First Name	Last Name	Your email	Your Address	Interested Party	Is this case Citywide?	Citywide Case Type	Project Number	Comment	Do you wish to submit an image?	Submission date	Case Number
Jane	Baechle	jane.baechle@gmail.com	7021 Lamar Ave NW	Resident	Yes	CIP / GO Bond	PR-2020-004467	Please find my letter attached. Thank you for your conisderation.	No	11/10/2026 7:46	PLAN-2026-00004

Jane Baechle
7021 Lamar Avenue NW
Albuquerque, NM 87120
Jane.Baechle@gmail.com

Date: November 10, 2026

To: Renn Halstead, EPC Chair
EPC Commissioners

From: Jane Baechle

Re: PR-2020-004467
PLAN-2026-00004

Thank you for the notification of and opportunity to comment on the Proposed 2027 General Obligation (G.O.) Bond Program and the 2027-2036 Decade Plan for Capital Improvements. These are my individual comments, informed in many ways by my personal communications with members of the West Side Coalition (WSCONA), friends, neighbors and community leaders.

I support the identified priorities for the GO Bond Program, specifically the emphasis on rehabilitation and correction of deficiencies in existing capital assets and equity. Supporting public transit and affordable housing, protecting and expanding green space, protecting our cultural and historic landscapes, compliance with the ABC Comprehensive Plan and ensuring public input on capital projects are critically important.

However, "The devil is in the details," specifically the 173 pages of the 22027-2036 Decade Plan for Capital Improvements. The EPC's charge is substantial, to ""Make recommendations for programming of capital improvements for the City …and the resolution establishing priorities for each biannual capital improvement plan…."

The expenditure of the public's tax dollars represents a significant responsibility to the electorate and people of this City. As advisers to the administration and Council on their priorities, decisions and compliance with the Comp Plan, the EPC provides critical oversight and direction.

I do not profess to have the expertise to evaluate the multiple individual items outlined in the Capital Improvements Plan. Nevertheless, I regard fidelity to the Comprehensive Plan to be paramount.

The Staff Report makes clear that the EPC acts solely in an advisory capacity; “The Mayor is not required to revise the proposed Capital Improvements Program to incorporate the recommendations of the Environmental Planning Commission but may do so.” The EPC’s review should not be a perfunctory exercise, meant to check a box that any administration merely dismisses.

I respectfully request that you weigh the administration’s proposals against the ABC Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies, *including* those that address protections for open space and heritage landscapes, community identity and coordinated public investment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.