



***Environmental
Planning Commission***

Staff Report

***Agenda Number: 5
Project #: PR-2022-007161
Case #: RZ-2022-00035
Hearing Date: August 18, 2022***

<i>Agent</i>	Consensus Planning Inc.
<i>Applicant</i>	City of Albuquerque
<i>Request</i>	Zoning Map Amendment – EPC
<i>Legal Description</i>	For all or a portion of Tract B Vacation and Replat comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3; Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive, and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos
<i>Location</i>	south of the AMAFCA drainage easement, and north of Bob McCannon Parkway
<i>Size</i>	Approximately 9.8 acres
<i>Existing Zoning</i>	R-1A/PD
<i>Proposed Zoning</i>	NR-PO-A

Staff Recommendation

APPROVAL of RZ-2022-00035, based on the Findings beginning on p.20.

***STAFF PLANNER
Leroy Duarte, Planner***

Summary of Analysis

The request is for a Zoning Map Amendment from R-1A and PD to NR-PO-A for an approximately 9.5-acre site.

The request was deferred at the August EPC hearing for 30 days due to the inadvertent omission of notification to an NA.

The applicant has adequately justified the request pursuant to the IDO zone change criteria in 14-16-6-7(G)(3). The proposed zoning would be more advantageous to the community overall because it furthers a preponderance of applicable Comprehensive Plan Goals and policies and there are no major conflicts.

The subject site is in an Area of Consistency and is not located on a Major Transit Corridor or in an Activity Center.

The affected neighborhood organizations are the Laurelwood Neighborhood Association (NA), Ladera Heights NA, San Blas HOA, Tres Volcanes NA, Las Lomitas NA, Rancho Encantado HOA, The Courtyards NA, Villa De Paz HOA, Quaker Heights NA, Westside Coalition of NA, Rinconada Point Association Incorporated, Taylor Ranch NA, SR Marmon NA, St Joseph's Townhouse Association, Petroglyphs Management Association which were notified. Property owners within 100 feet of the subject site were also notified as required. As of this writing, Staff has not been contacted and there is no known opposition.

Staff recommends approval.



Table of Contents

I. Introduction.....9

II. Analysis of Applicable Ordinances, Plans, and Policies.....10

III. Agency and Neighborhood Concerns.....18


IV. Conclusion.....19


Findings and Recommendation.....20

Agency Comments.....26

Attachments

- 2-Photographs – Existing Conditions
- 3-History
- 4-Zoning
- 5-Applicant Information
- 6-Staff Information
- 7-Notification





IDO ZONING MAP

Note: Gray shading
Indicates County.



1 inch = 300 feet

Hearing Date:

7/21/2022

Project Number:


PR-2022-007161

Case Numbers:

RZ-2022-00035

Zone Atlas Page:

G-10



LAND USE MAP

Note: Gray shading
Indicates County.

Key to Land Use Abbreviations	APRT Airport
LDRES Low-density Residential	TRANS Transportation
MULT Multi-family	AGRI Agriculture
COMM Commercial Retail	PARK Parks and Open Space
CMSV Commercial Services	DRNG Drainage
OFC Office	VAC Vacant
IND Industrial	UTIL Utilities
INSMED Institutional / Medical	CMTY Community
ED Educational	KAFB Kirtland Air Force Base



1 inch = 300 feet

Hearing Date:
7/21/2022



Project Number:

PR-2022-007161

Case Numbers:

RZ-2022-00035

Zone Atlas Page:
G-10



HISTORY MAP

Note: Gray shading
Indicates County.




1 inch = 300 feet

Hearing Date:
7/21/2022

Project Number:
PR-2022-007161

Case Numbers:
RZ-2022-00035

Zone Atlas Page:
G-10



Public Facilities Map with One-Mile Buffer

- | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Community Center | Fire | Public School | Landfill designated by EHD |
| Multi-Service Center | Police | Proposed Bike Facilities | Landfill Buffer (1000-feet) |
| Senior Center | Sheriff | ABQ Ride Route | Developed City Park |
| Library | Solid Waste | Albuquerque City Limits | Undeveloped City Park |
| Museum | | | Developed County Park |
| | | | Undeveloped County Park |

I. INTRODUCTION

Surrounding zoning, plan designations, and land uses:

	<i>Zoning</i>	<i>Comprehensive Plan Area</i>	<i>Land Use</i>
<i>Site</i>	R-1A and PD	Area of Consistency	Vacant
<i>North</i>	R-1A	Area of Consistency	Low-density Residential
<i>South</i>	NR-BP	Area of Consistency	Vacant
<i>East</i>	R-1B	Area of Consistency	Low-density Residential
<i>West</i>	R-1B	Area of Consistency	Low-density Residential

Request

The request is for a Zoning Map Amendment for an approximately 9.8-acre site legally described as all or a portion of Tract B Vacation and Replat comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3; Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive, and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos (“the subject site”).

The subject site is currently zoned R-1A (the northern parcel) and PD (the southern parcel). The applicant requests a Zoning Map Amendment from R-1A/PD to NR-PO-A (Non-residential – Park and Open Space, Sub-zone A: City-owned or Managed Parks Zone District). The subject site would be managed by the city of Albuquerque.

At its July 21, 2022 hearing, the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) voted to defer the request for a month to the August 18, 2022 hearing due to the inadvertent omission of a neighborhood organization from the official list of neighborhood contacts. Since then, the applicant has completed the required notification (see attachments).

EPC Role

The EPC is hearing this case because the EPC is required to hear all zone change cases, regardless of site size, in the City. The EPC is the final decision-making body unless the EPC decision is appealed. If so, the Land Use Hearing Officer (LUHO) would hear the appeal and make a recommendation to the City Council. The City Council would make then make the final decision. The request is a quasi-judicial matter.

Context

The subject site is currently vacant and bounded by low-density residential development. The approximately 9.8-acre site is located south of the AMAFCA drainage easement, and north of Bob McCannon Parkway

The subject site is surrounded by low-density residential development to the north, east and west. South of the site lies vacant land. The AMAFCA Drainage Easement lies to the North as well.

History

The subject site was annexed into the City in 1980 (Ordinance dated 12-13-1980) as part of the larger El Rancho Atrisco Subdivision. No other history records could be located.

Roadway System

The Long-Range Roadway System (LRRS) map, produced by the Mid-Region Metropolitan Region Planning Organization (MRMPO), identifies the functional classification of roadways.

The LRRS map classifies Bob McCannon Parkway as a Major Collector Street.

Comprehensive Plan Designations

The subject site is not located within any Centers or along any Corridors as designated by the Comprehensive Plan.

The subject site is part of the West Mesa Community Planning Area (CPA). The West Mesa CPA is bounded by I-40 to the south, the Rio Grande to the east, and Montaño Road to the north, this area developed primarily as residential subdivisions, with commercial activity along Coors Boulevard and is known for its spectacular views to the volcanoes and the Sandia Mountains, it is characterized by its proximity to the Northwest Mesa Escarpment, Petroglyph National Monument, and the Bosque.

Trails/Bikeways

The Long-Range Bikeway System (LRBS) map, produced by the Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MRMPO) identifies existing and proposed routes and trails.

Bob McCannon Parkway has existing bike lanes along its corridor.

Transit

Transit currently does not serve this area.

Public Facilities/Community Services

Please refer to the Public Facilities Map, which shows public facilities and community services located within one mile of the subject site.

II. ANALYSIS of APPLICABLE ORDINANCES, PLANS, AND POLICIES

Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO)

Definitions

Adjacent: Those properties that are abutting or separated only by a street, alley, trail, or utility easement, whether public or private.

Low-density Residential Development: Properties with residential development of any allowable land use in the Household Living category in Table 4-2-1 other than multi-family dwellings. Properties with small community residential facilities are also considered low-density residential development. Properties that include other uses accessory to residential primary uses are still considered low-density residential development for the purposes of this IDO. See also Dwelling Definitions for Dwelling, Cluster Development; Dwelling, Cottage Development; Dwelling, Live-

work; Dwelling, Single-family Detached; Dwelling, Townhouse; and Dwelling, Two-family Detached (Duplex); Manufactured Home, and Other Uses Accessory to Residential Primary Uses.

Park: Publicly or privately-owned land that is maintained for active or passive recreational use and for the use and enjoyment of the general public or the residents or occupants of a development. This use includes areas consisting of vegetative landscaping and/or areas improved for outdoor sports and recreation. Structural improvements are generally limited to those that facilitate the use of the land as a park. Incidental activities and structures include, but are not limited to, playgrounds, maintenance facilities, swimming pools, restrooms and dressing rooms, concessions, caretaker's quarters, and parking.

Zoning

The subject site is currently zoned R-1A (Single-family zone district) and PD (Planned Development), which was assigned upon the adoption of the IDO. The purpose of the R-1 zone district is to provide for neighborhoods of single-family homes with a variety of lot sizes and dimensions. When applied in developed areas, an additional purpose is to require that redevelopment reinforce the established character of the existing neighborhood. Primary land uses include single-family detached homes on individual lots, with limited civic and institutional uses to serve the surrounding residential area. Allowable uses are shown in Table 4-2-1.

The purpose of the PD zone district is to accommodate small- and medium-scale innovative projects that cannot be accommodated through the use of other zone districts, provided that those projects are consistent with the Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan (ABC Comp Plan), as amended and include standards that would not otherwise be required of the applicant in order to provide significant public, civic, or natural resource benefits. This zone district is applied on a case-by-case basis to reflect a negotiated agreement for uses and standards with the applicant. Allowable uses are negotiated on a case-by-case basis but may not include any use that is not included in Table 4-2-1.

The request is to change the subject site's zoning to NR-PO-A (Non-Residential – City-owned or Managed Parks, Zone District). The purpose of the NR-PO zone district is to protect the natural character of designated private and public parks and open space for public recreation, use, and enjoyment. Primary uses are open space and related recreation facilities, picnic and other shelters, and service/maintenance facilities.

Albuquerque / Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan (Rank 1)

The subject site is located in an area that the 2017 Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan has designated an Area of Change. Areas of Change allow for a mix of uses and development of higher density and intensity in areas where growth is desired and can be supported by multi-modal transportation.

In this case, the Goals and policies below were included by the applicant in the justification letter. Staff finds them all but one applicable. Applicable Goals and policies are listed below. Staff analysis follows in bold italic text.

Chapter 5: Land Use

Goal 5.2- Complete Communities: Foster communities where residents can live, work, learn, shop, and play together.

The subject site is surrounded by low-density residential development. Designating it as a City-Owned Park or Managed Parks zone (NR-PO-A) would provide the community a place where residents can live, learn and play together. The request furthers Goal 5.2- Complete Communities.

Policy 5.2.1- Land Uses: Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

The request would create a healthy, sustainable amenity to the community that would be easily accessible to the surrounding low-density residential developments and would complement nearby amenities such as the Ladera Golf Course, The Rincondada Park and the Allegre Open Space. The request furthers Policy 5.2.1- Land Uses.

Goal 5.6- City Development Areas: Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

The request would allow the subject site to become part of the City's network of Parks-Rincondada Park and the Allegre Open Space. The request would match zones within the area NR-PO-A, thus reinforcing the character and intensity in an Area of Consistency. The request furthers Goal 5.6 – City Development Areas.

Policy 5.6.1- Community Green Space: Provide visual relief from urbanization and offer opportunities for education, recreation, cultural activities, and conservation of natural resources by setting aside publicly-owned Open Space, Parks, trail corridors, and open areas throughout the Comp Plan area.

The request would provide visual relief from the low-density residential developments that surround the site. The request would become part of the parks network connecting to the Rincondada Park and Vista Allegre Open Space and would provide opportunities for trails and walkability. The request furthers Policy 5.6.1 – Community Green Space.

Policy 5.6.3- Areas of Consistency: Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, parks, and Major Public Open Space.

The request would protect and enhance the character of the existing single-family neighborhoods by removing potential harmful developments that could occur in the Planned Development zone which are viewed on a case-by-case basis, keeping the area outside of a center consistent to its surroundings. The request furthers Policy 5.6.3 – Areas of Consistency.

Chapter 10: Parks & Open Space

Goal 10.1- Facilities & Access: Provide parks, Open Space, and recreation facilities that meet the needs of all residents and use natural resources responsibly.

The subject site is within the surrounding area with existing NR-PO-A zones. The request would add to the existing park and open space and potentially add connectivity access. The request

would meet the needs of all residents and promote responsible use of natural resources utilizing the vacant land efficiently. The request furthers Goal 10.1- Facilities & Access.

Policy 10.1.1- Distribution: Improve the community's access to recreational opportunities by balancing the City and County's parks and Open Space systems with the built environment.

The request would improve the community's access to recreational opportunities by adding additional parks and open spaces creating connectivity and creating a balance between parks an open space and low-density residential developments that surround the site. The request furthers Policy 10.1.1- Distribution.

Sub-Policy (a) 10.1.1- Distribution: Protect and maintain a high-quality, accessible system of recreation facilities and sites sufficient to serve all areas.

The request would protect and add to the existing recreation facilities such as the Rincondada Park and Vista Alegre open space which serve the surrounding community. The request furthers Sub-Policy (a) 10.1.1- Distribution.

Goal 10.2- Parks: Provide opportunities for outdoor education, recreation, and cultural activities that meet community needs, enhance quality of life, and promote community involvement for all residents.

The request to NR-PO-A is categorized as a City-owned Park or Managed Park. The request would enhance the quality of life for residents within the nearby neighborhood, by preserving the natural landscape and provide opportunities for outdoor education, recreation, and cultural activities within the community. The request furthers Goal 10.2- Parks.

Policy 10.2.1- Park Types: Plan and implement a system of parks to meet a range of needs at different scales, including small neighborhood parks, community parks, active parks, regional parks, and linear parks.

The request would implement a system of parks and connectivity to the existing parks and open spaces. The park would meet a range of needs at different park scales. The request would meet the needs of the low-density residential developments that are directly adjacent to the subject site. The request furthers Policy 10.2.1- Park Types.

Chapter 13: Resilience & Sustainability

Policy 13.5- Community Health: Protect and maintain safe and healthy environments where people can thrive.

The request would protect and maintain safe healthy environments where people can thrive by classifying the subject site as NR-PO-A, which would preserve the natural landscape, remove potential harmful developments planned zoning districts can bring and promoting connectivity between existing parks and trails. The request furthers Policy 13.5 Community Health.

Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) 14-16-6-7(G)(3)-Review and Decision Criteria for Zone Map Amendments

Requirements

The review and decision criteria outline policies and requirements for deciding zone change applications. The applicant must provide sound justification for the proposed change and demonstrate that several tests have been met. The burden is on the applicant to show why a change should be made.

The applicant must demonstrate that the existing zoning is inappropriate because of one of three findings:

1. There was an error when the existing zone district was applied to the property.
2. There has been a significant change in neighborhood or community conditions affecting the site.
3. A different zone district is more advantageous to the community as articulated by the Comprehensive Plan or other, applicable City plans.

Justification & Analysis

The zone changes justification letter analyzed here, received on July 5, 2022, is a response to Staff's request for a revised justification (see attachment). The subject site is currently zoned NR-LM (Non-residential Light Manufacturing). The requested zoning is NR-PO-A (Non-Residential –Park and Open Space, Zone District) with Sub-zone A: City owned or Managed Parks. The reason for the request is to reflect the current ownership and management of these properties by the City of Albuquerque. The subject site is in an Area of Consistency.

The applicant believes that the proposed zoning map amendment (zone change) meets the zone change decision criteria in IDO 14-16-6-7(G)(3) as elaborated in the justification letter. The citation is from the IDO. The applicant's arguments are in *italics*. Staff analysis follows in plain text.

- A. Criterion 6-7(G)(3)(a) The proposed zone change is consistent with the health, safety, and general welfare of the City as shown by furthering (and not being in conflict with) a preponderance of applicable Goals and Policies in the ABC Comp Plan, as amended, and other applicable plans adopted by the City.

Applicant Response: The proposed Zoning Map Amendment furthers criteria 6-7(G)(3)(a) by maintaining the health, safety, and general welfare of the City, which is demonstrated by the request's consistency with the following Comprehensive Plan goals and policies:

Staff: Consistency with the City's health, safety, and general welfare is shown by demonstrating that the request furthers applicable Comprehensive Plan Goals and policies and does not significantly conflict with them.

Applicable citations: Goal 5.2 Complete Communities; Policy 5.2.1 Land Uses; Goal 5.6 City Development; Policy 5.6.1 Community Green Space; Policy 5.6.3 Areas of Consistency; Goal 7.2 Pedestrian Accessible Design; Goal 10.1 Facilities & Access; Policy 10.1.1 Distribution; Sub-policy (a) 10.1.1 Distribution; Goal 10.2 Parks; Policy 10.2.1 Park Types and Policy 13.5 Community Health.

The applicant has demonstrated that the new zone would clearly reinforce or strengthen the established character of the surrounding Area of Consistency and would not permit development that

is significantly different from that character and does not present any significant conflicts with the Comprehensive Plan. Therefore, the request is consistent with the City's health, safety, and general welfare. The response to Criterion A is sufficient.

B. Criterion 6-7(G)(3)(b) If the subject property is located partially or completely in an Area of Consistency (as shown in the ABC Comp Plan, as amended), the applicant has demonstrated that the new zone would clearly reinforce or strengthen the established character of the surrounding Area of Consistency and would not permit development that is significantly different from that character. The applicant must also demonstrate that the existing zoning is inappropriate because it meets any of the following criteria:

1. There was typographical or clerical error when the existing zone district was applied to the property.
2. There has been a significant change in neighborhood or community conditions affecting the site that justifies this request.
3. A different zone district is more advantageous to the community as articulated by the ABC Comp Plan, as amended (including implementation of patterns of land use, development density and intensity, and connectivity), and other applicable adopted City plan(s).

Applicant response: This zone change meets sub-criteria 3 as the addition of public space is advantageous to the Ladera West community for health and environmental reasons as outlined by the ABC Comprehensive Plan. Both parcels are located in an area of consistency and the addition of the NR-PO-A zone classification helps to protect and enhance the characteristics of the surrounding communities.

Staff: The subject site is located in an Area of Consistency. The applicant has demonstrated that the new zone would clearly reinforce and strengthen the established character of the surrounding area by maintaining its use and strengthening the established character by converting the subject site into a NR-PO-A zone.

The request would not permit development that is significantly different from that character and therefore would be more advantageous to the community than the current zoning. The response to Criterion B is sufficient.

C. Criterion 6-7(G)(3)(c) If the subject property is located wholly in an Area of Change (as shown in the ABC Comp Plan, as amended) and the applicant has demonstrated that the existing zoning is inappropriate because it meets any of the following criteria:

1. There was typographical or clerical error when the existing zone district was applied to the property.
2. There has been a significant change in neighborhood or community conditions affecting the site that justifies this request.
3. A different zone district is more advantageous to the community as articulated by the ABC Comp Plan, as amended (including implementation of patterns of land use, development density and intensity, and connectivity), and other applicable adopted City plan(s).

Applicant Response: The subject site is located in an Area of Consistency; therefore, 6-7(G)(3)(b) is the applicable policy for this request.

Staff: The subject site is located wholly in an Area of Consistency, so this criterion does not apply. The response to Criterion C is sufficient.

- D. Criterion 6-7(G)(3)(d) The requested zoning does not include permissive uses that would be harmful to adjacent property, the neighborhood, or the community, unless the Use-specific Standards in Section 16-16-0 associated with that use will adequately mitigate those harmful impacts.

Applicant Response: Permissive uses in NR-PO-A zones are advantageous to creating complete communities. These uses range from park facilities, community centers, community gardens, and farmers' markets. Permissive uses that could be viewed as harmful to adjacent residents such as an event facility, stadium, high school, racetrack, or sports field could not be established on the subject property due to the size and linear configuration of the parcels, development standards set forth by the IDO, and other realistic constraints that would make its construction impossible.

IDO section 4-3(C)(7)(a) NR-PO-A or Other Zone District with a City-owned or City-operated Park states Parks and recreational facilities, including associated community buildings, lighting, parking areas, trails, play areas, playgrounds, exercise stations, basketball courts, restrooms, drinking water facilities, picnic shelters, storage sheds and enclosures, and any other structures or improvements approved by the City Parks and Recreation Department are allowed.

Figure 1. Allowable Uses for NR-PO-A compared to R-1A/B/D, NR-LM, NR-PO-C

A=Permissive Accessory

T= Temporary

CA=Conditional Accessory

P=Permissive

C=Conditional Primary

Blank Cell=Not Allowed

CV=Conditional if Structure is Vacant for 5 years or more

Allowable Uses	R-1A	NR-PO-A
Elementary or Middle School*	C	P
High School*	C	P
Museum		P
Sports Field		P
Community Garden	P	A
Nursery		A
Other Indoor Entertainment		P
Paid Parking Lot		A
Club or Event Facility		P
Amphitheater	C	P
Balloon Fiesta Park Events/Activities*		P

Stadium or Racetrack*		P
Other Outdoor Entertainment	CA	P
Farmers Market		P
Park-and-Ride Lot		A
Drainage Facility	P	A
Electric Facility	P	A
Geothermal Energy Generation	A	A
Major Utility	P	A
Wind Energy Generation		A
Automated Teller Machine		T
Mobile Vending Cart		A

*These uses would not be developed on this property due to its small size

Staff: The applicant compared allowable uses in the existing R-1A zone district and the proposed NR-PO-A zone district. Uses that would be allowed such as elementary or middle school, high school, balloon fiesta park/ events activities and stadium/ racetrack would be unachievable due to the small size of the parcels and development standards required by the IDO therefore the request would not result in harm. The response to Criterion D is sufficient.

E. Criterion 6-7(G)(3)(e) The City's existing infrastructure and public improvements, including but not limited to its street, trail, and sidewalk systems meet any of the following requirements:

1. Have adequate capacity to serve the development made possible by the change of zone.
2. Will have adequate capacity based on improvements for which the City has already approved and budgeted capital funds during the next calendar year.
3. Will have adequate capacity when the applicant fulfills its obligations under the IDO, the DPM, and/or an Infrastructure Improvements Agreement (IIA).
4. Will have adequate capacity when the City and the applicant have fulfilled their respective obligations under a City-approved Development Agreement between the City and the applicant.

Applicant Response: This request meets sub-criteria 1 as the subject site will be served by existing city infrastructure including streets, sidewalks, and applicable parking. There are currently no plans for the development of the subject site.

Staff: The subject site is adequately served by existing infrastructure (requirement 1) and will have adequate capacity for the purposes of this request to serve as a Park or Open Space in the future. The response to Criterion E is sufficient.

F. Criterion 6-7(G)(3)(f) The applicant's justification for the Zoning Map Amendment is not completely based on the property's location on a major street.

Applicant's Response: The purposed zone map amendment is being justified based on the advantages it provides for the community rather than its location on a major street.

Staff: The subject site is located on a major street. The justification is based on the public recreation use and public access it will provide the community. The response to Criterion F is sufficient.

- G. Criterion 6-7(G)(3)(g) The applicant's justification is not based completely or predominantly on the cost of land or economic considerations.

Applicant's Response: The proposed zone map amendment is being justified based on the advantages it provides for the community rather than the cost of land of each lot.

Staff: The request is not based on the cost of land or economic consideration, but for providing access and connectivity to the existing network of parks and open spaces such as the Rincondada Park and Vista Allegre Open Space nearby. The response to Criterion G is sufficient.

- H. Criterion 6-7(G)(3)(h) The zone change does not apply a zone district different from surrounding zone districts to one small area or one premises (i.e. create a "spot zone") or to a strip of land along a street (i.e. create a "strip zone") unless the requested zoning will clearly facilitate implementation of the ABC Comp Plan, as amended, and at least one of the following applies:

1. The subject property is different from surrounding land because it can function as a transition between adjacent zone districts.
2. The subject property is not suitable for the uses allowed in any adjacent zone district due to topography, traffic, or special adverse land uses nearby.
3. The nature of structures already on the subject property makes it unsuitable for the uses allowed in any adjacent zone district.

Applicant Response: The zone map amendment request for the subject parcels meets sub-criteria 1 functioning as a transition space and helping to increase walkability, providing visual relief from the urban environment, protecting natural resources, as well as promoting community healthy and complete neighborhoods.

This request additionally meets sub-criteria 3 as the nature of the structures on the site which are electric utility lines makes it unsuitable for the uses allowed in the adjacent residential zones. The long linear nature of the parcels makes it a prime location for a linear park or public walking path for nearby residents.

Staff: The request does not apply to one small area or one premises- there are two premises with a total area of 9.8 acres, therefore a spot zone would not be created. The response to Criterion H is sufficient.

III. AGENCY & NEIGHBORHOOD CONCERNS

Reviewing Agencies

City departments and other agencies reviewed this application. Few Agency Comments were received and there were no objections to the proposed ZMA. Agency comments begin on p.26.

Neighborhood/Public

Notification requirements are found in 14-16-6, in the Procedures Table 6-1-1 and are further explained in 14-16-6-4(K), Public Notice. 9. The affected, registered neighborhood organizations are the Laurelwood Neighborhood Association (NA), Ladera Heights NA, San Blas HOA, Tres Volcanes NA, Las Lomas NA, Rancho Encantado HOA, The Courtyards NA, Villa De Paz HOA, Quaker Heights NA, Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations, Rinconada Point Association Incorporated, Taylor Ranch NA, SR Marmon NA, St Joseph's Townhouse Association, Petroglyphs Management Association were notified as required. Property owners within 100 feet of the subject site were also notified as required.

A pre-application neighborhood meeting was held as A facilitated on Monday April 27, 2022 from 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm via Zoom. Questions and comments were made by neighbors regarding the request, which were answered during the meeting. There was no unresolved issues or concerns (see attached Facilitated meeting report).

As of this writing, Staff has not been contacted and is not aware of any opposition.

IV. CONCLUSION

The request is for a Zoning Map Amendment for an approximately 9.8-acre site legally described as for all or a portion of Tract B Vacation and Replat comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3; Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive, and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos, located south of the AMAFCA drainage easement, and north of Bob McCannon Parkway. (“the subject site”).

The subject site is currently zoned R-1A/PD (Residential single-family small lot, Planned Development) bounded by the AMAFCA drainage easement to the south, north of Bob McCannon Parkway, and low-density residential development to the east and west.

The applicant is requesting a zone change to NR-PO-A (Non-residential – Park and Open Space, Sub-zone A: City-owned or Managed Parks Zone District) to reflect the current ownership and management of these properties by the City of Albuquerque.

The applicant has demonstrated that the new zone would clearly reinforce or strengthen the established character of the surrounding Area of Consistency and would not permit development that is significantly different from that character and therefore would be more advantageous to the community than the current zoning..

The affected neighborhood organizations were notified as required and property owners within 100 feet of the subject site were also notified as required. A neighborhood meeting was held on April 27, 2022. The request was deferred at the July EPC hearing due to the inadvertent omission of notification to a NA.

Staff is not aware of any support or opposition as of this writing. Staff recommends approval.

FINDINGS – RZ-2022-00035, August 18, 2022 – Zoning Map Amendment

1. The request is for a Zoning Map Amendment for an approximately 9.8-acre site legally described as all or a portion of Tract B Vacation and Replat comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3; Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive, and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos (“the subject site”).
2. On July 21, 2022, the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) voted to DEFER for a month to the August 18, 2022 hearing due to the inadvertent omission of a neighborhood organization from the official list of neighborhood contacts.
3. The subject site is currently vacant and bounded by low-density residential development. The approximately 9.8-acre site is located, south of the AMAFCA drainage easement, and north of Bob McCannon Parkway (.
4. The applicant is requesting a zone change to NR-PO-A (Non-residential – Park and Open Space, Sub-zone A: City-owned or Managed Parks Zone District) to reflect the current ownership and management of the subject site by the City of Albuquerque.
5. The subject site is in an area that the Comprehensive Plan designated an Area of Consistency. It is not located along a Major Transit Corridor and is not located in a Center as designated by the Comprehensive Plan.
6. The Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan and the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) are incorporated herein by reference and made part of the record for all purposes.
6. The request furthers the following, additional goals and policies from Comprehensive Plan Chapter 5: Land Use:
 - A. Goal 5.2- Complete Communities: Foster communities where residents can live, work, learn, shop, and play together.

The subject site is surrounded by low-density residential development. Designating it as a City- Owned Park or Managed Parks zone (NR-PO-A) would provide the community a place where residents can live, learn and play together.
 - B. Policy 5.2.1- Land Use: Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

The request would create a healthy, sustainable amenity to the community that would be easily accessible to the surrounding low-density residential developments and would complement nearby amenities such as Ladera Golf Course, Rincondada Park, and the Allegre Open Space.
 - C. Goal 5.6- City Development Areas: Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

The request would allow the subject site to become part of the City's network of Parks-Rincondada Park and the Allegre Open Space. The requested would match zones within the surrounding area, thus reinforcing the character and intensity in an Area of Consistency.

- D. Policy 5.6.1- Community Green Space: Provide visual relief from urbanization and offer opportunities for education, recreation, cultural activities, and conservation of natural resources by setting aside publicly-owned Open Space, Parks, trail corridors, and open areas throughout the Comp Plan area.

The request would provide visual relief from the low-density residential developments that surround the site. The request would become part of the parks network connecting to the Rincondada Park and Vista Allegre Open Space and would provide opportunities for trails and walkability.

- E. Policy 5.6.3- Areas of Consistency: Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, parks, and Major Public Open Space.

The request would protect and enhance the character of the existing single-family neighborhoods by removing potential harmful developments that could occur in the Planned Development zone which are viewed on a case-by-case basis, keeping the area outside of a Center consistent with its surroundings.

7. The request furthers the following Goals and policy from Comprehensive Plan Chapter 10: Parks and Open space:

- A. Goal 10.1- Facilities & Access: Provide parks, Open Space, and recreation facilities that meet the needs of all residents and use natural resources responsibly.

The subject site is within the surrounding area with existing NR-PO-A zones. The request would add to the existing park and open space and potentially add connectivity access. The request would meet the needs of all residents and promote responsible use of natural resources utilizing the vacant land efficiently.

- B. Policy 10.1.1- Distribution: Improve the community's access to recreational opportunities by balancing the City and County's parks and Open Space systems with the built environment.

The request would improve the community's access to recreational opportunities by adding additional parks and open spaces creating connectivity and creating a balance between parks an open space and low-density residential developments that are directly adjacent to the site.

- C. Sub-Policy (a) 10.1.1- Distribution: Distribution: Protect and maintain a high-quality, accessible system of recreation facilities and sites sufficient to serve all areas.

The request would protect and add to the existing recreation facilities such as the Rincondada Park and Vista Allegre open space which serve the surrounding community.

- D. Goal 10.2- Parks: Provide opportunities for outdoor education, recreation, and cultural activities that meet community needs, enhance quality of life, and promote community involvement for all residents.

The request to NR-PO-A is categorized as a City-owned Park or Managed Park. The request would enhance the quality of life for residents within the nearby neighborhood, by preserving the natural landscape and provide opportunities for outdoor education, recreation, and cultural activities within the community.

- E. Policy 10.2.1- Park Types: Plan and implement a system of parks to meet a range of needs at different scales, including small neighborhood parks, community parks, active parks, regional parks, and linear parks

The request would implement a system of parks and connectivity to the existing parks and open spaces. The park would meet a range of needs at different park scales. The request would meet the needs of the low-density residential developments that are directly adjacent to the subject site.

8. The request furthers the following policy from Comprehensive Plan Chapter 13: Resilience & Sustainability:

Policy 13.5- Community Health: Protect and maintain safe and healthy environments where people can thrive.

The request would protect and maintain safe healthy environments where people can thrive by classifying the subject site as NR-PO-A, which would preserve the natural landscape, remove potential harmful developments planned zoning districts can bring and promoting connectivity between existing parks and trails..

9. The applicant has adequately justified the request pursuant to the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) Section 14-16-6-7(G)(3)-Review and Decision Criteria for Zoning Map Amendments, as follows:

A. Criterion A:

The request would clearly reinforce or strengthen the established character of the surrounding Area of Consistency and would not permit development that is significantly different from that character and does not present any significant conflicts with the Comprehensive Plan. Therefore, the request is consistent with the City's health, safety, and general welfare.

- B. Criterion B: The subject site is located in an Area of Consistency. The applicant has demonstrated that the new zone would clearly reinforce and strengthen the established character of the surrounding area by maintaining its use and strengthening the established character by converting the subject site into a NR-PO-A zone.

The request would further a preponderance of applicable Comprehensive Plan Goals and policies and therefore would be more advantageous to the community than the current zoning.

- C. Criterion C: The subject site is located wholly in an Area of Consistency, so this criterion does not apply.

- D. Criterion D: The applicant compared allowable uses in the existing R-1A zone district and the proposed NR-PO-A zone district. Uses that would be allowed such as elementary or middle school, high school, balloon fiesta park/ events activities and stadium/ racetrack

would be unachievable due to the small size of the parcels and development standards required by the IDO, therefore the request would not result in harm.

- E. Criterion E: The subject site is adequately served by existing infrastructure (requirement 1) and will have adequate capacity for the purposes of this request to serve as a Park or Open Space in the future.
 - F. Criterion F: The subject site is located on a major street. The subject site is located on a major street.
 - G. Criterion G: The request is not based on the cost of land or economic consideration, but for providing access and connectivity to the existing network of parks and open spaces such as the Rincondada Park and Vista Alegre Open Space nearby.
 - H. Criterion H: The request does not apply to one small area or one premises- there are two premises with a total area of 9.8 acres, therefore a spot zone would not be created.
10. The applicant's policy-based response adequately demonstrates the request furthers a preponderance of applicable Goals and policies regarding Land Use, Urban Design, Parks & Open Space, and Resilience & Sustainability and does not present any significant conflicts with the Comprehensive Plan. Therefore, the request is consistent with the City's health, safety, morals, and general welfare.
11. The affected neighborhood organizations are the Laurelwood Neighborhood Association (NA), Ladera Heights NA, San Blas HOA, Tres Volcanes NA, Las Lomas NA, Rancho Encantado HOA, The Courtyards NA, Villa De Paz HOA, Quaker Heights NA, Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations, Rincondada Point Association Incorporated, Taylor Ranch NA, SR Marmon NA, St Joseph's Townhouse Association, Petroglyphs Management Association, which were notified as required. Property owners within 100 feet of the subject site were also notified as required.
12. A pre-application neighborhood meeting was held as A facilitated on Monday April 27, 2022 from 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm via Zoom. Several questions and comments were made by neighbors regarding the request, which were answered during the meeting. There was no unesolved issues or concerns.
13. As of this writing, Staff has not been contacted and is unaware of any opposition.

RECOMMENDATION - RZ-2022-000035, August 18, 2022

APPROVAL of Project #: 2021-007161, Case #: RZ-2022-00035, a zone change from R-1A/PD to NR-PO-A, for all or a portion of Tract B Vacation and Replat comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3; Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive, and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos, located south of the AMAFCA drainage easement, and north of Bob McCannon Parkway ("the subject site"). based on the preceding Findings.

Leroy Duarte

**Leroy Duarte
Current Planner**

Notice of Decision CC list:

Consensus Planning, cp@consensusplanning.com
Laurelwood NA Dagmar Nelson nelsondag@aol.com
Laurelwood NA Frank Comfort laurelwoodna@gmail.com
Ladera Heights NA Allan Ludi aludi415@gmail.com
Ladera Heights NA Marie Ludi aludi2wo@yahoo.com
San Blas HOA Heidi Marchand heidimarchan@gmail.com
San Blas HOA Stephanie Barks litaferlo@yahoo.com
Tres Volcanes NA Thomas Borst t0m2pat@yahoo.com
Tres Volcanes NA Rick Gallagher randm196@gmail.com
Las Lomitas NA Nancy Griego r.griego04@comcast.net
Las Lomitas NA Anne Shaw annes@swcp.com
Rancho Encantado HOA John Vigil jvigil56@outlook.com
Rancho Encantado HOA John Marco jjm@vmnet.us
The Courtyards NA Jayne Aubele jaubele1012@comcast.net
The Courtyards NA Jackie Cooke jackiecooke@comcast.net
Villa De Paz HOA Incorporated Fran Pawlak fpawlak@cgres.com
Villa De Paz HOA Incorporated Christine Roy 54 Calle Monte Aplanado NW Albuquerque NM 87120
Quaker Heights NA Orlando Martinez lilog2002@yahoo.com
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations Elizabeth Haley ekhaley@comcast.net
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations Rene Horvath aboard111@gmail.com
Rinconada Point Association Incorporated Connie Gilman rinconadapoint@aol.com
Rinconada Point Association Incorporated Joni Ulibarri joni1111@gmail.com
Taylor Ranch NA Rene Horvath aboard111@gmail.com
Taylor Ranch NA Nita Day secretary@trna.org
SR Marmon NA Em Ward info@srmna.org
SR Marmon NA Sally Powell sally@srmna.org
St Josephs Townhouse Association Allan Ludi aludi415@gmail.com
St Josephs Townhouse Association Marie Ludi aludi2wo@yahoo.com
Petroglyphs Management Association, Inc Paul Mondragon pdmndragon@msn.com
Petroglyphs Management Association, Inc Joshua Martinez joshsmtz@gmail.com Legal,
dking@cabq.gov
EPC file

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AGENCY COMMENTS

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Zoning / Code Enforcement

No adverse comments

Long Range Planning

Metropolitan Redevelopment

No adverse comments

Transportation Development Review Services

No adverse comments.

CITY ENGINEER

Hydrology

Transportation Development Services

No adverse comments

MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (DMD) TRANSPORTATION

The Transportation has no objection to the Zoning Map Amendment for this item.

POLICE DEPARTMENT/PLANNING

No adverse comments

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

Project # PR-2022-007161 RZ-2022-00035– Zoning Map Amendment (Zone Change)

No comment at this time.

TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

The site is very remote from current ABQ RIDE services.

PARKS AND RECREATION

ABC WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY (ABCWUA)

1. RZ-2022-0035
 - a. No adverse comment to the proposed zone changes
 - b. For information only:
 - i. The site exists between the 2W and 2WR pressure zones.
 - ii. Please send a request for availability for the site as soon as development is known. The request can be made at the link: <https://www.abcwua.org/info-for-builders-availability-statements/>. The request shall include a City Fire Marshal approved Fire 1 Plan, a zone map showing the site location, and the proposed Utility Plan

ALBUQUERQUE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

1. Project #2022-007161

- a. EPC Description: RZ-2022-00035—Zoning Map Amendment (Zone Change).
- b. Site Information: El Rancho Atrisco De Los Addition.
- c. Site Location: Located west of the Ladera Golf Course, south of the AMAFCA drainage easement, and north of Bob McCannon Parkway.
- d. Request Description: Request for a zone change from R1-A (Single-Family Residential) and PD (Planned Development) to NR-PO-A (Non-Residential—Parks and Open Space—Major Public Open Space, City Owned or Managed Public Park), to reflect current ownership and management of the two parcels, (which both have overhead power lines and appear to have designated as utility easements for the nearby subdivision). No construction or development. This project, #2022-7161, the previous three projects #2022-7158, #2022-7159, #2022-7160, and the following two projects, #2022-7162 and #2022-7163 are part of a multi-part application for a zone change to convert currently vacant parcels of land, owned by the City of Albuquerque, to Open Spaces and Parks.
- e. No comments.

ALBUQUERQUE METROPOLITAN ARROYO FLOOD CONTROL (AMAFCA)

No adverse comments.

COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

No adverse comments.

MID-REGION COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS (MRCOG)

PR-2022-007159

MRMPO has no adverse comment. For informational purposes:

MID-REGION METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION(MRMPO)

MRMPO has no adverse comments.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO

NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (NMDOT)

MIDDLE RIO GRANDE CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

PNM COMPANY

There are PNM facilities and/or in easements within the entire length of the site and along the entire eastern side of the site. There are also PNM facilities and/or easements along Vista Alegre St NW and along Bob McCannon Pkwy NW.

It is the applicant's obligation to determine if existing utility easements or rights-of-way are located on or adjacent to the property and to abide by any conditions or terms of those easements.

Any existing easements may have to be revisited and/or new easements may need to be created for any electric facilities as determined by PNM.

Any existing and/or new PNM easements and facilities need to be reflected on any resulting future Site Plan or Plat.

The applicant should contact PNM's New Service Delivery Department as soon as possible to coordinate electric service regarding any proposed project. Submit a service application at <https://pnmnsd.powerclerk.com/MvcAccount/Login> for PNM to review.

If existing electric lines or facilities need to be moved, then that is at the applicant's expense. Please contact PNM as soon as possible at <https://pnmnsd.powerclerk.com/MvcAccount/Login> for PNM to review.

PETROGLYPH NATIONAL MONUMENT

AVIATION DEPARTMENT

KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE



Figure 1: Sign posting looking north from Bob McCannon Parkway to the subject site.



Figure 2: Sign posting looking south from Vista Alegre St. to the subject site.



Figure 3: Sign posting looking south from San Benito Rd. to the subject site.



Figure 4: Looking north from subject site.



Figure 5: Looking south from subject site.



Figure 6: Looking east from within subject site.



Figure 7: Looking west from within subject site.

HISTORY

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
URBAN DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
600 2nd Street NW, 3rd Floor, Albuquerque, NM 87102
P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103
Office (505) 924-3860 Fax (505) 924-3339



OFFICIAL NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

July 21, 2022

City of Albuquerque
Parks & Recreation Dept.
1 Civic Plaza
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Project # PR-2022-007161
RZ-2022-00035– Zoning Map Amendment (Zone Change)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Consensus Planning, agent for City of Albuquerque, requests a Zoning Map Amendment from R-1A & PD to NR-PO-A, for all or a portion of Tract B Vacation and Replat comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3; Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive, and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos, located west of Ladera Golf Course, south of the AMAFCA drainage easement, and north of Bob McCannon Parkway, approximately 9.8 acres (G-10).

Staff Planner: Leroy Duarte

On July 21, 2022, the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) voted to DEFER Project # PR-2022-007161/RZ-2022-00035, a Zoning Map Amendment (Zone Change) from R-1A and PD to NR-PO-A, for a month to the August 18, 2022 hearing due to the inadvertent omission of a neighborhood organization from the official list of neighborhood contacts.

APPEAL: If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so within 15 days of the EPC's decision or by **August 5, 2022**. The date of the EPC's decision is not included in the 15-day period for filing an appeal, and if the 15th day falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Holiday, the next working day is considered as the deadline for filing the appeal.

For more information regarding the appeal process, please refer to Section 14-16-6-4(V) of the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO), Administration and Enforcement. A Non-Refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Land Development Coordination Counter and is required at the time the appeal is filed. It is not possible to appeal an EPC Recommendation to the City Council since this is not a final decision.

You will receive notification if any person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive Building Permits at any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the IDO must be complied with, even after approval of the referenced application(s).

Sincerely,

for Alan M. Varela,
Planning Director

AV/CL/LD

cc: Consensus Planning, cp@consensusplanning.com
Laurelwood NA Dagmar Nelson nelsondag@aol.com
Laurelwood NA Frank Comfort laurelwoodna@gmail.com
Ladera Heights NA Allan Ludi aludi415@gmail.com
Ladera Heights NA Marie Ludi aludi2wo@yahoo.com
Ladera West NA Steve Collins laderawestna@gmail.com
Ladera West NA Karen Buccola kbucco@comcast.net
San Blas HOA Heidi Marchand heidimarchan@gmail.com
San Blas HOA Stephanie Barks litafarlo@yahoo.com
Tres Volcanes NA Thomas Borst t0m2pat@yahoo.com
Tres Volcanes NA Rick Gallagher randm196@gmail.com
Las Lomitas NA Nancy Griego r.griego04@comcast.net
Las Lomitas NA Anne Shaw annes@swcp.com
Rancho Encantado HOA John Vigil jvigil56@outlook.com
Rancho Encantado HOA John Marco jjm@vmnet.us
The Courtyards NA Jayne Aubele jaubele1012@comcast.net
The Courtyards NA Jackie Cooke jackiecooke@comcast.net
Villa De Paz HOA Incorporated Fran Pawlak fpawlak@cgres.com
Villa De Paz HOA Incorporated Christine Roy 54 Calle Monte Aplanado NW Albuquerque NM 87120
Quaker Heights NA Orlando Martinez lilog2002@yahoo.com
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations Elizabeth Haley ekhaley@comcast.net
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations Rene Horvath aboard111@gmail.com
Rinconada Point Association Incorporated Connie Gilman rinconadapoint@aol.com
Rinconada Point Association Incorporated Joni Ulibarri joniul111@gmail.com
Taylor Ranch NA Rene Horvath aboard111@gmail.com
Taylor Ranch NA Nita Day secretary@trna.org
SR Marmon NA Em Ward info@srmna.org
SR Marmon NA Sally Powell sally@srmna.org
St Josephs Townhouse Association Allan Ludi aludi415@gmail.com
St Josephs Townhouse Association Marie Ludi aludi2wo@yahoo.com
Petroglyphs Management Association, Inc Paul Mondragon pdmndragon@msn.com
Petroglyphs Management Association, Inc Joshua Martinez joshsmtz@gmail.com
Legal, dking@cabq.gov
EPC File

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
URBAN DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
600 2nd Street NW, 3rd Floor, Albuquerque, NM 87102
P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103
Office (505) 924-3860 Fax (505) 924-3339



OFFICIAL NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

July 21, 2022

City of Albuquerque
Parks & Recreation Dept.
1 Civic Plaza
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Project # PR-2022-007161
RZ-2022-00035– Zoning Map Amendment (Zone Change)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

Consensus Planning, agent for City of Albuquerque, requests a Zoning Map Amendment from R-1A & PD to NR-PO-A, for all or a portion of Tract B Vacation and Replat comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3; Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive, and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos, located west of Ladera Golf Course, south of the AMAFCA drainage easement, and north of Bob McCannon Parkway, approximately 9.8 acres (G-10).

Staff Planner: Leroy Duarte

On July 21, 2022, the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) voted to DEFER Project # PR-2022-007161/RZ-2022-00035, a Zoning Map Amendment (Zone Change) from R-1A and PD to NR-PO-A, for a month to the August 18, 2022 hearing due to the inadvertent omission of a neighborhood organization from the official list of neighborhood contacts.

APPEAL: If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so within 15 days of the EPC's decision or by **August 5, 2022**. The date of the EPC's decision is not included in the 15-day period for filing an appeal, and if the 15th day falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Holiday, the next working day is considered as the deadline for filing the appeal.

For more information regarding the appeal process, please refer to Section 14-16-6-4(V) of the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO), Administration and Enforcement. A Non-Refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Land Development Coordination Counter and is required at the time the appeal is filed. It is not possible to appeal an EPC Recommendation to the City Council since this is not a final decision.

You will receive notification if any person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive Building Permits at any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the IDO must be complied with, even after approval of the referenced application(s).

Sincerely,

for Alan M. Varela,
Planning Director

AV/CL/LD

cc: Consensus Planning, cp@consensusplanning.com
Laurelwood NA Dagmar Nelson nelsondag@aol.com
Laurelwood NA Frank Comfort laurelwoodna@gmail.com
Ladera Heights NA Allan Ludi aludi415@gmail.com
Ladera Heights NA Marie Ludi aludi2wo@yahoo.com
Ladera West NA Steve Collins laderawestna@gmail.com
Ladera West NA Karen Buccola kbucco@comcast.net
San Blas HOA Heidi Marchand heidimarchan@gmail.com
San Blas HOA Stephanie Barks litafarlo@yahoo.com
Tres Volcanes NA Thomas Borst t0m2pat@yahoo.com
Tres Volcanes NA Rick Gallagher randm196@gmail.com
Las Lomitas NA Nancy Griego r.griego04@comcast.net
Las Lomitas NA Anne Shaw annes@swcp.com
Rancho Encantado HOA John Vigil jvigil56@outlook.com
Rancho Encantado HOA John Marco jjm@vmnet.us
The Courtyards NA Jayne Aubele jaubele1012@comcast.net
The Courtyards NA Jackie Cooke jackiecooke@comcast.net
Villa De Paz HOA Incorporated Fran Pawlak fpawlak@cgres.com
Villa De Paz HOA Incorporated Christine Roy 54 Calle Monte Aplanado NW Albuquerque NM 87120
Quaker Heights NA Orlando Martinez lilog2002@yahoo.com
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations Elizabeth Haley ekhaley@comcast.net
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations Rene Horvath aboard111@gmail.com
Rinconada Point Association Incorporated Connie Gilman rinconadapoint@aol.com
Rinconada Point Association Incorporated Joni Ulibarri joniul111@gmail.com
Taylor Ranch NA Rene Horvath aboard111@gmail.com
Taylor Ranch NA Nita Day secretary@trna.org
SR Marmon NA Em Ward info@srmna.org
SR Marmon NA Sally Powell sally@srmna.org
St Josephs Townhouse Association Allan Ludi aludi415@gmail.com
St Josephs Townhouse Association Marie Ludi aludi2wo@yahoo.com
Petroglyphs Management Association, Inc Paul Mondragon pdmndragon@msn.com
Petroglyphs Management Association, Inc Joshua Martinez joshsmtz@gmail.com
Legal, dking@cabq.gov
EPC File



July 20, 2022

Landscape Architecture
Urban Design
Planning Services

Tim MacEachen, Chair
Environmental Planning Commission
City of Albuquerque
PO Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103

302 Eighth St. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Re: Request to Defer Project # PR-2022-007161 RZ-2022-00035 – Zoning Map Amendment

(505) 764-9801
Fax 842-5495
cp@consensusplanning.com
www.consensusplanning.com


Dear Mr. Chairman:

The purpose of this letter is to request a deferral for RZ-2022-00035 – Zoning Map Amendment, Agenda Item #6 on the Environmental Planning Commission's July 21, 2022, agenda. The property is legally described as Tract B Vacation and Replat comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3; Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive, and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos.

An error in the contacts provided to Consensus Planning for affected neighborhood associations was made by the Office of Neighborhood Coordination. As such, Council Services and the Parks and Recreation Department are requesting a 30-day deferral to the August 18, 2022, hearing to address the procedural error.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Jacqueline Fishman, AICP
Principal

PRINCIPALS

James K. Strozier, AICP
Christopher J. Green, PLA,
ASLA, LEED AP
Jacqueline Fishman, AICP

EXISTING ZONING

Please refer to IDO Section 14-16-2-3(B) for the R-1A Zone District

Please refer to IDO Section 14-16-2-6(A) for the PD Zone District

PROPOSED ZONING

Please refer to IDO Section 14-16-2-5(F) for the NR-PO-A Zone
District

APPLICANT INFORMATION



Please check the appropriate box and refer to supplemental forms for submittal requirements. All fees must be paid at the time of application.

Administrative Decisions	Decisions Requiring a Public Meeting or Hearing	Policy Decisions
<input type="checkbox"/> Archaeological Certificate (Form P3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Site Plan – EPC including any Variances – EPC (Form P1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Adoption or Amendment of Comprehensive Plan or Facility Plan (Form Z)
<input type="checkbox"/> Historic Certificate of Appropriateness – Minor (Form L)	<input type="checkbox"/> Master Development Plan (Form P1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Adoption or Amendment of Historic Designation (Form L)
<input type="checkbox"/> Alternative Signage Plan (Form P3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Historic Certificate of Appropriateness – Major (Form L)	<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment of IDO Text (Form Z)
<input type="checkbox"/> Minor Amendment to Site Plan (Form P3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Demolition Outside of HPO (Form L)	<input type="checkbox"/> Annexation of Land (Form Z)
<input type="checkbox"/> WTF Approval (Form W1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Historic Design Standards and Guidelines (Form L)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment to Zoning Map – EPC (Form Z)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Wireless Telecommunications Facility Waiver (Form W2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment to Zoning Map – Council (Form Z)
		Appeals
		<input type="checkbox"/> Decision by EPC, LC, ZHE, or City Staff (Form A)

APPLICATION INFORMATION

Applicant: City of Albuquerque		Phone:
Address:		Email:
City: Albuquerque	State: NM	Zip:
Professional/Agent (if any): Consensus Planning		Phone: (505) 764-9801
Address: 302 8th St NW		Email: cp@consensusplanning.com
City: Albuquerque	State: NM	Zip: 87102
Proprietary Interest in Site:		List <u>all</u> owners:

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST

Zone Map Amendment to NR-PO-A (City Owned or Managed Public Park)

SITE INFORMATION (Accuracy of the existing legal description is crucial! Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

Lot or Tract No.: Please see attached		Block:	Unit:
Subdivision/Addition:		MRGCD Map No.:	UPC Code:
Zone Atlas Page(s): G-10	Existing Zoning: R-1A and PD		Proposed Zoning: NR-PO-A
# of Existing Lots: 2	# of Proposed Lots: 2		Total Area of Site (acres): 9.77 acres

LOCATION OF PROPERTY BY STREETS

Site Address/Street: Please see attached	Between:	and:
--	----------	------

CASE HISTORY (List any current or prior project and case number(s) that may be relevant to your request.)

Signature:	Date: 5/5/22
Printed Name: Jim Strozier	<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Case Numbers	Action	Fees	Case Numbers	Action	Fees
Meeting/Hearing Date:			Fee Total:		
Staff Signature:		Date:	Project #		

Form Z: Policy Decisions

Please refer to the EPC hearing schedule for public hearing dates and deadlines. Your attendance is required.

A single PDF file of the complete application including all plans and documents being submitted must be emailed to PLNDRS@cabq.gov prior to making a submittal. Zipped files or those over 9 MB cannot be delivered via email, in which case the PDF must be provided on a CD.

☒ **INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR ALL POLICY DECISIONS (Except where noted)**

- ☒ Interpreter Needed for Hearing? _____ if yes, indicate language: _____
- ☒ Proof of Pre-Application Meeting with City staff per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(B)
- ☒ Letter of authorization from the property owner if application is submitted by an agent
- ☒ Traffic Impact Study (TIS) form (*not required for Amendment to IDO Text*)
- ☒ Zone Atlas map with the entire site/plan amendment area clearly outlined and labeled (*not required for Amendment to IDO Text*) NOTE: For Annexation of Land, the Zone Atlas must show that the site is contiguous to City limits.

☐ **ADOPTION OR AMENDMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

☐ **ADOPTION OR AMENDMENT OF FACILITY PLAN**

- ___ Plan, or part of plan, to be amended with changes noted and marked
- ___ Letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Sections 14-16-6-7(A)(3) or 14-16-6-7(B)(3), as applicable
- ___ Required notices with content per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(K)(6)
 - ___ Office of Neighborhood Coordination notice inquiry response, notifying letter, and proof of first class mailing
 - ___ Proof of emailed notice to affected Neighborhood Association representatives
 - ___ Buffer map and list of property owners within 100 feet (excluding public rights-of-way), notifying letter, and proof of first class mailing

☐ **AMENDMENT TO IDO TEXT**

- ___ Section(s) of the Integrated Development Ordinance to be amended with changes noted and marked
- ___ Justification letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-7(D)(3)
- ___ Required notices with content per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(K)(6)
 - ___ Office of Neighborhood Coordination notice inquiry response, notifying letter, and proof of first class mailing
 - ___ Buffer map and list of property owners within 100 feet (excluding public rights-of-way), notifying letter, and proof of first class mailing

☒ **ZONING MAP AMENDMENT – EPC**

☐ **ZONING MAP AMENDMENT – COUNCIL**

- ☒ Proof of Neighborhood Meeting per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(C)
- ☒ Letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-7(F)(3) or Section 14-16-6-7(G)(3), as applicable
- ☒ Required notices with content per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(K)(6)
 - ___ Office of Neighborhood Coordination notice inquiry response, notifying letter, and proof of first class mailing
 - ___ Proof of emailed notice to affected Neighborhood Association representatives
 - ___ Buffer map and list of property owners within 100 feet (excluding public rights-of-way), notifying letter, and proof of first class mailing
- ☒ Sign Posting Agreement

☐ **ANNEXATION OF LAND**

- ___ Application for Zoning Map Amendment *Establishment of zoning must be applied for simultaneously with Annexation of Land.*
- ___ Petition for Annexation Form and necessary attachments
- ___ Letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-7(E)(3)
- ___ Board of County Commissioners (BCC) Notice of Decision

I, the applicant or agent, acknowledge that if any required information is not submitted with this application, the application will not be scheduled for a public meeting or hearing, if required, or otherwise processed until it is complete.

Signature:

Date:

Printed Name:

☐ Applicant or ☐ Agent

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Project Number:

Case Numbers

Staff Signature:

Date:



UPC List:

TR C PLAT OF TR C LTS 1-A THRU 25-A
INCLUSIVE AND LTS 133-ATHRU 145-A
INCLUSIVE EL RANCHO ATRISCO DE LOS
SANTOS CONT 5.6542 AC M/L OR 246,297
SQ FT M/L:

101006017816130723

TR B VACATION & REPLAT COMPRISED OF
PORT OF EL RANCHO ATRISCO DE LOS
SANTOS & TR 7-A-2 EL RANCHO ATRISCO
PHASE 2 TO LADERA WEST UNIT THREE
CONT 4.1236 AC M/L OR 179,627 SQ FT
M/L:

101006018326421020

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES

PA#: _____ Notes Provided (date): _____

Site Address and/or Location: _____

Pre-application notes are for informational purposes only and are non-binding. They do not constitute an approval of any kind. Additional research may be necessary to determine the exact type of process and/or application required. Factors unknown and/or thought of as minor at this time could become significant as a case progresses.

Request _____

Basic Site Information

Current Use(s): _____

Size (acreage): _____

Zoning: _____

Overlay Zone(s): _____

Comprehensive Plan Designations

Development Area: _____

Corridor(s): _____

Center: _____

Near Major Public Open Space (MPOS)?: _____

Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO)

Please refer to the IDO for requirements regarding dimensional standards, parking, landscaping, walls, signage, etc.
<https://www.cabq.gov/planning/codes-policies-regulations/integrated-development-ordinance>

Proposed Use(s): _____

Use Specific Standards: _____

Applicable Definition(s): _____

Sensitive Lands: *Please see IDO Section 14-16-5-2 for information about required analysis, development standards, and changes to process that may result if this Section applies.*

Notice

Neighborhood Meeting Offer Required? (see IDO Table 6-1-1). If yes, please refer to:

<https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/neighborhood-meeting-requirement-in-the-integrated-development-ordinance>

Process

Decision Type(s) (see IDO Table 6-1-1): _____

Specific Procedure(s)*: _____

**Please refer to specific procedures for relevant decision criteria required to be addressed.*

Decision Making Body/ies: _____ Is this a PRT requirement? _____

Handouts Provided

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Zoning Map Amendment | <input type="checkbox"/> Site Plan Amendments | <input type="checkbox"/> Site Plan- EPC | <input type="checkbox"/> Site Plan- DRB |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Site Plan- Admin | <input type="checkbox"/> Variance-ZHE | <input type="checkbox"/> Conditional Use | <input type="checkbox"/> Subdivision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Site History/Research | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology | <input type="checkbox"/> Fire |

If you have additional questions, please contact Staff at planningpri@cabq.gov or at (505) 924-3860. Please include the PA# with your inquiry.

Additional Notes:

Additional Notes:

Major Public Open Space- Publicly-owned spaces managed by the Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department, including the Rio Grande State Park (i.e. the Bosque), Petroglyph National Monument, and Sandia foothills. These are typically greater than 5 acres and may include natural and cultural resources, preserves, low-impact recreational facilities, dedicated lands, arroyos, or trail corridors. The adopted Facility Plan for Major Public Open Space guides the management of these areas. For the purposes of this IDO, Major Public Open Space located outside the city municipal boundary still triggers Major Public Open Space Edge requirements for properties within the city adjacent to or within the specified distance of Major Public OpenSpace.

1. Does the zone change impact adjacent developed residential properties, e.g. does it make them non-conforming and if so how does that impact the home owner?

All new dedications will have to come through EPC for a zone change before MPOS protections apply on surrounding properties. Should the zone change be approved, IDO Section 5-2(K) Major Public Open Space Edges would apply.

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE



March 30th, 2022

City of Albuquerque
Planning Department
600 2nd St. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Re: Letter of Authorization for Zone Map Amendment

To Whom It May Concern:

Consensus Planning, Inc. is hereby authorized to represent me, the City of Albuquerque Parks and Recreation Department in all matters relating to the Zone Map Amendment from R-1A, R-1B, R-1D, NR-LM, NR-PO-C to NR-PO-A for the following properties: Truman, Santa Barbara, Walker Property, Genevas Arroyo, Kapnison Property, and Todos Santos. The properties are made up of 26 lots legally described as the following:

1. **Truman site:** 3333 Truman Street Northeast
2. **Santa Barbara Park:** 1226 Walter St NE
3. **Walker Property:** All lots within the blocks of Summer Ave NW, Rosemont NW, 6th St. NW, and 5th St. NW
4. **Genevas Arroyo:** Eastern and western portions of a strip of land between University Blvd SE and Buena Vista Blvd SE
5. **Kapnison Property:** Fraction of Lot 2 located in northeastern section Tract 11 between San Mateo Blvd. NE and Balloon Fiesta Pkwy. NE
6. **Todos Santos (Zone R-1A, PD):** 2 parcels consisting of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco De Los Santos and Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco, Tract C Lots 1-A thru 25-A inclusive and Lots 133-A thru 145-A inclusive El Rancho Atrisco De Los Santos

PO Box 1293

Albuquerque

NM 87103

www.cabq.gov

Sincerely,

City of Albuquerque Parks and Recreation Department

By:

DocuSigned by:



3A1B8403E7FE4D0...

Printed Name:

David Simon

Title:

Director



City of Albuquerque

Planning Department
Development Review Services Division

Traffic Scoping Form (REV 12/2020)

Project Title: COA_ZMA_Open Space Building Permit #: _____ Hydrology File #: _____

Zone Atlas Page: G10 DRB#: _____ EPC#: _____ Work Order#: _____

Legal Description: TR B, TR C El Rancho Atrisco De Los Santos

City Address: _____

Applicant: Consensus Planning Contact: _____

Address: 302 8th St NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102

Phone#: 505 764 9801 Fax#: _____ E-mail: frank@consensusplanning.

Development Information

Build out/Implementation Year: _____ Current/Proposed Zoning: Current R-1A, PD Proposed NR-PC-B

Project Type: New: () Change of Use: () Same Use/Unchanged: () Same Use/Increased Activity: ()

Proposed Use (mark all that apply): Residential: () Office: () Retail: () Mixed-Use: ()

Describe development and Uses:

Zone map amendment to reflect current ownership and management of the parcels. No construction or development.

Days and Hours of Operation (if known): n/a

Facility

Building Size (sq. ft.): n/a

Number of Residential Units: n/a

Number of Commercial Units: n/a

Traffic Considerations

Expected Number of Daily Visitors/Patrons (if known):* n/a

Expected Number of Employees (if known):* n/a

Expected Number of Delivery Trucks/Buses per Day (if known):* n/a

Trip Generations during PM/AM Peak Hour (if known):* n/a

Driveway(s) Located on: Street Name

Adjacent Roadway(s) Posted Speed: Street Name Posted Speed

Street Name Posted Speed

Roadway Information (adjacent to site)

Comprehensive Plan Corridor Designation/Functional Classification: n/a
(arterial, collector, local, main street)

Comprehensive Plan Center Designation: n/a
(urban center, employment center, activity center)

Jurisdiction of roadway (NMDOT, City, County): n/a

Adjacent Roadway(s) Traffic Volume: n/a Volume-to-Capacity Ratio: n/a
(if applicable)

Adjacent Transit Service(s): n/a Nearest Transit Stop(s): n/a

Is site within 660 feet of Premium Transit?: no

Current/Proposed Bicycle Infrastructure: n/a
(bike lanes, trails)

Current/Proposed Sidewalk Infrastructure: n/a

Relevant Web-sites for Filling out Roadway Information:

City GIS Information: <http://www.cabq.gov/gis/advanced-map-viewer>

Comprehensive Plan Corridor/Designation: <https://abc-zone.com/document/abc-comp-plan-chapter-5-land-use> (map after Page 5-5)

Road Corridor Classification: <https://www.mrcog-nm.gov/DocumentCenter/View/1920/Long-Range-Roadway-System-LRRS-PDF?bidId=>

Traffic Volume and V/C Ratio: <https://www.mrcog-nm.gov/285/Traffic-Counts> and <https://public.mrcog-nm.gov/taqa/>

Bikeways: http://documents.cabq.gov/planning/adopted-longrange-plans/BTFP/Final/BTFP%20FINAL_Jun25.pdf (Map Pages 75 to 81)

TIS Determination

Note: Changes made to development proposals / assumptions, from the information provided above, will result in a new TIS determination.

Traffic Impact Study (TIS) Required: Yes [] No ☒ Borderline []

Thresholds Met? Yes [] No ☒

Mitigating Reasons for Not Requiring TIS: Previously Studied: []

Notes:

M.P. P.E.

2/25/2022

TRAFFIC ENGINEER

DATE

Submittal

The Scoping Form must be submitted as part of any building permit application, DRB application, or EPC application. See the Development Process Manual Chapter 7.4 for additional information.

Submit by email to the City Traffic Engineer mgrush@cabq.gov . Call 924-3362 for information.

Site Plan/Traffic Scoping Checklist

Site plan, building size in sq. ft. (show new, existing, remodel), to include the following items as applicable:

1. Access -- location and width of driveways
2. Sidewalks (Check DPM and IDO for sidewalk requirements. Also, Centers have wider sidewalk requirements.)
3. Bike Lanes (check for designated bike routes, long range bikeway system) ([*check MRCOG Bikeways and Trails in the 2040 MTP map*](#))
4. Location of nearby multi-use trails, if applicable ([*check MRCOG Bikeways and Trails in the 2040 MTP map*](#))
5. Location of nearby transit stops, transit stop amenities (eg. bench, shelter). Note if site is within 660 feet of premium transit.
6. Adjacent roadway(s) configuration (number of lanes, lane widths, turn bays, medians, etc.)
7. Distance from access point(s) to nearest adjacent driveways/intersections.
8. Note if site is within a Center and more specifically if it is within an Urban Center.
9. Note if site is adjacent to a Main Street.
10. Identify traffic volumes on adjacent roadway per MRCOG information. If site generates more than 100 vehicles per hour, identify v/c ratio on this form.



July 5, 2022

Mr. Tim MacEachen, Chair
Environmental Planning Commission
City of Albuquerque Planning Department
600 2nd Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

RE: Request for a Zoning Map Amendment

Landscape Architecture
Urban Design
Planning Services

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The purpose of this letter is to request a Zoning Map Amendment – EPC for two parcels totaling approximately 9.77 acres. The request consists of two parcels legally described as;

1. Tract B Vacation & Replat Comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco De Los Santos & Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3 Continuing 4.1236 acres
2. Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A Thru 25-A Inclusive and Lots 133-A Thru 145-A Inclusive El Rancho Atrisco De Los Santos Continuing 5.6542 Acres

The parcels are currently zoned R-1A and PD. The request is to rezone the parcels to NR-PO-A to reflect the current ownership and management of the lots by the City of Albuquerque Parks and Recreation Department. The request meets the applicability criteria in IDO section 14-16-6-7(G), therefore the request is subject to review and approval by the Environmental Planning Commission as a Zoning Map Amendment – EPC and does not require City Council approval.

THE REQUEST

The applicant, the City of Albuquerque Parks and Recreation Department seeks a Zone Map Amendment for approximately 9 acres of land consisting of two parcels described as Tract B and C of El Rancho Atrisco de Los Santos. The parcels are located in the West Side Coalition Neighborhood Association boundaries as part of the Ladera West neighborhood. The purpose of the zone map amendment is to reflect the correct ownership and management of the lot by the City of Albuquerque Parks and Recreation Department.

SITE HISTORY

Both parcels have historically and currently remained vacant. Historic aerial imagery shows the surrounding subdivisions being established sometime between 1961 and 1996. County plat records show both strips of land were platted in 1993 and 1994. The two parcels seem to have been designed with the subdivision to serve as a utility easement with overhead powerlines.

PRINCIPALS

James K. Strozier, FAICP
Christopher J. Green, PLA,
ASLA, LEED AP
Jacqueline Fishman, AICP

SITE OVERVIEW + LAND USE AND ZONING



Site Overview:	Zoning & Land Use:
	
<p>Current Zone Designation: R-1A, PD Proposed Zone Designation: NR-PO-B Size of Site: 9.77 Current Condition: Utility easement Surrounding Conditions: Residential housing, Horizon Academy West, Rinconada Park, Ladera Golf Course City Development Area: Consistency Community Planning Area: West Mesa Boundary Streets: Todos Santos Street NW, San Benito Street NW, Vista Alegre NW, Ouray Road NW</p>	<p>The Todos Santos site is currently zoned R-1A (Residential Single Family Small Lot) and PD (Planned Development) allowing for single-family homes and PD which allows small to medium-scale innovative projects.</p> <p>The property is not located in a Center, Main Street, or Major Transit Corridor.</p> <p>The nearest Major Transit Corridor which is Coors Boulevard is over a mile away. Additionally, the nearest Center which is the Ladera Activity Center is also over a mile away.</p>

TABLE 6. Todos Santos Surrounding Zoning & Land Use

NORTH	PD, R-1A, R-1C, NR-PO-A, NR-PO-B	Vacant land, single-family residential, Major Public Open Space
SOUTH	NR-BP, R-1B, R-T, MX-L, PD	Office, religious, manufacturing, single-family residential
EAST	R-1B, R-1C, NR-C	Single-family residential
WEST	R-1A, R-1B, NR-PO-A	Single-family residential, city-owned or managed public park



INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE JUSTIFICATION CRITERIA

The following explanation summarizes how the request for a Zoning Map Amendment meets the IDO criteria pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-7(G) Zoning Map Amendment – EPC.

6-7(G)(3) An application for a Zoning Map Amendment shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:

6-7(G)(3)(a): The proposed zone change is consistent with the health, safety, and general welfare of the City as shown by furthering (and not being in conflict with) a preponderance of applicable Goals and Policies in the ABC Comp Plan, as amended, and other applicable plans adopted by the City.

Applicant response: The proposed zone change is consistent with the health, safety, and general welfare of the City by aligning with a majority of applicable Goals and Policies as outlined in the ABC Comprehensive Plan. The zone change request coincides with placemaking initiatives, community green spaces, water, and natural resource conservation, desired growth, equitable distribution of amenities, land use, and walkability. Please refer to subsequent sections for an in-depth policy analysis.

ALBUQUERQUE/BERNALILLO COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

This request is aligned with the scale, character, and current land uses of the neighborhood. Approval of the requested zone change to NR-PO-A is advantageous to the surrounding communities as conveyed by the ABC Comprehensive Plan. The following section provides an analysis of the relevant ABC Comprehensive Plan goals and policies that confirm the advantages of the proposed zone change for the surrounding communities.

Goal 5.2 Complete Communities: Foster communities where residents can live, work, shop, and play together.

Applicant Response: This request helps to foster communities where residents can live, work, shop, and play together because the addition of the NR-PO-A zone to the Ladera West neighborhood provides a public amenity where residents can play together and take part in outdoor recreation or leisure activities. Public amenities including parks and green spaces are a key element to creating complete communities that provide residents with increased health, wellness, and quality of life benefits through walkable accessible outdoor spaces.

POLICY 5.2.1 Land Uses: Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

a) Encourage development and redevelopment that brings goods, services, and amenities within walking and biking distance of neighborhoods and promotes good access for all residents.

e) Create healthy, sustainable communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

n) Encourage more productive use of vacant lots and under-utilized lots, including surface parking.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment creates healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods by creating a public amenity that is centered around quality of life and community recreation and is situated in the Ladera West residential neighborhood increasing walkability and access. There is one park and two golf courses in the Ladera West neighborhood and the addition of the subject site will create a linear walkable path from the north of the neighborhood to Ouray Road in the south.

This Zone Map Amendment meets sub-criteria policy (a) because by encouraging development that is consistent with the scale of the neighborhood through the NR-PO-A zone it will bring a public amenity within walking distance to nearby neighborhoods.

This Zone Map Amendment meets sub-criteria policy (e) because by requesting NR-PO-A and creating public spaces, which increase the quality of life and overall health and wellbeing of residents, it will provide equitable access to outdoor amenities which helps to create sustainable communities with a mix of uses.

This Zone Map Amendment meets sub-criteria policy (n) because by encouraging new park uses of the Todos Santos parcels through the requested NR-PO-A zone it will promote a more productive use for vacant and underutilized lots. It will also provide a linear open green space accessible by residents to the east and west of the subject site.

Goal 5.6 City Development Areas: Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

Applicant Response: Both parcels are located in an Area of Consistency. The Zone Map Amendment reinforces the character and intensity of the area by providing a public amenity that is consistent with the scale of the neighborhood. The Ladera West neighborhood is characterized by suburban single-family housing and predominantly residential land uses. The addition of the NR-PO-A zone will help to create a diversity of land uses while maintaining the characteristics and appeal of the neighborhood. The Zone Map Amendment limits the density and development of new uses in Areas of Consistency by reserving approximately 10 acres of land for public use which then encourages new infill development into Areas of Change.

POLICY 5.6.1 Community Green Space: Provide visual relief from urbanization and offer opportunities for education, recreation, cultural activities, and conservation of natural resources by setting aside publicly-owned Open Space, Parks, trail corridors, and open areas throughout the Comp Plan area.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment provides visual relief from urbanization and offers opportunities for recreation activities by zoning approximately 10 acres of land to NR-PO-A which is specifically designed for public parks. The subject property is located adjacent to single-family housing on the east and west of the site. Preserving these parcels through the NR-PO-A zone



provides visual relief from urbanization by limiting the types of allowable uses while maintaining uses that are advantageous to complete communities. The linear characteristics of the parcels provide an approximately 10-acre walking path for nearby residents.

POLICY 5.6.3 Areas of Consistency: Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, parks, and Major Public Open Space.

d) In areas with predominantly single-family residential uses, support zone changes that help align the appropriate zone with existing land uses.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment helps to protect and enhance the character of the existing Ladera West single-family neighborhood by zoning the subject property NR-PO-A for public park use that is consistent with the appeal and scale of the neighborhood and facilitates the overall health and well-being of the area. The neighborhood currently has one park near the southwestern portion of the subject site that is improved with park amenities. There is a portion of land near the northwestern portion of the site that is zoned NR-PO-A but is not improved with any facilities.

This Zone Map Amendment meets sub-criteria policy (d) because the public park zone designation helps to align the vacant lots of the Todos Santos property with the surrounding residential neighborhoods and associated land uses. It also creates linear access to the existing NR-PO-A zones to the northwest and southwest of the site.

Goal 7.2 Pedestrian-Accessible Design: Increase walkability in all environments, promote pedestrian-oriented development in urban contexts and increase pedestrian safety in auto-oriented contexts.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment increases walkability where the Todos Santos parcels are located by creating a public facility that is near housing in the surrounding neighborhoods.

Goal 10.1 Facilities & Access: Provide parks, Open Space, and recreation facilities that meet the needs of all residents and use natural resources responsibly.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment provides parks and recreation facilities that meet the needs of all residents and use natural resources responsibly by creating new public spaces within residential neighborhoods that increase equitable access to public amenities. Creating a small neighborhood park or public space within a residential neighborhood can provide access to public green space and recreation areas which is a responsible use of natural resources. The Ladera West neighborhood currently only has one park improved with park facilities and the addition of the subject site as NR-PO-A adds to the types of public spaces residents have access to. The subject site is currently vacant and creates a natural linear park that can be used for walking or other similar recreation activities.

POLICY 10.1.1 Distribution: Improve the community's access to recreational opportunities by balancing the City and County's parks and Open Space systems with the built environment.

a) Protect and maintain a high-quality, accessible system of recreation facilities and sites sufficient to serve all areas.

b) Establish an interconnected network of parks, Open Space, and trails with safe pedestrian connections to community facilities, neighborhoods, and Centers.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment improves community access to recreational opportunities by increasing public spaces in the Ladera West residential neighborhoods. This zone map amendment request helps to balance parks with the built environment because the neighborhood is primarily single-family residential and the addition of approximately 10 acres of land will create variation in land use and limit the construction of more housing.

This Zone Map Amendment meets sub-criteria policy (a) because by creating a public space out of the vacant lots of the Todos Santos property and establishing the correct zone designation it will help to protect and maintain high-quality accessible public recreation facilities. The Ladera West Community and residents who live to the east and west of the subject property will have increased access to outdoor recreation areas.

This Zone Map Amendment meets sub-criteria policy (b) by adding approximately 10 acres of land to the public park network that is accessible to nearby residents and increases the walkability for all residents in the associated neighborhoods. There is multi-modal access to the southern portion of the subject property through a bike lane on Ouray Road NW which continues along Unser Boulevard NW and provides access to Major Public Open Space. This request helps to create pedestrian connectivity to other existing Open Space areas and parks near the neighborhood by capitalizing on existing infrastructure effectively increasing the interconnectivity of the park's network.

Goal 10.2 Parks: Provide opportunities for outdoor education, recreation, and cultural activities that meet community needs, enhance the quality of life, and promote community involvement for all residents.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment will provide opportunities for outdoor recreation, education, and cultural activities that meet community needs, enhance the quality of life, and promote community involvement by increasing the network of public parks throughout the city and reserving land for uses that are geared toward the public good. Quality of life is increased for residents when they have access to public amenities and green spaces and the location of the parcel provides residents on the east and west of the site access to a linear park that is approximately 10 acres in size.

POLICY 10.2.1 Park Types: Plan and implement a system of parks to meet a range of needs at different scales, including small neighborhood parks, community parks, active parks, regional parks, and linear parks.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment helps to implement a system of parks to meet a range of needs at different scales by seeking to zone



approximately 10 acres of land for public use in the Ladera West neighborhood. The Todos Santos parcels are long and narrow making them ideal for a small linear park. A linear park currently does not exist in the Ladera West neighborhood; therefore, the addition of these parcels to the park network can help to meet a range of different scales.

Goal 13.5 Community Health: Protect and maintain safe and healthy environments where people can thrive.

Applicant Response: This Zone Map Amendment helps to protect and maintain safe and healthy environments where people can thrive by adding outdoor public recreation areas that increase the health and well-being of residents by improving air quality, preserving natural resources, promoting walkability, providing relief from urbanization, and increasing property values. The Ladera West neighborhood is largely suburban development with limited amenities. Mixed land uses and public spaces aid in the creation of complete communities where people can thrive. The requested NR-PO-A zone will help to create environments where people can thrive by maintaining land for public use.

6-7(G)(3)(b) If the subject property is located partially or completely in an Area of Consistency (as shown in the ABC Comp Plan, as amended), the applicant has demonstrated that the new zone would clearly reinforce or strengthen the established character of the surrounding Area of Consistency and would not permit development that is significantly different from that character. The applicant must also demonstrate that the existing zoning is inappropriate because it meets any of the following criteria:

- 1. There was a typographical or clerical error when the existing zone district was applied to the property.**
- 2. There has been a significant change in neighborhood or community conditions affecting the site.**
- 3. A different zone district is more advantageous to the community as articulated by the ABC Comp Plan, as amended (including implementation of patterns of land use, development density and intensity, and connectivity), and other applicable adopted City plan(s)**

Applicant response: This zone change meets sub-criteria 3 as the addition of public space is advantageous to the Ladera West community for health and environmental reasons as outlined by the ABC Comprehensive Plan. Both parcels are located in an area of consistency and the addition of the NR-PO-A zone classification helps to protect and enhance the characteristics of the surrounding communities.

6-7(G)(3)(c) If the subject property is located wholly in an Area of Change (as shown in the ABC Comp Plan, as amended) and the applicant has demonstrated that the existing zoning is inappropriate because it meets any of the following criteria:

- 1. There was a typographical or clerical error when the existing zone district was applied to the property.**

2. There has been a significant change in neighborhood or community conditions affecting the site that justifies this request.

3. A different zone district is more advantageous to the community as articulated by the ABC Comp Plan, as amended (including implementation of patterns of land use, development density and intensity, and connectivity), and other applicable adopted City plan(s).

Applicant Response: The subject site is located in an Area of Consistency; therefore, 6-7(G)(3)(b) is the applicable policy for this request.

6-7(G)(3)(d) The requested zoning does not include permissive uses that would be harmful to adjacent property, the neighborhood, or the community unless the Use-specific Standards in Section 16-16-0 are associated with that use will adequately mitigate those harmful impacts.

Applicant Response: Permissive uses in NR-PO-A zones are advantageous to creating complete communities. These uses range from park facilities, community centers, community gardens, and farmers' markets. Permissive uses that could be viewed as harmful to adjacent residents such as an event facility, stadium, high school, racetrack, or sports field could not be established on the subject property due to the size and linear configuration of the parcels, development standards set forth by the IDO, and other realistic constraints that would make its construction impossible.

IDO section 4-3(C)(7)(a) NR-PO-A or Other Zone District with a City-owned or City-operated Park states *Parks and recreational facilities, including associated community buildings, lighting, parking areas, trails, play areas, playgrounds, exercise stations, basketball courts, restrooms, drinking water facilities, picnic shelters, storage sheds and enclosures, and any other structures or improvements approved by the City Parks and Recreation Department are allowed.*

Figure 1. Allowable Uses for NR-PO-A compared to R-1A

A=Permissive Accessory T= Temporary CA=Conditional Accessory
P=Permissive C=Conditional Primary Blank Cell=Not Allowed
CV=Conditional if Structure is Vacant for 5 years or more

Allowable Uses	R-1A	NR-PO-A
Elementary or Middle School*	C	P
High School*	C	P
Museum		P
Sports Field		P
Community Garden	P	A
Nursery		A
Other Indoor Entertainment		P
Paid Parking Lot		A
Club or Event Facility		P
Amphitheater	C	P
Balloon Fiesta Park Events/Activities*		P

Stadium or Racetrack*		P
Other Outdoor Entertainment	CA	P
Farmers Market		P
Park-and-Ride Lot		A
Drainage Facility	P	A
Electric Facility	P	A
Geothermal Energy Generation	A	A
Major Utility	P	A
Wind Energy Generation		A
Automated Teller Machine		T
Mobile Vending Cart		A

**These uses would not be developable on this property due to its small size.*

6-7(G)(3)(e) The City's existing infrastructure and public improvements, including but not limited to its street, trail, and sidewalk systems, meet any of the following criteria:

- 1. Have adequate capacity to serve the development made possible by the change of zone.**
- 2. Will have adequate capacity based on improvements for which the City has already approved and budgeted capital funds during the next calendar year.**
- 3. Will have adequate capacity when the applicant fulfills its obligations under the IDO, the DPM, and/or an Infrastructure Improvements Agreement (IIA).**
- 4. Will have adequate capacity when the City and the applicant have fulfilled their respective obligations under a City approved Development Agreement between the City and the applicant.**

Applicant Response: This request meets sub-criteria 1 as the subject site will be served by existing city infrastructure including streets, sidewalks, and applicable parking. There are currently no plans for the development of the subject site.

6-7(G)(3)(f) The applicant's justification for the Zoning Map Amendment is not completely based on the property's location on a major street.

Applicant's Response: The purposed zone map amendment is being justified based on the advantages it provides for the community rather than its location on a major street.

6-7(G)(3)(g) The applicant's justification is not based completely or predominantly on the cost of land or economic considerations.

Applicant's Response: The purposed zone map amendment is being justified based on the advantages it provides for the community rather than the cost of land.

6-7(G)(3)(h) The Zoning Map Amendment does not apply a zone district different from surrounding zone districts to one small area or one premise (i.e. create a "spot zone") or to a strip of land along a street (i.e. create a



“strip zone”) unless the requested zoning will clearly facilitate implementation of the ABC Comp Plan, as amended, and at least one of the following applies:

- 1. The subject property is different from the surrounding land because it can function as a transition between adjacent zone districts.**
- 2. The subject property is not suitable for the uses allowed in any adjacent zone district due to topography, traffic, or special adverse land uses nearby.**
- 3. The nature of structures already on the subject property makes it unsuitable for the uses allowed in any adjacent zone district.**


Applicant Response: The zone map amendment request for the subject parcels meets sub-criteria 1 functioning as a transition space and helping to increase walkability, providing visual relief from the urban environment, protecting natural resources, as well as promoting community healthy and complete neighborhoods.

This request additionally meets sub-criteria 3 as the nature of the structures on the site which are electric utility lines makes it unsuitable for the uses allowed in the adjacent residential zones. The long linear nature of the parcels makes it a prime location for a linear park or public walking path for nearby residents.

CONCLUSION

The requested zone change to NR-PO-A for both of the parcels listed within this letter will provide numerous benefits for the community and environment as outlined in the above goals and policies. On behalf of the City of Albuquerque, we respectfully request the Environmental Planning Commission’s approval of this Zoning Map Amendment.

Sincerely,



James K. Strozier, FAICP
Principal

STAFF INFORMATION

June 28, 2022

TO: Jim Strozier & Avery Frank, Consensus Planning
FROM: Sergio Lozoya, Leroy Duarte - Current Planners
City of Albuquerque Planning Department
TEL: (505) 924-3352
RE: NR-PO-A Zone Changes

We've completed a first review of the proposed zoning map amendment (zone change). We would like to discuss the request and have a few revisions to the justification letter. We're available to answer questions about the process and requirements. Please provide the following:

⇒ A revised zoning change justification letter pursuant to the zone change criteria (one PDF copy) by:
July 5, 2022.

Note: If you have difficulty with this deadline, please let me know.

1) Introduction:

- A. Though We've done our best for this review, additional items may arise as the case progresses. If so, we will inform you immediately.
- B. It is our understanding that you have submitted 5 (five) separate requests for a Zone Map Amendment to 5 separate subject sites. Each of these requests will require individual justification letters, Staff Reports, and be presented separately at the EPC.
- C. This is what we have for the legal descriptions:

Genevas (PR-2022-00007158_ RZ-2022-00032) (Sergio Lozoya)

- 1. Easterly Portion of Strip of Land in Southeast ¼ Continuing
- 2. Westerly Portion of Strip of Land in Southeast ¼ Albuquerque Grant

Kapinson (PR-2022-007159_RZ-2022-00033) (Leroy Duarte)

- 1. Fraction of Lot 2 Located in the Northeast ¼ Northeast ¼ Section 11 Tract 11N R3E also known as, Tract F Heirs of Filiberto Gurule Tract Continuing 4.56 Acres +/-
- 2. Fraction of Lot 2 Located in the Northeast ¼ Northeast ¼ Section 11 Tract 11N R3E also known as Tract F Heirs of Filiberto Gurule Tract Continuing 4.55 Acres +/-

Sanata Barbara (PR-2022-007160_ RZ-2022-00034) (Leroy Duarte)

- 1. Northernly Portion of Tract D Correction Plat of the bulk land Plat of La Cuentista Subdivision (tracts A thru E) 1 acres.

Todos Santos (PR-2022-007161_ RZ-2022-00035) (Leroy Duarte)

1. Tract B Vacation & Replat Comprised of Portion of El Rancho Atrisco De Los Santos & Tract 7-A-2 El Rancho Atrisco Phase 2 to Ladera West Unit 3 Continuing 4.1236 acres
2. Tract C Plat of Tract C Lots 1-A Thru 25-A Inclusive and Lots 133-A Thru 145-A Inclusive El Rancho Atrisco De Los Santos Continuing 5.6542 Acres

Truman (PR-2022-007162_ RZ-2022-00035) (Sergio Lozoya)

1. Tract A Plat of Tract A Block 63 & Lippett Park Bel-Air Subdivision 1.1 acres

2) Process:

- A. Information regarding the EPC process, including the calendar and current Staff reports, can be found at:
<http://www.cabq.gov/planning/boards-commissions/environmental-planning-commission>
- B. Timelines and EPC calendar: the EPC public hearing for July 21, 2022. Final staff reports will be available one week prior, on July 14, 2022.
- C. Note that, if a zone change request is denied, you cannot reapply again for one year (for the same zone district).
- D. Agency comments will be distributed as staff receives them. I will email you a copy of the comments.

3) Notification & Neighborhood Issues:

Notification requirements for a zone change are explained in Section 14-16-6-4(K), Public Notice (IDO, p.378). The required notification consists of: i) an emailed letter to neighborhood representatives indicated by the ONC, and ii) a mailed letter (first-class) to property owners within 100 feet of the subject site.

- A. It appears that notification offering the pre-application facilitated meeting is complete.
- B. A facilitated meeting was held Via Zoom on 4-28-22 and several questions and comments were made. Is that correct?
- C. The notification to property owners appears to be complete for all sites. Thank you for providing photos of the certified mail receipts and a list of the neighbors within a 100' buffer.
- D. **The sign posting period is 15 days prior and after the EPC hearing date from Wednesday July 7, 2022 to August 4, 2022.**
- E. Have any neighborhood representatives or members of the public contacted you so far?

4) Project Letter:

- A. Each letter should demonstrate how the ZMA review and decision criteria, 6-7(G)(3) are met per each individual subject site. E.g., the policy analysis needs to be specific to each site.
- B. Please expand on the history and context of each of the subject sites provide case history if any.

5) Zone Map Amendment (zone change)- Overview:

- A. The task in a zone change justification is to choose applicable Goals and policies from the Comprehensive Plan and demonstrate how the request furthers (makes a reality) each applicable Goal and policy. **Furthering is shown by providing explanations using “because” statements and tailoring the response to match the wording of the Goal or policy.**
- B. Please revise or add goals/policies that align with zone map request pursuant to each individual site.
- C. Policies that partially further the goal will not be included in staff findings, please review goals and policies.
- D. Policy 5.1.1- Desired Growth: The request is for a zone map amendment, nothing is being created. Instead touch on points that pertain to existing walkable paths, spaces, etc.
- E. Goal 5.6- City Development Areas: Be specific about each site and explain their own characteristics and intensities.
- F. Policy 5.2.1 Land Uses Sub Policy (n): Be specific with each site and specific as to what neighborhoods you are addressing.
- G. Policy 5.6.1 Community Green Space: Be specific as to what community green spaces you are talking about, each site has different types of communities and characteristics of green spaces.
- H. Policy 5.6.3 Areas of Consistency: Elaborate on the character you are referring to.
- I. Goal 10.1 Facilities and Access: Elaborate as to how this zone map amendment meets this goal criteria. These parks exist and already exist, maybe elaborate on the change from private to public meets this goal. If there are future plans for the site(s) explain if not then I would leave out the last sentence that describes parcels being developed to meet the needs of residents.
- J. Policy 10.1.1 Distribution: Elaborate why this would protect and maintain the network of green space; i.e. *by keeping the space vacant this would reinforce the character and connection of open networks....*
- K. Goal 10.2 Parks: Explain how this goal will be achieved and how the quality of life will be achieved.
- L. 10.2.1 Park Types: Please be specific as to how and what types of parks will be meet the needs, i.e. for Balloon Fiesta Park elaborate on that subject and use that for Goal 13.5 Community Health as well.
- M. 6-7(G)(3)(b): Explain what cultural reasons you are referring to for each site (if applicable)
- N. 6-7(G)(3)(d): Discuss downzones and include harmful uses individually.

O. 6-7(G)(3)(e): Explain this criterion follows Option 1 and use same language.

Q. 6-7(G)(3)(h): If no spot zones are being created do not mention spot zones.

NOTIFICATION

Duarte, Leroy D.

From: Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com>
Sent: Monday, August 1, 2022 8:05 AM
To: Duarte, Leroy D.
Cc: Jim Strozier
Subject: FW: Zone Map Amendment Public Notice

[EXTERNAL] Forward to phishing@cabq.gov and delete if an email causes any concern.

Good morning,

I wanted to forward you the final correspondence from the Ladera West NA to show they are not requesting a facilitated meeting and that all of their questions have been answered. Please do let me know if there is anything else you need from me regarding the official public notice for the Todos Santos application.

From: Rose Keating <rkeating14@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, August 1, 2022 7:51 AM
To: Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com>
Cc: steve Collins <slcnalbq@aol.com>; Jackie Fishman <fishman@consensusplanning.com>
Subject: Re: Zone Map Amendment Public Notice

Good Morning, thank you for the feedback. I believe this answers my questions. Rose Marie

On Jul 29, 2022, at 8:52 AM, Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com> wrote:

Hello,

The parks staff got back to me and stated it was their understanding that the Todos Santos parcels were dedicated to the city as detached open space. The city has no plans for development and the area has not been proposed as a safe outdoor space. The request is to clean up the zoning and ensure the zone map matches the correct ownership and management of the parcels. The area has been deemed not appropriate for Major Public Open Space zoning for the reasons described in the previous email. Please do let me know if you have any further questions.

From: Rose Marie <rkeating14@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2022 12:27 PM
To: Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com>
Cc: steve Collins <slcnalbq@aol.com>; Jackie Fishman <fishman@consensusplanning.com>
Subject: Re: Zone Map Amendment Public Notice

Thanks for the follow-up. Rose Marie

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2022, at 11:35 AM, Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com> wrote:

Good morning,

I wanted to check in and let you know I haven't forgotten about your questions. I have reached out to the appropriate city staff and am waiting on a response back. As soon as I hear from them, I will reach out to you with their responses.

From: Rose Marie <rkeating14@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 9:46 AM
To: Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com>
Cc: steve Collins <slcnalbq@aol.com>; Jackie Fishman <fishman@consensusplanning.com>
Subject: Re: Zone Map Amendment Public Notice

Sorry, it is Ms Frank, isn't it? Thanks for the quick response. We will just await hearing back from you on the Park's response. Rose Marie

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 22, 2022, at 9:26 AM, Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com> wrote:

I am not sure what prompted the City of Albuquerque to acquire the properties, but I have reached out to the parks department to ensure I get an accurate response to your question. I know the city acquired several parcels of land totaling approximately 80 acres at various points across Albuquerque to protect the land from development and preserve the areas for the public good. I will find out more about the specific reasoning for the Todos Santos parcels from the parks staff. All the acquired parcels are undergoing a zone change request to either NR-PO-A (city park) or NR-PO-B (city open space) to reflect the correct ownership and management. NR-PO-B zoning has regulations which dictate building form and other development restrictions for properties within a 330 ft buffer. The Todos Santos parcels, if zoned NR-PO-B, due to buffer regulations, could negatively impact existing residence within the buffer zone; therefore, the city would like the parcels zoned NR-PO-A to ensure they are protected and there is no adverse impact on surrounding landowners. It is my understanding that these parcels will be reserved for public use and any development of the parcels would be in alignment with the goal of preserving public open space and community parks.

From: Rose Marie <rkeating14@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 8:55 AM
To: Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com>
Cc: steve Collins <slcnalbq@aol.com>; Jackie Fishman <fishman@consensusplanning.com>
Subject: Re: Zone Map Amendment Public Notice

Ms Avery, that leads me to ask what prompted the City of Albuquerque to acquire the property. I believe it was Open Space so is this a transfer between City departments?

The neighborhood is trying to understand if this is a step toward making it a safe outdoor space and/or if something specific is planned for the space at this time? Thank you. Rose Marie Keating, LWNA Sec'y

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 22, 2022, at 8:15 AM, Avery Frank
<frank@consensusplanning.com> wrote:

The City of Albuquerque acquired the two linear parcels and would like to change the current zoning to NR-PO-A (City owned or managed public park) to reflect the correct ownership and management of the parcels. The north parcel is zoned R-1A (Single-family residential) and the south parcel is zoned PD (Planned Development). The requested zone change will ensure the zone map matches the correct management of these parcels.

From: Rose Keating <rkeating14@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2022 4:06 PM
To: Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com>
Cc: steve Collins <slcnalbq@aol.com>
Subject: Re: Zone Map Amendment Public Notice

Ms Frank, thank you for the delay. Can you tell us who/what prompted the request for the zoning change? Rose Marie Keating

On Jul 21, 2022, at 9:33 AM, Avery Frank <frank@consensusplanning.com> wrote:

Hello,

This email is to inform you that Consensus Planning, Inc. on behalf of the City of Albuquerque is requesting a zone map amendment to City-Owned Public Park for two parcels of land located near Ouray Rd NW and Unser Blvd NW. The original public meeting request was sent in April to all affected neighborhood associations. It was brought to our attention that there was a clerical error with the original request and the Ladera West Neighborhood Association was not included in the original public notice. We have deferred the hearing until August 18th to provide the Ladera West Neighborhood

Association an opportunity to meet prior to the hearing and discuss any questions you may have about the request. I have attached the required paperwork along with supplemental information about the specific parcels. Thank you and we look forward to hearing from you soon.

Ms. Avery M Frank
Consensus Planning, Inc.
302 8th Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
Phone (505) 764-9801

<Parks complete package.pdf>

From: Carmona, Dalaina L.
To: Aveny, Frank
Subject: Near Vista Alegre y Todos Santos Neighborhood Meeting Inquiry-City Project
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:55:11 PM
Attachments: image001.png
image002.png
image003.png
image004.png
image007.png
Todos_Santos.pdf

Dear Applicant:

Please find the neighborhood contact information listed below. Please make certain to read the information further down in this e-mail as it will help answer other questions you may have.

Association Name	First Name	Last Name	Email	Address Line 1	Address Line 2	City	State	Zip	Mobile Phone	Phone
Laurelwood NA	Dagmar	Nelson	nelsondag@aol.com	7601 Lynwood Drive NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	
Laurelwood NA	Frank	Comfort	laurelwoodna@gmail.com	2003 Pinonwood Avenue NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	
Ladera Heights NA	Allan	Ludi	aludi415@gmail.com	6216 St. Josephs Avenue NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	
Ladera Heights NA	Marie	Ludi	aludi2wo@yahoo.com	6216 St. Josephs Avenue NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	
San Blas HOA	Heidi	Marchand	heidimarchan@gmail.com	6627 San Blas Place NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	
San Blas HOA	Stephanie	Barks	litafarlo@yahoo.com	6614 San Blas Place NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	5059912706
Tres Volcanes NA	Thomas	Borst	t0m2pat@yahoo.com	1908 Selway Place NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	5058034836
Tres Volcanes NA	Rick	Gallagher	randm196@gmail.com	8401 Casa Gris Court NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	
Las Lomitas NA	Nancy	Griego	r.griego04@comcast.net	8024 Corte Del Viento NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	5052286650
Las Lomitas NA	Anne	Shaw	annes@swcp.com	8108 Corte de Aguila NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	5053636583
Rancho Encantado HOA	John	Vigil	jvigil56@outlook.com	5801 Mesa Sombra Place NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	
Rancho Encantado HOA	John	Marco	jjm@vmnet.us	4200 Mesa Rincon Drive NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	
The Courtyards NA	Jayne	Aubele	jaubele1012@comcast.net	2919 Monument Drive NW			Albuquerque	NM	87120	5059808703
The Courtyards NA	Jackie	Cooke	jackiecooke@comcast.net	PO Box 7823		Albuquerque	NM	87194	4105985453	5058390388
Villa De Paz HOA Incorporated	Fran	Pawlak	fpawlak@cgres.com	8500 Jefferson Street NE	Suite B	Albuquerque	NM	87113		5053422797
Villa De Paz HOA Incorporated	Christine	Roy		54 Calle Monte Aplanado NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		
Quaker Heights NA	Vanessa	Alarid	valarid@gmail.com	5818 Jones Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5055030640
Quaker Heights NA	Orlando	Martinez	lilog2002@yahoo.com	5808 Jones Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5053605017
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations	Elizabeth	Haley	ekhaley@comcast.net	6005 Chaparral Circle NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114		5054074381
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations	Rene	Horvath	aboard111@gmail.com	5515 Palomino Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		
Rinconada Point Association Incorporated	Connie	Gilman	rinconadapoint@aol.com	3212 Schumacher Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5054015100
Rinconada Point Association Incorporated	Joni	Ulibarri	joniu1111@gmail.com	3220 Fritzie Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		
Taylor Ranch NA	Rene	Horvath	aboard111@gmail.com	5515 Palomino Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		
Taylor Ranch NA	Nita	Day	secretary@trna.org	6127 Deergrass Circle NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5059084988
SR Marmon NA	Em	Ward	info@srmna.org	PO Box 7434		Albuquerque	NM	87194	5053048167	
SR Marmon NA	Sally	Powell	sally@srmna.org	3301 Coors Boulevard NW	#R170	Albuquerque	NM	87120		5056200068
St Josephs Townhouse Association	Allan	Ludi	aludi415@gmail.com	6216 St. Josephs Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		
St Josephs Townhouse Association	Marie	Ludi	aludi2wo@yahoo.com	6216 St. Josephs Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		
Petroglyphs Management Association, Inc	Paul	Mondragon	pdmondragon@msn.com	1612 Wind Ridge Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		
Petroglyphs Management Association, Inc	Joshua	Martinez	joshmtz@gmail.com	8715 Arkansas Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		

PLEASE NOTE: The ONC does not have any jurisdiction over any other aspect of your application beyond this neighborhood contact information. We can't answer questions about sign postings, pre-construction meetings, permit status, site plans, buffers, or project plans, so we encourage you to contact the Planning Department at: 505-924-3857 Option #1, e-mail: devhelp@cabq.gov, or visit: <https://www.cabq.gov/planning/online-planning-permitting-applications> with those types of questions.

You will need to e-mail each of the listed contacts and let them know that you are applying for an approval from the Planning Department for your project. Please use this online link to find the required forms you will need to submit. <https://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/public-notice>. The Checklist form you need for notifying neighborhood associations can be found here: https://documents.cabq.gov/planning/online-forms/PublicNotice/CABQ-Official_public_notice_form-2019.pdf. The Administrative Decision form you need for notifying neighborhood associations can be found here: <https://documents.cabq.gov/planning/online-forms/PublicNotice/Emailed-Notice-Administrative-Print&Fill.pdf>

Once you have e-mailed the listed contacts in each neighborhood, you will need to attach a copy of those e-mails AND a copy of this e-mail from the ONC to your application and submit it to the Planning Department for approval.

If your application requires you to offer a neighborhood meeting, you can click on this link to find required forms to use in your e-mail to the neighborhood association(s): <http://www.cabq.gov/planning/urban-design-development/neighborhood-meeting-requirement-in-the-integrated-development-ordinance>

If your application requires a pre-application or pre-construction meeting, please plan on utilizing virtual platforms to the greatest extent possible and adhere to all current Public Health Orders and recommendations. The health and safety of the community is paramount.

If you have questions about what type of notification is required for your particular project or meetings that might be required, please click on the link below to see a table of different types of projects and what notification is required for each:

<https://ido.abc-zone.com/integrated-development-ordinance-ido?document=1&outline-name=6-1%20Procedures%20Summary%20Table>



Dalaina L. Carmona

Senior Administrative Assistant
Office of Neighborhood Coordination
Council Services Department
1 Civic Plaza NW, Suite 9087, 9th Floor
Albuquerque, NM 87102
505-768-3334

dlcarmona@cabq.gov or ONC@cabq.gov

Website: www.cabq.gov/neighborhoods



Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.

From: webmaster=cabq.gov@mailgun.org <webmaster=cabq.gov@mailgun.org> **On Behalf Of** webmaster@cabq.gov

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:55 AM

To: Office of Neighborhood Coordination <frank@consensusplanning.com>

Cc: Office of Neighborhood Coordination <onc@cabq.gov>

Subject: Neighborhood Meeting Inquiry Sheet Submission

[EXTERNAL] Forward to phishing@cabq.gov and delete if an email causes any concern.

Neighborhood Meeting Inquiry For:
Other (please specify in field below)

**OFFICIAL PUBLIC NOTIFICATION FORM
FOR MAILED OR ELECTRONIC MAIL NOTICE
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE PLANNING DEPARTMENT**



PART I - PROCESS

Use [Table 6-1-1](#) in the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) to answer the following:

Application Type: Zoning Map Amendment

Decision-making Body: EPC

Pre-Application meeting required: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Neighborhood meeting required: ☒ Yes ☐ No 4/27/22

Mailed Notice required: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Electronic Mail required: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Is this a Site Plan Application: ☐ Yes ☒ No **Note: if yes, see second page**

PART II – DETAILS OF REQUEST

Address of property listed in application: Please see attached

Name of property owner: City of Albuquerque

Name of applicant:

Date, time, and place of public meeting or hearing, if applicable:

EPC Hearing June 16th @ 8:30 am <https://cabq.zoom.us/j/2269592859>

Address, phone number, or website for additional information:

Please call or email frank@consensusplanning.com 505 764 9801

PART III - ATTACHMENTS REQUIRED WITH THIS NOTICE

☒ Zone Atlas page indicating subject property.

☐ Drawings, elevations, or other illustrations of this request.

☒ Summary of pre-submittal neighborhood meeting, if applicable.

☒ Summary of request, including explanations of deviations, variances, or waivers.

IMPORTANT: PUBLIC NOTICE MUST BE MADE IN A TIMELY MANNER PURSUANT TO [SUBSECTION 14-16-6-4\(K\)](#) OF THE INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE (IDO). PROOF OF NOTICE WITH ALL REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS MUST BE PRESENTED UPON APPLICATION.

I certify that the information I have included here and sent in the required notice was complete, true, and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

Jim Strozier

(Applicant signature) 5/5/22 (Date)

Note: Providing incomplete information may require re-sending public notice. Providing false or misleading information is a violation of the IDO pursuant to IDO Subsection 14-16-6-9(B)(3) and may lead to a denial of your application.

**OFFICIAL PUBLIC NOTIFICATION FORM
FOR MAILED OR ELECTRONIC MAIL NOTICE
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE PLANNING DEPARTMENT**



PART IV – ATTACHMENTS REQUIRED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS ONLY

Provide a site plan that shows, at a minimum, the following:

- ☐ a. Location of proposed buildings and landscape areas.
- ☐ b. Access and circulation for vehicles and pedestrians.
- ☐ c. Maximum height of any proposed structures, with building elevations.
- ☐ d. For residential development: Maximum number of proposed dwelling units.
- ☐ e. For non-residential development:
 - ☐ Total gross floor area of proposed project.
 - ☐ Gross floor area for each proposed use.

[Note: Items with an asterisk (*) are required.]

Public Notice of a Proposed Project in the City of Albuquerque for Policy Decisions Mailed to a Property Owner

Date of Notice*: 5/5/22

This notice of an application for a proposed project is provided as required by Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) [Subsection 14-16-6-4\(K\) Public Notice](#) to:

Property Owner within 100 feet*: See attached

Mailing Address*: See attached

Project Information Required by [IDO Subsection 14-16-6-4\(K\)\(1\)\(a\)](#)

1. Subject Property Address* See attached
Location Description _____
2. Property Owner* City of Albuquerque
3. Agent/Applicant* [if applicable] Consensus Planning
4. Application(s) Type* per IDO [Table 6-1-1](#) [mark all that apply]

☒ Zoning Map Amendment

☐ Other: _____

Summary of project/request¹*: _____

Zone Map Amendment to NR-PO-A (City Owned or Managed Public Park)

5. This application will be decided at a public hearing by*:

☒ Environmental Planning Commission (EPC)

☐ City Council

This application will be first reviewed and recommended by:

☐ Environmental Planning Commission (EPC)

☐ Landmarks Commission (LC)

☐ Not applicable (Zoning Map Amendment – EPC only)

Date/Time*: EPC Hearing June 16th @ 8:30 am

Location*²: <https://cabq.zoom.us/j/2269592859>

¹ Attach additional information, as needed to explain the project/request.

² Physical address or Zoom link

[Note: Items with an asterisk () are required.]*

Agenda/meeting materials: <http://www.cabq.gov/planning/boards-commissions>

To contact staff, email devhelp@cabq.gov or call the Planning Department at 505-924-3860.

6. Where more information about the project can be found*³:
Please call or email frank@consensusplanning.com 505 764 9801

Project Information Required for Mail/Email Notice by IDO Subsection 6-4(K)(1)(b):

1. Zone Atlas Page(s)^{*4} Please see attached
2. Architectural drawings, elevations of the proposed building(s) or other illustrations of the proposed application, as relevant*: Attached to notice or provided via website noted above
3. The following exceptions to IDO standards have been requested for this project*:

☐ Deviation(s) ☐ Variance(s) ☐ Waiver(s)

Explanation*:

n/a

4. A Pre-submittal Neighborhood Meeting was required by [Table 6-1-1](#): ☒ Yes ☐ No

Summary of the Pre-submittal Neighborhood Meeting, if one occurred:

Please see attached

³ Address (mailing or email), phone number, or website to be provided by the applicant

⁴ Available online here: <http://data.cabq.gov/business/zoneatlas/>

[Note: Items with an asterisk (*) are required.]

Additional Information:

From the IDO Zoning Map⁵:

1. Area of Property [typically in acres] _____
 2. IDO Zone District _____
 3. Overlay Zone(s) [if applicable] _____
 4. Center or Corridor Area [if applicable] _____
- Current Land Use(s) [vacant, if none] _____
-

NOTE: For Zoning Map Amendment – EPC only, pursuant to [IDO Subsection 14-16-6-4\(L\)](#), property owners within 330 feet and Neighborhood Associations within 660 feet may request a post-submittal facilitated meeting. If requested at least 15 calendar days before the public hearing date noted above, the facilitated meeting will be required. To request a facilitated meeting regarding this project, contact the Planning Department at devhelp@cabq.gov or 505-924-3955.

Useful Links

Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO):

<https://ido.abc-zone.com/>

IDO Interactive Map

<https://tinyurl.com/IDOzoningmap>

⁵ Available here: <https://tinyurl.com/idozoningmap>



Todos Santos Site Buffer



Legend

- Bernalillo County Parcels
- Municipal Limits
 - Corrales
 - Edgewood
 - Los Ranchos
 - Rio Rancho
 - Tijeras
 - UNINCORPORATED
- World Street Map

Notes

100' Buffer

2,404 0 1,202 2,404 Feet

WGS_1984_Web_Mercator_Auxiliary_Sphere
5/4/2022 © City of Albuquerque

1: 14,423

The City of Albuquerque ("City") provides the data on this website as a service to the public. The City makes no warranty, representation, or guaranty as to the content, accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided at this website. Please visit <http://www.cabq.gov/abq-data/abq-data-disclaimer-1> for more information.

THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION

Owner	Owner Address	Owner Address 2
RICE WILLIAM CHARLES & STI	3604 TODOS SANTOS NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE	PO BOX 1293	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87103
ZUBIATE RAUL A & CORINA J	3616 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3632
WARD JEREMY ROSHARD	3108 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3614
MARQUEZ CHRISTINE P & STE	3304 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
PADILLA LORI A & RONNIE R	3125 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
LOPEZ LARRY B	3512 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3628
ORTIZ GLEN & VICKY	3505 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5122
CURB INC A NEW MEXICO CO	5160 SAN FRANCISCO RD NE	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87109
MELTON CYNTHIA ANN	3120 TODOS SANTOS NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
DAVIS LEVON	3409 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5121
CANDELARIA JANET D	3712 TODOS SANTOS NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3646
MORRIS MICHAEL	7536 VISTA ALEGRE ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5101
CHEAIRS AMETHYST KAY	7531 SAN BENITO ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
GATEWOOD JERRY & SHAREE	7527 SAN BENITO ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3676
PELLETIER LEAH M & MATTHI	3145 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
GALLEGOS PATRICIA I & ANTI	3623 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5120
MCGUIRE BRIAN	3516 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3628
SALAZAR MITZI LYNN	3608 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3632
JACOBS BENJAMIN A	7529 MESA DE ARENA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1565
LOYA MOISES & GOMEZ JESSI	3204 TODO SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
TRUJILLO DANIEL & MOEITA	3700 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
MC ANDREW WILLIAM D & N	3117 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
SANCHEZ SYLVIA	3615 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5120
BOSTDORF CYNTHIA A	3408 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
GONZALEZ JORGE OSVALDO	3320 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3617
DE LA TORRE J ANTONIO & E	1231 GEM CT SW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87121
PRIETO ALLEN ELEAZAR	3520 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3628
IGLESAIS EDUARDO & MANU	3504 TODOS SANTOS NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
MALDONADO JESUS	3128 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3614
MARTINEZ HELEN F	7621 SAN BENITO ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3643
FERNANDEZ DEBBIE	7625 SAN BENITO ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
CAULEY DONNA L & REYNA R	7532 VISTA ALEGRE ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5101
BERRY LYNN	3612 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3632
RODRIGUEZ-ESPARZA JESUS I	3420 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3622
MOORMAN MARGARET JANE	3515 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5122
KENNEDY DONNA & STEVE L	3208 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
NGUYEN THANH THANH & N	3609 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5120
RUIZ DIEGO & EMMA J	1616 54TH ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87105
COLT PROPERTIES LLC	PO BOX 484	TIJERAS NM 87059-0484
ANDERSON KIMBERLY A	3404 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3658
CROMWELL AVA E	3141 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
MORA STEPHANIE L	3415 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5121
LSF9 MASTER PARTICIPATION	3701 REGENT BLVD SUITE 200	IRVING TX 75063-2296
GARCIA CATHERINE T	3129 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
TEPPORN KENNETH & WITZEI	3716 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3646
THOMPSON WILLIAM	3133 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
RADLOFF SHARON L	3316 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3617
MASEFIELD BENJAMIN S	7524 MESA DE ARENA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1563
AMAFCA	2600 PROSPECT AVE NE	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87107-1836
GINGRICH ELIZABETH J	3704 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87103
GARCIA ROBERT P & YVONNE	3500 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
LEACH PATRICIA A	7533 MESA DE ARENA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1565
TARIN YESENIA & HERNANDE	3605 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5120
ROSE JOHN H & VIRGINIA S	3308 TODOS SANTOS NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
MACDONALD IAIN E & HELEN	3312 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
GARNER CHRISTINE D	117 61ST ST SW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87121-2321
SANDOVAL JASON P	3149 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
TAFOYA ANDREW M & STELL	3121 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
CHAVEZ MARY ELLEN	3124 TODOS SANTOS DR NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3614
GRAY JANET E	3212 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
ARNAUD SHAWN D	3501 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5122

AL-TAMIMI ELLAF S	3115 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
RAMIREZ MARIA M	3412 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3622
PADILLA JESSICA I	3524 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3628
WILLOCK VANESSA S & MISTI	3039 PRENDA DE PLATA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1556
BACA CARMEN M	3600 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
MARTINEZ EVANGELINE K	3112 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3614
CRAWFORD JASON	3035 PRENDA DE PLATA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1556
HATCH ALAN WILLIAM	3528 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3628
GAILLOUR MARSHA & HARRI!	5100 TIMAN AVE NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87114-4504
BAILEY MIKE C & JOY E	3619 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5120
ARELLANO ROY IRVING & LIS/	3300 TODOS SANTOS NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
BLEA RACHEL M & MARTINEZ	7629 SAN BENITO ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3643
MANZANARES AARON & CAR	3601 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5120
BENAVIDEZ BRIDGETTE R	3116 TODOS SANTOS NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
MORA STEPHANIE	3415 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5121
RIVERA JULIANNE B	3137 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1582
SAIZ DION A & TARA	3509 LOMA PEDREGOSA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-5122
BIRO G SANDOR & EVA KAY	7528 MESA DE ARENA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-1563
DE LA CRUZ RIVAS ALEJANDR	3508 TODOS SANTOS ST NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-3628
GIL VIRGILIO SERGIO SR TRUS	6506 CALLE REDONDA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-2728
GIL JASON PAUL	502 GOLDEN MEADOWS CT SE	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87124-0000
GIL VIRGILIO S	6506 CALLE REDONDA NW	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120-2728



U.S. Postal Service®
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
 Expedited Mail Only

POSTAGE GUARANTEED: If not delivered, refund of postage.

OFFICIAL USE

DATE OF MAILING: 4/27/75
 TIME OF MAILING: 10:00 AM
 OFFICE: PORTLAND, ME
 ZIP CODE: 04101

TO: AMERICAN REVOLUTION BICENTENNIAL MAIL OFFICE
 1065 S. MAIN ST.
 PORTLAND, ME 04101

FROM: [Redacted]

RECEIVED BY: [Redacted]

DATE OF DELIVERY: 4/27/75
 TIME OF DELIVERY: 10:00 AM
 OFFICE: PORTLAND, ME
 ZIP CODE: 04101

POSTAGE: \$0.00
 INSURANCE: \$0.00
 TOTAL: \$0.00

POSTAGE GUARANTEED: If not delivered, refund of postage.

U.S. Postal Service®
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
 Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit the website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Receipt No. **9508**

Date Sent **SEP 11 2011**

Postage **\$1.75**

Additional Services (See back of envelope for details)

Registered Mail	0
Return Receipt (hardcopy)	0
Return Receipt (electronic)	0
Signature Confirmation	0
Signature Required	0
Insurance (up to \$500)	0

Postage **\$1.75**

Total Postage **\$1.75**

Item to
 Sent to
 ZIP+4®
 Only (See back)

CITIGROUP SMITH BARNEY FRANKLIN BANK
CREDIT ST BANK OF NEW JERSEY NEW
07102

U.S. Form 3800, April 2010 (PSN 7530-02-000-9048) See Reverse for Instructions

[illegible]

7021 0350 0000 8339 4546

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit usps.com/cmr or call 800-398-7378

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$3.75

PSN Service & Fees (please check all that apply to this shipment)

<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$2.80
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$3.50
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted	\$0.00

Postage \$0.75

Total Postage **PADILLA LORI A & RONNIE C**

Post to **LOMA PEDREGOSA NW ALBUQUERQUE**

Zip and Zip+4 **NM 87120-1582**

PS Form 3800, April 2002 (see instructions) See Restricted Mail Instructions

7021 0350 0000 4534 9494

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For Security Information, visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Controlled Mail Fee \$3.70
Postage \$6.78
Total Postage \$10.48

Services & Fees (check box) and/or add-on services:
Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☒
Return Receipt (hardcopy) ☐
Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☒
Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐
Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐

Postmark
Date
Time

Total Postage \$10.48
LLOYD MOISES & GOMEZ JESSICA B #3208
DOM SANTOS ST NW ALBUQUERQUE NM 87120
Sent to
Street and Apt.
City, State, ZIP

Pg Form 3800, April 2002 (PSN 7530-01-000-9047) Date Revisions for Domestic Mail

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$3.75
Postage \$0.78

☐ Return Receipt by First-class mail, with no endorsements
☐ Return Receipt by Registered Mail
☐ Return Receipt by Certified Mail
☐ Return Receipt by Signature Required
☐ Return Receipt by Restricted Delivery

Product Name _____

Total Postage at ZUBIATE KANLA & CO INC J 3616
Send to TODOS SANTOS ST NW ALBUQUERQUE
NM 87120-3632

Date Rec'd May 19, 2006

SIGN POSTING AGREEMENT

REQUIREMENTS

POSTING SIGNS ANNOUNCING PUBLIC HEARINGS

All persons making application to the City under the requirements and procedures established by the Integrated Development Ordinance are responsible for the posting and maintaining of one or more signs on the property which is subject to the application, as shown in Table 6-1-1. Vacations of public rights-of-way (if the way has been in use) also require signs. Waterproof signs are provided at the time of application for a \$10 fee per sign. If the application is mailed, you must still stop at the Development Services Front Counter to pick up the sign(s).

The applicant is responsible for ensuring that the signs remain posted throughout the 15-day period prior to any public meeting or hearing. Failure to maintain the signs during this entire period may be cause for deferral or denial of the application. Replacement signs for those lost or damaged are available from the Development Services Front Counter.

1. LOCATION

- A. The sign shall be conspicuously located. It shall be located within twenty feet of the public sidewalk (or edge of public street). Staff may indicate a specific location.
- B. The face of the sign shall be parallel to the street, and the bottom of the sign shall be at least two feet from the ground.
- C. No barrier shall prevent a person from coming within five feet of the sign to read it.

2. NUMBER

- A. One sign shall be posted on each paved street frontage. Signs may be required on unpaved street frontages.
- B. If the land does not abut a public street, then, in addition to a sign placed on the property, a sign shall be placed on and at the edge of the public right-of-way of the nearest paved City street. Such a sign must direct readers toward the subject property by an arrow and an indication of distance.

3. PHYSICAL POSTING

- A. A heavy stake with two crossbars or a full plywood backing works best to keep the sign in place, especially during high winds.
- B. Large headed nails or staples are best for attaching signs to a post or backing; the sign tears out less easily.


4. TIME

Signs must be posted from June 1, 2022 To July 1, 2022

5. REMOVAL

- A. The sign is not to be removed before the initial hearing on the request.
- B. The sign should be removed within five (5) days after the initial hearing.

I have read this sheet and discussed it with the Development Services Front Counter Staff. I understand (A) my obligation to keep the sign(s) posted for (15) days and (B) where the sign(s) are to be located. I am being given a copy of this sheet.


(Applicant or Agent)

5/5/22
(Date)

I issued _____ signs for this application, _____,
(Date) (Staff Member)

PROJECT NUMBER: _____

FACILITATED MEETING REPORT

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

LAND USE FACILITATION PROGRAM PROJECT MEETING REPORT

City of Albuquerque: Proposed Open Space Zone Map Amendment Pre-Application Meeting

Project: CABQ Pre-Application for Parks Zone Map Amendment

Property Description/Address:

- 1) **Truman:** Nearest Streets: Monroe St NE, Cherokee Rd NE, Truman St NE, and Headingly Ave NE.
- 2) **Santa Barbara:** Nearest Streets: Edith Blvd NE and Walter St NE.
- 3) **Walker:** Nearest Streets: Summer Ave NW, 5TH St NW, Rosemont Ave NW, and 6th St NW.
- 4) **Genevas:** Nearest Streets: University Blvd SE and Buena Vista Dr SE
- 5) **Kapnison:** Nearest Streets: San Mateo Blvd. NE and Balloon Fiesta Parkway NE
- 6) **Todos Santos:** Nearest Streets: Todos Santos St NW, San Benito St NW, Vista Alegre NW, Ouray Rd NW.

Date Submitted: April 29, 2022

Submitted By: Kathleen Oweegon

Meeting Date/Time: April 27, 2022, 6:00-8:00 pm

Meeting Location: Via Zoom

Facilitator: Kathleen Oweegon

Co-facilitator: none

Applicant: City of Albuquerque (City) through Council Services

Agent: Consensus Planning

Neighborhood Associations/Interested Parties: Various Neighborhood Associations (NA)

Background Summary:

This was a Pre-Application meeting for an EPC zone change, which allows property owners to request a different zone from the one currently assigned. The Zone Map Amendments seek to change the current zoning of several properties to reflect the current ownership and management by the City of Albuquerque. All the affected properties are owned by the City. The city seeks to change the zoning to NR-PO-A (City-Owned or Managed Public Park). This action will allow greater transparency by having the zoning match the ownership and use.

Five of the six subject areas (Truman, Santa Barbara, Walker, Genevas, and Todos Santos) are situated in or adjacent to existing residential neighborhoods. The remaining site, Kapnison is not adjacent to existing residential neighborhoods but is situated near the Balloon Fiesta Park which if approved would help increase the size of the park.¹

The proposed Zone Map Amendment has several advantages for the community including but not limited to the placemaking initiatives, water and natural resource conservation, community green space, efficient development patterns, preserving areas of consistency, equitable distribution of amenities, walkability, and improving quality of life.

Outcomes:

1) **Areas of Agreement:**

- a) No opposition for the contemplated EPC zone changes to City Park was voiced.

2) **Unresolved Issues & Concerns**

- a) None noted

¹ See attached Agents' Parks Proposed Zone Map Amendments PPT presentation

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

LAND USE FACILITATION PROGRAM PROJECT MEETING REPORT

City of Albuquerque: Proposed Open Space Zone Map Amendment Pre-Application Meeting

Meeting Specifics:

1) Agent's Presentation

- a) The city zoning code is now called the Integrated Development ordinance (aka IDO). One of the key things that it includes is the process that any property owner, including in this case the City of Albuquerque has to go through in order to change the zoning on a specific piece of property and there are specific criteria that that you have to meet in order to justify a change in zoning. Participants received notification of this meeting because their neighborhood association is within a certain distance of the subject properties.
- b) This is for 6 properties that the city owns and has acquired that are specifically identified to be neighborhood. They total approximately 24 acres, so they're not huge properties. We are proposing to change them from whatever zoning they are today mostly residential. To NR-PO-A (City Owned or Managed Public Park) to reflect the current ownership and management of the properties. As you might imagine, many of these properties are located within a neighborhood. One of the main reasons that the City has asked us to help them do this is that right now when you look at the city zoning map or the city's online version of that zoning now, you would not know that these properties were designated to be a park. They currently are shown as being zoned R-1 for single family homes. And yet they're not. So part of the purpose of this effort is to make sure that when someone looks at that zoning map that they can actually see that this is a park. There's other ways to figure that out if you're good at using the system but this will be much easier for people to see right away.

c) Truman

- ♦ Current Zone: R-1B (Residential Single-Family Medium Lot)
- ♦ Size of Site: 1.056 acres (1 parcel)
- ♦ Current Conditions: Boys and Girls Club and parking lot
- ♦ Nearest Streets: Monroe St NE, Cherokee Rd NE, Truman St NE, and Headingly Ave NE.
- ♦ Development Patterns: Area of Consistency*
** land uses are limited in an attempt to preserve the character of the existing neighborhood*

The Truman property is also one parcel just over an acre in size. It's actually a parcel that is immediately adjacent to an existing City park property so the property to the west is zoned NR-PO-A. This property is zoned R-1-B. It is surrounded by other R-1 properties and there are a lot of houses in this area. This zone amendment will basically just expand that existing park by a little over an acre.

d) Santa Barbara

- ♦ Current Zone: NR-PO-C (Non-City Parks and Open Space)
- ♦ Size of Site: 0.7059 acres (1 parcel)
- ♦ Current Conditions: Santa Barbara Park
- ♦ Nearest Streets: Edith Blvd NE and Walter St NE.
- ♦ Development Patterns: Area of Consistency*
** land uses are limited in an attempt to preserve the character of the existing neighborhood*

The Santa Barbara parcel is just under an acre to the east. It's a small parcel, what I would probably describe as a future pocket park. It's in an interesting little area, because it's a higher intensity zoning – MXM is a mixed-use zone; MXT is mixed-use transitional. Albuquerque High School is right there.

e) Walker

- ♦ Current Zone: R-1A (Residential Single-Family Small Lot)
- ♦ Size of Site: 2.1613 acres (all lots within the block)
- ♦ Current Conditions: Vacant lots surrounded by single and multi-family residential and mixed uses.
- ♦ Nearest Streets: Summer Ave NW, 5TH St NW, Rosemont Ave NW, and 6th St NW.
- ♦ Development Patterns: Area of Consistency*
** land uses are limited in an attempt to preserve the character of the existing neighborhood*

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

LAND USE FACILITATION PROGRAM PROJECT MEETING REPORT

City of Albuquerque: Proposed Open Space Zone Map Amendment Pre-Application Meeting

The Walker property is in the north end of downtown, and that consists of 18 different residential lots that the City acquired, and it is an entire block. Those blocks downtown are relatively small, so it's just a little over 2 acres, but it's an entire block and is just north of the Wells Park. Wells Park Community Center is located just to the south of that and is already zoned NR-PO-A. There is a little bit of open space in the park area. I believe there's a basketball court, and some grass areas as part of that park. I think this is in the Wells Park neighborhood and the City is working with that neighborhood to come up with a plan as to what that park is actually going to look like. But once again very centrally located in that neighborhood. A lot of houses surround that, especially as you go west of 6th Street.

f) Genevas

- ♦ Current Zone: R-1D (Residential Single-Family Large Lot)
- ♦ Size of Site: 4.811 acres (2 parcels)
- ♦ Current Conditions: Vacant lots surrounded by single and multi-family residential and mixed uses.
- ♦ Nearest Streets: University Blvd SE and Buena Vista Dr SE
- ♦ Development Patterns: Area of Consistency*

** land uses are limited in an attempt to preserve the character of the existing neighborhood*

The Genevas property is 2 parcels, and that is down in the south part of the city. It's a linear parcel, this is this is the royal. There is a drainage ditch. I would anticipate that this could become a trail corridor through this neighborhood. There's a mobile home park; there's some residences around it. This is off of University Blvd. SE and Buena Vista SE. It's N. of Gibson Blvd., so in an older part of town. It's pretty big piece; it's almost 5 acres, but it's a long skinny piece.

g) Kapnison

- ♦ Current Zone: NR-LM (Non-Residential Light Manufacturing)
- ♦ Size of Site: 9.11 acres (2 parcels)
- ♦ Current Conditions: Vacant lots surrounded by public parks and light manufacturing.
- ♦ Nearest Streets: San Mateo Blvd. NE and Balloon Fiesta Parkway NE.
- ♦ Development Patterns: Area of Consistency*

** land uses are limited in an attempt to preserve the character of the existing neighborhood*

The Kapnison property is the 2nd largest of these properties. It includes 2 parcels, is slightly over 9 acres of land, and it's in the very north end of the city just west of I-25. It is immediately adjacent to Balloon Fiesta Park. The City has been acquiring additional properties around Balloon Fiesta Park. And so this is basically at the north end of the park. And one of the key things about this piece is that does provide a connection to that and the dead end of San Mateo. This is in an industrial area. Besides the Balloon Fiesta Park everything around this, to the north and east, over to I-25, and to the south is all zoned industrial, but it'll be part of Balloon Fiesta Park.

h) Todos Santos

- ♦ Current Zone: R-1A & PD (Residential Single-Family Small Lot & Planned Development)
- ♦ Size of Site: 9.77 acres (2 parcels)
- ♦ Current Conditions: The site is a utility easement surrounded by residential uses
- ♦ Nearest Streets: Todos Santos St NW, San Benito St NW, Vista Alegre NW, Ouray Rd NW.
- ♦ Development Patterns: Area of Change*

** land uses are limited in an attempt to preserve the character of the existing neighborhood*

The Todos Santos property is the property on the west side of Albuquerque. It's basically a wide utility corridor that goes right through the middle of a neighborhood. This has actually got 2 different zones on it: R-1, which is single family residential and PD – Planned Development. It's basically a large wide utility easement that runs between the middle of this neighborhood. There are power lines in there, and most likely this will become some sort of linear park, probably with the trail, and hopefully, some other amenities that the city can develop in this area.

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

LAND USE FACILITATION PROGRAM PROJECT MEETING REPORT

City of Albuquerque: Proposed Open Space Zone Map Amendment Pre-Application Meeting

- i) When I mentioned that the IDO has criteria for changing the zoning, Basically, what that means is that we need to put together a justification letter. One of the key aspects of that justification letter is to look at the city's comprehensive plan and look at how this fits in to some of those goals and policies that the city has put in place with that comprehensive plan. Creating healthy, walkable communities is a big part of our comprehensive plan, enhancing the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, improving community access to these types of facilities, and in general providing relief from urbanization and providing more green and open space within the communities.
- 2) **Neighbors' Response** *Neighbor questions and comments are in Italics. Q = Question; C = Comment*
- a) *Q: Is there any information as to when those purchases happened that could be shared with everybody after the meeting?*
- A: We can. I think we can get that information My understanding is that all of these properties have been purchased or acquired in some manner by the city since the IDO was adopted in 2018, so sometime in the last 4 years these properties were acquired.
- b) **Genevas**
- i) *C: That parcel is so narrow, and somebody said there's an arroyo there. It's next to Lowell Elementary.*
- A: That's AMAFCA (Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control) drainage. Water might run underneath the roadway and the parcels via buried pipes.
- ii) *Q: Is the empty property to the east of Lowell Elementary privately owned or is that publicly owned?*
- A: That's the recreational field associated with the school.
- iii) *Q: In the information that you sent out for this meeting, there was some mention of some of the properties we would be considering were private parks?*
- A: Santa Barbara Park was owned privately, so it's zoned R-PO-C, which is the zone designation for privately owned park. The city has purchased that, and now going to be a public park.
- Q: So, nothing that we're considering is in the hands of private citizens at this point?*
- A: No, it's all owned by the City.
- c) **Todos Santos**
- i) *Q: Any idea what they plan on doing with it?*
- A: Rincondada Park is very close by. I don't really know the answer, but the City has several linear parks like this and I would guess that it's going to include a trail and could include what they call a "park course", which is little exercise stations along trail. It could have a little pocket area with some play equipment, or something like that, but probably won't be a traditional park. That'd be my guess.
- ii) *C: The area that moves to the east at the southeast of the bottom of the park area – that almost looks like It's got some arroyo-like characteristics.*
- A: That's a drainage ditch which feeds into the Ladera Golf Course.
- As a point of clarification, when we say the city purchased these properties, probably a better word to use is that they somehow acquired them. It could be that this was owned by an HOA and given to the city. In the case of the open space properties that we talked about on Monday night, I'm pretty sure that all of those were purchased by the City. The City has sought them out, and they prioritizes acquiring them, and they've gone out, and they've bought them. In the case of some of these park properties like Santa Barbara, it could be that the church and the neighborhood association realized that they couldn't take care of them anymore, or didn't have the resources, and probably approached the city and said, "Would you take this over?"
- C: I appreciate that clarification.*

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

LAND USE FACILITATION PROGRAM PROJECT MEETING REPORT

City of Albuquerque: Proposed Open Space Zone Map Amendment Pre-Application Meeting

d) Kapnison

- i) *C: It looks like there's either a walking trail or some type of ditch that goes through it.*

A: Yes, I think so. This is an old dirt road that goes through there. And, as we all know, if we go to the Balloon Fiesta, they're constantly dealing with issues of parking and staging and that is just a constant part of doing what they do. I don't know what they plan to do with this particular property, but I'm going to guess, since it's not really connected to the park portion of the Balloon Fiesta, that this could be additional parking or staging area would be my guess.

e) Truman

Agent comment: There's a park there's a community center to the west. There's an existing neighborhood park immediately adjacent to this. So, once again, I bet the Boys and Girls Club either worked out some sort of deal with the city. There are some kind of courts already there – I'm guessing pickle-ball courts.

f) Walker

- i) *Q: Is the Walker parcel the one where Rosemont Street separates the new acquired property from the existing park? I wonder if they're considering, removing Rosemont Street to create one large park without a road running through?*

A: I don't know the answer to that. They certainly could do that. That would involve a separate process to actually vacate that street. Compared to a private developer, for instance, the existing street is already owned by the City, and the City owns the land to the north and to the south of the street, so they probably have a little more flexibility as to what they do with that street ultimately as part of their master planning.

C: I would suggest that would be a nice idea, and they could take out paving and add green space; that would be wonderful.

A: It probably has utilities in it. We can certainly share that with the with the City Parks folks.

- ii) *Q: They have a lot of homeless people in this area. I'm wondering how a park in this area is going to be protected from homeless people camping out there like they do at Coronado Park. Do you take that into consideration?*

A: I am sure that has been very much front and center with the conversations that they have had.

Neighbors' Requests of the City Regarding Process

- a) *Q/C: Is it possible that going forward a part of the purchasing of property or reception of property given to the city that a part of that process is that they have to get the zone change for the deal to be finalized? I understand we've got a new IDO 4 years ago, and everybody's trying to figure out where they need to fit so that life can go on, and things can be built, but it seems like there's a better way to do this*

- i) *126 people were notified by email of this meeting, 10 registered, 3 showed up. I don't know that this can be called a real public meeting at which issues were discussed.*

Neighbor recommendation to the City: *Going forward from this point, as the City either purchases, or a acquires in some form, property that they will cause to become park property, part of the process of purchasing or acquiring is that at that time they have to change the zone. That means that everybody who surrounds the property gets a notice saying the property is being acquired and re-zoned, and giving those neighbors the opportunity to give their input about it. Doing it in this more timely way may also feel more pertinent to the neighbors and they would be more interested in coming out to talk about it and be more engaged in what's happening with the properties in the area of their neighborhood.*

A: I wanted to offer a slightly different perspective about the low turnout for these meetings. I don't know about the circumstance for all of these projects, but speaking about the Walker property, I know that the Walker property has been vacant, and I believe that it was the neighborhood who worked with the City to get the City to acquire the property because it was for sale, and they have been working

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

LAND USE FACILITATION PROGRAM PROJECT MEETING REPORT

City of Albuquerque: Proposed Open Space Zone Map Amendment Pre-Application Meeting

with that neighborhood very actively to get that property purchased. It is one property that I know that the City actually did purchase, and the neighborhood has been working with them. The City has been working with those neighbors now to come up with the master plan as to what they're going to do with the park. So I'm going to guess that no one from Wells Park signed up for this meeting, because they already know everything about that property and they've been very actively involved with the City and they know it's going to be a park. Additionally, this is a little bit different than a lot of the projects that we do, because in this case, with the existing zoning a park is allowed to be built in any zone. I don't discount your comment that maybe it would be better if the City did these one at a time as they purchased a property, and for whatever reason they didn't do that, but I think these may be some explanations of why in some of these areas the immediate neighbors did not choose to attend this meeting – because they've already been included in discussions leading up to this. I know that the City has held quite a few public meetings and gotten a lot of public input about Truman park. I think that also speaks to the community involvement in that process.

- b) **Neighbor recommendation to the Agent and the City:** *Going forward from this point, when notification of one of these meetings goes out, it will be very helpful if that includes the degree of prior conversations with the neighbors in the vicinity of the project, so that we have a sense of how much engagement has already taken place, which will help inform our decision about whether or not we need to have or attend that meeting.*

A: When sending out meeting notices, it's always a delicate balance between inundating people with too much information and making sure they have the information they need to know. I appreciate that feedback because we constantly talk about that when we're putting these notices together. So we will definitely take that to heart

- c) *C: I appreciate that the city is always looking for ways to add more green space, and I know in the Parks and Recreation Department and Solid Waste everybody is so overworked right now.*

Neighbor recommendation to the City: *When the city acquires more Open Space and properties to be used for parks, they need to add to their budget for the maintenance and care of those areas.*

Action Items:

- a) Agent will provide neighbors with information about the dates the City acquired these properties.
- b) Agent will extend to the City Parks folks the neighbor's suggestion that the City vacate Rosemont Street, remove the pavement, and add green space to create one large park without a road running through.

Anticipated Application and Hearing timetable:

EPC Application will be filed May 5, 2022

EPC Hearing will be held June 16, 2022.

Names & Affiliations of Attendees

Jim Strozier	Consensus Planning – Agent
Avery Frank	Consensus Planning – Agent
Michelle Benton	Mark Twain N.A.
Dan Regan	Sandia Heights NA & District 4 Coalition
Frances Armijo	South Broadway NA