2020 GO Bond Program? If what the EPC will be reviewing is different from these pages, please advise along with the differences.
- Has anyone done any study on the lack of attention span of all participants with a schedule that starts with the CIP committee in July 2020, Submission to EPC in late Sept. 2020, EPC Public Hearing in mid Nov. 2020, City Council Review early Jan. 2020 and none of it is voted on by the public until early Nov. 2021? Efficacy & efficiency would seem to suffer in this extended & protracted 15 month process.
- Why is this effort called a “Facility Plan” and why is the Agenda item termed “Community Facilities” when every item listed under “Community Facilities” is, in fact, a service or program provided by the City for its citizens? And the entities called out in the listing appears to be an Office, Program, Department or Service? Might “Community Services” be a more apt title for all of these City entities?
- What are the limits of what the EPC can change or comment on in their review on 11/12/20?

Observations:

- If I am a “typically informed” neighborhood leader (who came on board with any of this stuff in 2017), this is my “first rodeo” with a Decade Plan for C.I.....meaning, I have no idea how all of this works at a functional level. I also don’t know if that matters to this process at large, but I kind of think that if most every other neighborhood leader is in the same shape, that won’t be good in the long run.
- Your 10/27/20 letter pointed me to a 122 page document that is not the most self-explanatory document with some charts that sorta make sense but are not intuitively clear. I only “think” I might understand what some of them mean.

Thanks for your attention to all of the above. I await your response to any or all of it.

Dan Regan
 Knapp Heights Neighborhood Association, President
 District 4 Coalition, Zoning & Development Committee, Chair

=====
 This message has been analyzed by Deep Discovery Email Inspector.

=====
 This message has been analyzed by Deep Discovery Email Inspector.