



***Environmental
Planning
Commission***

***Agenda Number: 1
Project #: PR-2018-001843
Plan #: TA-2025-00002
Special Hearing Date: October 28, 2025
Continued Hearing Date: November 20, 2025***

Supplemental Staff Report

Applicant City of Albuquerque Planning
Department

Request Amendment to Integrated
Development Ordinance (IDO)
Text – Citywide

Location Citywide

Staff Recommendation

That a recommendation of APPROVAL of PR-2018-001843/TA-2025-00002 be forwarded to the City Council based on the Findings and subject to the Recommended Conditions of Approval in this report.

***Staff Planners
Megan Jones – Principal Planner
Kate Clark, AICP – Senior Planner***

The request is for various legislative amendments to the text of the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) for the IDO Biennial Update required by IDO § 14-16-6-3(D). This request was heard at the October 28, 2025 special hearing and was continued to the regular November 20, 2025 hearing. This Supplemental Staff report includes additional analysis, revised findings, and revised Recommended Conditions of Approval based on the presentation, public comment and discussion by the EPC at the special hearing.

The proposed amendments are found in the spreadsheet “IDO Update 2025 – EPC Review – Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes – Citywide.” (See attachment.) The spreadsheet provides the following information for each proposed change: item number, page number, IDO section reference, the proposed change, an explanation, and the source of the proposed change. The spreadsheet is the main component of the request.

The request is generally consistent with applicable Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies that pertain to land use, implementation processes, and housing. The proposed changes are intended to address community-wide issues, foster economic development, and clarify regulatory procedures, while balancing these needs with the Comprehensive Plan Goals to protect and enhance existing neighborhoods.

As of this writing, Staff has received several public comments during the continuance period, mostly concerning housing. Staff recommends that the EPC forward a recommendation of Approval, subject to conditions, to the City Council. The conditions are needed to provide clarity and consistency moving forward.

Comments received before November 5th at 9 AM are attached to and addressed in this Staff Report.
Comments received before November 11th at 9 AM are attached, but not addressed in the staff report.
Statements of support or opposition received before November 18th at 9 AM (after publication of this report and more than 48 hours before the hearing) will be forwarded to the EPC for consideration at the hearing and are not attached to this report.

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	4
II. ANALYSIS OF ORDINANCES, PLANS, AND POLICIES	4
III. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS & DISCUSSION	5
Housing Changes to Allow More Options	5
Housing Changes to Lower Costs and Remove Barriers	10
Motor Vehicle-related Uses	14
Food Systems	15
Other Changes Related to Uses	18
Other Changes Related to Parking and Transportation	18
Landscaping	18
Signs	18
Streets, Lots, and Lighting	19
Walls and Fences	19
Historic Resources	19
Infrastructure and Stormwater Management	20
Negotiable Zone Districts	20
Procedures	20
IV. PUBLIC OUTREACH	21
V. NOTICE	21
VI. AGENCY & PUBLIC COMMENTS	21
VII. CONCLUSION	22
RECOMMENDED FINDINGS – TA-2025-00002	23
RECOMMENDATION – TA-2025-00002 – November 20, 2025	57
RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL – TA-2025-00002, November 20, 2025	57
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AGENCY COMMENTS	65
COMMENTS FROM OTHER AGENCIES	67

ATTACHMENTS

A) APPLICATION INFORMATION.....	A
B) PUBLIC NOTICE.....	B
C) PUBLIC COMMENT.....	C
D) EXHIBITS FOR CONDITIONS.....	D
E) IDO REDLINE EXHIBIT.....	E

I. INTRODUCTION

This request is for various citywide amendments to the text of the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) for the Biennial Update required by IDO § 14-16-6-3(D). The request, which would apply Citywide and constitutes the 2025 Biennial Update, was first heard at the October 28, 2025 special Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) hearing. After hearing staff presentations and taking public comment, the EPC voted to continue the hearing for a month the November 20, 2025 EPC hearing.

An application for a small area text amendment to CPO-7 – Martineztown/Santa Barbara was submitted separately and was reviewed and recommended for approval by the EPC at the October 28, 2025 meeting.

A spreadsheet¹ of approximately 150 proposed changes provides the following information:

- item number for tracking purposes,
- the page and section of the Effective IDO that would be changed,
- the page of the IDO Redline Exhibit that shows the change,
- the text proposed to change,
- an explanation of the purpose and/or intent of the change,
- its source, and
- a topic, if there are multiple, related changes.

The original Staff report includes the spreadsheet as an attachment, along with the previous Staff analysis and supporting materials from the October 28, 2025 EPC special hearing. This Supplemental Staff report includes additional analysis, revised findings, and revised Recommended Conditions of Approval based on the presentation, public comments, and discussion by the EPC at the special hearing.²

→ For subsections regarding Background, Request, Applicability and Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) Role, please refer to Section I. Introduction beginning on p. 4 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report.

II. ANALYSIS OF ORDINANCES, PLANS, AND POLICIES

→ Please refer to p. 5-22 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for Staff's analysis of the review and decision criteria for Amendment to IDO Text – Citywide [IDO 14-16-6-7(D)(3)(a-c)], the City Charter, and Comprehensive Plan as applied to the request.

¹ Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes – Citywide: <https://abq-zone.com/node/1953>

² EPC Agendas, Cases, & Actions: <https://www.cabq.gov/planning/boards-commissions/environmental-planning-commission/epc-agendas-reports-minutes>

III. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS & DISCUSSION

The proposed citywide text amendments are presented and explained in the spreadsheet “IDO Update 2025 – EPC Review – Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes – Citywide.”³ (See [Attachment A](#)).

→ Please refer to p. 22-100 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for Staff’s full analysis of key substantive, proposed changes.

The following section focuses on the proposed text amendments discussed at the October 28, 2025 EPC hearing for which significant comments were provided and/or questions were raised, as well as those amendments that have received additional comment by November 11, 2025. If a proposed text amendment was not discussed at the hearing and/or was not the subject of substantive comments, please refer to the original Staff report for an explanation.

For those amendments requiring additional discussion, an explanation of the proposed amendment is provided in plain text, followed by additional Staff analysis in *italic text*. For purposes of the Supplemental Staff report, the original policy analysis is not included, but a summary of the applicable policies is provided for reference. The emphasis is on what changes occurred during the continuance period.

Housing Changes to Allow More Options

→ Please refer to p. 22-40 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Dwelling, Multi-family – Use-specific Standards [IDO § 14-16-4-3(B)(8) – [Item #97](#)]

Amendment Summary:

The original proposal was to add a use-specific standard limiting the size of multi-family residential developments adjacent to low-density zone districts. Specifically, the original proposal would prohibit more than 6 dwelling units per building on lots that share a rear or side lot line with R-A or R-1 zone districts, or are across an alley from such zone districts, unless located in an Urban Center (UC), Main Street (MS), or Premium Transit (PT) area.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Comp Plan Goal 4.1 Character, Goal 5.1 Centers & Corridors, and Goal 5.6 City Development Areas and their related policies and sub-policies, because it promotes transition in scale between higher-density residential uses and adjacent low-density residential uses and supports context-sensitive infill by restricting density.

The proposed amendment conflicts with Comp Plan Goal 9.1 Supply and Goal 9.3 Density:

³ Spreadsheet of Proposed Amendments: <https://abq-zone.com/2025-update-epc-spreadsheet-proposed-changes>

GOAL 9.1 SUPPLY: Ensure a sufficient supply and range of high-quality housing types that meet current and future needs at a variety of price levels to ensure more balanced housing options.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Goal 9.1 by restricting the number of dwelling units per building in areas near low-density residential zones, which could reduce the overall housing supply and limit the feasibility of certain multi-family developments. By capping unit counts based on adjacency rather than infrastructure capacity or context, the amendment may constrain opportunities to provide a broader range of housing types in infill areas where they are otherwise allowed.

GOAL 9.3 DENSITY: Support increased housing density in appropriate places with adequate services and amenities.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Goal 9.3 by limiting housing density in locations where multi-family development is otherwise allowed, including areas near Centers and Corridors. By imposing a unit cap based solely on adjacency to lower-density zones, regardless of infrastructure, services, or amenities, it may hinder efforts to locate higher-density housing in appropriate infill locations and reduce the effectiveness of other strategies aimed at supporting compact, efficient growth.

Update: During the public comment portion of the public hearing, there were members of the public and agency representatives who spoke against this amendment, expressing concern that restricting density where multi-family uses are allowed undermines the intent of expanding housing options citywide and encouraging more housing.

Since the October 28, 2025 hearing, staff received approximately three additional public comments in opposition to the proposal, citing concerns that this proposal unreasonably restricts density.

At the October 2025 EPC special hearing, staff presented a range of potential revisions to address concerns with the proposed amendment. These included adjusting where the limitation would apply, adjusting the number of units permitted, or combining both changes. EPC determined that the amendment should be removed in its entirety. Staff has prepared a recommended Condition of Approval for EPC review to reflect this change.

Dwelling, Single-family in the MX-T Zone District [Multiple IDO Sections – [Item #30](#)]

Amendment Summary:

The proposed amendment removes single-family dwellings as a permissive use in the MX-T zone district, which is intended to transition between residential neighborhoods and more intense commercial areas. Detached single-family dwellings do not support this transition and have been used as a standalone use (particularly on the West Side, where Zoning Map Amendments to residential zone districts in general and single-family developments in particular, are discouraged by the Comprehensive Plan [Sub-policy 5.2.1.j]). The amendment also removes

cluster development and cottage development (which typically consist of single-family dwellings) as allowed uses in MX-T in Table 4-2-1. It also revises the use-specific standards for cottage development in IDO § 14-16-4-3(B)(4) to remove reference to MX-T.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Comp Plan Goal 5.2 Complete Communities, Goal 5.3 Efficient Development Patterns, and Goal 9.1 Supply and their related policies and sub-policies, because it would have prohibited new low-density, single-use residential development in mixed-use transition areas to reinforce the role of the MX-T zone district in supporting higher-intensity, mixed-use development patterns.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Comp Plan Goal 5.7 Implementation Processes, because removing single-family uses from the MX-T zone district could create new nonconformities, which may reduce predictability for property owners, and may undermine public trust in zoning processes intended to provide consistency and clarity.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Commissioners raised concerns that the amendment could limit housing choice and unnecessarily restrict market flexibility. Some questioned prohibiting single-family homes in the MX-T zone district while expanding other housing types in other zone districts, and noted that it could create nonconformities. Staff has prepared a condition to remove this amendment from the IDO update for EPC Review.

Overnight Shelter – Use Table and Use-specific Standards [Table 4-2-1 and IDO § 14-16-4-3(C)(6) – [Item #C-8](#)]

Amendment Summary:

This amendment proposed by Councilor Fiebelkorn modifies IDO Table 4-2-1 and IDO § 14-16-4-3(C)(6) to revise the standards for overnight shelters by allowing the use permissively in the zone districts where the use is allowed conditionally today, including MX-M, MX-H, NR-C, NR-BP, NR-LM, and NR-GM, if the shelter contains 10 or fewer beds, while continuing to require a Conditional Use approval for facilities with more than 10 beds.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Comp Plan Goal 5.7 and Goal 9.4 Homelessness, because it addresses regulatory barriers for small-scale shelters and facilitates broader access to services for unhoused individuals by allowing these facilities in more locations without requiring the conditional use process.

The proposed amendment conflicts with Comp Plan Goal 4.2 Process:

GOAL 4.2 PROCESS: Engage communities to identify and plan for their distinct character and needs.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Comp Plan Goal 4.2 Process, because allowing small overnight shelters permissively would remove the Conditional Use process and associated public hearing, reducing opportunities for community input.

***Update:** During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Commissioners expressed mixed views on the amendment. Some supported streamlining the process for smaller shelters to expand access to services more quickly, while others emphasized the importance of maintaining a public hearing process to ensure neighborhood input. The majority of Commissioners favored keeping the Conditional Use requirement for all overnight shelters to preserve the opportunity for public comment. Staff has prepared a condition to remove this amendment from the IDO update for EPC review.*

Safe Outdoor Spaces [IDO § 14-16-4-3(G)(9) – Items [#M-1](#), [#C-5](#), [#C-6](#)]

There are three competing proposals for changes to the use-specific standard for Safe Outdoor Spaces (SOS), submitted by Councilor Fiebelkorn, Councilor Rogers, and the Mayor. A full discussion for each change and a table comparing the amendments is provided on p. 37-40 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report.

1. SOS Amendment – Councilor Fiebelkorn (Item #C-6)

Amendment Summary:

This amendment to IDO § 14-16-4-3(G)(9)(e) revises the use-specific standards for SOS to remove the requirement that restrooms, hand washing stations, and showers be plumbed within two years of approval.

***Update:** The proposal in Item #C-6 to remove the permanent plumbing requirement for Safe Outdoor Spaces is reflected in the selected revised amendment, Item #C-5, as discussed below. As a result, the condition for Item #C-6 recommends not approving this item, since its content is addressed through the approval of Item #C-5 as revised.*

2. SOS Amendment – Councilor Rogers (Item #C-5)

Amendment Summary:

This amendment builds on Item #C-6 by removing the requirement for permanent plumbing, while adding further changes to increase flexibility. It allows Temporary Use permit extensions every 2 years following a compliance inspection, revises staffing requirements based on facility size, and allows supportive services to be provided off-site or through mobile providers.

***Update:** During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Commissioners supported adopting Item #C-5, with revisions to incorporate select elements from the Item #M-1 proposal. Specifically, the EPC directed staff to retain the base of Item #C-5 and add the increased number of spaces/occupants that require distance separation, as well as include “income support services” in the list of supportive services. Staff has drafted a Condition of Approval reflecting these revisions for EPC review. The comparison chart below highlights the components from Item #M-1 that will be included in the proposed Condition of Approval for Item #C-5.*

3. SOS Amendment – Mayor (*Item #M-1*)

Amendment Summary:

This amendment to IDO § 14-16-4-3(G)(9) makes additional changes to Item #C-5. Proposed additions to Item #C-5 include extending the Temporary Use permit term from 2 to 5 years with 2-year renewals. It raises the thresholds for spacing requirements between SOS sites to more than 20 designated spaces or 30 occupants, with an exemption for sites under shared management. It also expands allowable supportive services to include income support services and revises staffing requirements to allow 24-hour on-call contact instead of on-site staffing for larger sites.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, the EPC directed staff to revise the Condition of Approval for Item #C-5 to incorporate select elements from Item #M-1, specifically the increased threshold for when distance separation applies and the addition of “income support services” to the list of acceptable supportive services. As a result, the condition for Item #M-1 recommends not approving this item, since some of its content is addressed through the approval of Item #C-5 as revised.

Amendment Comparison:

The table below summarizes the similarities and differences among these amendments, with red text indicating which elements were incorporated into the proposed Condition of Approval.

Topic	Item #M-1	Item #C-5	Item #C-6
Time Limit / Renewal	5-year limit, renewable every 2 years with inspection	2-year limit, renewable every 2 years with inspection	No change to the IDO
Distance Separation to other Safe Outdoor Spaces	- Increases number of spaces/occupants requiring distance separation from 10 to 20 spaces and 15 to 30 occupants - Specifies that separation distances don’t apply to sites operated by the same entity with a shared agreement/plan	No change to the IDO	No change to the IDO

Toilets / Showers / Plumbing	Removes permanent plumbing requirement	Removes permanent plumbing requirement	Removes permanent plumbing requirement
Security & Management Plans	<20 spaces: On-call support from 8 AM – 5 PM Monday through Friday >20 spaces: 24-hour on call-support - Contact information for on-call support provided to the City	<20 spaces: On-call support from 8 AM – 5 PM Monday through Friday >20 spaces: 24-hour on call-support - Contact information for on-call support available to residents, public, ABQ HHH Department	No change to the IDO
Supportive Services	- Requires 3 types of supportive services (on or off-site) within 90 days - Adds “income support services” to service list	- Requires 3 types of supportive services (on or off-site) within 90 days - Provides list of types of services that can be provided	No change to the IDO

Housing Changes to Lower Costs and Remove Barriers

→ Please refer to p. 40-58 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Contextual Residential Development Standards – Minimum Lot Size Requirements [IDO § 14-16-5-1(C)(2)(b) – [Item #C-9](#)]

Amendment Summary:

This amendment proposed by Councilor Baca removes the contextual standards for minimum lot size in IDO § 14-16-5-1(C)(4), which requires new low-density residential development to meet a percentage of the average lot size on the block. Specifically, the deleted provisions prohibit development on a lot smaller than 75% of the average lot size on the block, or 50% in or within 1,320 feet (1/4 mile) of Urban Centers, Main Street Corridors, and Premium Transit Corridors.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Comp Plan Goal 9.3 Density and related policies, because it removes contextual lot size standards that can prevent subdivisions in existing neighborhoods. By allowing subdivisions based on base zone district standards, the amendment supports increased residential density in areas already served by infrastructure, enabling infill development that aligns with long-term growth and housing goals.

The proposed amendment conflicts with Comp Plan Goal 4.1 Character, 11.2 Goal Historic Assets, and may conflict with portions of Goal 9.3 Density and related policies, because it removes contextual lot size standards that help preserve the scale and pattern of established neighborhoods and subdivisions. While the proposed amendment supports increased density, it removes regulations that ensures new subdivisions will align with surrounding development, particularly in areas where lot size is a key component of neighborhood character.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Commissioners expressed concerns about the amendment's potential to conflict with adopted policies and emphasized the importance of maintaining context-sensitive development standards. With the approval of Item #92, which already reduces minimum residential lot sizes in the R-1 zone district, the majority of EPC commissioners did not support this amendment, since the broader IDO update addresses minimum lot size standards through other proposed changes. Staff has prepared a condition to remove this amendment from the IDO update for EPC review.

Parking

1. Minimum Parking Requirement for Accessory Dwelling Units [IDO Table 5-5-1 – [Item #C-10](#)]

Amendment Summary:

This amendment removes the off-street parking requirement for accessory dwelling units (ADUs), also known as casitas. This change aims to reduce barriers to constructing ADUs, particularly on smaller lots where adding a separate parking space may be physically infeasible.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Comp Plan Goal 5.3 Efficient Development Patterns, Goal 7.4 Context-Sensitive Parking, Goal 9.1 Supply, and Goal 9.3 Density and related policies, because it supports efficient land use and housing density by removing parking requirements, enabling more feasible construction of accessory dwelling units while maintaining a context-sensitive approach to parking and site design.

The proposed amendment conflicts with Comp Plan Goal 4.1 Character:

GOAL 4.1 CHARACTER: Enhance, protect, and preserve distinct communities.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Comp Plan Goal 4.1 Character, because removing the off-street parking requirement for ADUs may lead to increased on-street parking in low-density residential areas, potentially impacting neighborhood character and generating concerns about compatibility with existing development patterns.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Commissioners expressed mixed views on the proposed removal of off-street parking requirements for accessory dwelling units (ADUs). While some supported reducing barriers to casita construction, others raised concerns about potential parking impacts in R-1 neighborhoods and emphasized that requiring at least one off-street space was reasonable in areas with limited parking availability. The majority of EPC Commissioners did not support this amendment. Staff has prepared a condition to remove this amendment from the IDO update for EPC review.

2. Parking Maximums [IDO § 14-16-5-5(C)(7) – [Item #C-11](#)]

Amendment Summary:

This amendment proposed by Councilor Fiebelkorn reduces the maximum number of off-street parking spaces by 20% in areas where parking maximums currently apply, including UC-AC-MS-PT areas.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Comp Plan Goal 5.1 Centers & Corridors, Goal 5.3 Efficient Development Patterns, and Goal 7.4 Context-Sensitive Parking and related policies, because it encourages more efficient use of land and supports multimodal, walkable development patterns in Centers and Corridors by reducing excessive parking supply and promoting context-sensitive parking design.

The proposed amendment conflicts with Comp Plan Goal 5.7 Implementation Processes:

GOAL 5.7 IMPLEMENTATION PROCESSES: Employ procedures and processes to effectively and equitably implement the Comp Plan.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Comp Plan Goal 5.7 Implementation Processes, because applying a flat 20% reduction to parking maximums may create administrative challenges, such as fractional parking calculations, that complicate implementation and reduce clarity for both applicants and staff.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Commissioners expressed mixed views on the proposed amendment. Some supported staff's broader approach to refine parking standards, which are reflected in Item #40, which Commissioners supported and included targeted reductions to minimum parking, added maximums for non-residential development, and eliminated minimums in Centers and Corridors. EPC discussed the need for changes to parking maximums and concerns about administrative complexity, particularly the unintended result of fractional parking ratios from applying a flat 20% reduction. The majority of EPC Commissioners did not support Item #C-11. Staff has prepared a condition to remove this amendment from the IDO update for EPC review.

3. Off-Street Parking Requirements [IDO § 14-16-5-5 – [Item #C-12](#)]

Amendment Summary:

This amendment comprehensively reduces off-street parking requirements by decreasing both the required minimum parking ratios for most land uses and increasing the parking reduction percentages available in designated growth areas. The amendment revises Table 5-5-1 by lowering the base parking ratios for multi-family, civic, commercial, and institutional uses by 20% across the board. Certain uses, such as single-family dwellings and temporary dwellings remain exempt from these reductions. Parking reductions as specified in IDO § 14-16-5-5(C)(5) for developments located within Centers, Corridors, and near transit or parks are also proposed to increase by 20%. This amendment conflicts with staff amendments which were supported by the EPC, including the following:

- [Item #36](#), which proposes different minimums for multi-family parking.
- [Item #37](#), which sets a different parking minimum for self-storage uses).
- [Item #40](#), which eliminates parking minimums in Centers and Corridors, reduces parking maximums for non-residential development, and eliminates parking maximums for residential development.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Comp Plan Goal 5.1 Centers & Corridors, Goal 5.3 Efficient Development Patterns, and Goal 7.4 Context-Sensitive Parking and related policies, because it encourages more efficient use of land and supports walkable, multimodal development in Centers and Corridors by reducing excessive parking requirements and aligning parking supply with area context and transportation options.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, the Commission supported staff's more targeted parking reforms, including Items #36, #37, and #40, which reduce parking requirements for specific uses and locations; however, there was less consensus around the proposal in Item #C-12 to reduce required parking for most uses by 20%. Commissioners raised concerns about administrative complexity, particularly the creation of fractional parking requirements from a flat percentage reduction.

Further discussion is needed to determine whether the EPC supports this broader change. The table below highlights the parking-related items that received EPC support, while the 20% reduction in Item #C-12 needs further discussion. Staff has prepared a Condition of Approval and Exhibit – Fiebelkorn – F – Off-street Parking Requirements to address this item and reconcile any conflicts for EPC review.

Category	Councilor Amendments (Items # C-11 and #C-12)	Staff Amendments
Parking Minimums (Reductions)	Item #C-12 - Reduces required parking for most uses by 20% [Needs further discussion]. - Exempts single-family detached, accessory dwelling units, campgrounds, and temporary dwellings. - Adds a 20% reduction in designated growth areas (centers, corridors, transit).	Item #36 - Reduces parking for multi-family dwellings. - Adds a built-in reduction for Workforce Housing. Item #37 - Reduces required parking for self-storage uses, citing low trip generation.
Parking Maximums	Item #C-11 Decreases maximum parking spaces by 20% where applicable.	Item #40 - Eliminates minimum parking in Centers + Corridors. - Adds maximums for non-residential development in Centers + Corridors.
Overall Approach	- Apply a uniform 20% reduction across most uses (with some exemptions) - Simplifies reductions but does not tailor standards to specific use types. - Percentage-based reductions can result in fractional parking requirements that are less intuitive to apply.	- Apply use-specific reductions (multi-family, self-storage) and structural changes (removing minimums, adding maximums). - More targeted to land use context and trip generation patterns, with standards calibrated to rounder ratios rather than broad percentage reductions that result in fractional requirements.

Motor Vehicle-related Uses

→ Please refer to p. 58-60 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Light Vehicle Fueling Station – Use-specific Standards [IDO § 14-16-4-3(D)(18) – [Items #24 and #25](#)]

Amendment Summary:

Amendments to IDO § 14-16-4-3(D)(18) revise the standards for Light Vehicle Fueling Stations to prohibit this use within 330 feet of any residential use in any Residential or Mixed-use zone district, rather than only in the MX-M and higher zone districts. A second amendment to § 14-16-4-3(D)(18)(m) removes the UC-MS-PT geographic qualifiers, so that an opaque wall or vegetative screen at least 3 feet high is now required along all street frontages citywide.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Comp Plan Goal 5.3 Efficient Development Patterns, Goal 7.2 Pedestrian-Accessible Design, and Goal 13.5 Community Health and related policies, because it refines how potentially objectionable uses are located and designed, ensuring that fueling stations are more equitably distributed, better screened, and separated from residential areas. These changes promote efficient and context-sensitive development patterns, support pedestrian-friendly design, and protect community health by minimizing conflicts between incompatible uses.

The proposed amendment conflicts with Comp Plan Goal 5.7 Implementation Processes:

GOAL 5.7 IMPLEMENTATION PROCESSES: Employ procedures and processes to effectively and equitably implement the Comp Plan.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Comp Plan Goal 5.7 Implementation Processes, because expanding distance and screening requirements for fueling stations may complicate implementation through added restrictions on development and create uncertainty for applicants.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Commissioners expressed mixed views on the proposed changes to fueling station standards. Some Commissioners supported the amendment, noting concerns about fumes, traffic impacts, and the need to protect residential neighborhoods from the health and quality-of-life impacts of nearby fueling stations. Others questioned whether additional restrictions were necessary, citing a lack of complaints in areas where gas stations are already located near homes and expressed concern about limiting access to fueling infrastructure in parts of the city. The majority of EPC Commissioners did not support the proposed amendments to light vehicle fueling stations. Staff has prepared a condition to remove this amendment from the IDO update for EPC review.

Food Systems

→ Please refer to p. 60-66 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Bodega – Use Table and Use-specific Standards [Table 4-2-1, IDO §14-16-4-3(B)(7), IDO §14-16-4-3(D)(37), IDO §14-16-4-3(D)(38) – Items [#M-2](#) and [#C-7](#)]

Amendment Summary:

Amendments to IDO Table 4-2-1 and related use-specific standards revise where and how small-scale retail uses (“bodegas” or “tienditas”) are allowed in residential zone districts. The changes allow general retail, grocery, and restaurant uses up to 5,000 square feet on corner lots in R-1, R-T, and R-ML zone districts, with additional provisions for live-work uses and a maximum size of either 3,000 square feet (Item #C-7) or 5,000 square feet (Item #M-2). Both amendments allow grocery stores up to 10,000 square feet in the MX-T zone district. Item #M-2 includes also adds restrictions on cannabis and nicotine retail in live-work dwellings. These changes support greater access to fresh food and neighborhood-serving businesses while maintaining limits on size and location to preserve residential character.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, the Commission expressed support for Item #M-2, which includes many of the same provisions as Item #C-7 but allows a larger maximum building size of 5,000 square feet and prohibits cannabis and nicotine retail uses in live-work dwellings. Staff prepared a Condition of Approval recommending adoption of Item #M-2 and with the recommendation to not approve Item #C-7, since its content is addressed through Item #M-2 as revised.

Amendment Comparison:

The following table summarizes the similarities and differences between these amendments and uses red text to indicate the selected approach from the EPC.

Category	Item #C-7 Councilor Rogers	Item #M-2 Mayor
Dwelling, Live-Work	• Permissive in R-1, R-T, and R-ML on corner lots $\geq 5,000$ square feet • R-1: Limited to Retail/grocery/restaurant • Retail/grocery/restaurant limited to a total $\leq 3,000$ square feet	• Same locational limits • Disallows cannabis retail, nicotine retail as Live-work • Retail/grocery/restaurant limited to a total $\leq 5,000$ square feet
General Retail (Small)	• Limited to $\leq 5,000$ square feet • Permissive in residential zones on corner lots with collector or arterial • Conditional in residential zones if on the corner of 2 local streets	Same as Councilor Rogers

Grocery Store	• In MX-T: ≤ 10,000 square feet • In residential zones: ≤ 5,000 square feet • Allowed only on corner lots w/ collector or arterial	Same as Councilor Rogers
----------------------	--	--------------------------

Composting – Composting Facility – Use Table [IDO Table 4-2-1 – Items [#11](#) and [#15](#)]; Composting Facility – Use-specific Standards [IDO § 14-16-4-3(D) and IDO § 14-16-3(E) – Items [#21](#) and [#27](#)]; Composting Related Definitions [IDO § 14-16-7-1 – Items [#74](#), [#75](#), [#79](#)]

1. Composting Facility, Small/Medium and Large – Use Table (Items #11 and #15)

Amendment Summary:

Two amendments add composting facilities to the Use Table as defined and regulated uses. Small and Medium Composting Facilities (Item #11) are categorized as Agriculture and Animal-related Commercial Uses, while Large Composting Facilities (Item #15) are categorized as Waste and Recycling Industrial Uses.

Update: During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Commissioners expressed concerns about expanding the IDO to include definitions and regulations for small and medium-scale composting facilities, citing potential enforcement challenges, odor impacts, and questions about the necessity of regulating smaller-scale composting.

Staff has proposed deleting Item #21 and prepared a Condition of Approval that limits composting regulations to large, industrial-scale facilities only, as proposed in Item #27. The EPC is asked to provide further feedback on whether regulations for large-scale composting should move forward as proposed. To support sustainability goals while maintaining neighborhood compatibility, a minor revision is also proposed to the definition of “Other Use Accessory to Residential Primary Use” to clarify that composting is allowed as part of multi-family residential development. A use-specific standard has also been added to require a setback for compost containers near low-density residential uses.

2. Composting Facility, Small/Medium and Large – Use-specific Standards (Items #21 and #27)

Amendment Summary:

Two amendments add use-specific standards for composting facilities to ensure basic protections for surrounding properties. Item #21 creates a new section for Composting Facility, Small/Medium, establishing limits on outdoor compost pile height and compost placement and hours of operation near residential and mixed-use zones. Item #27 builds on these standards to regulate Composting Facility, Large, adding stricter distance requirements, prohibiting facilities near Major Public Open Space, and clarifying outdoor storage expectations.

***Update:** Based on feedback received at the October 2025 EPC special hearing, Item #21 is proposed to be deleted from the IDO amendments, as small and medium-scale composting facilities are no longer proposed to be regulated as a defined use with associated use-specific standards. Item #27, which addresses regulations for large composting facilities, remains under consideration and needs further discussion by the Commission to determine whether composting should be regulated as proposed. Staff has prepared a draft Condition of Approval that reflects this revised direction and provides a framework should the Commission choose to proceed with regulation of large-scale composting facilities.*

3. Composting Facility – Definitions (Item #75)

Amendment Summary:

The original proposed amendment adds a new definition for Composting Facility and categorizes composting into three size-based tiers when used as a primary use: Small (up to ½ acre), Medium (½ acre to 2 acres), and Large (2+ acres).

***Update:** This item has been revised to regulate only large-scale composting facilities, as discussed above. The proposed definition for “Composting Facility” has been updated accordingly and no longer references size thresholds or accessory uses. Instead, accessory composting activities are addressed separately through proposed revisions to the definition and use-specific standards for “Other Use Accessory to Residential Primary Use.”*

Other Changes Related to Uses

→ Please refer to p. 66-71 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Other Changes Related to Parking and Transportation

→ Please refer to p. 71-73 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Landscaping

→ Please refer to p. 73-79 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Signs

→ Please refer to p. 79-81 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Streets, Lots, and Lighting

- Please refer to p. 81-83 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Walls and Fences

- Please refer to p. 83-85 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Historic Resources

- Please refer to p. 85-87 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Historic Protection Overlay Zone - Frontages [IDO § 14-16-3-5 – [Item #7](#)]

Amendment Summary:

This amendment creates a new type of Historic Protection Overlay (HPO) that applies to the first 25 feet from the front lot line or 20 feet from any street-facing façade of an existing building. It is intended to protect historic frontages and façades that are not currently regulated as City Landmarks, part of an existing HPO, or listed on the National Register. The amendment does not designate any specific properties at this time but instead establishes the framework for applying the overlay in the future.

***Update:** During the October 2025 EPC hearing, Commissioners raised questions about the proposed new type of Historic Protection Overlay (HPO), particularly how it would be applied and whether it was needed. Staff clarified that this HPO type would be optional and follow the same application and review process as other HPOs. The proposed overlay is intended to provide a tailored option for established subdivisions with a cohesive development pattern that may not meet all criteria for historic designation.*

Since the October 28, 2025 special hearing, staff received two public comments in opposition to the proposal, citing concerns that this may be a barrier to redevelopment.

The EPC did not provide direction on whether to retain or remove this proposed tool, so additional feedback from the Commission is needed to determine next steps.

Demolition Outside of an HPO [IDO § 14-16-6-6(B)(1) – [Item #63](#)]

Amendment Summary:

This amendment updates demolition review requirements to apply to all structures 50 years or older, replacing the previous cutoff of 1945. The change ensures that demolition review evolves over time and continues to protect historically significant resources as they age. Demolition

requests for 50+ year-old structures will be reviewed by Historic Preservation staff, typically within one day, to determine whether the structure has historic significance or character. Only buildings found to have potential significance would be referred to the Landmarks Commission for a 120-day review period to consider alternatives to demolition or to allow for documentation.

***Update:** During the October 2025 EPC special hearing, some EPC Commissioners suggested adding a condition to establish a specific time limit for staff to make this determination. After the hearing, staff confirmed that this issue is already addressed in IDO § 14-16-6-6(B)(2)(a) and (b), which require staff to complete demolition review within 5 days and schedule Landmarks Commission hearings accordingly. Since these procedures are already codified, Staff does not propose adding a separate condition of approval.*

Since the October 28, 2025 special hearing, staff received two public comments in opposition to the proposal, citing concerns that this may be a barrier to redevelopment.

Guidance from the EPC is needed on whether they are supportive of this proposed amendment, with the understanding that the IDO already establishes time limits for demolition review.

Infrastructure and Stormwater Management

→ Please refer to p. 87-91 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Negotiable Zone Districts

→ Please refer to p. 91-94 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Procedures

→ Please refer to p. 94-100 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a summary, explanation, and policy analysis for this topic.

Small Area Text Amendments [IDO § 14-16-6-4(D)(1) and Multiple IDO Subsections – [Item #M-3](#)]

Amendment Summary:

This amendment shifts legislative authority for creating or amending Character Protection Overlays (CPOs) and Historic Protection Overlays (HPOs) from City Council to the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) and Landmarks Commission (LC), respectively, aligning the process with other zoning and historic designation decisions in the IDO. In addition, the amendment clarifies that at least 51% of property owners in a proposed Overlay Zone must agree in writing in order to request a new or amended overlay, ensuring that such requests reflect a clear majority of neighborhood support.

Policy Analysis Recap: The proposed amendment is consistent with Goal 5.7 Implementation Process and its related policies and sub-policies, because it clarifies and streamlines the process for establishing or amending Character and Historic Protection Overlays by assigning review authority to the appropriate appointed bodies.

The proposed amendment conflicts with Comp Plan Goal 4.2 Process:

GOAL 4.2 PROCESS: Engage communities to identify and plan for their distinct character and needs.

The proposed amendment conflicts with Comp Plan Goal 4.2 Process, because shifting decision-making authority from elected officials to appointed commissions may reduce perceived transparency and accountability in the public process, and conflicts with community expectations for how overlay zones should be created or amended.

***Update:** Public comment at the October 2025 EPC hearing was opposed to this amendment. Public comments stated a clear preference for keeping elected officials, rather than appointed commissions, as the decision-making bodies for creating or amending these overlays. EPC Commissioners also voiced concern that the proposed amendment was not aligned with public expectations and did not receive meaningful support.*

Since the October 28, 2025 hearing, staff received approximately two public comments in opposition to the proposal.

Based on this discussion, Staff has prepared a condition to remove this amendment from the IDO update for EPC review.

IV. PUBLIC OUTREACH

- Please refer to p. 100 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for information regarding meetings and presentations provided. All presentation materials can be found online at <https://abq-zone.com/ido-annual-update-2025>.

V. NOTICE

- Please refer to p. 100-101 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for information regarding required notice and additional notice provided.

VI. AGENCY & PUBLIC COMMENTS

- Please refer to p. 101-102 of the October 28, 2025 Staff report for a discussion of comments from agencies, the public, and neighborhood representatives.

During the continuance period, Staff received several comments regarding the proposed Citywide text amendments. As of this writing, approximately 62 emails and/or attached letters were submitted related to the Citywide request, which are attached to this report.

The only Neighborhood Association submitted comments during the continuance period was the Santa Barbra Martineztown Neighborhood Association. The remaining comments were from individuals. Letters of support were provided by AARP New Mexico and Strong Towns Albuquerque. The individual comments are addressed in the body of the staff report in the relevant sections.

The majority of public comments expressed support for the proposed amendments, particularly those that increase housing options through duplexes, cottage developments, and casitas; reduce parking minimums; ease regulations for small overnight shelters and safe outdoor spaces; allow small-scale commercial uses such as bodegas in residential areas; and increase allowable building heights in targeted locations. Supportive comments highlighted the potential to improve housing affordability, support aging in place, and create more walkable, inclusive communities.

Additional letters raised objections to the cumulative effect of multiple changes to the IDO and expressed concerns about the erosion of neighborhood character and public input. One commenter raised concerns about the prevalence of template letters of support in the public record, arguing that they should carry less weight than original, detailed submissions that reflect individual perspectives. Staff notes, however, that all public comment is considered and included in the record for the commission to review, regardless of format, and it is not the role of staff to assign relative weight to different types of input.

VII. CONCLUSION

The request is for citywide text amendments to the IDO. The Planning Department has compiled approximately 150 proposed changes and analyzed them for the EPC's review and recommendation to the City Council. The request was heard at the October 28, 2025 Special EPC hearing and Continued to the regular November 20, 2025 hearing. This Supplemental Staff report includes additional analysis, revised findings, and revised Recommended Conditions of Approval based on the presentation, public comments, and discussion by the EPC at the special hearing.

The request meets relevant application and procedural requirements in [IDO § 14-16-6-7\(D\)](#) for citywide text amendments and is consistent with the Biennial Update process established by [IDO § 14-16-6-3\(D\)](#). This request meets the review and decision criteria for citywide text amendments in IDO § 14-16-6-7(D)(3).

The proposed changes are generally consistent with applicable Articles of the City Charter and a preponderance of applicable Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies from Chapter 4: Community Identity, Chapter 5: Land Use, Chapter 7: Urban Design, Chapter 8: Economic Development, Chapter 9: Housing, Chapter 11: Heritage Conservation, and Chapter 13: Resilience and Sustainability.

Planning Staff held online study sessions and open houses regarding the proposed changes. The request was announced in the Albuquerque Journal, on the ABC-Z project webpage, and by e-mail. The Planning Department provided notice to neighborhood representatives via e-mail as required, and via mail for those without an e-mail address on file.

Interested parties, including various neighborhood organizations and individuals, provided comments that address a variety of topics. Topics generating the most interest and/or concern are bodegas and increasing housing options. Some commenters expressed concern about the IDO update process and have questions about some of the proposed text amendments.

Public comments were received prior to (and after) publication of the original October 28, 2025 Staff report. Additional comments were received during the continuance period leading up to publication of the November 20, 2025 supplemental staff report.

Staff recommends that the EPC forward a recommendation of Approval to the City Council, subject to conditions for recommendation of Approval needed to provide consistency and clarity.

RECOMMENDED FINDINGS – TA-2025-00002

1. The request is for various Citywide, legislative amendments to the text of the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) for the Biennial Update required by IDO Subsection 14-16-6-3(D). The proposed Citywide amendments, when combined with the proposed Small-area amendments, are collectively known as the 2025 IDO Biennial Update.
2. These Citywide text amendments are accompanied by a proposed text amendment to a Small Area (Martineztown/Santa Barbara Character Protection Overlay Zone [CPO-7]) in the City, which was submitted separately pursuant to IDO Subsection 14-16-6-7(E) and is the subject of a separate staff report and a quasi-judicial action by the EPC.
3. The EPC's task is to make a recommendation to the City Council regarding the proposed amendments to IDO text. As the City's Planning and Zoning Authority, the City Council will make the final decision. The EPC is a recommending body to the Council and has important review authority. This request is a legislative matter.
4. Since the 2023 update, Planning Staff identified amendments to the IDO that could be made to improve the clarity, enforcement, and effectiveness of existing regulations. Changes were also collected from the public, the Mayor, and City Councilors. Collectively, approximately 150 amendments have been gathered.
5. The citywide text amendments are presented and explained in a spreadsheet "[IDO Update 2025 – EPC Review – Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes – Citywide](#)," which provides the following information: item number for tracking purposes, the page and section of the IDO that would be modified, the text proposed to change, an explanation of the purpose and/or intent of the change, and its source.

6. The City Charter, the Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan and the City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) are incorporated herein by reference and made part of the record for all purposes.
7. The proposed IDO text amendments apply citywide to land within the City of Albuquerque municipal boundaries. The IDO does not apply to lands owned or controlled by another jurisdiction, such as the State of New Mexico or federal lands. Properties in unincorporated Bernalillo County or other municipalities, such as the Village of Los Ranchos and City of Rio Rancho, are also not subject to the IDO.
8. The request is generally consistent with the following, relevant Articles of the City Charter:
 - A. Article I, Incorporation and Powers. Amending the IDO via text amendments is consistent with the purpose of the City Charter to provide for maximum local self-government. The revised regulatory language and processes in the IDO would generally help implement the Comprehensive Plan and help guide future legislation.
 - B. Article IX, Environmental Protection. The proposed citywide text amendments would help ensure that land is developed and used properly and that an aesthetic and humane urban environment is maintained. The IDO is the implementation instrument for the City's Comprehensive Plan, which protects and promotes health, safety, and welfare in the interest of the public. Commissions, Boards, and Committees would have updated and clarified regulations to help facilitate effective administration of City policy in this area.
 - C. Article XVII, Planning.
 - i. Section 1. Amending the IDO through the biennial update process is an instance of the Council exercising its role as the City's ultimate planning and zoning authority. The IDO will help implement the Comprehensive Plan and ensure that development in the city is consistent with the intent of any other plans and ordinances that the Council adopts.
 - ii. Section 2. Amending the IDO through the biennial update process will help the Administration to implement the Comprehensive Plan vision for future growth and development and will help with the enforcement and administration of land use plans.
9. The Comprehensive Plan and the IDO were developed together and are mutually supportive. The overarching purpose of the IDO is to implement the Comprehensive Plan and protect the health, safety, and general welfare of the public [§ 14-16-1-3].
10. The request is generally consistent with the following, applicable Goal and Policies in Chapter 4: Community Identity:
 - A. Goal 4.1 Character: Enhance, protect, and preserve distinct communities

The proposed amendments would expand housing options, particularly in Centers and Corridors, while retaining contextual standards and neighborhood edge protections to help ensure that new development protects and preserves existing neighborhood character. These changes enable more diverse housing types that meet evolving community needs without compromising the identity of established neighborhoods.

- B. Policy 4.1.2 Identity and Design: Protect the identity and cohesiveness of neighborhoods by ensuring the appropriate scale and location of development, mix of uses, and character of building design.

The proposed amendments allow a broader range of residential development options such as duplexes, townhouses, multi-family, and cottage developments at a scale that protects the identity and cohesiveness of existing neighborhoods. Updated dimensional standards, use-specific standards, and articulation requirements ensure that new development is compatible in form and character. The proposed zoning map conversions focus growth near Major Transit areas and Activity Centers, helping to minimize development pressure on neighborhoods.

- C. Policy 4.1.4 - Neighborhoods: Enhance, protect, and preserve neighborhoods and traditional communities as key to our long-term health and vitality

The proposed amendments enhance neighborhood vitality through increased flexibility for context-appropriate housing, including cottage developments, duplexes, and accessory dwelling units (“casitas”). These same housing options are part of traditional communities that developed prior to the adoption of zoning, which limited residential options in most neighborhoods to single-family dwellings only. These housing options help meet changing household needs while preserving neighborhood scale and character. Protections such as contextual standards, neighborhood edge standards, and use-specific standards help ensure that new development does not disrupt existing communities. The amendments also refine allowed uses in certain zone districts to preserve their intended purpose, such as removing townhouses and multi-family housing from the R-MC zone district to maintain manufactured home communities, which typically offer more affordable housing options. Similarly, removing single-family detached housing from the MX-T zone district helps ensure that land near transit and commercial areas remain available for mixed-use development.

11. The request is generally consistent with the following, applicable Goals and Policies in Chapter 5: Land Use:

- A. Goal 5.1 Centers & Corridors: Grow as a community of strong Centers connected by a multi-modal network of Corridors

The proposed amendments focus growth in Centers and Corridors through zoning map conversions and increased development potential in transit-served areas and areas with existing infrastructure. Height bonuses, elimination of parking minimums, and establishment of parking maximums in Urban Centers, Premium Transit, and Main Street areas incentivize higher-density, walkable development in the places designated by the Comprehensive Plan as the most appropriate to absorb growth, increase density, and develop in a transit-oriented, walkable pattern. Expanded housing options at a neighborhood scale, combined with targeted zoning strategies, help reinforce a connected network of vibrant, multi-modal places that support both local character and citywide mobility.

- B. Policy 5.1.1 Desired Growth: Capture regional growth in Centers and Corridors to help shape the built environment into a sustainable development pattern.

The proposed amendments direct regional growth toward Centers and Corridors through both legislative zoning conversions and targeted development incentives. Legislative zoning conversions expand the range of permissive uses and allowable heights in Major Transit and Activity Centers, reinforcing these areas as appropriate for new housing and mixed-use development. Reductions in parking minimums, establishment of parking maximums for non-residential uses, and added bonuses for height in transit-served areas incentivize additional density and walkable projects where infrastructure already exists. Together, these changes help shape growth into more sustainable patterns that align with the Comprehensive Plan vision for a connected network of vibrant Centers and Corridors.

- C. Policy 5.1.2 Development Areas: Direct more intense growth to Centers and Corridors and use Development Areas to establish and maintain appropriate density and scale of development within areas that should be more stable.

The proposed amendments direct more intense development to designated Centers and Corridors while maintaining stability in surrounding neighborhoods. Legislative zoning conversions concentrate higher-density residential and mixed-use options near Major Transit and Activity Centers, reinforcing these areas as appropriate locations for growth. Height bonuses, reduced parking requirements, and new parking maximums further incentivize intensity in these development areas, while contextual and edge standards remain in place to ensure compatible scale in Areas of Consistency. In addition, the removal of single-family uses from the MX-T zone district would ensure that land near transit and commercial corridors is reserved for higher-intensity mixed-use development.

- D. Policy 5.1.6 Activity Centers: Foster mixed-use centers of activity with a range of services and amenities that support healthy lifestyles and meet the needs of nearby residents and businesses.

The proposed amendments foster mixed-use Activity Centers with a broader range of housing, services, and amenities. Legislative zoning conversions expand opportunities for residential and non-residential uses in these areas, ensuring that growth is concentrated where infrastructure and transit access already exist. Height bonuses and parking reforms further incentivize compact, walkable development patterns that integrate housing with nearby businesses and services. These changes reinforce Activity Centers as vibrant, mixed-use places that meet the daily needs of residents and support healthy, active lifestyles.

- E. Policy 5.1.10 Major Transit Corridors: Foster corridors that prioritize high-frequency transit service with pedestrian-oriented development.
- F. The proposed amendments prioritize pedestrian-oriented, transit-supportive development along Major Transit corridors. Legislative zoning conversions expand residential and mixed-use options within Major Transit corridors, focusing growth in areas most accessible to frequent transit service. Height bonuses, reduced parking requirements, and new parking maximums for non-residential development incentivize denser, walkable development patterns that shift emphasis away from auto-oriented design and toward pedestrian comfort and connectivity. Together, these changes help strengthen Major Transit Corridors as vibrant, mixed-use places that support high-frequency transit and active pedestrian activity.
- G. Goal 5.2 Complete Communities: Foster communities where residents can live, work, learn, shop, and play together.

The proposed amendments enable a broader mix of housing types such as duplexes, townhouses, multi-family, and cottage developments in Major Transit areas and Activity Centers, connecting residential opportunities to areas with essential non-residential uses like grocery stores and medical offices. Other amendments that would make it easier to build casitas, expand housing options to reflect changing household needs and lifestyles. Together, these changes build on Center and Corridor policies to foster more complete, resilient communities where residents can access housing, jobs, and services in the same area

- H. Policy 5.2.1 Land Uses: Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

The proposed amendments allow a wider range of residential uses in Activity Centers and Major Transit Corridors to increase housing options within close proximity to goods and services. Zoning conversions to mixed-use zone districts and proposed changes to allow retail, grocery stores, and live-work uses closer to neighborhoods open opportunities to make goods and services more accessible existing households. Updates to use permissions in specific zone districts, such as reserving MX-T for mixed-use development and R-MC for manufactured home communities, help ensure land is used efficiently to support healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities.

- I. Sub-policy 5.2.1.e: Encourage higher density housing as an appropriate use in the following situations:

- i. Within designated Centers and Corridors;

The proposed amendments encourage higher-density housing in designated Centers and Corridors through legislative zoning conversions, height bonuses, and reduced parking requirements. These changes focus residential growth in transit-served areas where increased density is most appropriate and sustainable.

- ii. In areas with good street connectivity and convenient access to transit;

The proposed amendments direct higher-density housing to areas with strong street networks and transit access. Height bonuses, reduced parking requirements, and legislative zoning conversions reinforce compact development patterns that maximize connectivity and support transit use.

- iii. In areas where a mixed density pattern is already established by zoning or use, where it is compatible with existing area land uses, and where adequate infrastructure is or will be available;

The proposed amendments allow higher-density housing in areas where mixed densities already exist and infrastructure can support additional growth.

- iv. In areas now predominantly zoned single-family only where it comprises a complete block face and faces onto similar or higher density development;

The proposed amendments expand housing options in single-family areas where conditions support transitions to higher density zone districts. Contextual and edge buffer standards ensure new development remains compatible with adjacent residential character.

- v. In areas where a transition is needed between single-family homes and much more intensive development.

The proposed amendments encourage higher-density housing and more housing options as a transition between single-family neighborhoods and more intensive development. Dimensional and design standards help maintain compatibility and provide an appropriate buffer in these areas

- J. Sub-policy 5.2.1.g: Encourage infill development that adds complementary uses and is compatible in form and scale to the immediately surrounding development.

The proposed amendments encourage infill development through expanded allowances for duplexes, townhouses, cottage developments, and accessory dwelling units. Updated standards ensure these housing types add complementary options while remaining compatible in form and scale with surrounding neighborhoods.

- K. Goal 5.3 - Efficient Development Patterns: Promote development patterns that maximize the utility of existing infrastructure and public facilities and the efficient use of land to support the public good.

The proposed amendments encourage more efficient use of land through expanded housing options that can make better use of underutilized lots without requiring new infrastructure. Changes to dimensional standards, parking requirements, and building heights in key growth areas help maximize development potential in places already served by transit, utilities, and public services. These updates support infill and redevelopment that leverages existing investments to benefit the broader community.

- L. Policy 5.3.7 Locally Unwanted Land Uses: Ensure that land uses that are objectionable to immediate neighbors but may be useful to society are located carefully and equitably to ensure that social assets are distributed evenly and social responsibilities are borne fairly across the Albuquerque area.

The proposed amendments aim to refine how potentially objectionable uses are regulated to ensure they are more equitably and consistently distributed across the city. Car washes and light vehicle repair are proposed to be changed to conditional uses in some mixed-use zone districts to reflect how they are allowed elsewhere, requiring case-by-case review and appropriate mitigation of impacts. For gas stations, new requirements citywide include screening along street frontages and increased separation from residential uses, helping ensure that these uses are located and designed in a way that respects surrounding communities while still serving citywide needs. Similarly, updated standards for overnight shelters and Safe Outdoor Spaces establish clear requirements to ensure compatibility with surrounding neighborhoods while continuing to provide these important services to residents in need.

- M. Policy 5.3.1 Infill Development: Support additional growth in areas with existing infrastructure and public facilities.

The proposed amendments facilitate infill development in areas with existing infrastructure and services, particularly within designated Centers and Corridors. These areas have been identified as having the greatest capacity to accommodate growth due to their access to transit, utilities, and public facilities. Amendments that increase housing flexibility and allow for higher-intensity development in these locations help direct growth where it can be most efficiently supported, reducing pressure to extend infrastructure into undeveloped areas.

- N. Policy 5.4.1 Housing Near Jobs: Allow higher-density housing and discourage single-family housing near areas with concentrated employment.

The proposed amendments encourage higher-density housing types such as multi-family, duplexes, and townhouses in areas near jobs, including Activity Centers and Major Transit areas. At the same time, single-family detached housing is being removed as a permissive use in the MX-T zone to ensure that land near employment centers remains available for mixed-use or higher-intensity residential development. These changes help align housing opportunities with job access, reduce commute times, and add infill housing options east of the Rio Grande, where the majority of the city's jobs are located.

O. Policy 5.4.2 West Side Jobs: Foster employment opportunities on the West Side.

Sub-policy 5.4.2.a: Ensure adequate capacity of land zoned for commercial, office, and industrial uses west of the Rio Grande to support additional job growth.

The proposed amendments would add capacity for job growth on the West Side through zoning conversions from Residential to Mixed-use zone districts along Major Transit Corridors (Coors Blvd. and Golf Course Rd.) and in Activity Centers (West Route 66, 98th/Gibson). Expanded allowed uses to commercial and office uses, combined with reduced parking requirements with new maximums, increase the feasibility of employment-generating development west of the Rio Grande and helps foster job creation closer to where people live, reducing pressure on river crossings.

P. Goal 5.6 City Development Areas: Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

The proposed amendments encourage more intense development and a broader mix of housing options in Areas of Change, including through zoning conversions in Activity Centers and Major Transit Corridors. These changes help focus growth where infrastructure and services already exist. At the same time, development in and near Areas of Consistency remains subject to contextual and neighborhood edge standards that ensure new projects align with the scale and character of surrounding neighborhoods. This approach balances growth with preservation to support a more intentional development pattern citywide

Q. Policy 5.6.2 Areas of Change: Direct growth and more intense development to Centers, Corridors, industrial and business parks, and Metropolitan Redevelopment Areas, where change is encouraged.

The proposed amendments allow additional housing choices in Activity Centers and Major Transit areas, along with increased building heights in all Centers and Corridors to support more intensive development in these areas. Several amendments also focus specifically on Metropolitan Redevelopment Areas, including expanded usable open space options for residential conversions in Downtown and the removal of minimum parking requirements, with new parking maximums established in Centers and Corridors to support more efficient, walkable development.

- R. Policy 5.6.3 Areas of Consistency: Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, parks, and Major Public Open Space.

The proposed amendments reinforce the character of existing single-family neighborhoods and other Areas of Consistency through context-sensitive development standards, such as limiting the number of multi-family units near R-A and R-1 properties. Several amendments also enhance the protection of parks and Major Public Open Space by adding the City's Parks and Recreation Department as a reviewing agency for EPC site plans that are within 660 feet of Major Public Open Space.

- S. Policy 5.6.4 Appropriate Transitions: Provide transitions in Areas of Change for development abutting Areas of Consistency through adequate setbacks, buffering, and limits on building height and massing.

The proposed amendments strengthen standards that ensure appropriate transitions between more intensive development in Areas of Change and established neighborhoods in Areas of Consistency. Additional contextual design standards, such as façade articulation requirements for multi-family development, further reinforce compatibility by breaking up building mass and ensuring that larger projects respond to surrounding neighborhood character. Together, these amendments help balance the need for growth in designated Centers and Corridors with the protection of nearby established neighborhoods, creating a more intentional and context-sensitive transition

- T. Goal 5.7 Implementation Processes: Employ procedures and processes to effectively and equitably implement the Comprehensive Plan.

Policy 5.7.2 Regulatory Alignment: Update regulatory frameworks to support desired growth, high quality development, economic development, housing, a variety of transportation modes, and quality of life priorities.

The proposed amendments remove regulatory barriers to implement Comp Plan Goals and Policies for housing options, infill development, and transit-oriented development. Housing updates include new allowances for cottage development, casita height and size flexibility, and zoning conversions near Activity Centers and Major Transit. Parking changes eliminate minimums in Centers and Corridors, introduce maximums for non-residential uses, and revise EV requirements to reduce costs while meeting demand. Other amendments establish modern regulations for composting facilities, clarify use standards in NR-SU zones, and add protections for historic building frontages. Together, these changes reflect priorities around housing, climate resilience, and neighborhood character, making the IDO more adaptable to current and future needs.

- U. Policy 5.7.4 Streamlined Development: Encourage efficiencies in the development review process.

The proposed amendments streamline key development processes to reduce delays and improve clarity in processes. Updates include streamlining requirements for Alternative Signage Plans, allowing more changes to be handled as Minor Amendments (such as additional housing units, with a total cumulative maximum increase of 10% or 5 units, whichever is higher), and clarifying the process for amending Framework Plans and Pre-IDO approvals. Bulk land plat procedures are also revised to align with Site Plan timing, and clarifying the procedures for development in negotiable zone districts. These changes help make the review process faster, more predictable, and easier to navigate.

12. The request is generally consistent with the following, applicable Goals and policies in Chapter 7: Urban Design:

- A. Goal 7.3 Sense of Place: Reinforce sense of place through context-sensitive design of development and streetscapes.

The proposed amendments reinforce design standards that respond to local context and character. Updates include stronger façade articulation requirements for multi-family housing outside of UC-MS-PT areas, added protections for historic building frontages, updated landscaping standards, and standards which allow for more neon signage in Main Street areas. These changes preserve existing community features while establishing reasonable requirements for new development to ensure harmonious design.

- B. Policy 7.3.2 Community Character: Encourage design strategies that recognize and embrace the character differences that give communities their distinct identities and make them safe and attractive places.

The proposed amendments provide incentives that reinforce local identity. Updates to signage regulations expand incentives for neon signs in Main Street areas and allow for the relocation of historic signage, supporting the unique character of corridors like Central and Broadway where vintage signage is part of the streetscape and neighborhood character. Landscaping updates further reinforce community character by emphasizing climate-appropriate plantings and healthier soil standards that enhance the look and feel of neighborhoods while reflecting their distinct desert identity. Together, these changes help preserve and celebrate neighborhood personality, especially in mixed-use areas where visual identity plays a key role in placemaking.

- i. Action 7.3.2.3: Establish regulatory protections for single-family residential neighborhoods and historic areas to ensure compatible new development.

The proposed amendments help implement an action for Policy 7.3.2 by strengthening protections for historic resources that contribute to neighborhood identity and sense of place. A new type of Historic Protection Overlay zone would help preserve the street-facing facades of older buildings, particularly in areas that are not otherwise designated for historic protection. In addition, the City would review demolition requests for any structure over 50 years old, which would replace the 1945 cutoff to better protect mid-century buildings that shape the character of Albuquerque's older neighborhoods. These changes ensure that context and community identity are considered before permanent alterations occur

- C. Policy 7.3.4 Infill: Promote infill that enhances the built environment or blends in style and building materials with surrounding structures and the streetscape of the block in which it is located.

The proposed amendments expand infill opportunities that correlate to neighborhood form and scale. Allowing cottage development on more parcels throughout the city encourages context-sensitive housing that fits within lots on existing blocks. Revised building height and footprint limits for accessory dwelling units also make it easier to build modest infill that complements existing homes. Paired with targeted zoning conversions near Centers and Corridors, these changes enable more flexible housing types without disrupting the visual character of established neighborhoods.

- D. Policy 7.3.5 Development Quality: Encourage innovative and high-quality design in all development.

The proposed amendments would improve design standards that shape development across the city. Updates include strengthened articulation requirements for multi-family façades outside of UC-MS-PT areas, additional screening standards for gas stations, and more flexibility for neon signage in Main Street areas.

- E. Goal 7.4 Context-Sensitive Parking: Design parking facilities to match the development context and complement the surrounding built environment.

Policy 7.4.2 Parking Requirements: Establish off-street parking requirements based on development context.

The proposed amendments adjust parking requirements based on their context, aligning parking requirements with the form and function of different areas across the city. In Centers and Corridors, where infrastructure, transit access, and planning policy support more intensive development, new parking maximums would be established for non-residential uses to discourage oversized surface parking lots and support walkable, mixed-use development. At the same time, minimum parking requirements would be eliminated in Centers and Corridors, allowing developers to tailor parking supply to the demand of the specific project, reducing barriers to infill, lowering development costs, and avoiding overbuilt parking lots to support more walkable, mixed-use environments. In addition, parking calculations for multi-family housing, EV charging, and self-storage have been refined to reflect development trends and ensure that parking requirements better match the operations of these uses. Together, these changes support more human-focused design and help reduce the dominance of parking in areas intended for higher-intensity, transit-oriented growth.

- F. Policy 7.4.3 Off-street Parking Design: Encourage well-designed, efficient, safe, and attractive parking facilities.

The proposed amendments reduce the likelihood of overbuilt, visually dominant parking lots in Centers and Corridors. New parking maximums for non-residential uses in Centers and Corridors help prevent excessive parking that detracts from the built environment and undermines walkability. Where additional parking is proposed, incentives are provided for higher-quality design: shade structures, permeable paving, bioswales, larger trees, and EV infrastructure can all exempt parking spaces from counting toward the maximum. Overall, the amendments support more efficient, sustainable, and attractive parking facilities that align with the context of compact, human-scaled development.

- G. Goal 7.5 Context-sensitive Site Design: Design sites, buildings, and landscape elements to respond to the high desert environment.

The proposed amendments promote development patterns and site design strategies that are adapted to Albuquerque's arid climate. New language references the Bernalillo County Green Stormwater Infrastructure standards, ensuring that landscape and drainage design incorporates low-impact techniques that reduce runoff and improve water quality. Landscaping updates strengthen climate responsiveness by requiring planting suited to Albuquerque's high desert conditions and integrating vegetative coverage standards that conserve water while improving shade, stormwater retention, and cooling benefits. Reduced parking requirements and new parking maximums for non-residential uses in Centers and Corridors help limit impervious surfaces, while expanded allowances for infill housing makes more efficient use of land and reduces pressure to extend development into undeveloped areas. Together, these changes support a more climate-responsive built environment that uses land and water more sustainably.

- H. Policy 7.5.1 Landscape Design: Encourage landscape treatments that are consistent with the high desert climate to enhance our sense of place.

The proposed amendments encourage landscape treatments that are adapted to Albuquerque's high desert climate while also enhancing ecological function and design flexibility. Updates to the purpose statement for landscaping requirements expand the intent to include climate resilience and public health benefits, such as stormwater retention, air purification, and mitigation of the urban heat island effect. Edits related to the use of the Official Albuquerque Plant Palette, minimum soil depth, and required tree/shrub placement reinforce climate-appropriate planting strategies and long-term vegetation health. By clarifying the use of overlapping vegetative coverage and expanding acceptable groundcover and buffer materials, the amendments support sustainable, water-wise landscaping without sacrificing visual quality or coverage requirements. Flexibility to use alternative plant species (if they meet City and Water Authority criteria) further enables creative site design that still respects the regional landscape. Together, these changes promote a consistent desert-appropriate aesthetic while reinforcing Albuquerque's identity and sense of place through intentional, context-sensitive landscaping.

- I. Goal 7.6 Context-sensitive Infrastructure: Match infrastructure design to intended densities and development patterns to minimize lifecycle costs and conserve natural resources.

The proposed amendments align infrastructure design with intended densities and development patterns to promote efficient growth and conserve resources. Legislative zoning conversions focus higher-intensity development in Centers, Corridors, and transit-served areas, making more effective use of existing infrastructure and minimizing the need for costly extensions. Parking amendments reduce impervious surfaces and stormwater runoff, while updated landscaping standards incorporate climate-appropriate plantings, soil health requirements, and stormwater retention practices. Clarified standards for utilities and site design ensure that infrastructure is placed and maintained in a way that protects surrounding neighborhoods and reduces long-term maintenance costs. Together, these changes support a more sustainable development pattern that matches infrastructure investment to context and need.

- J. Policy 7.6.1 Stormwater Treatments: Match stormwater treatment techniques and practices to the density/intensity of land use and development context.

The proposed amendments tailor stormwater treatment practices to the scale and intensity of development. References to the Bernalillo County Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) standards ensure that techniques such as permeable surfaces, vegetated areas, and decentralized retention systems are integrated into new projects in ways that match their context. Updates to landscaping, soil depth requirements, and parking regulations reinforce on-site stormwater management, reducing runoff and aligning stormwater practices with the density and design of each development type, consistent with Policy 7.6.1 Stormwater Treatments.

- i. Action 7.6.1.1 Stormwater Treatments: Develop technical standards that follow best practices for stormwater design and management in each development context.

Staff notes that the proposed amendments help accomplish Action 7.6.1.1 by incorporating the Bernalillo County Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) standards into IDO requirements for new development. These standards promote low-impact, decentralized stormwater solutions, such as permeable pavement, bioswales, and vegetated buffers, that are best suited to the high desert climate. By integrating these best practices into the IDO, the amendments ensure that stormwater is managed on-site in ways that reduce runoff, improves water quality, and supports long-term resilience. Parking-related updates also incentivize green infrastructure in areas with established parking maximums, by exempting permeable and bioswale-connected spaces from new parking maximums, reinforcing the link between site design and environmental performance

13. The request is generally consistent with the following, applicable Goals and Policies in Chapter 8: Economic Development:

A. Goal 8.1 Placemaking: Create places where business and talent will stay and thrive.

The proposed amendments are intended to foster vibrant, enduring places where both residents and businesses can thrive. Expanded housing options, including duplexes, townhouses, cottage developments, and accessory dwelling units, provide choices at densities that support households across all life stages while reinforcing mixed-use activity in Centers and Corridors. Administrative updates streamline development procedures, while refinements to use-specific standards to allow more permissive uses such as bodegas helps sustain local enterprise. Updates to landscaping and required parking further enhance the public realm, supporting placemaking that attracts talent, investment, and long-term community vitality.

B. Policy 8.1.1 Diverse Places: Foster a range of interesting places and contexts with different development intensities, densities, uses, and building scale to encourage economic development opportunities.

The proposed amendments help foster a wider range of places with varying intensities, uses, and building forms that encourage economic opportunity. Zoning conversions and building height changes in Major Transit and Activity Centers expand capacity for higher-density, mixed-use development, while expanded housing types and small business flexibility broaden the mix of options citywide. These updates create diverse contexts that support both local enterprise and long-term economic growth.

C. Policy 8.1.2 Resilient Economy: Encourage economic development efforts that improve quality of life for new and existing residents and foster a robust, resilient, and diverse economy.

The proposed amendments create more flexibility for reinvestment, entrepreneurship, and adaptive reuse. Updates like expanded opportunities for home daycare facilities, catering service, composting facilities and cottage development, clearer standards for live-work units, and reduced parking requirements in Centers and Corridors lower costs and make it easier for small businesses and infill housing developers to respond to market needs. Protecting historic

façades and allowing creative signage like rooftop signs and neon also helps reinforce local identity, which supports both economic vitality and quality of life.

14. The request is generally consistent with the following, applicable Goals and Policies in Chapter 9: Housing:

- A. Goal 9.1 Supply: Ensure a sufficient supply and range of high-quality housing types that meet current and future needs at a variety of price levels to ensure more balanced housing options.

The proposed amendments expand the types of housing allowed throughout the city and reducing barriers to their development. Cottage development would be allowed on smaller sites, and barriers to constructing accessory dwelling units are reduced by allowing more flexibility in height and footprint. Zoning map conversions in Activity Centers and Major Transit areas create more opportunities for housing types beyond single-family detached units. Reductions in parking requirements help lower development costs. Together, these changes make it easier to deliver a broader mix of housing types at a variety of price points.

- B. Policy 9.1.1 Housing Options: Support the development, improvement, and conservation of housing for a variety of income levels and types of residents and households.

The proposed amendments expand housing choices for both property owners and future residents. Additional opportunities for accessory dwelling units offer flexibility to age in place, house a relative, or generate rental income on a single lot. New allowances from proposed residential up-zones make it possible to add additional units or a mixture of uses while maintaining neighborhood scale and creating opportunities for people to live in different types of homes at different price points. These changes support a wider range of living situations and give households more options to stay in the communities they already call home.

- C. Policy 9.1.2 Affordability: Provide for mixed-income neighborhoods by encouraging high-quality, affordable, and mixed-income housing options throughout the area.

The proposed amendments encourage mixed-income housing through infill development and strategic up-zoning. Zoning conversions in Activity Centers and Major Transit areas allow a broader range of housing types, such as duplexes, townhouses, and multi-family, in areas with access to transit, infrastructure, and daily services. These changes support mixed-use and higher-density projects that can include units at different sizes, ownership options, and price points. By expanding where these options are allowed, the amendments help integrate affordable housing into more neighborhoods and reduce segregation by income, consistent with Policy 9.1.2 Affordability.

- D. Goal 9.2 Sustainable Design: Promote sustainable design that is compatible with surrounding contexts, including both the natural and built environments.

The proposed amendments promote sustainable design practices that respond to both the built and natural environment. Landscaping updates require climate-appropriate plantings, soil health standards, and stormwater retention measures that conserve water and support long-term resilience. Parking amendments reduce impervious surfaces and urban heat impacts, while contextual design standards ensure new development remains compatible with surrounding neighborhoods. Together, these changes align sustainable site and building design with the high desert climate and community context.

- E. Policy 9.2.3 Cluster Housing: Encourage housing developments that cluster residential units in order to provide community gathering spaces and/or open space.

The proposed amendments enable more clustered housing types and providing flexible ways to meet open space requirements where traditional layouts are not feasible. Updates to cottage development standards allow this small-scale, community-oriented housing type to be built on smaller lots, creating shared open spaces within compact infill projects. In the MX-FB-UD zone, new alternatives are provided for residential conversions in existing buildings that physically cannot accommodate new open space. These changes expand housing choices while continuing to prioritize quality of life and shared gathering spaces.

- F. Goal 9.6 Development Process: Promote cost-effective housing redevelopment and construction that meets community needs.

The proposed amendments encourage infill development in areas with existing infrastructure, where housing can be developed more efficiently and at lower cost. Removing parking minimums in Centers and Corridors, help further lower development costs and allow more flexibility in site design. To support a more efficient review process, preliminary and final plats have been consolidated into a single review step, streamlining the process for applicable projects without reducing standards.

- G. Policy 9.6.2 Incentives: Provide incentives for developing affordable housing for low- and moderate-income households, by aligning development regulations, infrastructure requirements, and fee structures with the priorities of City and County affordable housing programs.

The proposed amendments align development standards with the goals of local affordable housing initiatives. Reduced parking requirements for workforce housing units help lower upfront construction costs and ongoing maintenance expenses, making affordable projects more feasible. Updates to allow smaller-scale housing types, such as duplexes, casitas, and cottage developments, in more zone districts also increase the range of affordable housing options that can be pursued without the need for discretionary approvals. These regulatory adjustments function as incentives by removing barriers and increasing predictability for affordable housing providers.

15. The request is generally consistent with Goal 11.2 Historic Assets: Preserve and enhance significant historic districts and buildings to reflect our past as we move into the future and to strengthen our sense of identity, from Chapter 11: Heritage Conservation.

The proposed amendments expand protections for buildings that contribute to the city's historic character. A new Historic Protection Overlay frontage zone can be used to help preserve the façades and architectural features of significant buildings, even when they are not formally designated landmarks or within a designated HPO-district. Demolition review has been updated to apply to structures 50 years or older, replacing the arbitrary 1945 threshold. This review is completed administratively by historic preservation staff and is not anticipated to add time or cost for properties without historic significance. These changes ensure the City has the opportunity to evaluate buildings that may reflect community history before demolition, while avoiding unnecessary delays for routine development.

16. The request is generally consistent with the following Goal and Policy in Chapter 13: Resilience and Sustainability.

- A. Goal 13.1 Climate Change: Promote resource-efficient growth and development to help mitigate global climate change and adapt to its local impacts.

The proposed amendments promote more compact, resource-efficient development patterns. Allowing more infill development in Centers and Corridors helps reduce sprawl and vehicle miles traveled. Eliminating parking minimums and introducing parking maximums for non-residential uses in these areas also reduces impervious surface and encourages alternative transportation. In addition, new requirements to use Bernalillo County's Green Stormwater Infrastructure standards support climate adaptation by improving water quality and promoting on-site infiltration. In addition, composting is introduced and regulated as a defined use, providing a framework to support waste reduction and more sustainable resource management. Together, these changes help lower emissions and make the built environment more resilient to climate impacts.

- B. Policy 13.1.1 Resource-Efficient Development: Promote development in the city and county that works with nature to slow global climate change.

The proposed amendments promote development that uses land, water, and energy more efficiently. Infill housing options reduce pressure to expand into undeveloped areas, preserving natural land and minimizing the need for new infrastructure. Parking reforms, such as eliminating minimums and introducing context-sensitive maximums, reduce paved surfaces and support less car-dependent lifestyles. Updates also encourage the use of green infrastructure, such as permeable pavement and bioswales, which help manage stormwater while enhancing natural systems in the urban environment.

17. In addition to the general Goals and Policies noted above, Key substantive changes were analyzed further. These amendments include: Housing Changes to Allow More Options; Housing Changes to Lower Costs and Remove Barriers; Motor Vehicle-related Uses; Food System; Other Changes Related to Uses; Changes Related to Parking and Transportation; Landscaping; Signs; Streets, Lots, and Lighting; Walls and Fences; Historic Resources Infrastructure and Stormwater Management; Negotiable Zone Districts; and Procedures. These changes were analyzed further and a discussion is provided in the October 28, 2025 Staff Report.

18. The amendments regarding Housing Changes are consistent with the following Goals and Policies from the Comp Plan:

- A. Sub-policy 5.2.1.j: Discourage zone changes to detached single-family residential uses on the West Side.

The proposed housing amendments discourage further expansion of detached single-family housing on the West Side. Removing single-family dwellings as an allowed use in the MX-T zone and focusing zoning conversions in Major Transit areas and Activity Centers helps prevent additional low-density development where it is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan. These changes reinforce the intent to balance jobs and housing across the city and reduce development patterns that exacerbate congestion at river crossings, consistent with Sub-policy 5.2.1.j.

- B. Goal 5.4 Jobs-Housing Balance: Balance jobs and housing by encouraging residential growth near employment across the region and prioritizing job growth west of the Rio Grande.

The proposed amendments remove regulatory barriers that limit residential development in established areas with existing employment and services. By simplifying dimensional standards and eliminating contextual lot size requirements, the amendments make it easier to add housing options on infill sites east of the river where jobs are concentrated, helping to reduce commute pressures and congestion at river crossings. At the same time, related zoning conversions in Major Transit and Activity Center areas expand opportunities for mixed-use development that can integrate both housing and employment. Together, these changes promote a more balanced distribution of jobs and housing across the city while reinforcing targeted job growth opportunities on the West Side.

- C. Policy 8.1.5 Available Land: Maintain sufficient land that is appropriately zoned to accommodate projected employment growth in targeted areas.

The proposed housing amendments convert lower-density residential zones to mixed-use and higher-intensity zone districts within Major Transit areas and Activity Centers, ensuring that appropriately zoned land is available to accommodate employment growth. By allowing a broader range of non-residential and mixed-use development in these strategic locations, the amendments help maintain land capacity for future job centers while balancing housing needs. This approach directs employment opportunities to areas with transit access and existing infrastructure, supporting long-term economic vitality and sustainable growth.

- D. Goal 9.3 Density: Support increased housing density in appropriate places with adequate services and amenities.

- i. The proposed amendments generally allow increased housing density in Major Transit and Activity Center areas, where infrastructure is already in place. Revisions to use-specific standards for residential uses expand opportunities for a mix of housing types as infill development in areas near services and amenities. Concentrating additional housing in these locations reinforces compact, mixed-use development patterns and ensures efficient use of existing infrastructure.

- ii. The proposed amendment in Item #C-9 would remove contextual lot size standards that can prevent subdivision on infill sites, particularly in older neighborhoods with irregular lot patterns. By allowing subdivisions to default to the base zone district minimums, the amendment enables additional housing opportunities in areas that are already served by infrastructure and services. This approach supports increased density in appropriate locations while maintaining access requirements and utility easements to ensure new development can be adequately supported.
- E. Policy 9.3.2 Other Areas: Increase housing density and housing options in other areas by locating near appropriate uses and services and maintaining the scale of surrounding development.
- F. The proposed amendments expand housing options such as duplexes, townhouses, accessory dwelling units, and cottage developments in residential areas while maintaining many contextual standards that respect surrounding scale. These changes encourage modest density increases near existing services and complementary uses, helping to integrate new housing into established neighborhoods without disrupting their character.
- G. Goal 9.4 Homelessness: Make homelessness rare, short-term, and non-recurring.

The proposed amendments update standards for overnight shelters and Safe Outdoor Spaces (SOS) to expand access to services for people experiencing homelessness while ensuring compatibility with surrounding neighborhoods. Smaller-scale shelters have been allowed more permissively to reduce barriers to entry. Proposed revisions to SOS standards provide more flexibility in operations and management while requiring access to supportive services. Together, these amendments balance neighborhood compatibility with the City's commitment to making homelessness rare, brief, and non-recurring.
- H. Policy 9.4.1 Best Practices: Implement an appropriate and effective model to address chronic homelessness.

The proposed amendments revise standards for Safe Outdoor Spaces (SOS) to make them more viable as a short-term housing option and pathway to stability. Updates provide greater flexibility in management and infrastructure requirements, ensuring these sites can adapt to evolving best practices while maintaining health and safety standards. In addition, allowing small overnight shelters permissively across the city reduces barriers to establishing supportive facilities where they are most needed. Together, these changes expand the range of effective models available to address chronic homelessness.
- I. Policy 9.4.2 Services: Provide expanded options for shelters and services for people experiencing temporary homelessness.

The proposed amendments expand options for shelters and related services that assist people experiencing temporary homelessness. Updates to overnight shelter standards allow smaller facilities permissively in more zone districts, making it easier to provide services closer to those in need. Similarly, revisions to Safe Outdoor Spaces ensure reasonable operational standards while streamlining approvals, supporting a wider range of service models that help connect residents to housing, health care, and employment resources.

19. The amendments regarding Motor Vehicle Related Uses are consistent with the following Goals and Policies within the Comp Plan:

- A. Policy 4.1.1 Distinct Communities: Encourage quality development that is consistent with the distinct character of communities.

The proposed amendments require car washes and light vehicle repair in certain mixed-use districts to go through a Conditional Use approval process and by strengthening location and screening standards for fueling stations. These changes help ensure that auto-oriented uses are carefully reviewed and designed to minimize conflicts with surrounding development, reinforcing the distinct character of communities while allowing context-sensitive development to proceed where appropriate.

- B. Goal 7.2 Pedestrian-Accessible Design: Increase walkability in all environments, promote pedestrian-oriented development in urban contexts, and increase pedestrian safety in auto-oriented contexts.

The proposed amendments limit the predominance of auto-oriented uses in mixed-use areas through requiring Conditional Use approval rather than allowing them permissively. This additional layer of review, combined with stronger location and screening standards for fueling stations, helps reduce conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians, encourages safer site design, and supports the development of walkable, pedestrian-oriented environments.

- C. Goal 13.5 Community Health: Protect and maintain safe and healthy environments where people can thrive.

The proposed amendments reduce the prevalence of auto-oriented uses in mixed-use areas and strengthening standards for their design and location. By shifting car washes and light vehicle repair to Conditional Uses and increasing screening requirements for fueling stations, the amendments help minimize exposure to noise, air pollution, and traffic impacts, creating healthier and safer environments for nearby residents and pedestrians.

- D. Policy 13.5.1 Land Use Impacts: Prevent environmental hazards related to land uses.

The proposed amendments strengthen standards for auto-oriented uses that can create environmental hazards. Increased separation requirements for fueling stations and the need for Conditional Use review of car washes and light vehicle repair reduce potential conflicts with

nearby residential areas, helping to limit exposure to air emissions, noise, runoff, and other land use impacts that could compromise public health and environmental quality.

20. The amendments regarding Food Systems are consistent with the following Goal and Policies within the Comp Plan:

- A. Policy 5.2.1 Land Uses: Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

Action 5.2.1.1: Localize systems of production, for example agriculture and food processing, to reduce transportation time and emissions.

The proposed amendments expand opportunities for neighborhood-scale food production, distribution, and access. Allowing small grocery, retail, and food-based live-work uses in residential and mixed-use districts helps localize food systems and reduce reliance on long-distance transportation for daily needs. Clarifying definitions for grocery stores, warehousing, and distribution to include donation-based operations such as food banks ensures that food can be processed and distributed locally in a more equitable way. New composting standards further reinforce localized food systems by turning organic waste into a community resource, reducing hauling and associated emissions. Together, these changes align zoning with the Comprehensive Plan's goal of reducing transportation time and emissions through more localized systems of food production and distribution.

- B. Goal 8.2 Entrepreneurship: Foster a culture of creativity and entrepreneurship and encourage private businesses to grow.

The proposed amendments expand opportunities for small-scale entrepreneurship in food systems. Allowing neighborhood-serving bodegas, grocery, and food-based live-work uses in residential and mixed-use districts provides more accessible paths for local business creation. Clarifying standards for catering services to align with the New Mexico Homemade Food Act reduces regulatory barriers for home-based entrepreneurs and supports small food businesses. Together, these changes encourage creative, community-serving enterprises and provide more flexible opportunities for private businesses to grow.

- C. Policy 8.2.1 Local Business: Local Business: Emphasize local business development.

The proposed amendments emphasize local business development through zoning changes that expand opportunities for small, neighborhood-based enterprises. Allowing bodegas and food-based live-work uses in residential areas encourages local ownership and entrepreneurship while improving access to daily needs. Clarifying standards for catering and food distribution, including food banks, ensures that local businesses and community-serving organizations can operate more effectively. These updates strengthen the role of locally based enterprises in meeting community needs and contributing to a resilient economy.

- D. Policy 8.2.3 Sustainable Business: Provide incentives for development projects and businesses that have sustainable economic characteristics.

The proposed amendments advance sustainable business opportunities within the food system. New composting use definitions and standards encourage the creation of enterprises that reduce waste and transform organic materials into community resources, supporting circular economy strategies. Allowing small-scale grocery and retail uses in residential and mixed-use districts reduces transportation needs and fosters walkable access to goods, which contributes to long-term sustainability. These changes help align business opportunities with both economic viability and environmental responsibility

21. The amendments regarding Changes to Related Uses are consistent with the following Goal and Polices within the Comp Plan:

- A. Policy 5.7.6 Development Services: Provide high-quality customer service with transparent approval and permitting processes.

The proposed amendments simplify the approval process for Family Home Day Care facilities. Changing the use from Conditional Accessory to Permissive Accessory in residential zones removes an unnecessary procedural step, since these applications are routinely approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner. This update reduces barriers for providers, increases predictability for applicants, and streamlines the review process, reinforcing transparent and efficient permitting while supporting access to community-serving child care. In addition, legislative zoning conversions for police and fire stations align zoning with recent IDO text changes, ensuring that these essential public facilities can continue to function without requiring unnecessary approvals. Together, these changes streamline processes for both small-scale community services and critical civic infrastructure.

- B. Goal 12.3 Public Services: Plan, coordinate, and provide efficient, equitable, and environmentally sound services to best serve residents and protect their health, safety, and well-being.

The proposed amendments update zoning to better facilitate essential services and community needs. Legislative zoning conversions for police and fire stations align zoning with current IDO provisions, ensuring that critical public safety facilities can continue to operate efficiently and predictably. Streamlined approvals for Family Home Day Care reduce barriers to child care, expanding access to an important community service. Updates to use-specific standards for veterinary hospitals, campgrounds, nicotine retail, and outdoor dining clarify requirements and improve consistency, reducing ambiguity for both applicants and staff. Together, these changes enhance the City's ability to deliver efficient, equitable, and environmentally sound services that protect residents' health, safety, and well-being.

- C. Policy 12.3.3 Fire and Rescue: Provide comprehensive fire and rescue and emergency medical services to save and protect lives, property, and the environment in cooperation with the public and other agencies.

The proposed amendments update zoning for existing fire stations to ensure they remain functional and appropriately regulated. Converting facilities zoned NR-SU to MX-M or NR-C aligns zoning with recent IDO changes and removes unnecessary procedural requirements, allowing fire and rescue services to continue operating without regulatory obstacles. These updates provide long-term clarity for essential public safety infrastructure, helping ensure that fire and emergency medical services can reliably serve residents and protect lives, property, and the environment.

- D. Policy 12.3.4 Police and Sheriff: Maintain a safe and secure community by providing crime prevention, police protection, law enforcement, and investigative services in cooperation with the public and other agencies.

The proposed amendments ensure that police stations are appropriately zoned to continue operating as essential public facilities. Converting existing NR-SU sites to MX-M or NR-C removes outdated zoning requirements and brings these facilities into alignment with surrounding land uses, reducing regulatory burdens and providing long-term clarity for their continued operation. This change helps maintain reliable police services and reinforces the City's ability to provide effective crime prevention, law enforcement, and community safety in coordination with other agencies and the public.

22. The amendments regarding Changes Related to Parking and Transportation are consistent with the following Goal and Policy within the Comp Plan:

- A. Goal 6.2 Multi-Modal System: Encourage walking, biking, and transit, especially at peak-hour commuting times, to enhance access and mobility for people of all ages and abilities.

The proposed amendments update parking and transportation standards to better encourage walking, biking, and transit use. Eliminating vehicle parking minimums and retaining bicycle parking requirements in the MX-FB zone reinforces multimodal access in dense, transit-served areas. Clarifying thresholds for traffic studies ensures that impacts are consistently assessed and mitigated while maintaining support for non-vehicular travel. Adjusting parking ratios for self-storage uses further aligns requirements with actual demand, reducing unnecessary pavement and supporting more compact development. Together, these changes improve mobility choices and strengthen the City's multimodal transportation network.

- B. Policy 6.2.3 Pedestrian & Bicycle Connectivity: Provide direct pedestrian and bicycle access to and circulation within Centers, commercial properties, community facilities, and residential neighborhoods.

The proposed amendments reinforce bicycle and pedestrian connectivity in areas planned for higher-intensity development. Eliminating vehicle parking minimums in MX-FB while requiring baseline bicycle parking ensures that projects remain accessible to cyclists and pedestrians even when no off-street vehicle parking is provided. These changes prioritize active transportation infrastructure in urban contexts, supporting circulation within Centers and Corridors and strengthening access to jobs, housing, and services.

23. The amendments to Landscaping are consistent with the following Goals and Policies within the Comp Plan:

- A. Goal 11.3 Cultural Landscapes: Protect, reuse, and/or enhance significant cultural landscapes as important contributors to our heritage and rich and complex identities.

The proposed amendments update landscaping standards to reflect Albuquerque's cultural and environmental context. Requirements for climate-appropriate plant selection, improved soil health, and integration of street trees reinforce the role of landscaping as part of the city's cultural identity, where desert-adapted vegetation and shade contribute to both livability. Expanded flexibility for alternative plant choices and buffer materials ensures that historic and cultural landscapes can be preserved and enhanced while accommodating new development. These changes help weave ecological function with cultural identity, reinforcing landscapes as a vital layer of community character.

- B. Policy 11.3.1 Natural and Cultural Features: Preserve and enhance the natural and cultural characteristics and features that contribute to the distinct identity of communities, neighborhoods, and cultural landscapes.

The proposed amendments modernize landscaping requirements to emphasize desert plantings and long-term resilience, which Albuquerque's high desert identity. Updates such as improved standards for street trees, planting near utilities, and the use of climate relevant vegetation help preserve the visual and ecological features that shape neighborhood character. By strengthening how landscape elements are integrated into site design, these changes ensure that natural and cultural features remain visible and celebrated as communities grow and evolve.

- C. Goal 13.2 Water Supply & Quality: Protect and conserve our region's limited water supply to benefit the range of uses that will keep our community and ecosystem healthy.

The proposed amendments refine landscaping and site design standards to reduce water demand and improve long-term efficiency. Clarifications around plant selection, soil preparation, and irrigation encourage the use of climate-appropriate vegetation and healthier planting conditions that minimize water loss. These changes promote a built environment that balances growth with conservation of Albuquerque's limited water resources.

- D. 13.2.2 Water Conservation: Foster the efficient management and use of water in development and infrastructure.

The proposed amendments update landscaping standards to emphasize climate-appropriate plant selection and irrigation practices that minimize long-term water use. Requirements for street trees, planting near utilities, and more flexible groundcover options encourage healthier landscapes that use water more efficiently.

- E. Goal 13.4 Natural Resources: Protect, conserve, and enhance natural resources, habitat, and ecosystems.

The proposed amendments modernize landscaping standards to better reflect the ecological role of vegetation in Albuquerque's high desert environment. Updates promote healthier landscapes that support habitat, reduce erosion, and improve air and water quality. Clarifications to planting requirements also help ensure development contributes to long-term ecosystem resilience while maintaining compatibility with surrounding land uses.

- F. Policy 13.4.1 Air Quality: Maintain good air quality that complies with federal standards to safeguard public health and enhance quality of life for all residents.

The proposed amendments modernize the purpose statement for landscaping to explicitly acknowledge its public health benefits, including improved air quality. Incremental updates to landscaping standards, many of which respond directly to community and professional feedback, strengthen requirements in ways that enhance air filtration, reduce erosion, and minimize airborne particulates. Together, these measures strengthen the role of landscaping in safeguarding air quality and advancing healthier environments citywide.

- G. Goal 13.5 Community Health: Protect and maintain safe and healthy environments where people can thrive.

The proposed amendments update landscaping regulations to better connect design requirements with public health outcomes. The new purpose statement explicitly recognizes benefits such as cleaner air, reduced stormwater runoff, and mitigation of heat impacts, highlighting the link between landscaping and healthier environments. Other changes, like ensuring trees and shrubs are planted at safe distances from utilities, clarifying soil depth and planting bed standards, and requiring street trees for new low-density residential projects, promote healthier plant growth and more consistent application of standards. These refinements strengthen the role of landscaping as everyday community infrastructure that supports safe, comfortable, and thriving neighborhoods.

- H. Policy 13.5.2 Healthful Development: Encourage public investments and private development that enhance community health.

The proposed amendments embed public health considerations into both public and private development standards. Landscaping updates strengthen the role of site design in providing shade, reducing heat exposure, and filtering pollutants, while street tree requirements for new residential development expand access to these benefits across neighborhoods. These changes

encourage development that contributes to safer, more comfortable, and health-supportive environments.

24. The amendments to Signs are consistent with the following Policies within the Comp Plan:

- A. Policy 4.3.12.6: Maintain the historic character of motor tourism along Route 66, especially neon signs.

The proposed amendments expand incentives for neon and historic signage along Route 66 and in other Main Street areas. The changes allow refurbished historic neon signs to be relocated, even if they do not meet current dimensional standards, subject to Landmarks Commission approval. These updates encourage the preservation and continued use of vintage neon as a defining feature of motor tourism along Route 66, while also supporting creative signage that reinforces the corridor's historic identity.

- B. Policy 5.7.6 Development Services: Provide high-quality customer service with transparent approval and permitting processes.

The proposed amendments clarify and streamline the review process for signage. Updates to Alternative Signage Plans consolidate standards into one section and allow approvals through the Site Plan procedure, improving transparency and consistency in implementation. These changes align signage review with existing practices for landscaping, reduce ambiguity for applicants, and provide clearer expectations for both staff and businesses, resulting in a more efficient and customer-focused permitting process.

- C. Policy 7.3.3 Placemaking: Placemaking: Encourage efforts to establish and strengthen district identity within Centers, business districts, and neighborhoods.

The proposed amendments expand flexibility for creative signage that enhances district identity. Updates to canopy, marquee, and rooftop signs provide businesses with more opportunities to create visible, context-appropriate signage in Centers and business districts. Incentives for neon and historic sign restoration, particularly in Main Street and Urban Center areas, reinforce the unique character of corridors and neighborhoods. Together, these changes promote signage as a placemaking tool that helps establish vibrant, recognizable destinations.

25. The amendments to Streets, lots and Lighting are consistent with the following Policies within the Comp Plan:

- A. Policy 4.1.1 Distinct Communities: Encourage quality development that is consistent with the distinct character of communities.

The proposed amendments clarify definitions for lots, setbacks, and lighting to ensure development review is applied consistently across all contexts. Updates such as distinguishing "light trespass" from outdated terminology and refining how interior lots are defined help avoid

ambiguity and ensure projects are reviewed in a way that respects neighborhood form and character. By providing clearer standards, these changes encourage quality development that better aligns with the distinct identities of Albuquerque's communities.

- B. Policy 5.7.6 Development Services: Provide high-quality customer service with transparent approval and permitting processes.

The proposed amendments simplify terminology and clarifying definitions for lots, setbacks, and lighting, which reduces confusion for applicants and reviewers during the permitting process. Clearer language around "light trespass," "interior lots," and "abut" ensures more predictable outcomes and consistent application of standards, improving transparency and streamlining customer service in development review.

26. The amendments to Walls and Fences are consistent with the following Goals and Policies within the Comp Plan:

- A. Goal 6.2 Multi-Modal System: Encourage walking, biking, and transit, especially at peak-hour commuting times, to enhance access and mobility for people of all ages and abilities.

The proposed amendments clarify wall and fence standards to ensure consistent screening between industrial and non-industrial areas and by regulating the placement of barbed wire on non-residential walls. These changes help create safer and more visually consistent streetscapes, particularly along street-facing walls, which in turn supports a more comfortable environment for people walking, biking, or using transit. By improving clarity and predictability in how these features are applied, the amendments contribute to safer and more accessible corridors for all users.

- B. Policy 6.2.3 Pedestrian & Bicycle Connectivity: Provide direct pedestrian and bicycle access to and circulation within Centers, commercial properties, community facilities, and residential neighborhoods.

The proposed amendments ensure that walls used for screening between industrial and non-industrial areas meet consistent opacity standards, reducing gaps or visual barriers that can disrupt the pedestrian or bicycle experience. Clarifying regulations for barbed wire also helps ensure that street-facing edges of non-residential sites remain safer and more welcoming. Together, these updates reinforce the intent of maintaining pedestrian- and bicycle-friendly environments around commercial, community, and residential areas.

- C. Goal 7.2 Pedestrian-Accessible Design: Increase walkability in all environments, promote pedestrian-oriented development in urban contexts, and increase pedestrian safety in auto-oriented contexts.

The proposed amendments clarify wall and fence standards to create safer, more predictable edges between industrial and non-industrial areas. Requiring screening walls to be opaque at lower heights and refining rules for barbed wire on street-facing walls help reduce visual clutter

and reinforce pedestrian safety. These updates contribute to more consistent, walkable environments by ensuring that site design elements support comfort and security for people on foot in both urban and auto-oriented contexts.

D. Policy 7.2.1 Walkability: Ensure convenient and comfortable pedestrian travel.

The proposed amendments clarify wall and fence regulations to reduce conflicts at site edges, helping create safer, more comfortable walking conditions along streets and between different land uses, ensuring convenient and comfortable pedestrian travel.

E. Policy 7.2.2 Walkable Places: Promote high-quality pedestrian-oriented neighborhoods and districts as the essential building blocks of a sustainable region.

The proposed amendments strengthen screening and wall standards that improve transitions between uses, contributing to safer, more attractive, and walkable neighborhoods

27. The amendments regarding Historic Resources are consistent with the following Goal and Policies within the Comp Plan:

A. Policy 11.2.2 Historic Registration: Promote the preservation of historic buildings and districts determined to be of significant local, State, and/or National historical interest.

The proposed amendments strengthen processes that help identify and preserve historically significant resources. Expanding demolition review to include all structures 50 years or older ensures that buildings with potential local, state, or national significance are evaluated before being lost, creating opportunities for documentation or registration when appropriate. In addition, the new Historic Protection Overlay provides a flexible tool to preserve defining architectural features, such as façades, which may contribute to eligibility for historic designation. These changes improve the City's ability to recognize and protect resources of historical interest while supporting continued investment in existing neighborhoods.

B. Policy 11.2.3 Distinct Built Environments: Preserve and enhance the social, cultural, and historical features that contribute to the identities of distinct communities, neighborhoods, and districts.

The proposed amendments expand the City's ability to protect architectural features and structures that shape community identity. The new Historic Protection Overlay allows preservation of prominent façades and other visible elements that contribute to neighborhood character, even outside formally designated districts. Updated demolition review for buildings 50 years or older also ensures that culturally and historically significant resources are evaluated before removal, creating space to consider alternatives and preserve defining features. Together, these tools help safeguard the social and cultural landscapes that anchor community identity while still allowing compatible reinvestment and development.

- C. Goal 11.3 Cultural Landscapes: Protect, reuse, and/or enhance significant cultural landscapes as important contributors to our heritage and rich and complex identities.

The proposed amendments introduce new tools to preserve and reuse historic resources that shape Albuquerque's cultural landscapes. The Historic Protection Overlay provides a flexible option to retain prominent façades and frontages while allowing compatible redevelopment, ensuring that visible architectural features remain part of the streetscape. Expanded demolition review for older structures also helps safeguard buildings and styles that contribute to the city's evolving cultural identity. Together, these changes protect defining elements of Albuquerque's built environment while supporting sensitive reinvestment that strengthens the link between heritage and community identity.

- D. Policy 11.3.1 Natural and Cultural Features: Preserve and enhance the natural and cultural characteristics and features that contribute to the distinct identity of communities, neighborhoods, and cultural landscapes.

The proposed amendments expand the City's ability to preserve cultural and architectural features that define community identity. The new Historic Protection Overlay allows for the protection of significant façades and streetscape elements without overly restricting redevelopment behind them, ensuring that visible features remain part of neighborhood character. Updates to demolition review for older structures also help identify and preserve buildings with cultural or historical significance, including mid-century and post-war architecture that contributes to Albuquerque's evolving identity.

28. The amendments regarding Infrastructure and Stormwater Management are consistent with the following Goals and Policies within the Comp Plan:

- A. Policy 5.7.6 Development Services: Provide high-quality customer service with transparent approval and permitting processes.

The proposed amendments clarify infrastructure and stormwater requirements to make the development process more predictable and consistent. Updates to Infrastructure Improvement Agreements specify when they apply across both Site Plan and Subdivision reviews, reducing confusion and aligning procedures. Revisions to floodplain definitions bring local terminology into alignment with federal standards, ensuring clearer application and review. Together, these changes improve transparency and customer service by giving applicants clearer expectations and reducing procedural ambiguity.

- B. Goal 12.5 Resources: Identify and allocate sufficient resources to support infrastructure, community facility, and public service needs in order to invest public dollars efficiently and effectively and to maintain a sound fiscal position.

The proposed amendments align stormwater and infrastructure standards with updated County and federal practices, ensuring that public dollars are spent consistently and effectively across jurisdictions. Clarifications to Infrastructure Improvement Agreements create clearer

expectations for when private development must contribute to infrastructure, helping the City allocate resources more strategically. Flexibility for sidewalks and drainage in certain low-density zones introduces short-term cost savings for infill, while recorded agreements preserve the City's ability to recover costs if improvements are built later. Together, these edits promote efficient infrastructure investment and long-term fiscal responsibility.

- C. Policy 12.5.1 Cost-Benefit Analysis: Evaluate the economic, social, and environmental costs and benefits of potential public infrastructure projects, community facilities, and public services.

The proposed amendments clarify infrastructure review procedures and requiring consistency with updated County green stormwater standards, ensuring that public projects are evaluated using current best practices. Flexibility introduced for sidewalks and underground drainage in certain low-density areas balances short-term development feasibility with long-term infrastructure needs by requiring recorded agreements for potential future improvements. These refinements help the City weigh costs and benefits more transparently, supporting infrastructure decisions that consider fiscal impacts, community needs, and environmental outcomes.

- D. Goal 13.2 Water Supply & Quality: Protect and conserve our region's limited water supply to benefit the range of uses that will keep our community and ecosystem healthy.

The proposed amendments align City stormwater and drainage requirements with updated County green stormwater infrastructure standards, which emphasize infiltration, on-site water retention, and conservation practices. Clarifying definitions for floodplain management further strengthens the City's ability to protect water resources and safeguard public safety. By modernizing standards and coordinating across jurisdictions, these changes ensure that new development contributes to long-term water quality protection and more sustainable use of limited regional water supplies.

- E. 13.2.2 Water Conservation: Foster the efficient management and use of water in development and infrastructure.

The proposed amendments require new development that incorporates green stormwater infrastructure to follow updated County standards, which prioritize infiltration and water retention suited to Albuquerque's climate. Clarifications to landscaping and stormwater regulations ensure that infrastructure is designed to reduce runoff and maximize on-site water reuse, supporting more efficient use of limited water resources while promoting sustainable development patterns.

29. The amendments to Negotiable Zone Districts are consistent with the following Goal and Policy within the Comp Plan:

- A. Policy 5.7.6 Development Services: Provide high-quality customer service with transparent approval and permitting processes.

The proposed amendments clarify how negotiable zone districts such as NR-SU, NR-PO, PD, and PC are administered, ensuring that changes to allowable uses are reviewed through the Zoning Map Amendment process. These updates improve predictability and transparency by requiring public notice and quasi-judicial review, reinforcing due process and consistency across sites. Additional clarifications to the treatment of unlisted uses, the distinction between minor and major amendments, and the handling of pre-IDO Framework Plans further strengthen accountability and streamline administration, resulting in a more reliable and accessible permitting process for applicants and the public.

- B. Goal 13.5 Community Health: Protect and maintain safe and healthy environments where people can thrive.

The proposed amendments clarify that changes in uses in the NR-SU zone district, which can include potentially sensitive uses such as stadiums, airports, waste or recycling transfer stations, and natural resource extraction, must be reviewed and approved through a Zoning Map Amendment rather than a Site Plan alone. This ensures that potentially high-impact or objectionable uses are evaluated through a transparent process with public notice and EPC review. By strengthening procedural safeguards and requiring that compatibility and mitigation measures be addressed upfront, the amendments help balance the community-wide benefits of these facilities with the need to protect surrounding neighborhoods and maintain healthy environments.

30. The amendments regarding Procedures are consistent with Policy 5.7.6 Development Services: Provide high-quality customer service with transparent approval and permitting processes within the Comp Plan:

The proposed amendments improve clarity, consistency, and transparency in development review procedures. Updates to requirements for Tribal Meetings, referrals to commenting agencies, and mailed notice for certain waivers ensure earlier and more meaningful public input. Clarifications to Subdivision, Site Plan, and annexation processes reinforce predictable sequencing and align procedures with current City practice, while changes to appeals, enforcement, and definitional standards make review more transparent and easier to apply. Together, these refinements simplify how applicants and the public navigate the IDO, ensuring permitting processes are clearer, more efficient, and more accessible.

31. For cases in which a proposed text amendment would conflict with applicable Comprehensive Plan Goals and/or policies, conditions for recommendation of approval are provided, which address conflicts and provide clarification.
32. The amendments regarding Contextual Residential Development Standards conflict with the following Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies:

- A. Goal 4.1 Character: Enhance, protect, and preserve distinct communities.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Goal 4.1 by removing contextual lot size standards that help preserve the established development pattern within existing neighborhoods. Since lot size is a key factor in defining neighborhood character, eliminating these requirements could result in subdivisions that are out of scale with surrounding development. While this change supports additional housing opportunities, it reduces one of the tools currently used to maintain the cohesiveness and distinct identity of established communities.

- B. Policy 4.1.2 Identity and Design: Protect the identity and cohesiveness of neighborhoods by ensuring the appropriate scale and location of development, mix of uses, and character of building design.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Policy 4.1.2 by removing contextual lot size standards in Areas of Consistency that help ensure the scale of new subdivisions aligns with the surrounding development pattern. Without these requirements, smaller lots could be introduced on blocks with larger established lots, potentially disrupting neighborhood cohesiveness and altering the perceived character of the area. While the change promotes consistency in zoning standards, it may reduce protections that currently help preserve neighborhood identity.

- C. Goal 9.3 Density: Support increased housing density in appropriate places with adequate services and amenities.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Goal 9.3 by allowing subdivisions that create smaller lots without full consideration of the surrounding context. While this supports increased density, it does not ensure that higher densities are located only where adequate services and amenities exist. For example, adding a particularly small lot within a subdivision of much larger lots could create mismatched infrastructure needs.

- D. Policy 9.3.2 Other Areas: Increase housing density and housing options in other areas by locating near appropriate uses and services and maintaining the scale of surrounding development.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Policy 9.3.2 by removing contextual lot size standards that help maintain the scale of surrounding development. Allowing smaller lots without regard to existing block patterns could introduce density in areas that lack nearby services or result in new housing that is out of scale with established neighborhoods.

- E. Goal 11.2 Historic Assets: Preserve and enhance significant historic districts and buildings to reflect our past as we move into the future and to strengthen our sense of identity.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Goal 11.2 by eliminating contextual lot size standards that help maintain the established development patterns in older neighborhoods. In historic districts, where lot sizes often contribute to the character and identity of the area, removing these standards could allow subdivisions that alter the traditional block pattern and diminish the integrity of historic assets.

- F. Policy 11.2.3 Distinct Built Environments: Preserve and enhance the social, cultural, and historical features that contribute to the identities of distinct communities, neighborhoods, and districts.

The proposed amendment may conflict with Policy 11.2.3 by allowing subdivisions that reduce lot sizes in established neighborhoods where larger lot patterns contribute to a distinct built environment. In areas with historic or culturally significant development patterns, the introduction of smaller lots could erode the social and physical features that help define community identity.

33. An application for an Amendment to IDO Text – Citywide may be approved if it meets all of the criteria in IDO Subsection 14-16-6-7(D)(3)(a-c). The request generally meets all of the Review and Decision criteria, as follows:

- A. Criterion a: The proposed citywide text amendments are generally consistent with the spirit and intent of the Comprehensive Plan, because they would generally help guide growth and development and identify and address significant issues in a holistic way (Comprehensive Plan, p. 1-5). Staff’s policy analysis demonstrates that the proposed changes are consistent with Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies from Chapter 4: Community Identity, Chapter 5: Land Use, Chapter 7: Urban Design, Chapter 8: Economic Development, Chapter 9: Housing, Chapter 11: heritage Conservation, and Chapter 13: Resilience and Sustainability that direct the City to adopt and maintain an effective regulatory system for land use and zoning.
- B. Criterion b: The proposed amendment does not apply to only one lot or development project.

The proposed citywide text amendments would apply throughout the city and not to only one lot or development project. The changes would apply across a particular zone district, in all similarly situated properties in a designated Comprehensive Plan area, or for all applications of a designated type; therefore, the proposed citywide amendments are broad and legislative in nature. Proposed changes to specific zone districts (ex. Residential, Mixed-use, Non-residential, and Planned Development zone districts) would apply equally in all areas with the same Comp Plan designation and are not directed toward any specific lot or project. Procedural changes would apply to all applications of a certain type.

- C. Criterion c: The proposed amendment promotes public health, safety, and welfare.

The request generally promotes the public health, safety, and welfare of the City because overall the proposed text amendments are consistent with a preponderance of applicable Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies, as demonstrated in Staff’s policy analysis. The proposed amendments are intended to address community-wide issues related to housing, food systems, infrastructure, parking, and landscaping and clarify regulatory procedures, while balancing the Comprehensive Plan Goal of protecting and enhancing existing neighborhoods.

34. For an Amendment to IDO Text-Citywide, the required notice must be published, mailed, and posted on the web [IDO Table 6-1-1]. A neighborhood meeting is not required. The City published notice

of the EPC hearing as a legal ad in the ABQ Journal newspaper. Emailed notice was sent to two representatives of each Neighborhood Association and Coalition registered with the Office of Neighborhood Coordination (ONC) as required by IDO Subsection 14-16-6-4(K)(2)(a) and 14-16-6-4(K)(3)(b). Mailed notice was sent via First Class mail to those representatives without an email address on file with the City. Notice was posted on the Planning Department website and on the project website.

35. In addition to the required notice, one e-mail notice was sent to the approximately 9,200 subscribers on the ABC-Z project update email list on July 11, 2025. An email notice announcing submittal of the EPC application was sent on September 16, 2025. That email also communicated the October 6, 2025 and October 14, 2025 public review meetings, October 16, 2025 EPC study session, and October 28, 2025 special EPC hearing. Additional reminder emails were sent on October 3 and October 17, 2025.
36. The proposed 2025 IDO Biennial Updates were reviewed at six online public study sessions in July and August 2025 via Zoom, prior to application submittal for the EPC process. Planning Staff presented the proposed text amendments and answered questions. The presentations, in .pdf format and in video format, are posted on the project webpage at: <https://abq-zone.com/pre-epc-submittal-ido-updates-2025#paragraphs-item-434>.
37. Two additional public meetings were held on October 6, 2025 and October 14, 2025 after the EPC application was submitted. A separate public study session focused solely on questions and answers and was held on October 8, 2025. A link to the presentation, in .pdf format and video format, is here: <https://abq-zone.com/ido-updates-2025>
38. The EPC held a study session regarding the proposed 2025 IDO Biennial Update on October 16, 2025. This meeting was publicly noticed, although no public input is received during Study Sessions [\[EPC Rules of Practice and Procedure, Article II, Section V\]](#).
39. Agency comments were received from the following entities: Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control District, Bernalillo County Planning and Development Services Department, Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MRMPO), Albuquerque Public Schools (APS), City of Albuquerque Health, Housing, and Homelessness Department, City of Albuquerque Parks and Recreation Department, City of Albuquerque Senior Affairs Department, City of Albuquerque Sustainability Office, City of Albuquerque Transit Department. See attached comments.
40. As of this writing, Staff has received 7 written comments regarding the proposed citywide text amendments. The comments are from Spruce Park NA and from various individuals. See attached comments. In general, these public comments express concerns about ad hoc approvals, reduced public notice, and administrative decisions replacing public hearings. Others emphasized that zoning changes should not be treated as one-size-fits-all solutions for complex issues like housing affordability or homelessness. Multiple letters questioned the long-term implications of

densification, citing impacts on infrastructure, neighborhood character, traffic, and public health, particularly in historically designated areas like Spruce Park.

41. As of this writing, Staff has received approximately 275 comments directly on the IDO Update Spreadsheet, Council Memos, and Exhibits, which were posted on the IDO project website. Topics generating the most interest and/or concern were bodegas and increasing housing options. Some commenters expressed concern about the IDO update process and have questions about some of the proposed text amendments.
42. Though some comments oppose individual proposed amendments, there is general support for the request as a whole, and particularly strong support for amendments that would increase housing supply and lower housing costs. The Conditions for Recommendation of Approval address some issues raised in the comments.

RECOMMENDATION – TA-2025-00002 – November 20, 2025

That a RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL of TA-2025-00002, a request for Citywide legislative text amendments to the IDO, be forwarded to the City Council based on the preceding Findings and subject to the following Recommended Conditions of Approval.

RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL – TA-2025-0002, November 20, 2025

1. Make any necessary clerical corrections to the document, including fixing typos, numbering, and cross references.
2. Make any necessary editorial changes to the document, including minor text additions, revisions for clarity (without changing substantive content), adding cross references, reorganizing content for better clarity and consistency throughout, revisions to graphic content for clarity, and updating tables of contents.
3. Approve proposed amendments as shown in the EPC Redline Exhibit, except as adjusted by the Conditions below.
4. Adjust and approve the proposed amendment to Sidewalks and Stormwater Management in R-A and R-1 Zone Districts [Multiple IDO Subsections – Item #C-1] to revise text as shown in Exhibit – Bassan – A – Infrastructure. (See Attachment D.)
5. Approve Item #C-2 to revise Dwelling, Two-family Detached (Duplex) – Use-specific Standards [IDO § 14-16-4-3(B)(5)(b)] but remove duplexes as a permissive use in the R-MC district to be consistent with Item #31.
6. Approve the proposed amendments to Dwelling, Townhouse – Use-specific Standards [IDO § 14-16-4-3(B)(6)(d)[new] – Item #C-3] to allow townhouses in the R-1 zone district.

7. Do not approve the proposed amendment to Dwelling, Multi-family – Use-specific Standards [IDO § 14-16-4-3(B)(8) [new] – Item #97] to limit the number of dwelling units next to R-A or R-1.
8. Approve the proposed amendments to Dwelling Unit, Accessory – Use-specific Standards – Attached and Detached [IDO § 14-16-4-3(F)(6)(a) – Item #C-4] and replace the proposed language in Item #28, and Item #29 as follows:
 - a. Item #28: “Where this use is allowed, only 1 accessory dwelling unit is allowed per lot, and size is limited as follows:
 - i. For attached ADUs: 750 square feet of gross floor area.
 - ii. For detached ADUs: 750 square feet of building footprint.
 - iii. A garage attached to the accessory dwelling unit shall not count toward this size limit.”
 - b. Item #29: “The maximum building height of a detached ~~an~~ accessory dwelling unit shall be 18 feet or as tall as no taller than the primary structure on the property, whichever is greater. An attached accessory dwelling unit or accessory dwelling unit constructed over a detached garage is limited by the maximum building height of the zone district.”
9. Approve the proposed amendments to Safe Outdoor Spaces [IDO § 14-16-4-3(G)(9) – Items #C-5] with the following revisions:
 - a. Add the following items from Item #M-1:
 - i. “**4-3(G)(9)(c)** Safe outdoor spaces with more than **20** ~~10~~ designated spaces and **30** ~~15~~ or more occupants are prohibited within 660 feet in any direction of a lot containing any other safe outdoor space with more than 10 designated spaces and 15 or more occupants.”
 - ii. In 4-3(G)(9)(i), add a new subsection with text as follows: “Income support services.”
 - b. Approve Item #C-6 as written, which includes the proposed changes to remove required permanent plumbing in Item #C-5.
10. Approve the proposed amendments to Dwelling, Live-work; General Retail, small; and Grocery Store to allow “bodegas” or “tienditas” [Table 4-2-1, IDO §14-16-4-3(B)(7), IDO §14-16-4-3(D)(37), IDO §14-16-4-3(D)(38) as written in Item #M-2] to allow 5,000 square feet and add restrictions on cannabis and nicotine retail in live-work settings.
 - a. Do not approve Item #C-7.
 - b. Revise each use-specific standard to require compliance with IDO § 14-16-5-6(G)(3) Loading, Service, and Refuse Areas, which otherwise would only be applicable for renovations that cost \$400,000 or more.
11. Do not approve the proposed amendment to Overnight Shelter [Table 4-2-1 and IDO § 14-16-4-3(C)(6) – Item #C-8].

12. Do not approve the proposed amendment to Contextual Standards [IDO § 14-16-5-1(C)(2)(b) – Item #C-9] to remove minimum lot size limit for subdivision in Areas of Consistency.
13. Do not approve the proposed amendment to Off-street Parking for Accessory Dwelling Units [Table 5-5-1 – Item #C-10] to remove the requirement for 1 off-street parking space.
14. Adjust the proposed amendments to Minimum Parking Requirement for Multi-family Dwellings [IDO Table 5-5-1 – Item #36 and Item #C-12] as follows:

[NOTE: EPC to select 1]

- a. Approve Item #36 and revise Item #C-12 to remove proposed changes to multi-family parking requirements, letting changes as proposed in Item #36 stand while approving the rest of Item #C-12, with a revision to adjust the ratios to eliminate decimals while still reducing parking requirements by approximately 20 percent.
- b. On page 282 of the Effective IDO (298 of Redline Exhibit), restore 5-5(C)(5)(d)2 and edit the text as follows:

“2. The minimum number of off-street parking spaces required may be reduced by 30 percent if the proposed development is located within 1,320 feet (1/4 mile) in any direction of PT-MT areas of any transit stop or transit station with a peak service frequency of 15 minutes or better.”

15. Adjust the proposed amendments to Minimum Parking Requirement for Self-storage [IDO Table 5-5-1 – Item #37 and Item #C-12] as follows:
 - a. Approve Item #37 as written.
 - b. Revise Item #C-12 to remove proposed change to self-storage, letting Item #37 stand while approving the rest of Item #C-12, with a revision to adjust the ratios to eliminate decimals while still reducing parking requirements by approximately 20 percent as shown in Exhibit – Fiebelkorn – F – Infrastructure. (See Attachment D.)
16. Approve the proposed amendments to Parking Maximums in UC-AC-MS-PT-MT Areas [IDO § 14-16-5-5] in Item #40, which removes minimum parking requirements and sets maximums for non-residential uses only, but do not approve Item #C-11.
17. Adjust Item #107 to revise § 14-16-5-6(D)(1)(e) as follows:

“Permeable materials that meet ADA requirements, including but not limited to ~~compacted~~ crusher fines, shall be used to provide a walkable surface in required tree planting areas. Tree grates may be used in constrained locations to accommodate pedestrian circulation.”
18. Adjust Item #42 to revise § 14-16-5-6(E)(4)(b)2 as follows:

“If no opaque wall at least 3 feet high is provided or exists, 1 tree at least 8 feet high at the time of planting and 5 shrubs shall be provided for every 20 feet along the lot line, with spacing designed to minimize sound and light impacts of the proposed development on the adjacent property.”

19. Adjust Item #108 to revise the heading of § 14-16-5-11(E)(2) as follows to apply these building design rules to development within Major Transit (MT) areas:

“Urban Centers, Activity Centers, and Main Street, Major Transit, and Premium Transit Areas”

20. Do not approve the proposed amendment to Small Area Text Amendments [IDO § 14-16-6-4(D)(1) and Multiple IDO Subsections] in Item #M-3.

21. Approve the following proposed zoning conversion for properties within Major Transit areas as shown in the online Interactive Map [Item #ZC-3].

- a. R-1 converts to R-T.
- b. R-T converts to MX-T.
- c. R-ML converts to MX-L.

22. Approve the following proposed zoning conversion for properties within Activity Centers as shown in the online Interactive Map [Item #ZC-4].

- a. R-1 converts to R-T.
- b. R-T converts to MX-T.
- c. R-ML converts to MX-L.

23. Approve the following proposed zoning conversion for police and fire stations currently zoned NR-SU as shown in the online Interactive Map [Item #ZC-5].

- a. Convert police and fire stations zoned NR-SU east of the Rio Grande to MX-M.
- b. Convert police and fire stations zoned NR-SU west of the Rio Grande to NR-C.

24. Adjust Item #3 to revise text for Usable Open Space Alternatives in MX-FB-UD as follows:

“2. Green Wall.

- a. Green walls shall be installed on at least 50 percent of all street-facing façades or up to the total area of required usable open space in Table 2-4-11, whichever is less.”

3. Green Roof.

- a. A green roof shall be installed on at least 50 percent of the total surface area of the building’s roof or up to the total area of required usable open space in Table 2-4-11, whichever is less.”

25. Adjust proposed amendments for Composting Facilities in Table 4-2-1 and in Use-Specific Standards in § 14-16-4-3 as follows:

a. Do not approve Item #11 [Table 4-2-1 on page 150 of the Effective IDO (page 160 of the Redline Exhibit)] that would have added Composting Facility, Small and Medium as new uses in the IDO or Item #21 that would have added use-specific standards [§ 14-16-4-3(D)(2) on page 180 of the Redline Exhibit].

b. Revise “Other use accessory to residential primary use” as follows:

i. On page 218 of the Effective IDO (page 233 of the Redline Exhibit), revise the use-specific standard in IDO § 14-16-4-3(F)(18) as follows:

4-3(F)(18)(a) The use must be intended for the exclusive use of residents of the premises on which the accessory use is located, and their invited guests.

4-3(F)(18)(b) This use may be operated outside an enclosed structure.

4-3(F)(18)(c) In any Mixed-use zone district, this use must be accessory to a primary residential use.

4-3(F)(18)(d) A composting facility shall use composting containers, which shall be located a minimum of 50 feet in any direction of any lot with a low-density residential development.

ii. On page 604 of the Effective IDO (page 640 of the Redline Exhibit), revise the definition in IDO §14-16-7-1 as follows:

“A land use that is subordinate in use, area, or purpose to a primary residential land use on the same lot and serving a purpose naturally and normally incidental to such primary land use and that is not listed separately in Table 4-2-1. For residential uses other than multi-family dwellings, this use includes, but is not limited to, tennis courts, game rooms, patios, outdoor kitchens, swimming pools, and accessory buildings for storage, recreation, hobbies, and gardening for the use of the residents living in the dwellings on the same lot as this use. **For multi-family residential development**, this use includes, but is not limited to, sales of convenience items, personal service shop, rental/management office, concierge/doorman services, composting facility, and similar activities provided for residents of the multi-family or group living uses.”

c. On page 152 of the Effective IDO (page 163 of the Redline Exhibit), adjust Item #15 for a new Composting Facility, Large as follows:

i. Revise the new use to “Composting Facility.”

ii. Revise Table 4-2-1 to make Composting Facility conditional in NR-LM.

- d. On page 193 of the Effective IDO (page 219 of the Redline Exhibit), adjust Item #27 to revise the use-specific standard for Composting Facility as follows:
 - i. 4-3(E)(14)(a) This use shall comply with all applicable City, State, and federal regulations. This use must obtain all applicable State and Federal permits and/or approvals for this activity and comply with the terms of those permits and approvals throughout the duration of the use.
 - ii. ~~4-3(E)(14)(b) Where accessory to another primary use, this use is limited to 10 percent of the area of the premises.~~
 - iii. 4-3(E)(14)(c) Outdoor storage shall comply with standards in Subsection 14-16-4-3(E)(19).
 - iv. 4-3(E)(14)(d) This use is prohibited within 330 feet of Major Public Open Space.
 - v. 4-3(E)(14)(e) Composting containers and/or composting piles shall be located a minimum of 50 feet in any direction of any Residential zone. This use is prohibited within 330 feet of any Residential zone district or residential use in a Mixed-use zone district.
- e. On page 278 of the Effective IDO (page 293 of the Redline Exhibit), revise Table 5-5-1 Minimum Off-street Parking Requirements to add “Composting Facility” to the category of Waste and Recycling, which has no parking required.
- f. On page 573 of the Effective IDO (page 605 of the Redline Exhibit), adjust Item #75 to revise the definition of Composting Facility as follows:

“A facility operated by more than one person or household that receives organic material to generate compost.

~~Composting Facility as a primary use is divided into 3 categories based on the size of the premises.~~

~~1. Small: 21,870 square feet (1/2 acre) or less.~~

~~2. Medium: Between 21,780 square feet (1/2 acre) and 2 acres.~~


~~3. Large: 2 or more acres.~~

~~Where accessory to a primary use, this use may be on a premises of any size.”~~

- 26. On page 174 of the Effective IDO (page 187 of the Redline Exhibit), do not approve proposed amendments for § 14-16-4-3(D)(18) in Item #24 and Item #25 to keep the following use-specific standards but revise § 14-16-4-3(D)(19)(j) as follows:
 - a. 4-3(D)(19)(g) If located adjacent to any Residential zone district, this use shall require a Conditional Use Approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A).
 - b. 4-3(D)(19)(h) If located abutting or across an alley from any Residential zone district or lot containing a residential use in any Mixed-use zone district, an opaque wall, fence, or vegetative screen at least 6 feet high is required.
 - c. 4-3(D)(19)(i) In the MX-L zone district, this use shall be located where vehicular access is only from a street designated as a collector, arterial, or interstate highway.

- d. 4-3(D)(19)(j) In the MX-M, MX-H, NR-C, NR-BP, NR-LM, NR-GM ~~and higher~~ zone districts, if located on a local street, this use is prohibited within 330 feet in any direction of a lot containing a residential use in any Residential or Mixed-use zone district.
27. On page 149 of the Effective IDO (page 159 of the Redline Exhibit), do not approve proposed amendments to the MX-T zone district in Item #30 for the following uses and do not revise language in § 14-16-4-3(B)(4):
- a. Dwelling, Single-family.
 - b. Cluster Development.
 - c. Cottage Development.
28. On page 349 of the Effective IDO (page 367 of the Redline Exhibit) IDO § 14-16-5-9(B)(3) [New] “Neighborhood Edges apply regardless of area-specific regulations associated with a Center or Corridor designation.”
29. On page 413 of the Effective IDO (page 435 of the Redline Exhibit), revise text in § 14-16-6-3(E)(7) as follows:
- “The Planning Director shall report the findings and recommendations from each assessment to the EPC for review and recommendation to the City Council. Assessments shall be forwarded to the City Council for review and acceptance. ~~Staff shall prepare resolutions and/or ordinances to accompany the assessment that will implement the findings and recommendations of the assessment for the consideration of the City Council.~~ City Council shall review for adoption any associated resolutions and/or ordinances.”
-

Megan Jones, MCRP
Principal Planner


Kate Clark, AICP
Senior Planner

Notice of Decision cc list:

Eleanor Walther
Patricia Willson
Jane Baechle
Evelyn Rivera
Carlos Michelen

Bryan Dombrowski
Rhiannon Samuel
Yasmin Khan
Brandi Thompson
Brandon Caudle
Merideth Paxton
Peggy Norton
Tyler Richter
Luis Sutherlin
Marit Tully
Joseph Greenwood
Peggy Neff
Jordon McConnell
Ian Robertson
Sal Perdomo
Rene' Horvath
City Legal

List will be finalized subsequent to the EPC hearing on November 20, 2025.

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE AGENCY COMMENTS

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Zoning Enforcement

No comments.

Long Range Planning

No comments.

CITY ENGINEER

Transportation Development

No comments.

Hydrology Development

No comments.

New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT)

No comments.

DEPARTMENT of MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT

Transportation Planning

No comments.

Traffic Engineering Operations (Department of Municipal Development)

No comments.

Street Maintenance (Department of Municipal Development)

No comments.

RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS FROM THE CITY ENGINEER: none

WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY

Utility Services

No comments.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

See attached letter.

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Air Quality Division

No comments.

Environmental Services Division

No comments.

HEALTH, HOUSING, AND HOMELESSNESS DEPARTMENT

The department submitted a letter in strong support of the proposed IDO amendments aimed at encouraging housing production, reducing per-unit costs, and increasing housing options at all income levels. The letter emphasizes that zoning reform is a necessary and urgent step to address the City's housing shortage, improve affordability, and enable more efficient and equitable land use. Key points include support for density, streamlined zoning, and the benefits of supply-side interventions to improve housing access for vulnerable populations, including seniors, low-income families, and essential workers. See attached letter.

METROPOLITAN REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

See attached letter.

PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Planning and Design

No comments.

Open Space Division

No comments.

City Forester

"5-6(D)(1)(c) - Compacted crusher fines are not acceptable for use around base of street trees. Please amend to remove "compacted".

POLICE DEPARTMENT/Planning

No comments.

SENIOR AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

City of Albuquerque Age-Friendly Action Committee

The City's Age-Friendly Action Committee submitted a letter in support of proposed IDO amendments that expand housing options. The letter highlights the benefits of ADUs/casitas, duplexes, townhouses, and cottage developments in creating more inclusive, multigenerational, and age-friendly neighborhoods. It emphasizes how these housing types support aging in place, improve livability across generations, and reduce isolation. The Committee also supports amendments that increase walkability and amenities near homes, noting the alignment with the City's Age-Friendly Action Plan goals and improved AARP Livability Score. See attached letter.

SUSTAINABILITY OFFICE

The City's Sustainability Office submitted a letter in support of the proposed compost-related amendments to the IDO. The letter emphasizes the environmental and community benefits of composting, including greenhouse gas reduction, improved soil health, water retention, and community engagement. It notes that the proposed changes are based on technical input from the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) and the Environmental Law Institute, as well as collaboration with Planning staff since early 2025. The Office supports efforts to encourage composting through thoughtful zoning language, particularly by adding composting as an incidental activity in community gardens. See attached letter.

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

Refuse Division- no comment

FIRE DEPARTMENT/Planning

No comments.

TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

"The Transit Department supports amendments to the IDO (IDO updates 32, 33, C-11, 40, C-12, ZC-3, and ZC-4) which allow for increases in residential densities proximate to transit corridors, stations, and stops and also those which reduce the proliferation of parking spaces, as automobile parking is a non-economic consumption of valuable and developable land."

COMMENTS FROM OTHER AGENCIES

BERNALILLO COUNTY

No adverse comments.

ALBUQUERQUE METROPOLITAN ARROYO FLOOD CONTROL AUTHORITY

"AMAFCA does not recommend the proposed change to the amendment Item C-1 regarding the deferral of drainage improvements. The amendment lists no conditions to which a property zoned R-1 or R-A must meet in order to defer said drainage improvements and may result in 1) increased flood risk to properties where required drainage infrastructure is not constructed, 2) a likely deficiency of funding available to construct the needed infrastructure (future costs tend to be higher), and 3) a perpetual delay of needed drainage infrastructure.

AMAFCA recommends development of conditions/criteria for which a property zoned R-1 or R-A must meet in order to be exempt from underground drainage infrastructure improvements before such text amendment is approved."

ALBUQUERQUE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

No adverse impacts.

MID-REGION COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS (MRMPO)

MRMPO submitted a letter in support of numerous proposed IDO amendments, particularly those that enable higher-intensity housing and commercial uses in Major Transit areas and Activity Centers. The letter highlights alignment with key goals from the Transitions 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan, including promoting mixed-use and higher-density zoning, supporting transit ridership, increasing housing near frequent transit routes, and incentivizing redevelopment. MRMPO also notes the region's housing shortage driven by rising single-person households and emphasizes the critical link between land use and transportation policy. See attached letter.

MIDDLE RIO GRANDE CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

No comments.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO

No comments.



City of Albuquerque
Environmental Planning Commission (EPC)

Re: Letter of Support for IDO Update 2025 Zoning Reform to Encourage Housing
Production and Affordability

Dear Chair Aragon and Environmental Planning Commissioners,

As the Acting Director of Health, Housing, and Homelessness (HHH), I am writing to express strong support for the Planning Department's recommended technical updates to the IDO that promote increased housing production, reduce per-unit housing costs, and enable the development of housing at all income levels. These changes are urgently needed and directly align with our mission to ensure safe, stable, and affordable housing for all residents in our community.

Our community is experiencing a critical housing shortage. The demand for housing continues to outpace supply, driving costs upward and placing a disproportionate burden on low- and moderate-income households. We need more housing, and not just in total units, but across all income levels.

When there are not enough homes available at higher income levels, households with greater means often "rent down," occupying units that would otherwise be available to those with fewer resources. This squeezes the housing market from both ends. Increasing supply across the spectrum, especially market-rate and workforce housing, helps to free up existing units for households who need them most.

Any policy change that encourages the production of more housing, and particularly those that streamline zoning, increase allowable density, or reduce barriers to construction, will have a meaningful impact on affordability and access. Moreover, any change that helps reduce the cost of housing per unit makes development more feasible and lowers rents or sale prices for future occupants.

We also want to highlight that density matters. Local and national data consistently show that increased density enables more efficient use of land and infrastructure, allowing more people to live in areas with access to jobs, transit, schools, and healthcare. Our own cost gap analysis and density reports show that when we build more densely, we can house more people at lower cost per unit, and reduce environmental impacts at the same time.

Crucially, the people most helped by increased housing supply are those most vulnerable including low-income families, seniors on fixed incomes, people experiencing homelessness, and essential workers. Every new unit added to the housing stock relieves pressure in the system and brings us closer to our shared goals of equity and opportunity for all.

While zoning reform alone is not sufficient, it is absolutely necessary. It is a foundational step that enables further progress; whether through affordable housing incentives, public-private partnerships, or targeted subsidies. We cannot meaningfully address our housing crisis without reforming the land use regulations that shape what can be built and where.

We urge the EPC to support reforms that:

- Encourage housing production;
- Reduce costs per unit;
- Promote housing at all income levels; and
- Enable greater density where appropriate.

Thank you for your leadership and continued commitment to building a healthier, more equitable, and more livable community. Our department stands ready to support these efforts and to collaborate on policies that will create lasting change.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Ellen Braden". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Ellen" and last name "Braden" clearly distinguishable.

Ellen Braden
Acting Director, Health, Housing, and Homelessness
City of Albuquerque



Daniel Aragon, Chair
Environmental Planning Commission
< PlanningEPC@cabq.gov >

Chair Aragon;

The Metropolitan Redevelopment Agency (MRA) is the redevelopment arm of the City, and as such, respectfully submits its comments to the Environmental Planning Commission regarding the proposed changes to the IDO to be heard by your commission on October 28, 2025. In general, MRA supports the IDO changes proposed by Planning staff that allow for more development flexibility, increased density and infill development, and clearer, less complicated regulations, which will streamline development processes and increase opportunity for additional housing and mixed-use developments needed in the Albuquerque community.

Below, please find MRA's official responses and comments regarding certain proposed changes:

Item #3: Usable Open Space Alternatives

In general terms, MRA supports Item #3 (Table 2-4-11 and the addition of subsection 2-4(E)(3)(e)) that reduces barriers to the redevelopment of existing buildings in Downtown. We do, however, have the following comments and suggestions to improve the proposed changes that will, in MRA's experience in development in Downtown, specifically, allow greater applicability of the proposed changes.

2-4(E)(3)(e)(1) Streetscape. *Since most of Downtown has existing sidewalks, MRA recommends clarifying language and flexibility in the application of the sidewalk allowance as follows:*

2-4(E)(3)(e)(1)(a) New Sidewalks or substantial improvements to existing sidewalks at least 2 feet wider than required by the DPM.

2-4(E)(3)(e)(2) Green Wall. *To allow for a more practical application of the green wall requirements, in lieu of providing usable open space, MRA recommends that the alternative should not require a greater area (in square feet) of green wall to be installed than the open space that would be required by Table 2-4-11.*

2-4(E)(3)(e)(2)(a) Green walls shall be installed on at least 50% of all street-facing façades, up to the total area of the minimum usable open space requirement in Table 2-4-11, whichever is less.

2-4(E)(3)(e)(3) Green Roof. *To allow for a more practical application of the green roof requirements, in lieu of providing usable open space, MRA recommends that the alternative should not require a greater area (in square feet) of green wall to be installed than the open space that would be required by Table 2-4-11.*

2-4(E)(3)(e)(3)(a) Green roof shall be installed on at least 50% of all street-facing façades, up to the total area of the minimum usable open space requirement in Table 2-4-11, whichever is less.

2-4(E)(3)(e)(4) In-lieu-of-fee. *While MRA does not object to in-lieu-of-fees, MRA recommends that the fees be directed to a department or fund that will contribute to public improvements in the area of the approved development, such as the MRA fund in designated Metropolitan Redevelopment Areas or in*

other areas, the Parks and Recreation Department or Department of Municipal Development, with the explicit directive that the funds be used for improvements in or adjacent to the public right of way. This will ensure that the use of the in-lieu-of-fees is directed to the public benefit.

2-4(E)(3)(e)(4) In-lieu-of-fee. A fee shall be paid that is equivalent to 1 percent of the value of the renovation or redevelopment, as specified in building permits. In-lieu-of-fees collected shall be directed to the appropriate City fund and used for public improvements in the area of the approved development.

Additionally, MRA supports, without modifications, the following changes to the IDO generally related to housing and economic development that provide clearer and more streamlined regulations, allow for more development flexibility and gentle density, reduce parking minimums, and increase opportunities for infill development and resilient neighborhoods, particularly encouraging residential and mixed-use development projects in urbanized areas:

- ✓ Item #10 – Dormitory uses – Table 4-2-1
- ✓ Item #18 – Dwelling, Cottage Development – 4-3(B)(4)(3)
- ✓ Item #C-2 – Dwelling, Two-family Detached, Duplex – 4-3(B)(5)(b)
- ✓ Item #C-3 – Dwelling, Townhouse – 4-3(B)(6)(d)[new]
- ✓ Items #28 and 29 – Dwelling Unit, Accessory [size limit and height limit] - 4-3(F)(6)(a) and (c)
- ✓ Item #32 – Maximum Building Height R-ML/R-MH – Table 5-1-1
- ✓ Item #33 – Maximum Building Height – Mixed-use zone districts – Table 5-1-2
- ✓ Item #M-2 – Dwelling, Live-Work; General Retail; Small Grocery Store – Table 4-2-1, multiple IDO pages
- ✓ Item #36 – Minimum Parking Requirement for Multi-Family Dwelling – Table 5-5-1
- ✓ Item #40 – Parking Maximums in UC-AC-MS-PT-MT – Subsection 5-5, multiple IDO pages
- ✓ Item #92 – R-1 Dimensional Standards - multiple IDO pages
- ✓ Items #ZC-3 and ZC-4 – Legislative Zoning Conversions in MT and AC areas – Zoning Map Amendments
- ✓ Item #95 and Item #96 – MX-FB Off-street and Bicycle Parking, Minimum – Table 2-4-13

Finally, MRA encourages the EPC to recommend denial of Item #97, Dwelling, Multi-family, which limits multi-family development to 6 units when next to R-A or R-1. This is excessively limiting of what would otherwise be an allowed use on a property. There are existing development standards, such as height, setbacks, and open space requirements that allow multi-family developments to adjust to the appropriate scale to be harmonious with nearby single-family residential developments.

MRA thanks you for your time and attention in considering our recommendations with respect to the proposed 2025 IDO changes, which, overall, our agency supports and finds intentionally encourage thoughtful real estate and economic development in our city.

Sincerely,

Terry Brunner

Terry Brunner

Interim Director, Metropolitan Redevelopment Agency



Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization

Mid-Region Council of Governments

809 Copper Avenue NW

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

www.mrcog-nm.gov

TO: Environmental Planning Commission (EPC)
FR: Aaron Hill, Transportation Planner
CC: Kendra Montanari, Transportation Planning and Technical Services Manager
RE: MRMPO Comments on the 2025 IDO Update

Members of the Committee,

MRMPO staff have reviewed the proposed changes to the IDO put forward for the October 28th hearing.

After thorough consideration, **the Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization would like to voice its support for many of these amendments**, including those enabling the development of bodegas (M-2/C-7), simplifying requirements for cottage developments (18), encouraging the development of ADUs (28, 29, C-4), amendments to uses in residential zones (10, C-2, C-3) and Mixed use zones (3, 30, 33), and amendments to parking minimums (36, 95, 96, C-10, C-12). **Staff would like to particularly call out support for ZC-3 and ZC-4.** Increasing intensity of housing and commercial uses in Major Transit areas and Activity centers is key to the implementation of the *Transitions 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan*. However, all of the listed amendments would implement several policies established in and recommended by our 2045 MTP, such as:

- Adopt mixed-use and higher-density zoning along transit corridors to support ridership.
- Encourage a mix of land uses (retail, housing, entertainment, etc.) and multimodal facilities in appropriate locations to encourage shorter and more active trips.
- Promote fiscally responsible growth patterns and transportation investments that provide the most benefits for the money spent.
- Incentivize redevelopment and transit-oriented development in order to maximize the utility of existing infrastructure.
- Increase alternative housing supply such as tiny homes, co-housing, multi-generational housing and accessory dwelling units, especially in areas within walking distance of frequent transit routes.
- Promote a diverse mix of housing, in cost, unit types, and neighborhood settings targeting areas within walking distance of frequent transit routes.

While Albuquerque's metropolitan area is not experiencing much population growth, our region is nevertheless experiencing a housing shortage due to a rising number of single-person households; per the U.S. Census, 37% of households in the city are single person households. This is due to a mix of many factors, such as our aging population and fewer households choosing to have children. The result of this is a drastic need for more housing units, as smaller average households require more housing units to provide for the same number of people.

The *Transitions 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan* emphasizes that transportation and land use are integrally linked, and that zoning regulations are a key tool in ensuring balance in different types of housing and the transportation systems that support it. The plan encourage diverse housing types, mixed use developments, and transit-oriented transportation policies. **Many of the amendments put forth for this IDO update would allow developers and residents to choose new development patterns that will improve the safety, affordability, and quality of life for Albuquerque residents.**

If you have any questions or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me by email at aahill@mrcog-nm.gov, or call me at (573)-777-2520.



City of Albuquerque

Sustainability Office

Timothy M. Keller, Mayor

September 19, 2025

Environmental Planning Commission
c/o Planning Department
600 2nd St. NW, 3rd Floor, Albuquerque, NM 87102
PlanningEPC@cabq.gov
RE: Letter of Support for the Compost-Related 2025 IDO Updates

To Whom It May Concern:

On behalf of the City of Albuquerque's Sustainability Office, I would like to express support for the City's proposed compost-related amendments to the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO). Compost, especially when generated locally and applied to local soils, provides many benefits. In addition to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and building healthy soils, compost can improve water retention, reduce erosion during storm events, enhance plant establishment and growth, and more. Our Office values encouraging composting and supports guidance about where and how to operate compost systems so that they enhance the neighborhood.

The Sustainability Office has been working with Mikaela Renz-Whitmore and her team in the Planning Department since January 2025 on the proposed compost-related amendments. During that time, our Office provided technical expertise on composting, shared model policies, and engaged compost policy experts from the Natural Resources Defense Council's Food Matter's team (NRDC). NRDC, in partnership with the Environmental Law Institute, drafted the Model Zoning Ordinance on Community Composting that served as the foundation for the proposed compost-related updates in the IDO.

The cross-departmental collaboration, technical advice from the NRDC, and valuable input from the public, shaped the proposed updates while working to minimize unnecessary barriers. For example, adding composting as an incidental activity in the community garden definition encourages composting local material, which generates soil amendment material for the gardens and nearby residents. Key benefits, beyond those associated with building healthy soils, include reducing waste, saving money by replacing garden soil amendment material that would otherwise be purchased, and giving community members another way to engage with public spaces and strengthen relationships in the community.

The City of Albuquerque's Sustainability Office is pleased to support the proposed compost-related amendments in the 2025 IDO Update.

Sincerely,

A. Simon

Ann Simon
Deputy Director, Sustainability Officer
Sustainability Office, City of Albuquerque



October 8, 2025

Dear Chair Aragon and EPC Commissioners,

In 2017, the City of Albuquerque became the 189th city to be enrolled in the Network of Age-Friendly States and Communities. (NAFSC). There are now over 1000 communities enrolled in NAFSC who recognize the importance of making a community livable for all ages and abilities. The mission of the City of Albuquerque Age-Friendly Action Plan (Action Plan) is to make our city an inclusive, innovative and safe place for people of all ages. The current Action Plan focuses on three domains of livability: Housing, Transportation and Civic Participation & Employment. Between 2021 and 2024, Albuquerque improved its AARP Livability score from 52 to 54. ([ABQ Livability Score](#))

Regarding housing, we believe people of all ages should be able to stay in their current community even as their housing needs change. Housing options must be robust so that people can see a path to staying in their community as their household changes. We support the changes to the Integrated Development Ordinance that increase housing options.

Allowing ADU's or casitas permissively by code has been widely celebrated as a positive step toward increasing housing options. Allowing casitas in communities is often seen as a longer term initiative that increases housing over time. The construction of casitas is particularly beneficial to older and younger adults in three ways. One, it provides opportunities for a family member or caregiver to reside on the property of an older adult. Conversely, older adults may choose to live in the smaller space thus allowing their younger, growing family to live in the larger house. An older homeowner may also choose to rent the larger house or casita for retirement income which can help them remain in the community. On a human level, having a trusted neighbor on your property may allow an older person to remain in their community without becoming isolated and with peace of mind. We support the changes to the IDO that make building a casita more feasible and useful.

We support the changes to the IDO that allow for duplexes and townhomes as a solution to the "missing middle housing." The missing middle refers to housing that exists in the middle of the continuum from detached single family homes to large apartment buildings. Often these mid-sized homes are moderately priced and available for younger people starting out and older people wishing to downsize. Done creatively, these types of housing options can fit beautifully within neighborhoods with detached single family homes. What is needed is flexibility within the IDO for developers and individuals to be able to build duplexes or townhouses. Once again, the opportunity for an older person to live in one of the homes while renting the other(s) can be a positive financial opportunity that also can provide peace of mind regarding security and reduced isolation.



We support the changes to the IDO that allow for cottage developments. Cottage developments provide opportunities for intentional multigenerational neighborhoods. When different generations come together in living spaces, the community thrives. Cottage developments allow for innovative and unique design that fits the existing neighborhood.

Finally, we support the changes to the IDO that increase amenities within walking distance of people's homes. Increasing housing density along transit corridors supports the goal of being an Age-Friendly community by simultaneously increasing housing options and decreasing the dependence on cars as the main way to get around the city. Allowing shopping options within walking distance of neighborhoods further supports the goals of the Action Plan by improving access for people of all ages. Improving walkability across the city is good for everyone of all ages and abilities.

Respectfully yours,

City of Albuquerque Age-Friendly Action Committee

Rashanna Adams
Michelle Briscoe
Brwyn Dowing
Paula Getz
Onastine Jaramillo
Dubra Karnes-Padilla

Shanna Lapsley
Havens Levitt
Tim Martinez
Victor Ortiz
Mark Reynolds
Maria ReQua

Henry Shonerd
Juan Sotres
Ray Taylor
Rosella Trujillo
Agnes Vallejos



Kizito Wijenje

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

October 10, 2025

M E M O R A N D U M

- To:** Environmental Planning Commission
Mikaela Renz-Whitmore, City of Albuquerque Planning Department
Megan Jones, City of Albuquerque Planning Department
Kate Clark, City of Albuquerque Planning Department
- Cc:** Kizito Wijenje AICP, Executive Director, APS Capital Master Plan
Karen Alarid, Executive Director, APS Facility Planning & Construction
Serina Gallegos, Director, APS Real Estate
Margaret Callahan, APS Real Estate
John Valdez AICP, Facilities Master Planner, State of NM Public Schools Facilities Authority
Sarah Young AICP, Senior Planner Manager, APS Capital Master Plan
Cordell Bock, Planner II, APS Capital Master Plan
Hodgin Serrullo, Planner II, APS Capital Master Plan
- From:** Rachel Hertzman AICP, Planner II, APS Capital Master Plan
- Re:** APS Comments for City of Albuquerque Environmental Planning Commission Hearing scheduled for October 18, 2025, Case 2 of 2, Plan # TA-2025-00002
- 2. Project #TA-2025-00002**
- a. EPC Description: Text Amendments to Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO)—City-wide.
 - b. Site Information: City-Wide.
 - c. Location: City-Wide.
 - d. Request Description: This sixth Biennial update includes changes requested by neighbors, developers, staff, and Council Services.
 - a. No comment.

A) APPLICATION INFORMATION

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Planning Department
PO Box 1293
Albuquerque NM 87103



September 15, 2025

Daniel Aragon, Chair
Environmental Planning Commission
c/o City of Albuquerque
600 Second Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Dear Chair Aragon,

As required by IDO § 14-16-6-3(D), Planning staff is submitting the 2025 biennial update to the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) for the Environmental Planning Commission's review and recommendation to the City Council, the City's ultimate planning and zoning authority.

In order for the City's land use, zoning, and development regulations to stay up-to-date, the IDO has a biennial update process built into its regulatory framework. The biennial update for 2025 includes approximately 150 changes requested by members of the public, Planning staff, the Mayor, and City Councilors. Proposed amendments are compiled into a spreadsheet of Citywide Proposed Text Amendments and corresponding Redline Exhibit. Each proposed change in the spreadsheet provides an item number, the page and section of the IDO that would be modified, the text that is proposed to change, an explanation of the purpose or intent of the change, and the source of the change (i.e. Staff, Public, Mayor, or Council). The Redline Exhibit includes each proposed change from the spreadsheet, with footnotes matching the corresponding item numbers. Together, these documents are the main body of the application for Amendments to IDO Text – Citywide.

These proposed amendments to the IDO text are consistent with the required Biennial Update process described in IDO § 14-16-6-3(D). The Planning Department has compiled the requested changes and is now submitting the proposed amendments for EPC's review and recommendation at a special hearing on October 28, 2025. A detailed staff analysis of the amendments will be submitted to the EPC, which will include an analysis of the decision criteria set forth in IDO § 14-16-6-7(D)(3).

Sincerely,

Robyn Rose
Robyn Rose (Sep 15, 2025 13:56:44 MDT)

for

Alan Varela, Director
Planning Department

00-IDO-Application-Letter-Citywide-EPC-Director-2025

Final Audit Report

2025-09-15

Created:	2025-09-15
By:	Lucinda Montoya (lucindamontoya@cabq.gov)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAA2o20cDJeDjeK3Cwx9P34ZAY2Ar-E7ByP

"00-IDO-Application-Letter-Citywide-EPC-Director-2025" History

-  Document created by Lucinda Montoya (lucindamontoya@cabq.gov)
2025-09-15 - 7:07:01 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to rrose@cabq.gov for signature
2025-09-15 - 7:07:04 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by rrose@cabq.gov
2025-09-15 - 7:56:05 PM GMT
-  Signer rrose@cabq.gov entered name at signing as Robyn Rose
2025-09-15 - 7:56:42 PM GMT
-  Document e-signed by Robyn Rose (rrose@cabq.gov)
Signature Date: 2025-09-15 - 7:56:44 PM GMT - Time Source: server
-  Agreement completed.
2025-09-15 - 7:56:44 PM GMT

September 15, 2025

Daniel Aragon, Chair
Environmental Planning Commission
c/o City of Albuquerque
600 Second Street NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102



Dear Chair Aragon,

Please accept this letter of justification, required by IDO § 14-16-6-7(D)(3), of the request for a Text Amendment to the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO), submitted for the Environmental Planning Commission's review and recommendation to the City Council as part of the biennial update required by IDO § 14-16-6-3(D).

The IDO is the regulatory tool to implement the "Centers and Corridors" community vision set out in the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan ("Comp Plan"). The biennial update provides a coordinated effort to propose changes citywide so that existing communities can benefit from appropriate new development, while being protected from potential adverse effects. The IDO regulations coordinate with the City's Development Areas – Areas of Change and Consistency – that work together to direct growth to appropriate locations and ensure protections for neighborhoods, parks, and Major Public Open Space. The IDO implements the Comp Plan through regulations tailored to the City's designated Centers and Corridors.

In order for the City's land use, zoning, and development regulations to stay up-to-date, the IDO has a built-in biennial update process within the regulatory framework. This process was established to provide a regular cycle for discussion among residents, City staff, and decision-makers to consider any needed changes that were identified over the course of the year. Since the completion of the 2023 update, Planning staff has collected approximately 150 proposed amendments. These amendments were requested by members of the public, staff, City Councilors, and the Mayor.

- Proposed amendments are compiled into a Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes – Citywide. Each proposed change in the spreadsheet provides an item number, the page and section of the IDO that would be modified, the text that is proposed to change, an explanation of the purpose or intent of the change, and the source of the change (i.e. Staff, Mayor, Public, or Council).
- The Redline Exhibit includes changes proposed by staff and the public, with footnotes matching the corresponding item number in the spreadsheet.
- The proposed Council and Mayor amendments are provided as separate documents, as these changes overlap each other and need to be compared carefully.
- Two online, interactive maps show the effect of proposed legislative zoning conversions.

Together, these documents and exhibits are the main body of the application for Amendments to IDO Text – Citywide.

Justification for an Amendment to IDO Text – Citywide under the Criteria in § 14-16-6-7(D)(3)

These are consistent with the required Biennial Update process described in IDO § 14-16-6-3(D). The Planning Department has compiled proposed amendments to the IDO text and is submitting them for EPC’s review and recommendation at a special hearing on October 28, 2025. These proposed amendments to the IDO text meet the Review and Decision Criteria in IDO § 14-16-6-7(D)(3).

- (a) These proposed amendments to the IDO text are consistent with the spirit and intent of the ABC Comp Plan, as amended (including the distinction between Areas of Consistency and Areas of Change), and with other policies and plans adopted by the City Council.
- (b) The proposed amendments do not apply to only one lot or development project. The amendments affect property citywide.
- (c) These proposed amendments promote public health, safety, and welfare.

Review and Decision Criterion § 14-16-6-7(D)(3)(a)

These proposed amendments to the IDO text are consistent with Comp Plan policies that direct the City to adopt and maintain an effective regulatory system for land use, zoning, and development review. In general, these amendments further the following applicable goals and policies of the ABC Comprehensive Plan and protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

Goal 4.1 Character: Enhance, protect, and preserve distinct communities.

Policy 4.1.2 Identity and Design: Protect the identity and cohesiveness of neighborhoods by ensuring the appropriate scale and location of development, mix of uses, and character of building design.

Policy 4.1.4 Neighborhoods: Enhance, protect, and preserve neighborhoods and traditional communities as key to our long-term health and vitality.

Goal 5.1 Centers & Corridors: Grow as a community of strong Centers connected by a multi-modal network of Corridors.

Policy 5.1.1 Desired Growth: Capture regional growth in Centers and Corridors to help shape the built environment into a sustainable development pattern.

Policy 5.1.2 Development Areas: Direct more intense growth to Centers and Corridors and use Development Areas to establish and maintain appropriate density and scale of development within areas that should be more stable.

Goal 5.2 Complete Communities: Foster communities where residents can live, work, learn, shop, and play together.

Policy 5.2.1 Land Uses: Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

Goal 5.3 Efficient Development Patterns: Promote development patterns that maximize the utility of existing infrastructure and public facilities and the efficient use of land to support the public good.

Policy 5.3.1 Infill Development: Support additional growth in areas with existing infrastructure and public facilities.

Policy 5.3.7 Locally Unwanted Land Uses: Ensure that land uses that are objectionable to immediate neighbors but may be useful to society are located carefully and equitably to ensure that social assets are distributed evenly and social responsibilities are borne fairly across the Albuquerque area.

Goal 5.4 Jobs-Housing Balance: Balance jobs and housing by encouraging residential growth near employment across the region and prioritizing job growth west of the Rio Grande.

Policy 5.4.1 Housing Near Jobs: Allow higher-density housing and discourage single-family housing near areas with concentrated employment.

Policy 5.4.2 West Side Jobs: Foster employment opportunities on the West Side.

Goal 5.6 City Development Areas: Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

Policy 5.6.2 Areas of Change: Direct growth and more intense development to Centers, Corridors, industrial and business parks, and Metropolitan Redevelopment Areas, where change is encouraged.

Policy 5.6.3 Areas of Consistency: Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, parks, and Major Public Open Space.

Policy 5.6.4 Appropriate Transitions: Provide transitions in Areas of Change for development abutting Areas of Consistency through adequate setbacks, buffering, and limits on building height and massing.

Goal 5.7 Implementation Processes: Employ procedures and processes to effectively and equitably implement the Comp Plan.

Policy 5.7.2 Regulatory Alignment: Update regulatory frameworks to support desired growth, high quality development, economic development, housing, a variety of transportation modes, and quality of life priorities.

Policy 5.7.4 Streamlined Development: Encourage efficiencies in the development review process.

Goal 7.3 Sense of Place: Reinforce sense of place through context-sensitive design of development and streetscapes.

Policy 7.3.2 Community Character: Encourage design strategies that recognize and embrace the character differences that give communities their distinct identities and make them safe and attractive places.

Policy 7.3.4 Infill: Promote infill that enhances the built environment or blends in style and building materials with surrounding structures and the streetscape of the block in which it is located.

Policy 7.3.5 Development Quality: Encourage innovative and high-quality design in all development.

Goal 7.4 Context-Sensitive Parking: Design parking facilities to match the development context and complement the surrounding built environment.

Policy 7.4.2 Parking Requirements: Establish off-street parking requirements based on development context.

Policy 7.4.3 Off-street Parking Design: Encourage well-designed, efficient, safe, and attractive parking facilities.

Goal 7.5 Context-Sensitive Site Design: Design sites, buildings, and landscape elements to respond to the high desert environment.

Policy 7.5.1 Landscape Design: Encourage landscape treatments that are consistent with the high desert climate to enhance our sense of place.

Goal 7.6 Context-Sensitive Infrastructure: Match infrastructure design to intended densities and development patterns to minimize lifecycle costs and conserve natural resources.

Policy 7.6.1 Stormwater Treatments: Match stormwater treatment techniques and practices to the density/ intensity of land use and development context.

Goal 9.1 Supply: Ensure a sufficient supply and range of high-quality housing types that meet current and future needs at a variety of price levels to ensure more balanced housing options.

Policy 9.1.1 Housing Options: Support the development, improvement, and conservation of housing for a variety of income levels and types of residents and households.

Policy 9.1.2 Affordability: Provide for mixed-income neighborhoods by encouraging high-quality, affordable, and mixed-income housing options throughout the area.

Goal 9.2 Sustainable Design: Promote housing design that is sustainable and compatible with the natural and built environments.

Policy 9.2.3 Cluster Housing: Encourage housing developments that cluster residential units in order to provide community gathering spaces and/or open space.

Goal 9.4 Homelessness: Make homelessness rare, short-term, and non-recurring.

Policy 9.4.2 Services: Provide expanded options for shelters and services for people experiencing temporary homelessness.

Goal 9.6 Development Process: Promote cost-effective housing redevelopment and construction that meets community needs.

Policy 9.6.2 Incentives: Provide incentives for developing affordable housing for low- and moderate-income households, by aligning development regulations, infrastructure requirements, and fee structures with the priorities of City and County affordable housing programs.

Goal 13.1 Climate Change: Promote resource-efficient growth and development to help mitigate global climate change and adapt to its local impacts.

Policy 13.1.1 Resource-Efficient Development: Promote development in the city and county that works with nature to slow global climate change.

Goal 13.5 Community Health: Protect and maintain safe and healthy environments where people can thrive.

Policy 13.5.1 Land Use Impacts: Prevent environmental hazards related to land uses.

Review and Decision Criterion § 14-16-6-7(D)(3)(b)

These proposed amendments to the IDO text include changes to regulations that apply citywide. None of the proposed text amendments to the IDO text applies to a single lot or development project. Where there are changes that apply to a narrower portion of the city, such as in select Centers and Corridors, the change is supported by Comprehensive Plan policies cited above. These are noted in the “Citywide Proposed Text Amendments,” where relevant. In other instances, there are changes that would apply across a particular zone district or for all approvals of a certain type. Because of this, the proposed amendments are legislative in nature.

Review and Decision Criterion § 14-16-6-7(D)(3)(c)

These proposed amendments to the IDO text help promote economic growth and investment in the City as a whole. The proposed changes continue the Planning Department’s response to challenges in implementing new regulations and neighborhood protections in a real-world context with real-world projects. Changes respond to comments from a wide variety of community members, encouraging new housing options, improving development outcomes, and increasing enforceability by staff. This request promotes public health, safety, and welfare by improving the quality and the enforceability of the existing land use and zoning regulations.

Sincerely,

Mikaela Renz-Whitmore, AICP
Manager, Urban Design & Development Division
City of Albuquerque Planning Department

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
1	All	All	All	Clerical Changes Make any necessary clerical corrections to the document, including fixing typos, numbering, and cross references.	Covers general clerical corrections.	Staff	
2	All	All	All	Editorial Changes Make any necessary editorial changes to the document, including minor text additions, revisions for clarity (without changing substantive content), adding cross references, reorganizing content for better clarity and consistency throughout, revisions to graphic content for clarity, and updating tables of contents.	Covers general editorial corrections.	Staff	
C-1	5	4	1-7(B)(2)(e)	Infrastructure Improvements Add option of delaying infrastructure improvements if a construction agreement or financial guarantee is recorded with the Bernalillo County Assessor. See Council Amendment Bassan - A - Infrastructure.	Makes exceptions for sidewalk and drainage improvements in R-1 and R-A zone districts where a certain percentage of the block has already been developed without sidewalk or drainage improvements and would be considered an unnecessary addition to the network at the time of permit submittal. There is language in the amendment that still requires a financial agreement in the case that the City, in the future, decides to construct the recommended sidewalk and drainage facilities.	Council	Infrastructure
3	36	34	Table 2-4-11	Usable Open Space in MX-FB-UD Add a note and create a new Subsection 2-4(E)(3)(e) Usable Open Space Alternatives, renumbering subsequent subsections, to provide menu of options for buildings constructed prior to the IDO effective date that cannot meet usable open space requirements. See Redline Exhibit for proposed changes.	Removes a barrier for conversions of non-residential buildings to residential in Downtown.	Staff	Housing
95	40	40	Table 2-4-13	MX-FB Off-street Parking, Minimum Replace the text in all columns with "No requirement." MX-FB-ID/MX-FB-FX: 1 space / 1,000 sq. ft. GFA MX-FB-AC: 1 space / 1,500 sq. ft. GFA MX-FB-UD: N/A	Off-street and bicycle parking requirements in the MX-FB sub zones have been removed since parking maximums have been proposed for these areas.	Staff	Parking
96	40	40	Table 2-4-13	MX-FB Bicycle Parking, Minimum Revise the text as follows: 5 spaces or 1 space / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA <u>5 total spaces</u> , whichever is greater	Revised for consistency with the proposed citywide bike parking requirements when no off-street vehicle parking is provided. See related change for Subsection 5-5(E)(1).	Staff	Parking

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
5	57	62	2-6(A)(3)	PD Revise Subsection (3) to require a change of use to be reviewed and decided as a Zoning Map Amendment. Revise (3)(b)2 to specify that Conditional Use approvals are not required. See Redline Exhibit for proposed changes.	Conditional Use approvals are not required for PD zone districts because all uses are approved through the required Site Plan that is approved by the EPC, which can establish conditions of approval to mitigate potential impacts. See related changes in 2-5(E)(3)(b)2 and 4-1(A)(4)(b) for NR-SU.	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts
6	59	65	2-6(B)(3)(b)3	PC / Framework Plan Add a new subsection with text as follows: <u>"Framework Plans adopted prior to the IDO may be amended pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-4(Y) (Amendments of Pre-IDO Approvals)."</u>	Clarifies the review process for amending Framework Plans adopted prior to the IDO. See related change in 6-4(Y)(3)(b) for PC. See related change in 6-4(Y)(1) for Pre-IDO Approvals.	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts
7	117	128	3-5	Historic Protection Overlay Zone - Frontages Revise to add a new type of HPO zone for the first 25 feet from the front lot line or the 20 feet from any street-facing façade of existing buildings. See Redline Exhibit for proposed changes.	Allows for the protection of historic building frontages and facades that are not otherwise regulated as City landmarks and are not located in other HPO zones. See related proposed changes for 6-7(C).	Staff	HPO
8	145	155	4-1(A)(4)(b)	NR-SU - Listed Uses Revise to refer to Subsection 2-5(E)(3)(b) for Allowable Uses. Delete Subsections 1-3 as redundant, and incorporate Subsection 4 in the main text. See Redline Exhibit for proposed changes.	See related changes in 2-5(E)(3)(b)2 for NR-SU and 2-6(A)(4)(b) for PD.	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
9	146	156	4-1(B)	Unlisted Uses Revise text as follows: "When a proposed land use is not explicitly listed in Table 4-2-1 <u>as defined in 14-16-7-1, or not a Sensitive Use listed in 14-16-2-5(E)(2)</u> , the Zoning Enforcement Officer (ZEO) shall determine whether or not it is included in the definition of a listed use or is so consistent with the size, scale, operating characteristics, and external impacts of a listed use that it should be treated as the same use <u>through a Declaratory Ruling, pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-4(R)(1)</u> . In making this determination, the ZEO shall consider the scale, character, traffic impacts, storm drainage impacts, utility demands, and potential impacts of the proposed use on surrounding properties. The <u>Declaratory Ruling ZEO's interpretation</u> shall be made available to the public on the City Planning Department website and shall be binding on future decisions of the City <u>staff</u> until the ZEO makes a different <u>determination</u> interpretation or this IDO is amended to treat the use differently."	Specifies that the unlisted uses subsection does not apply to the NR-SU zone. Specifies that a Declaratory Ruling shall be made for unlisted uses, which is an appealable decision. See related changes in 2-5(E)(3)(b)2, 4-1(A)(4)(b), and Table 4-2-1 for NR-SU.	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts
10	149	159	Table 4-2-1	Dormitory Add P in R-ML. Change from C to P in MX-T.	Allowing Dormitories as a permissive use allows another housing option that can provide affordable units. Other group living uses are allowed in R-ML, so adding this use is consistent. All other housing types are permissive primary uses in the MX-T zone district.	Staff	Co-living
11	150	160	Table 4-2-1	Commercial Uses / Agriculture and Animal-related Composting Facility, Small and Medium [New] Add Small / Medium Composting Facility. See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Regulates community composting and commercial composting. Defined to exclude backyard composting by 1 household. Requested by the Office of Sustainability. See related changes for Composting Facility, Large; use-specific standards in 4-3; and definitions in 7-1.	Staff	Compost
12	150	161	Table 4-2-1	Commercial Uses / Motor Vehicle-related Car Wash Change P to C in MX-L.	Makes motor vehicle-related uses consistently conditional in MX-L. Other motor vehicle-related uses such as light vehicle fueling station and light vehicle sales are conditional uses in MX-L.	Public	Motor Vehicle-related uses
13	150	161	Table 4-2-1	Commercial Uses / Motor Vehicle-related Car Wash Revise as follows: Change P to C in MX-H .	Reduces potential for conflict between higher density mixed uses and car washes in the MX-H zone district by changing car washes from permissive to conditional.	Staff	Motor Vehicle-related uses

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
14	150	161	Table 4-2-1	Commercial Uses / Motor Vehicle-related Light Vehicle Repair Change P to C in MX-L.	Makes motor vehicle-related uses consistently conditional in MX-L. Other motor vehicle-related uses such as light vehicle fueling station and light vehicle sales are conditional uses in MX-L.	Public	Motor Vehicle-related uses
15	152	163	Table 4-2-1	Industrial Uses / Waste and Recycling Composting Facility, Large [New] See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment. Add Large Composting Facility and use-specific standard cross reference.	Regulates community composting and commercial composting. Defined to exclude backyard composting by 1 household. Requested by the Office of Sustainability. See related changes for Composting Facility, Small/Medium; use-specific standards in 4-3; and definitions in 7-1.	Staff	Compost
16	153	164	Table 4-2-1	Accessory Uses Family Home Day Care Change "CA" to "A" for R-A, R-1, R-MC, and R-T.	Conditional Accessory applications for Family Home Day Cares are always approved by the ZHE. This removes a barrier to the establishment of these types of facilities.	Staff	
18	157	169	4-3(B)(4)(b)	Dwelling, Cottage Development Revise text as follows and delete Subsections 1-3: "The minimum project size for a cottage development is <u>10,000 square feet, as follows:</u> "	Replaces the UC-MS-PT allowance to allow small-scale, infill, missing middle housing options on large lots throughout the City.	Staff	Housing
C-2	160	172	4-3(B)(5)(b)	Dwelling, Two-family Detached (Duplex) Revise text as follows: "This use is prohibited in the R-A, R-1, and R-MC zone districts, except in either of the following circumstances: 1. In or within 1,320 feet (1/4 mile) of MS-PT areas. 2. Where 1 two-family detached dwelling is on 2 lots and the building straddles the lot line, with each dwelling unit on a separate lot. (See figure below.)" See proposed Council Amendment Fiebelkorn - B.	Make duplexes permissive in R-1 and R-MC citywide but only allowed in R-A within 1,320 feet of MS-PT areas.	Council	Housing
C-3	160	173	4-3(B)(6)(d) [new]	Dwelling, Townhouse Remove R-1 from the list prohibiting this use within 1,320 feet (1/4 mile) of MS-PT areas. See Council Amendment Rogers - B - Townhouse.	Because Table 4-2-1 shows townhouse as a permissive use in R-1, removing it from this use-specific standard (that only allows townhouses within 1/4 mile of MS-PT in these zone districts) would allow townhouses in R-1 citywide. Subsection 4-3(B)(6)(c) limits townhouses next to R-A and R-1 to 3 units.	Council	Housing

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
97	162	174	4-3(B)(8)	Dwelling, Multi-family Add a new subsection and renumber subsequent subsections: <u>"Except in UC-MS-PT areas, each multi-family dwelling shall not contain more than 6 dwelling units on lots with a rear or side lot line that abuts an R-A or R-1 zone district or with a rear lot line that is across an alley from an R-A or R-1 zone district."</u>	Limits the number of units allowed next to R-A or R-1, similar to the limit on townhouses.	Staff	Housing
21	167	180	4-3(D)	Commercial Uses / Agriculture and Animal-related Composting Facility, Small and Medium [New] Add a new subsection with use-specific standards for Composting Facility, Small and Medium See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Regulates community composting and commercial composting. Defined to exclude backyard composting by 1 household. Added limit to size when an accessory use. Requested by the Office of Sustainability. See related changes for Composting Facility, Large; use-specific standards in 4-3; and definitions in 7-1.	Staff	Compost
22	168	181	4-3(D)(5)(b)	Veterinary Hospital Revise as follows: In the MX-M and NR-C zone districts, outside exercise outdoor animal runs are allowed, provided that both of the following requirements are met: they are enclosed <u>4-3(D)(5)(b)(1): The animal runs shall be screened from any adjacent property in any Residential zone district or any lot containing a residential use in any Mixed-use zone district by with an opaque wall or fence at least 6 feet high similar in color and materials to those used on the primary building.</u> 4-3(D)(5)(b)(2): Outside areas for occupancy by animals overnight are prohibited <u>No animals shall be allowed to occupy the outdoor animal run between 10:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M."</u>	Revised for consistency between "Outdoor Animal Run" accessory use and use-specific standards for Veterinary Hospital, which address outdoor animal runs.	Staff	
23	171	185	4-3(D)(14)(h)	Campground or Recreational Vehicle Park Revise as follows: <u>"Water service and water-flush toilets and urinals shall be provided and shall not be more than 300 feet in any direction of any camp site without an individual sewer connection."</u>	Requires water service to ensure that sanitary conditions are met. Removes the specific mention of urinals to avoid being overly prescriptive about restroom fixture types.	Staff	Campground
24	174	187	4-3(D)(18)	Light Vehicle Fueling Station Delete Subsection (g), Subsection (h), and Subsection (i)	Prohibits light vehicle fueling stations near residential uses to protect public health and safety.	Staff	Motor Vehicle-related uses
25	174	188	4-3(D)(18)(m)	Light Vehicle Fueling Station Revise as follows: <u>"In UC-MS-PT areas, an An opaque wall or vegetative screen at least 3 feet high shall be provided along all street frontages."</u>	This makes light vehicle fueling stations consistent with requirement to screen parking lots and drive-up uses.	Staff	Motor Vehicle-related uses

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
26	190	204	4-3(D)(40)(b) 1.	Nicotine Retail Revise text as follows: "This use is prohibited <u>on a lot</u> within 1,000 feet in any direction of a lot containing any other primary nicotine retail use."	Clarifies that the separation is to be measured lot to lot, consistent with other distance separations.	Staff	
27	193	219	4-3(E)	Industrial Uses / Waste and Recycling Composting Facility, Large [new] Add a new subsection with use-specific standards for Composting Facility, Large. See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Regulates community composting and commercial composting. Defined to exclude backyard composting by 1 household. Requested by the Office of Sustainability. See related changes for Composting Facility, Small/Medium; use-specific standards in 4-3; and definitions in 7-1.	Staff	Compost
C-4	212	226	4-3(F)(6)(a)	Dwelling Unit, Accessory - Attached and Detached Revise to allow both attached and detached casitas. See proposed Council amendment Fiebelkorn - D.	Allows accessory dwelling units attached to the primary structure, while also creating a way to distinguish attached ADUs and duplexes.	Council	Housing
28	212	226	4-3(F)(6)(a)	Dwelling Unit, Accessory - Size Limit Revise text as follows: "Where this use is allowed, only 1 accessory dwelling unit is allowed per lot and is limited to <u>a building footprint of 750 square feet of gross floor area</u> . A garage attached to the accessory dwelling unit shall not count toward this size limit."	Allows more square footage for casitas with lofts or 2-story casitas constructed on lots where the main house is 2-story.	Staff	Housing
29	212	227	4-3(F)(6)(c)	Dwelling Unit, Accessory - Height Limit Revise text as follows: " The maximum building height of an An accessory dwelling unit shall be <u>18 feet or as tall as no taller than</u> the primary structure on the property, <u>whichever is greater</u> . <u>An accessory dwelling unit constructed over a detached garage is limited by the maximum building height of the zone district.</u> "	Allows casitas to be taller than a single-story house (with a maximum height of 18 feet) to remove barriers to accessory dwelling unit construction. Allows a casita to be constructed on a detached garage (with a maximum height set by the zone district).	Staff	Housing

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
98	217	231	4-3(F)(15)(b)	Outdoor Dining Area Revise as follows: The use shall not include any open flames or other safety or health hazards, with the exception of tabletop candles.	The updated regulation will now allow fire pits and other similar features allowed under the Fire Code, which are currently prohibited by the IDO for outdoor dining areas.	Public	
M-1	221	236	4-3(G)(9)	Safe Outdoor Spaces Allow ongoing extensions of the temporary use after an inspection for compliance with use-specific standards. Increase the threshold for spaces and occupants before a distance separation is required from another SOS. Remove permanent plumbing requirements. Remove cost-prohibitive operations and management plan requirements and create 2 tiers of requirements for fewer than 20 spaces and 20+ spaces. See proposed Mayor Amendment - SOS.	Removes cost-prohibitive requirements for Safe Outdoor Spaces and allows an SOS to serve more people before a distance separation is required. See competing proposal from Councilor Rogers. The Mayor amendment highlights in yellow the changes that are different from Councilor Rogers - C - SOS.	Mayor	SOS
C-5	221	236	4-3(G)(9)	Safe Outdoor Spaces Allow ongoing extensions of the temporary use after an inspection for compliance with use-specific standards. Remove permanent plumbing requirements. Remove cost-prohibitive operations and management plan requirements and create 2 tiers of requirements for fewer than 20 spaces and 20+ spaces. See Council Amendment Rogers - C - SOS.	Removes cost-prohibitive requirements for Safe Outdoor Spaces.	Council	SOS
C-6	222	236	4-3(G)(9)(e)	Safe Outdoor Spaces Revise text as follows: "Toilets, hand washing stations, and showers shall be provided as follows. 1. Plumbed hand Hand washing stations and water-flush or composting toilets shall be provided within 2 years of the City approval of the safe outdoor space. a. One (1) water-flush or composting toilet shall be provided for every 8 designated spaces. b. One (1) hand washing station shall be provided for every 10 designated spaces." See proposed Council Amendment Fiebelkorn - G.	Removes requirement for permanent plumbing on temporary use. Allows portable showers and handwashing stations.	Council	SOS

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
C-6	222	236	4-3(G)(9)(e)	Safe Outdoor Spaces [cont'd] Delete Subsection 2 and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly. 2. Prior to the installation of plumbed handwashing stations and water flush or composting toilets, portable toilets and hand washing stations may be provided to meet this requirement. a. Portable toilets and hand washing stations shall be provided at a ratio of 1 each per 8 designated spaces. b. Portable toilets and hand washing stations shall be serviced at regular intervals. See proposed Council Amendment Fiebelkorn - G.	See above.	Council	SOS
C-6	222	236	4-3(G)(9)(e)	Safe Outdoor Spaces [cont'd] Revise text as follows: 3. Toilets and hand washing stations shall not be located more than 300 feet in any direction of any designated space. 4. One (1) shower shall be provided for every 10 designated spaces. a. Portable showers may be provided to meet this requirement. b. Showers are not required to be plumbed within 2 years of the City approval of the safe outdoor space. See proposed Council amendment Fiebelkorn - G.	See above.	Council	SOS
30	Multiple	Multiple	4	Dwelling, Single-family in MX-T In Table 4-2-1, remove P from MX-T. In Table 4-2-1, remove P from Cluster Development and Cottage Development. In use-specific standards for cottage development [4-3(B)(4)], revise language to remove reference to MX-T.	Prohibits single-family homes in MX-T. The purpose of the MX-T zone district is to provide a transition between residential neighborhoods and more intense commercial areas. New detached single family does not support this transition. Removes cluster and cottage developments, since multiple units are allowed in MX-T. Existing single-family homes in MX-T would become nonconforming, meaning they can continue to be used as single-family but would have limits on additions. If the building is not used as single-family for 2 years, then the single-family use would no longer be allowed. The building could be used for any other allowable use in MX-T.	Staff	Housing

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
M-2	Multiple	Multiple	4	Dwelling, Live-Work General Retail, Small Grocery Store Revise Table 4-2-1 to allow bodegas in Residential areas and limit size and location in each use-specific standard. See proposed Mayor Amendment - Bodega.	Allows traditional bodegas up to 5,000 s.f. in R-1, R-T, and R-ML as retail, restaurant, or grocery store. In R-1, the use would be allowed only on corner lots 5,000 s.f.+ . Allows grocery stores up to 10,000 s.f. in MX-T. See competing proposals in Council Amendment Rogers -A - Bodega. Differences are highlighted yellow in the Mayor amendment.	Mayor	Bodega
C-7	Multiple	Multiple	4	Dwelling, Live-Work General Retail, Small Grocery Store Revise Table 4-2-1 to allow bodegas in Residential areas and limit size and location in each use-specific standard. See proposed Council Amendment Rogers - A - Bodega.	Allows bodegas up to 3,000 s.f. on corner lots in R-1, R-T, and R-ML as retail, restaurant, or grocery store. In R-T and R-ML, these uses would be conditional in other locations citywide. Allows grocery stores up to 10,000 s.f. in MX-T.	Council	Bodega
C-8	Multiple	Multiple	4	Overnight Shelter Revise Table 4-2-1 to make permissive in all zone districts where currently allowed as Conditional (MX-M, MX-H, NR-C, NR-BP, NR-LM, NR-GM). Revise Subsection 14-16-4-3(C)(6) text as follows: "(a) This use is prohibited within 1,500 feet in any direction of a lot containing any other overnight shelter. (b) This use shall be conducted within fully enclosed portions of a building. (c) [new] This use requires a Conditional Use approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A) for more than 10 beds. (c) (d) In the MX-M zone district, this use shall not exceed 25,000 square feet of gross floor area." See proposed Council Amendment Fiebelkorn - A.	Make overnight shelters with 10 or fewer beds permissive within the MX-M, MX-H, NR-C, NR-BP, NR-LM and NR-GM zone districts, but keeps them conditional for more than 10 beds.	Council	
31	Multiple	Multiple	4	R-MC Zone District In Table 4-2-1, delete the "P" in the following uses: Dwelling, two-family (duplex) Dwelling, townhouse Dwelling, multi-family In 4-3, delete R-MC in the use-specific standards for those uses: 4-3(B)(5)(b) 4-3(B)(6)(d) 4-3(B)(8)(e)	Removes permissive uses from this specialized zone district for manufactured home communities. Allowing other uses can put market pressure that can price out manufactured home communities. If this change is approved, townhouses or multi-family dwellings would require a zone change to a zone district that allows those uses. A zone change would trigger an 18-month eviction notice for residents of the manufactured home community.	Staff	Housing

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
32	227	241	Table 5-1-1	Maximum Building Height - R-ML / R-MH Add building height maximums for AC-MT and bump up UC-MS-PT accordingly. Add R-ML to Centers & Corridors and building height bonuses. Add bonuses for structured parking for R-ML and R-MH. See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Allows higher densities in Activity Centers and Major Transit corridors, where growth is appropriate. Adds building height to Urban Center, Premium Transit, and Main Street areas to establish a tiered allowance for building heights in different Centers and Corridors.	Staff	Bldg Height
C-9	229	242	5-1(C)(2)(b)	Contextual Residential Development - Lot Size Delete Subsections 1 and 2 and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly. See proposed Council Amendment Baca - A.	Removes the contextual standard for minimum lot size and allows subdivision of lots to default to the zone district minimum.	Council	Housing
33	232	246	Table 5-1-2	Maximum Building Height - Mixed-use Zone Districts Add building height maximums for AC-MT and bump up UC-MS-PT accordingly. Add AC to Workforce Housing bonus and Structured Parking bonus. See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Allows higher densities in Activity Centers and Major Transit corridors, where growth is appropriate. Adds building height to Urban Center, Premium Transit, and Main Street areas to establish a tiered allowance for building heights in different Centers and Corridors.	Staff	Bldg Height
34	240	254	5-2(E)(2)(c)	Cumulative Impacts - Requirements Revise text as follows: "Provide a traffic impact study pursuant to Article 7-5(D) of the DPM, notwithstanding the thresholds or mitigation requirements in the DPM, which the EPC may use as the basis to require mitigation of the traffic generated by the use through conditions of approval."	Requires all developments to provide a traffic scoping form. If the development meets the thresholds, a traffic impact study will be required.	Staff	
C-1	251	265	5-3(D)(1)	Sidewalks in Residential Development Provide an exemption for R-A or R-1 lots on blocks where sidewalks have not yet been constructed if the property owner records an agreement to be assessed for the cost of improvements when they happen in the future. See Council Amendment Bassan - A - Infrastructure.	Makes exceptions for sidewalk and drainage improvements in R-1 and R-A zone districts where a certain percentage of the block has already been developed without sidewalk or drainage improvements and would be considered an unnecessary addition to the network at the time of permit submittal. There is language in the amendment that still requires a financial agreement in the case that the City, in the future, decides to construct the recommended sidewalk and drainage facilities.	Council	Infrastructure
35	263	278	5-4(H)	Stormwater Management Move Subsection (2) to be Subsection (1). Add a new Subsection 5-4(H)(1)(d) with text as follows: " <u>Applicable standards in the Bernalillo County Green Stormwater Infrastructure Low-impact Development Standards as of 2023.</u> "	Requires new development with green stormwater infrastructure to follow County standards.	Staff	

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
C-1	263	277	5-4(H)	Stormwater Management Provide exemption for R-A and R-1 if the owners record an agreement that lots will be assessed for underground drainage improvements when the City constructs these improvements in the future. See Council Amendment Bassan - A - Infrastructure.	Makes exceptions for sidewalk and drainage improvements in R-1 and R-A zone districts where a certain percentage of the block has already been developed without sidewalk or drainage improvements and would be considered an unnecessary addition to the network at the time of permit submittal. There is language in the amendment that still requires a financial agreement in the case that the City, in the future, decides to construct the recommended sidewalk and drainage facilities.	Council	Infrastructure
36	274	289	Table 5-5-1	Minimum Parking Requirement for Multi-family Dwelling Revise text as follows: <u>1 space / DU with 2 or fewer BR</u> <u>1.5 space / DU with 3 or more BR</u> <u>1 space / DU for Workforce Housing</u> 1 space / studio 1.2 spaces / DU with 1 BR 1.6 spaces / DU with 2 BR 1.8 spaces / DU with 3 or more BR UC MS PT: 1 space / DU See Redline Exhibit.	Intended to reduce parking requirements for multi-family dwellings (i.e. apartments) to reduce housing cost per unit. Adds a built-in reduction for Workforce Housing.	Staff	Housing / Parking
37	277	292	Table 5-5-1	Self-storage Revise text as follows: 1 space / 5,000 <u>3,000</u> sq. sf. GFA	Reduces the number of required parking spaces for self-storage uses, which have low trip generation from employees and customers.	Public	Parking
C-10	279	294	Table 5-5-1	Off-street Parking - Dwelling unit, accessory Remove requirement for 1 off-site parking space. See proposed Council Amendment Fiebelkorn - C.	Eliminates the parking requirement for accessory dwelling units (i.e., casitas).	Council	Parking

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
C-11	285	301	5-5(C)(7)	Parking Maximums Revise the text as follows: "5-5(C)(7)(a) In UC-MS-PT areas the maximum number of off-street parking spaces provided shall be no more than [175] <u>[140]</u> percent of the off-street parking spaces required by Table 2-4-13 or Table 5-5-1, as applicable. 5-5(C)(7)(b) In areas exempt from minimum required off-street parking spaces pursuant to Subsection 14-16-5-5(B)(2)(a), the maximum number of off-street parking spaces provided shall be no more than [175] <u>[140]</u> percent of the off-street parking spaces that would otherwise be required by Table 2-4-13 or Table 5-5-1, as applicable, for the proposed development." See proposed Council Amendment Fiebelkorn - E.	Decreases the maximum number of parking spaces by 20% within the areas that they're currently applicable, namely centers and corridors and near transit stops and facilities.	Council	Parking
38	285	303	5-5(C)(9)(b)	EV Parking - Townhouse Revise text as follows: "All new townhouse developments containing more than 6 dwelling units shall provide <u>at least 1 parking space that is EV capable per dwelling unit</u> all required off street parking spaces as EV capable. "	Reduces the number of EV capable spaces per dwelling, while still requiring EV capable parking space for each unit. Required parking is more than 1 per unit (based on bedrooms). Intended to reduce housing costs per unit.	Staff	Housing
39	285	303	5-5(C)(9)(c)	EV Parking - Multi-family Revise text as follows: "All new multi-family residential developments <u>or new mixed-use developments</u> containing more than 100 dwelling units shall meet both of the following requirements."	Closes an unintended loophole to make the EV parking requirement apply to both multi-family residential and mixed-use development with 100+ dwelling units.	Staff	
39	285	303	5-5(C)(9)(c) [cont'd]	EV Parking - Multi-family Revise text in Subsection 1 and 2 as follows: "1. <u>At least 1 electric vehicle (EV) charging station installed with a rating of 240 volts or higher shall be provided for every 20 dwelling units.</u> At least 5 percent of the required off-street parking spaces shall have electric vehicle (EV) charging stations installed with a rating of 240 volts or higher. "2. <u>At least 1 EV capable parking space shall be provided for every 4 dwelling units.</u> 25 percent of the required off-street parking spaces shall be provided as EV capable. "	Changes the requirement for EV charging to be based on the number of dwelling units, not the number of required parking spaces.	Staff	Housing

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
96	286	304	5-5(E)(1)	Bicycle Parking Make the second sentence a new Subsection (a) and add a new Subsection (b) with text as follows: <u>"Where no off-street parking spaces are provided, the minimum bicycle parking requirement shall be as follows and as applicable:</u> <u>1. 1 space / 5 dwelling units or 4 total spaces, whichever is greater.</u> <u>2. 1 space / 2,500 square feet GFA or 4 total spaces, whichever is greater."</u>	Ensures that bicycle parking is still required even when no off-street vehicle parking is provided. See related change for Table 2-4-13.	Staff	Parking
40	Multiple	Multiple	5-5	Parking Maximums in UC-AC-MS-PT-MT Remove UC-MS-PT-MT where they appear in Table 5-5-1. Revise 5-5(C)(5)(a) General Reductions for Centers and Corridor Areas to only refer to Employment Centers (EC). Delete 5-5(C)(5)(d)1 to eliminate reference to PT parking reduction. Delete 5-5(C)(5)(d) to remove reference to peak service frequency reduction. Increase the reduction for transit shelters from 5 to 20 percent. Revise 5-5(C)(7) to remove parking minimums and establish lower parking maximums in UC-AC-MS-PT for non-residential uses only. Add a menu of options to receive additional parking above the maximum. See Redline Exhibit for proposed changes.	Follows best practices to eliminate parking requirements as a cost barrier to housing and other development where growth is appropriate. Removes reference to peak service frequency for parking reductions, as all 15-minute service is on corridors that are designated as Major Transit (MT).	Staff	Housing/Parking
C-12	Multiple	Multiple	5-5	Off-street Parking Reduces the number of required parking spaces for most uses by 20% in Table 5-5-1 except for: - Dwelling, single-family detached, - Accessory dwelling unit, - campground or recreational vehicle park, and - Dwelling, temporary. Provide a 20% reduction in required parking spaces in Centers/Corridors, for proximity to a City park or trail, and for proximity to Transit. See proposed Council Amendment Fiebelkorn - F.	Reduces required parking by 20%.	Council	Parking

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
99	299	317	5-6(A)	Landscape, Buffering, and Screening - Purpose Revise text as follows: 5-6(A)(5) Contributing to the processes of air purification and oxygen regeneration; <u>that can improve public health</u> . Create a new Subsection 5-6(A)(6) with text as follows and renumber subsequent sections accordingly: <u>Contributing to the process of</u> groundwater recharge, and stormwater runoff retention, Landscape should be designed to retaining soil moisture, preventing erosion, encouraging the growth of abutting plantings, and mitigating urban heat-island effects, and while abating aiding in the abatement of air and water pollution, dust, noise, heat, and glare.	Landscaping, buffering, and screening purpose statement revised to cite public health benefits.	Public	Landscaping
100	299	317	5-6(B)(1)(a)	Landscape, Buffering, and Screening - Applicability 5-6(B)(1)(a) Construction of a new <u>primary building</u> containing multi-family, mixed-use, or non-residential development or an accessory parking structure.	Specifies that landscaping, buffering, and screening standards apply to all new primary buildings and accessory parking structures. Revised to include low-density residential and multi-family residential development. The Street Tree Ordinance applies to all development, as specified in 5-6(D). See related proposed changes for Subsection 5-6(C) and 5-6(D).	Public	Landscaping
101	300	318	5-6(C)	Landscape, Buffering, and Screening -General Standards Revise text as follows: "The following standards apply to all landscaping, screening, or buffering required by this Section 14-16-5-6 <u>for any new buildings containing multi-family residential, mixed-use, or non-residential development or an accessory parking structure.</u> "	Moves existing language from Subsection 5-6(B)(1) and adds multi-family residential. See related proposed change for 5-6(B)(1)(a).	Public	Landscaping
102	301	319	5-6(C)(2)(c)	Minimum Landscape Area Revise text as follows: "The mature realistic spread of trees and shrubs <u>as defined by the Official Albuquerque Plant Palette</u> will be used to calculate required vegetative coverage as follows."	Adds reference to the Official Albuquerque Plant Palette, which specifies the approximate size of mature trees and shrubs.	Public	Landscaping

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
102	301	319	5-6(C)(2)(c)	Minimum Landscape Area [Cont'd] Add a new Subsection with text as follows: "3. <u>Overlapping canopy areas from trees and ground-level plants may both be counted toward the required total vegetative coverage in Subsection 1 above, provided the minimum ground-level vegetative coverage required in Subsection 2 above is still met.</u> "	Clarifies that overlapping tree and shrub canopies can both count toward total vegetative coverage, as long as minimum ground-level coverage is still met. This supports best practices for tree health, including shading roots and providing shared irrigation.	Public	Landscaping
103	302	321	5-6(C)(4)(b)5.	Required Plant Materials and Site Amenities Revise text as follows: "Are equally hardy to the New Mexico <u>Albuquerque</u> climate."	Clarifies that plant hardiness must be appropriate to the Albuquerque climate, rather than the broader and more variable climate conditions across New Mexico.	Public	Landscaping
103	303	321	5-6(C)(4)(f)	Required Plant Materials and Site Amenities [Cont'd] Revise text as follows: "Any new grass irrigated with sprinklers shall be installed at least 3 feet in any direction from any impermeable hard surface. A buffer using organic mulch, <u>permeable pavers, gravel, or compacted crusher fines</u> can shall be used when planting grass adjacent to impermeable surface."	Allows more flexibility in landscape design by expanding the types of materials that can be used as a buffer between grass and hard surfaces.	Public	Landscaping
103	302	322	5-6(C)(4)(i)	Required Plant Materials and Site Amenities [Cont'd] Move to Subsection 5-6(C)(4)(b) as a new Subsection 6.	Subsection moved to clarify that if alternative plant species are approved in place of those listed on the Official Albuquerque Plant Palette, they must still comply with all applicable City and Water Authority regulations. The requirement was relocated from the general plant materials section to the section for alternative species, since that is the only context where non-palette plants may be used.	Public	Landscaping
103	302	322	5-6(C)(4)(l)	Required Plant Materials and Site Amenities [Cont'd] Revise text as follows: "In DT-UC-MS areas, landscaped areas other than street frontage shall include pedestrian street furniture to include <u>at a minimum 1 seating feature, 1 planter, and 1 trash receptacle, pedestrian amenities, or trash receptacles to encourage pedestrian use.</u> "	Clarifies the specific type and minimum quantity of street furniture required in landscaped areas within DT-UC-MS areas.	Public	Landscaping

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
104	303	322	5-6(C)(5)(a)	Soil Condition and Planting Beds Revise text as follows: "All vegetated material required by this Section 14-16-5-6 shall be planted in <u>soil that is uncompacted soil to a minimum depth of 24 inches.</u> "	Clarifies the required depth for soil to qualify as uncompacted, ensuring adequate conditions for plant health.	Public	Landscaping
104	303	322	5-6(C)(5)(c)	Soil Conditions and Planting Beds [Cont'd] Delete text as follows: "The use of gravel or crusher fines as ground cover is limited to a maximum of 75 percent of any landscaped area, or 50 percent in DT UC MS areas."	The restriction on the amount of gravel or crusher fines used as groundcover was removed to allow greater flexibility in landscape design and material choice. Crusher fines are often preferred over organic mulch due to their durability and resistance to displacement by wind and runoff and are especially suitable in arid environments.	Public	Landscaping
104	303	322	5-6(C)(5)	Soil Condition and Planting Beds [Cont'd] Combine (d) and (e) to require 3 inches of organic mulch around trees. See Redline Exhibit.	Removes reference to "planting area," which is not a defined term. Clarifies that the requirement for mulch is related to trees.	Public	Landscaping
104	304	323	5-6(C)(5)(f)	Soil Condition and Planting Beds [Cont'd] Revise text as follows: "Where abutting areas accessible by vehicles, All landscaped areas shall be protected from vehicular encroachment by curbs or wheel stops located 2 feet outside the landscaped area, with openings to accommodate surface collection of stormwater runoff in vegetated swales and stormwater infiltration areas."	Clarifies that curbs or wheel stops are only required where landscaped areas abut vehicle-accessible areas.	Public	Landscaping
105	304	323	5-6(C)(7)(b)	Plant Material Spacing Revise text as follows: "Where tree planting requirements are based on the length of the street frontage, areas occupied by driveways and drive aisles may shall be excluded included when calculating the number of trees required to be planted, and all trees that would otherwise be required in driveways or drive aisles shall be planted in other landscaped front yard areas. "	Excludes the width of driveways and drive aisles from tree planting requirements that are based on street frontage. The requirement today is satisfied by any other trees planted in the front yard, since overlapping requirements can be double-counted. Since the effect is the same, eliminating this requirement helps simplify the calculation. Other landscape requirements, such as 15% of net lot area and 75% vegetative coverage, would still need to be met.	Public	Landscaping

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
106	305	324	5-6(C)(10)	Planting near Utilities Revise text in Subsection (b) as follows: "Trees and shrubs shall not be planted in utility easements or within 10 feet in any direction of the centerline of a sewer or water line, whichever is greater, unless there is no other practicable location on the lot where the landscaping would achieve its intended purpose." Make second sentence of (a) a new Subsection 1 and move subsection (c) to be a new Subsection 2. See Redline Exhibit.	Clarifies the minimum distance required between trees or shrubs and sewer or water lines to prevent conflicts with utility infrastructure.	Public	Landscaping
41	307	326	5-6(C)(13)(b)	Stormwater Management Features Revise text as follows: "Required landscape area and buffer areas shall be designed pursuant to <u>the Bernalillo County Green Stormwater Infrastructure Low-impact Development Standards as of 2023</u> , the DPM, and the City Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction."	Requires development to meet County standards for green stormwater infrastructure.	Staff	
107	300	328	5-6(D)(1)(a) [new]	Required Street Trees -Low-density Residential " <u>All new primary buildings with a low-density residential use shall provide at least 1 street tree with minimum caliper of 2 inches.</u> "	Clarifies that low-residential development must comply with the Street Tree Ordinance but simplifies the requirement to be just 1 tree selected from the Official Plant Palette. Subsection (c) allows existing trees to count toward the requirement. See related proposed change for 5-6(B)(1)(a).	Public	Landscaping
107	309	328	5-6(D)(1)(c)	Required Street Trees [cont'd] Revise to create new Subsections with text as follows: "1. Planting areas necessary for trees in the street frontage shall meet the minimum size requirements in Table 5-6-3 unless specified otherwise in this IDO." 2. <u>Permeable materials that meet ADA requirements, including but not limited to, compacted crusher fines, may be used to provide a walkable surface in required tree planting areas.</u> Tree grates may be used in constrained locations to accommodate pedestrian circulation, and to allow the required planting areas to have a walkable surface. "		Public	Landscaping

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
42	314	333	5-6(E)(4)(b)1.	Walls & Fences - Industrial Adjacent to Non-industrial, General Revise text as follows: "If a wall at least 3 feet in height <u>that is opaque for at least 3 feet of height</u> is provided or exists along the landscaped edge buffer area, 1 of the following requirements shall be met."	Requires that use of existing walls for screening must be opaque for at least 3 feet of height to prevent non-opaque walls from being used for screening purposes.	Staff	
43	332	350	5-7(E)(1)(c)3.	Barbed Wire Revise text as follows: "In Non-residential zone districts, such materials are allowed on <u>all walls</u> . Street-facing walls with barbed wire that shall meet all of the following criteria. (See figure below.)"	Clarifies that barbed wire is allowed on all non-residential walls, but street-facing walls are subject to additional regulation.	Staff	
108	358	376	5-11(E)(2)(a) 2.a	Multi-Family Residential Development - General - Window Sills Revise as follows: "Ground-floor transparent windows, with the lower edge of window sills no higher than 30 inches above the finished floor for non-residential uses or 36 inches above the finished floor for residential uses. "	Provides requirements for window sill height consistent with building code standards. A 36-inch maximum for residential uses reflects common practice and supports interior layout and safety, while retaining the 30-inch standard for non-residential uses to ensure visibility.	Public	
108	359	377	5-11(E)(2)(b) 2.	Multi-Family Residential Development - UC-AC-MS-PT - Transparency for Workforce Housing Insert a new subsection with text as follows: " <u>For workforce housing, notwithstanding Subsection 2 above, each ground floor of a street-facing façade shall contain a minimum of 20 percent of its surfaces in transparent windows and/or doors.</u> "	Reduces the ground-floor transparency requirement for workforce housing provided as multi-family dwelling units to better support affordability and provide privacy for residents.	Public	
108	360	379	5-11(E)(2)(b) 3.a	Multi-Family Residential Development - UC-AC-MS-PT - Window Sills Revise text as follows: Transparent windows and/or doors that constitute a minimum of 50 percent of 1 ground floor street-facing façade, with the lower edge of window sills no higher than 30 inches above the finished floor <u>for non-residential uses and 36 inches for residential uses.</u>	Provides consistent guidance and aligns window sill height requirements with building code standards. A 36-inch maximum for residential uses reflects common practice and supports interior layout and safety, while retaining the 30-inch standard for non-residential design visibility.	Public	

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
45	356	374	5-11(D)(2)(b)	Multi-family Residential Development Outside of UC-MS-PT Areas - Articulation Revise the second sentence as follows: "Facades shall change in massing and form as specified below to visually break up the building. Each front and street-facing side façade shall meet all of the following requirements or provide justification that the intent of this section is achieved by an alternative design approach."	Requires articulation on street-facing façades rather than side façades.	Staff	Housing
109	372	392	Table 5-12-3	Canopy Signs Revise text as follows: <i>Number, maximum</i> : 1 / 50 ft. of frontage 1 / establishment frontage <i>Height of message surface, maximum</i> : Letters and images must be located on vertical surfaces, which may not exceed 24 18 in. height.	Based on feedback received from Code Enforcement, this change was made to allow multiple canopy signs if the building has a long enough frontage, and slightly increases the permitted height of canopy signs, to accommodate common signage requests.	Staff	Signs
110	372	392	Table 5-12-3	Marquee Signs Revise text as follows: " <i>Number, maximum</i> : A marquee sign is allowed in lieu of not in addition to — an allowable wall sign. 1 per façade theater or performance venue frontage. <i>Area, maximum</i> : Same as allowable maximum area of wall	Based on feedback received from Code Enforcement, this change was made to allow both marquee signs and wall signs, (with the maximum size of permitted signage inclusive of both types of signs), to accommodate common signage requests.	Staff	Signs
46	373	393	Table 5-12-3	Rooftop Signs Revise with text as follows. <i>Number, maximum</i> : 1 / building in UC-MS-PT areas pursuant to Subsection 14-16-5-12(F)(4)(a) or Subsection 14-16-5-12(F)(4)(c) and Rooftop signs are only allowed in small areas pursuant to Subsection 14-16-5-12(F)(3) (Standards Applicable in Small Areas).	Allows rooftop signs in UC-MS-PT areas. See related proposed change for 5-12(F)(4)(c) [new].	Staff	Signs
46	376	397	5-12(F)(4)(a)	Neon Signs Change the header to "Neon Signs along Main Street areas." Revise 1. Applicability to read as follows: Add a new subsection 1.a with text as follows and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly: "The following additional regulations apply to <u>signs on lots in Main Street areas.</u> " Delete existing Subsection a-c as unnecessary.	Extends incentives for neon signs to all Main Street areas, which include Central, portions of 4th Street, portions of San Pedro, portions of Bridge Blvd., and portions of Broadway. Main Street areas are defined as 660 feet from the centerline of the roadway, so this change would overlap with the existing provision for lots within 300 feet of intersections, which is proposed to be deleted.	Staff	Signs

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
46	378	399	5-12(F)(4)(a) 3	Neon Signs [cont'd] Revise text as follows: "a. Sign area for a freestanding, or projecting, or rooftop sign may be up to 50 percent larger than the sign area allowed in the underlying zone district, up to a maximum of 250 square feet after the bonus is applied. Lettering is allowed a proportionate size bonus. b. Sign area for a building-mounted sign, except projecting signs or rooftop signs, may be up to 25 percent larger than the sign area allowed in the underlying zone district. Lettering is allowed a proportionate size bonus."	Increases the incentive for neon rooftop signs, which are defined as a type of building-mounted sign. See related proposed change for Table 5-12-3.	Public	Signs
46	379	399	5-12(F)(4)(a) 3.e [new]	Neon Signs along Central Avenue [cont'd] Add a new Subsection with text as follows: <u>"A historic sign that is being refurbished and relocated on-site for preservation purposes may be installed in any Mixed-use zone district, regardless of the dimensional standards otherwise applicable, provided that the sign retains its original design, size, and materials to the maximum extent practicable, subject to approval of a Historic Certificate of Appropriateness – Major, pursuant to IDO Subsection 14-16-6-6(D)."</u>	Allows historic signs that do not meet size limits or other development standards to be refurbished and relocated if approved by the Landmarks Commission. See related proposed change for 6-6(D).	Public	Signs
46	380	401	5-12(F)(4)(c) [new]:	Rooftop Signs Add Subsections with text as follows: <u>"1. Solid panels or cabinets are prohibited.</u> <u>2. At least 70 percent of the sign area shall consist of open space, through which the structural framework may be viewed.</u> <u>3. The remaining portion of the sign area may consist of channel letters, channel graphics, or open lighting elements.</u> <u>4. Illuminated elements may be channel letters, channel graphics, or open lighting elements, pursuant to Subsection 14-16-5-12(E)(5) (Illumination and Motion)."</u>	Allows rooftop signs in DT-UC-MS-PT areas. See related proposed changes for Table 5-12-3.	Staff	Signs

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
47	380	401	5-12(F)(5)	Alternative Signage Plan Revise text as follows: <u>"The Planning Director may approve an Alternative Signage Plan in conjunction with a Site Plan if the Planning Director determines the Alternative Signage Plan meets all of the following requirements. If approved, the Alternative Signage Plan shall be binding on the subject property until amended through the Site Plan, pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-4(X). An Alternative Signage Plan is allowed pursuant to all of the provisions in this Subsection 14-16-5-12(F)(5) and shall be reviewed and decided pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-5(C)(2)(c) (Alternative Signage Plan)."</u>	Revises alternative signage plans to be consistent with current practice for Alternative Landscape Plans. Both are done as part of a Site Plan, so there is no need for separate notice. Any appeal would appeal the Site Plan, not the Alternative Signage Plan. See related change below, in Table 6-1-1, Table 6-4-2, and 6-5(C)(2).	Staff	Alternative Signage Plan
47	380	401	5-12(F)(5)(b) [new]	Alternative Signage Plan [cont'd] Add a new Subsection (b) with text as follows and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly: <u>"The alternative signage plan shall be consistent with the purposes of Section 14-16-5-12."</u>	See above.	Staff	Alternative Signage Plan
47	380	402	5-12(F)(5)(b)	Alternative Signage Plan [cont'd] Add new Subsections with text as follows: <u>"5. The Alternative Signage Plan reflects a distribution of available sign area on the site that will promote equal or greater public safety both on-site and when viewed from any adjacent public rights-of-way, when compared to the location and distribution of signs and sign area allowed under this Section 14-16-5-12.</u> <u>6. No Alternative Signage Plan may create levels of glare or adverse impacts on surrounding properties greater than those that would occur from the location and distribution of signs and sign area allowed under this Section 14-16-5-12."</u>	See above. Moves decision criteria from Subsection 6-5(C)(2).	Staff	Alternative Signage Plan
48	397	420	Table 6-1-1	Alternative Signage Plan Delete Alternative Signage Plan from table.	Revises alternative signage plans to be consistent with current practice for alternative landscape plans. Both are done as part of a Site Plan, so there is no need for separate notice. Any appeal would appeal the Site Plan, not the Alternative Signage Plan. See related changes for 5-12(F)(5), Table 6-4-2, and 6-5(C)(2).	Staff	Alternative Signage Plan

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
49	397	421	Table 6-1-1	Pre-submittal Tribal Meeting Add an X in Site Plan - EPC with a note that requires the tribal meeting only for development that requires a Site Plan approval prior to subdivision. Renumber subsequent notes appropriately.	Fixes an oversight when tribal meeting requirement was added to the IDO. Tribal Meetings are to be offered for the first review/decision process for larger developments, which is typically subdivision. Some zone districts and thresholds require a Site Plan approval before subdivision, so this would move the requirement to Site Plan. Subsection 6-4(B) specifies the geography where the tribal meeting would be required (within 660 feet of Major Public Open Space or tribal land).	Staff	
50	399	421	Table 6-1-1	Bulk Land Subdivision Remove Tribal Meeting requirement.	Bulk land subdivisions are used primarily to transfer ownership of large parcels. Because Tribal Meetings are only required for 1 step in the development process, it is more appropriate to require the Tribal Meeting for Subdivision - Major, when a development proposal will have more detail.	Staff	
51	399	422	Table 6-1-1	Minor Subdivision / Waiver - DHO Add the City Staff meeting requirement.	Makes these applications consistent with other DHO applications.	Staff	
52	399	422	Table 6-1-1	Vacation of Public Right-of-Way - Council Delete Vacation of Public Right-of-Way - Council and Revise Vacation of Public Right-of-Way - DHO to remove "DHO".	Allows DHO to decide on all vacation requests of public right-of-way regardless of size. Appeals would be decided by Council. See related change in 6-6(M)(1).	Staff	
M-3	417	439	6-4(D)(1)	Who Can Submit CPO/HPO Applications Revise and move (d) and (e) to a new Subsection (c) under 6-4(D)(3). See Redline Exhibit for proposed text.	Moves existing language about how property owners can request a new small area with area-specific regulations (which is an Amendment of IDO Text - Small Area) to the section about who can submit text amendments. Revises who can request an HPO from all property owners to 51% of property owners, consistent with State Historic Preservation Office procedures and the existing requirement for new small area text amendments. Clarifies that 51% of property owners who agree can request a new CPO/HPO zone.	Mayor	CPO/HPO
53	422	445	6-4(I)(9)	Referrals to Commenting Agencies - Development within 660 feet of Major Public Open Space Add new a subsection: <u>"6-4(I)(9)(c) Open Space Division of the City Parks and Recreation Department."</u>	Ensures that the City's Open Space Division is informed of development within 660 feet of Major Public Open Space.	Staff	

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
54	444	468	6-4(U)(2)(a)5.b	Who May Appeal - Standing Revise text as follows: "Additionally, for standing to appeal, a Neighborhood Association must submit a petition in support of the appeal, signed by a majority of all property owners or tenants of a majority of Bernalillo County Assessors' lots located within 660 feet of the <u>subject property application site, inclusive of all rights-of-way.</u> "	Changes the threshold to be the majority of Assessors' lots (not property owner/tenants) to be measurable. The City does not have data for all tenants.	Staff	
55	444	468	Table 6-4-2	Alternative Signage Plan Delete "Alternative Signage Plan" row from Table 6-4-2.	Consolidates Alternative Signage Plan requirements to 5-12(F)(5). Since Alternative Signage Plans are approved in conjunction with Site Plans, they would expire in 7 years with the Site Plan. See related changes for 5-12(F)(5), Table 6-1-1, Table 6-4-3, and 6-5(C)(2).	Staff	Alternative Signage Plan
56	453	477	Table 6-4-3	Archaeological Certificate Revise text as follows: "Expires <u>if with</u> associated development approval <u>expires</u> "	Clarifies that Archaeological Certificates are tied to an associated Site Plan or Subdivision of Land application and remain valid for the duration of those associated approvals.	Staff	
57	453	477	Table 6-4-3	Alternative Signage Plan Delete "Alternative Signage Plan" from Permit - Sign in Table 6-4-3.	Consolidates Alternative Signage Plan requirements to 5-12(F)(5). Since Alternative Signage Plans are approved in conjunction with Site Plans, they would expire in 7 years with the Site Plan. See related changes for 5-12(F)(5), Table 6-1-1, Table 6-4-2, and 6-5(C)(2).	Staff	Alternative Signage Plan
58	456	480	6-4(X)(2)(a)5	Minor Amendments Delete subsection 5 and renumber subsequent sections accordingly.	Allows projects that increase/decrease the number of residential dwelling units to be reviewed/decided as minor amendments. Receiving a major amendment for change in housing units is a barrier to constructing housing in the City.	Staff	
58	458	482	Table 6-4-4	Minor Amendments - Dwelling Units Add row with text as follows: <u>Number of dwelling units in a project site: 10% or 5 units, whichever is higher</u>	Allows a change to the number of approved dwelling units with a threshold of 10% or up to 5 units, whichever is higher. Above that threshold, a major amendment would be required. Table 4-2-1: Allowable Uses would control which zone districts allow multiple housing units on a lot.	Staff	Housing
59	460	484	6-4(Y)(1)(a)1.	Minor Amendments Add a new Subsection a with text as follows and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly: "The proposed amendment does not change allowable uses in the original approval." See Redline Exhibit.	Requires amendments to change allowable uses to be reviewed/decided as Major Amendments. See related change for 6-4(Y)(1)(b).	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
60	460	485	6-4(Y)(1)(b)2.	Major Amendments Add a new Subsection a with text as follows and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly: "Any amendment to change allowable uses shall be reviewed and decided as a Zoning Map Amendment pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-7(G) (Zoning Map Amendment – EPC) or Subsection 14-16-6-7(H) (Zoning Map Amendment – Council), as applicable." See Redline Exhibit.	Requires amendments to change allowable uses to be reviewed/decided as Major Amendments. See related change for 6-4(Y)(1)(a)1.	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts
61	461	485	6-4(Y)(3)(b)	Master Plans / Framework Plans Revise text as follows: "Master Plans for private property, <u>including but not limited to Master Development Plans and Framework Plans adopted as Master Plans</u> , be amended as site development plans pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-4(Y)(1) (<u>Site Development Plans</u>) above."	Specifies that Framework Plans follow the amendment procedures for pre-IDO Site Development Plans. Minor amendments can be reviewed/decided by staff, but major amendments go back to the original decision-maker. See related change in 2-6(B)(3)(b) for PC. See related change in 6-4(Y)(1) for minor/major amendments.	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts
62	469	492	6-5(C)	Alternative Signage Plan Delete Subsection 6-5(C)(2)(c) and Subsection 6-5(C)(3)(b).	Consolidates Alternative Signage Plan requirements to 5-12(F)(5). See related changes for 5-12(F)(5), Table 6-1-1, and Table 6-4-2.	Staff	Alternative Signage Plan
63	483	507	6-6(B)(1)	Demolition Outside of an HPO See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendments.	Adds demolition review for all structures 50+ years old in the city. This review is predominantly administrative by Historic Preservation staff, often a 1-day turnaround. Only buildings with historic significance or significant historic character would be referred to the Landmarks Commission to request 120-day review period to negotiate with the property owner about alternatives to demolition or to document the building before demolition proceeds. Removes small areas as an editorial edit, since those areas established 50 years as the review threshold.	Staff	Demolition
46	489	513	6-6(D)	Historic Signs Revise Applicability and Review and Decision Criteria to include relocation of historic signs that are neon in Main Street areas. See Redline Exhibit for proposed changes.	Requires Landmarks Commission review for relocation of historic signs that are neon in Main Street areas if the signs cannot meet limits for neon signs or other applicable development standards. See related proposed changes for 5-12(F)(4)(a)3.e [new].	Staff	Signs

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
64	500	525	6-6(I)(1)(a)	Site Plan - EPC Revise text as follows: "A Site Plan – EPC may only be approved for legally platted or nonconforming lots, and may not be approved on unsubdivided property, except for development in the PD or NR-SU zone districts and any development on a <u>project</u> site 5 acres or greater adjacent to Major Public Open Space, in which case a Site Plan approval is required prior to a <u>Subdivision of Land - Major</u> any platting action. "	Revises for consistency with Subdivision of Land - Major and Subdivision - Bulk Land. Bulk land subdivisions are used primarily to transfer ownership of large parcels. Site Plan - EPC would be required when a development proposal will have more detail.	Staff	
65	504	529	6-6(J)(2)(b)	Bulk Land Subdivision Revise text as follows: The <u>bulk land</u> plat shall reflect the applicant's agreement that building permits shall not be issued for any area within the Bulk Land Subdivision before a Preliminary Plat and Final Plat have been <u>Site Plan is approved or a Major Subdivision has been approved</u> and the Final Plat for the <u>subject property has been recorded.</u>	Clarifies timing of when a bulk land plat can be issued in relation to the Site Plan/Subdivision of the subject property.	Staff	
66	511	539	6-6(M)(1)	Vacation of Public Right-of-Way - Council Revise text to read as follows: "This Subsection 14-16-6-6(M) applies to all applications for vacation of any public or private easement or private way shown on a recorded plat or any public right-of-way." Delete Subsections (a) through (c).	Allows DHO to decide on all vacation requests regardless of size. Appeals would be decided by Council. See related change in Table 6-1-1.	Staff	
67	520	549	6-6(P)	Waiver - DHO Revise text as follows: "This Subsection 14-16-6-6(P) applies to any application for a deviation <u>from DPM standards or the following IDO</u> standards beyond the thresholds established by Table 6-4-1: (1) Section 14-16-5-3 (Access and Connectivity). (2) Section 14-16-5-4 (Subdivision of Land). (3) Section 14-16-5-5 (Parking and Loading) , except the following:"	Follows current practice and clarifies that a Waiver - DHO may be used to request a deviation from DPM standards.	Staff	

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
68	521	551	6-6(P)(3)(a)10	Waiver - DHO Revise text as follows: 10. If the Any request is a for a Waiver to IDO sidewalk requirements <u>shall comply with all of the following requirements.</u> b. [new] <u>The City's right-of-way is insufficient in width to allow the construction of a sidewalk of standard dimension and placement, but there is sufficient right-of-way to meet minimum ADA or PROWAG guidance.</u> c. [new] <u>The adjoining sidewalks are non-standard as to</u>	Makes IDO sidewalk waiver criteria consistent with the DPM.	Staff	
69	533	565	6-7(F)(3)	Annexation Revise text as follows: "The City Council shall consider the following criteria and may approve an application to annex land into the City at its legislative discretion. "	Annexations are a quasi-judicial decision, so this revision removes reference to the Council's legislative discretion.	Staff	
70	Multiple	Multiple	6-9	Administrative Civil Enforcement Procedures Update Administrative Civil Enforcement procedures as requested by City Legal staff. See Redline Exhibit.	Clarifies procedures to match current practice and to address steps if a property owner fails to attend a hearing for an appeal of a Notice of Administrative Civil Enforcement.	Staff	
71	Multiple	Multiple	6	Waiver - DHO In Table 6-1-1, add mailed notice requirement for underground utilities as a note. In 6-4(I), add "unless specified otherwise" in Mailed Notice.	Requires mailed notice to abutting property owners for requested Waivers involving underground utilities.	Staff	
M-3	Multiple	Multiple	6	Amendment to IDO Text - Small Area In Table 6-1-1, change decision maker from Council to EPC and add Council as deciding appeals. In 6-7(E), change Subsection (2) and the decision diagram accordingly. See Redline Exhibit.	This change would delegate legislative power to the EPC to create zoning regulations for existing and new small areas.	Mayor	
M-3	Multiple	Multiple	6	Adoption or Amendment of Historic Designation In Table 6-1-1, change decision-maker from Council to LC and add Council as deciding appeals. In 6-7(C), change Subsection (2) and the decision diagram accordingly.	This change would delegate legislative power to the LC to create new historic designations or amend existing designations.	Mayor	
72	561	593	7-1	Abut Revise as follows: To touch or share a property line <u>for more than one point. For example, property lines that touch only on a corner are not considered abutting.</u>	Revises the definition to be consistent with "adjacent," so that a single touch (such as properties that are diagonal properties and only touch at their corners) does not make properties abutting.	Staff	Adjacent

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
73	570	602	7-1	Catering Service Revise text as follows: <u>"1. As a primary use, an establishment whose primary business is to prepare food on-site, then to transport and serve the food off-site. No retail sale of food or beverages for consumption on the premises is allowed.</u> <u>2. As a home occupation, catering services are limited to those that meet the definition and criteria of the state Homemade Food Act. "</u>	Adds language connecting catering services done as a home occupation to the state requirements in the Homemade Food Act, which allows them to operate without an NMED food permit: "Food produced must be non-time/temperature control for safety (Non-TCS). Food that meets this definition only requires simple production steps and does not require refrigeration when complete."	Staff	
74	573	605	7-1	Community Garden See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Revised to include composting as an incidental activity. See related changes for Composting Facility, Small/Medium/Large in Table 4-2-1; use-specific standards in 4-3; garden definition in 7-1; and composting definitions in 7-1.	Staff	Compost
75	573	605	7-1	Composting [new] Add "Composting" as a new category of definitions and add definitions for Composting Facility, Small/Medium/Large See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Regulates community composting and commercial composting. Defined to exclude backyard composting by 1 household. Defined by size as a primary use. Requested by the Office of Sustainability. See related changes for Composting Facility, Small/Medium/Large in Table 4-2-1 and use-specific standards in 4-3.	Staff	Compost
76	576	608	7-1	Development Definitions Industrial Development Revise the definition to read: "Properties with <u>any allowable primary use</u> uses in the Industrial use category in Table 4-2-1." Low-density Residential Development Revise the first sentence of the definition to read: "Properties with residential development of any allowable land use in the Household Living category in Table 4-2-1 other than multi-family dwellings." Residential Development "Development of any allowable <u>primary land</u> land use from the Residential category in Table 4-2-1..."	Clarifies that development definitions are based on primary uses, not accessory uses. Revises text for consistency across definitions and IDO terms.	Staff	

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
10	577	610	7-1	Dormitory Revise text as follows: "A residence hall providing rooms for individuals or groups, with common spaces for living and cooking. Individual bedrooms may have a dedicated bathroom or shared bathrooms. Dormitories are often established with a university or college, vocational school, or sorority or fraternity. <u>Dormitories are sometimes referred to as "co-living" buildings.</u> See also <i>Club or Event Facility, University or College, and Vocational School</i> ."	Adds reference to a common industry term to connect to the IDO term for the use.	Staff	Co-living
77	583	616	7-1	Flood Definitions Floodplain Revise as follows: "Any land area that is subject to a one percent or greater change of flooding in any given year (i.e., a base flood), as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and shown on National Flood Insurance Program maps, from any source. The floodplain includes both the Floodway, and flood fringe, <u>and Special Flood Hazard Area</u> . See also <i>Sensitive Lands Definitions</i> ."	Revised to distinguish the terms Floodplain and Special Flood Hazard Area.	Staff	
78	583	616	7-1	Flood Definitions Special Flood Hazard Area Revise as follows: "The land area covered by <u>high-risk</u> floodwaters of the base flood, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and shown on National Flood Insurance Program maps. See also <i>Sensitive Lands Definitions</i> ."	Revised to distinguish the terms Floodplain and Special Flood Hazard Area.	Staff	
79	584	617	7-1	Garden Revise to include composting as an incidental activity. See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Allows composting as an incidental activity to a garden. See related changes for Composting Facility, Small/Medium/Large in Table 4-2-1; use-specific standards in 4-3; community garden definition in 7-1; and composting definitions in 7-1.	Staff	Compost

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
80	585	618	7-1	Golf Course Revise text as follows: "A tract of land laid out with a course for playing the game of golf, including any accessory clubhouse, driving range, office, restaurant, concession stand, picnic tables, pro shop, maintenance building, shelters, restroom facility, or similar accessory use or structure. The facility may also include public trails private trails, and golf cart paths. <u>A golf course is regulated as Other Outdoor Entertainment for the purposes of this IDO. See also <i>Outdoor Entertainment</i> .</u> "	Specifies that golf courses are regulated as other outdoor entertainment.	Staff	
81	585	618	7-1	Grocery Store Revise text as follows: "An establishment that offers <u>sells</u> a wide variety of goods organized in departments, including but not limited to fresh produce, meat and dairy, canned and packaged food items, small household goods, and similar items <u>to the general public</u> , with more than 50 percent of the gross floor area devoted to the sale of food products for home preparation and consumption."	Removes the purchase requirement from grocery stores to include food bank donation stores as part of this use to ensure that food banks are not inadvertently prohibited.	Staff	
82	590	625	7-1	Light Spillover / Light Trespass Delete "Light Spillover" term and definition and replace the term where it appears in the IDO with "Light Trespass." Revise "Light Trespass" definition as follows: "Light traveling past property lines and illuminating properties without approval (<u>i.e., "light spillover"</u>)." 	Consistency edit to use the term "light trespass" as the defined IDO term instead of "light spillover."	Staff	Lighting
83	591	627	7-1 [new]	Lot Definitions Interior Lot Add new term with text as follows: <u>"A lot that does not abut a street that is public right-of-way. See also <i>Setback</i> ."</u>	Not all streets are public right-of-way. Streets that are not dedicated to the City as public right-of-way are considered private ways. The result of this language would be to require interior lots with frontage on a private way to follow side setbacks. See related change for Setback.	Staff	

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
84	594	630	7-1	Manufacturing Definitions Light Manufacturing Revise the first sentence as follows: "The assembly, fabrication, or processing of goods and materials; including but not limited to, machine shop, filming, and growing food or plants in fully enclosed portions of a building; using processes that ordinarily do not create noise, smoke, fumes, odors, glare, or health or safety hazards outside of the building or lot where such assembly, fabrication, or processing takes place, where such processes are housed the use takes place primarily within the fully enclosed portions of a building.	Clarifies that indoor filming is considered light manufacturing in the IDO Allowable Use Table.	Staff	
85	595	630	7-1	Master Development Plan Revise text as follows: "A plan created by an applicant and approved by the City to achieve a coordinated private development, such as a business or industrial park, on larger sites that often comprise more than one lot and building. A Master Development Plan may include standards that implement a cohesive design on the site."	Clarifies that master development plans can be for more than one lot and one owner.	Staff	
86	595	630	7-1	Master Plan Make existing definition #1 and #2 and add a new #3 with text as follows: "A term used prior to the effective date of the IDO for Framework Plans associated with the Planned Community zone district. Planned Communities required a Level A and a Level B Plan. The former Comprehensive Zoning Code considered Level A Plans a Rank 2 Area Plan, which was a policy document that established the development vision and goals for the entire community as well as planned areas with different land use categories. Level B Plans were considered Rank 3 Sector Development Plans that established allowable uses and development standards in each land use category." See Redline Exhibit.	Differentiates between pre-IDO master plan types and clarifies their amendment process.	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
87	599	635	7-1	Measurement Definitions Story [new] Insert new definition with text as follows: <u>"The portion of a building included between the upper surface of any floor and the upper surface of the floor or roof next above, provided that the entire level is located fully above finished grade at the building façade. Any portion of a building that is partially or fully below finished grade shall not be considered a story. See also Measurement Definitions for Building Height."</u>	Addresses ambiguity whether a partially underground portion of a building counts as a story. This new definition would only affect development in Nob Hill CPO-8, which regulates building height by both story and feet. This story definition would exempt any portion of the building below-ground from the story limit, but the building height in feet would still limit the maximum height. Building height is generally measured from finished grade (i.e. above-ground portions of the building).	Staff	Grade
88	616	651	7-1	Setback Revise the text as follows: 2. On an interior lot not abutting a street , side setbacks shall be followed for all lot lines.	See related change for a new defined term for Interior Lot. Removes unnecessary language.	Staff	Streets
3	631	667	7-1	Wall and Fence Definitions Green Wall [new] Add a definition with text as follows: <u>"A wall with at least 75 percent of the vertical surface intentionally planted with vegetation using a built-in or mounted planting system, which may include integrated irrigation or structural support components. Plant material may include vines, small shrubs, foliage plants, xeric species, or other vegetation, as long as they otherwise meet standards in this IDO."</u>	Adds a new definition for green walls requiring at least 75% of the wall surface to be planted with living vegetation. The standard ensures that green walls provide meaningful visual and environmental benefits rather than token plant coverage. See related proposed change in Subsection 2-4(E)(3)(e).	Staff	
89	632	668	7-1	Warehousing Revise text as follows: "The use of a building primarily for the holding or storage of goods, including cold storage, and merchandise for onward transportation, or for distribution to retailers, or delivery to the final customer, but not for sale to the general public, and not including self-storage. Loading and unloading from rail spurs is incidental to this use.	Removes the purchase reference from warehouses to ensure that large scale food banks are not inadvertently prohibited. Specifies that warehousing includes storage of items for delivery to the final customer.	Staff	
90	632	668	7-1	Wholesaling and Distribution Revise text as follows: "A facility for the storage of products, supplies, and equipment offered for wholesale distribution, and not for direct sale to the general public."	Removes the purchase reference from warehouses to ensure that large scale food banks are not inadvertently prohibited.	Staff	

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
91	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	NR-SU / Use Table and Use-specific Standards Revise to require a change of use to be reviewed and decided as a Zoning Map Amendment. Revise to allow any use listed in Table 4-2-1. Revise to specify that Conditional Use approvals are not required. Move relevant use-specific standards from 4-3 and parking requirements from 5-5. See Redline Exhibit for proposed changes. Remove NR-SU column, Sensitive Uses that require NR-SU zoning, and related use-specific standards in 4-3.	Requires changes in allowable uses to be reviewed/decided as zone changes, as opposed to Site Plan - EPC. See related row for proposed changes to 4-1(A)(4)(b). Projects that require use changes and modifications to IDO development standards would require a 2-part request for a Zoning Map Amendment and a Site Plan - EPC. Clarifies that any use can be allowed if found to be compatible with or complementary to the NR-SU use. Establishes that Conditional Use approvals are not required for NR-SU zone districts because all uses are approved through the required Site Plan that is approved by the EPC, which can establish conditions of approval to mitigate potential impacts. See related changes in 4-1(A)(4)(b) for NR-SU and 2-6(A)(4)(b) for PD. Leaves allowable uses in NR-SU subject to 2-5(E)(3). See related changes in 2-5(E)(3)(b)2 and 4-1(A)(4)(b) for NR-SU and 4-1(B) for Unlisted Uses.	Staff	Negotiable Zone Districts
92	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	R-1 Dimensional Standards Remove R-1 subzones, replace with R-1 throughout the IDO, and keep R-1A standards. Table 2-3-3 3-4(D)(3)(b) Downtown Neighborhood Area CPO-3 4-3(B)(4)(c)1.a Cottage Development Table 5-1-1 5-8(G)(1) Lumen Allowance See Redline Exhibit.	Removes larger minimum lot sizes and setbacks that raise the cost of housing and exclude lower-income households. Contextual standards would still limit changes to lot sizes and setbacks to require new development and redevelopment to match the character of the built environment. See related change in 5-1(C)(2).	Staff	Housing
93	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Infrastructure Improvement Agreement (IIA) -Move IIA definition out of Subdivision Definitions and into alphabetical order. Include cross-reference to IIA in Subdivision definitions. -Add cross-reference to 6-4(P) in Site Plan procedures (Admin and EPC). -Revise 6-4(P)(2) to refer to Site Plan and Subdivision. See Redline Exhibit for proposed amendment.	Revised to indicate that Infrastructure Improvement Agreements can be made during Site Plans or Subdivisions.	Staff	

IDO Update 2025 - EPC Review - Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes - Citywide

Item #	IDO Effective Page	IDO Redline Exhibit Page	IDO Section	Change / Discussion	Explanation	Source	Area / Topic
94	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Subdivision - Major Preliminary Plat / Final Plat In 6-6(L): Move Sketch Plat subsection to 6-4(C) Pre-application Review by Staff Remove "preliminary plat" to make DHO decision the plat. Revise language about final plat to be administrative sign-off to check for compliance with standards and conditions of approval. See associated changes in Exhibit for 5-2(D), 5-4(N), Table 6-4-2, Table 6-4-3, 6-4(C)(2)[new], 6-4(P)(2), 6-4(P)(3), 6-4(T), 6-4(W)(4), 6-5(A), 6-6(J), 6-9(B)(7), 7-1	Clarifies that public notice, public hearing, and appeal happen for the DHO decision of the plat. Final plat is checked for compliance and signed off by staff from commenting agencies. Makes Subdivision - Major consistent with procedures for Site Plan - EPC, which also involves sign-off step after EPC approves.	Staff	
ZC-3	Zoning Map	Zoning Map	Zoning Map	Legislative Zoning Conversion in MT Areas Convert R-1 --> R-T in Major Transit Areas Convert R-T--> MX-T in Major Transit Areas Convert R-ML --> MX-L in Major Transit Areas See Exhibit for a map of affected properties.	This conversion would allow more housing options and services within Major Transit corridors (i.e., within 660 feet of the centerline of the right-of-way) and in Activity Centers, where additional growth and development is appropriate. Additional density would support businesses and transit service, and additional housing in Major Transit corridors would benefit more households with good services and transit service.	Staff	Zoning Conversion
ZC-4	Zoning Map	Zoning Map	Zoning Map	Legislative Zoning Conversion in AC Areas Convert R-1 --> R-T in Activity Centers Convert R-T--> MX-T in Activity Centers Convert R-ML --> MX-L in Activity Centers See Exhibit for a map of affected properties.	This conversion would allow more housing options and services within Activity Centers, where additional growth and development is appropriate. Additional density would support businesses and transit service, and additional housing in Activity Centers would benefit more households with good services and transit service.	Staff	Zoning Conversion
ZC-5	Zoning Map	Zoning Map	Zoning Map	Legislative Zoning Conversion for Fire and Police Stations Legislative conversion for fire and police stations from NR-SU to MX-M or NR-C depending on surrounding zoning. See Exhibit for conversion rules and a map of affected properties.	Matches the zoning map to IDO changes made in 2023 moving fire and police stations from the NR-SU zone district. Fire and police stations with existing site plans approved by the EPC would need to return to EPC for major amendments or request a new Site Plan - Administrative that complies with IDO standards.	Staff	Zoning Conversion

B) PUBLIC NOTICE

**OFFICIAL PUBLIC NOTIFICATION FORM
FOR MAILED OR ELECTRONIC MAIL NOTICE
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE PLANNING DEPARTMENT**



PART I - PROCESS

Use [Table 6-1-1](#) in the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) to answer the following:

Application Type:

Decision-making Body:

Pre-Application meeting required: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Neighborhood meeting required: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Mailed Notice required: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Electronic Mail required: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Is this a Site Plan Application: ☐ Yes ☐ No **Note: if yes, see second page**

PART II – DETAILS OF REQUEST

Address of property listed in application:

Name of property owner:

Name of applicant:

Date, time, and place of public meeting or hearing, if applicable:

Address, phone number, or website for additional information:

PART III - ATTACHMENTS REQUIRED WITH THIS NOTICE

☐ Zone Atlas page indicating subject property.

☐ Drawings, elevations, or other illustrations of this request.

☐ Summary of pre-submittal neighborhood meeting, if applicable.

☐ Summary of request, including explanations of deviations, variances, or waivers.

IMPORTANT:

**PUBLIC NOTICE MUST BE MADE IN A TIMELY MANNER PURSUANT TO [IDO §14-16-6-4\(K\)](#).
PROOF OF NOTICE WITH ALL REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS MUST BE PRESENTED UPON
APPLICATION.**

I certify that the information I have included here and sent in the required notice was complete, true, and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

_____ (Applicant signature) _____ (Date)

Note: Providing incomplete information may require re-sending public notice. Providing false or misleading information is a violation of the IDO pursuant to IDO §14-16-6-9(B)(3) and may lead to a denial of your application.

**OFFICIAL PUBLIC NOTIFICATION FORM
FOR MAILED OR ELECTRONIC MAIL NOTICE
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE PLANNING DEPARTMENT**



PART IV – ATTACHMENTS REQUIRED FOR SITE PLAN & LC APPLICATIONS ONLY

Provide a site plan that shows, at a minimum, the following:

- ☐ a. Location of proposed buildings and landscape areas.
- ☐ b. Access and circulation for vehicles and pedestrians.
- ☐ c. Maximum height of any proposed structures, with building elevations.
- ☐ d. For residential development: Maximum number of proposed dwelling units.
- ☐ e. For non-residential development:
 - ☐ Total gross floor area of proposed project.
 - ☐ Gross floor area for each proposed use.

Language Access Notice:

We provide free interpretation services to help you communicate with us. If you need help, you can request interpretation at any service counter in our Department, located in the Plaza Del Sol building, 600 2nd Street NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

Notificación de Acceso Lingüístico.

Ofrecemos servicios gratuitos de interpretación para ayudarlo a comunicarse con nosotros. Si necesita ayuda, puede solicitar servicios de interpretación en cualquier mostrador de servicio de nuestro Departamento, ubicado en el edificio Plaza Del Sol, 600 2nd Street NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

語言輔助通知。我們提供免費口譯服務，以幫助你與我們溝通。如果你需要幫助，你可以在我們部門的任何服務台請求口譯，服務台位於 Plaza Del Sol大樓，600 2nd Street NW，阿爾伯克基，NM 87102。

Thông báo về cách Tiếp cận Ngôn ngữ. Chúng tôi cung cấp các dịch vụ thông dịch miễn phí để giúp quý vị giao tiếp với chúng tôi. Nếu quý vị cần giúp đỡ, quý vị có thể yêu cầu thông dịch tại bất cứ quầy dịch vụ nào trong Sở của chúng tôi, tọa lạc tại tòa nhà Plaza Del Sol, 600 2nd Street NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

Saad Hadahwiis'a O'oolkaah bee dah na'astsooz. Nihi bik'inaasdzil t'aadoo baahilinigoo 'ata' hashne' tse'esgizii ach'i' dzaadi! Dzaadi! Danihi dahootahgoo bee nihi-. Daa' danihi bidin nishli dzaadi! Dzaadi! Danihi bineesh'a yinishkeed 'ata' hashne' -di t'aa biholniihgoo tse'esgizii ket'aaz -di nihihigii dah diikaah, -k'eh -di tsin Plaza Del Sol Kiniit'aagoo, 600 2nd Kiniit'aa NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

From: [Flores, Suzanna A.](#)
To: [Clark, Kate](#)
Subject: FW: Public Notice Inquiry Sheet Submission
Date: Monday, August 11, 2025 10:45:30 AM
Attachments: [Master List Export - 2025-08-11.xlsx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Good morning,

Please see the attached list of City wide neighborhood associations.

Thank you,

Suzie



Suzie Flores

Senior Administrative Assistant

Office of Neighborhood Coordination (ONC) | City Council Department | City of Albuquerque
(505) 768-3334 Office

E-mail: suzannaflores@cabq.gov

Website: www.cabq.gov/neighborhoods

From: webmaster@cabq.gov <webmaster@cabq.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2025 9:08 AM
To: Clark, Kate <kclark@cabq.gov>
Cc: Office of Neighborhood Coordination <onc@cabq.gov>
Subject: Public Notice Inquiry Sheet Submission

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Public Notice Inquiry For:

Environmental Planning Commission

If you selected "Other" in the question above, please describe what you are seeking a Public Notice Inquiry for below:

Applicant Information

Contact Name

Kate Clark

Telephone Number

505-924-3940

Email Address

kclark@cabq.gov

Company Name

City of Albuquerque - Planning

Company Address

600 2nd St NW

City

Albuquerque

State

NM

ZIP

87102

Subject Site Information

Legal description of the subject site for this project:

Physical address of subject site:

N/A - This is for a citywide IDO update

Subject site cross streets:

N/A - This is for a citywide IDO update

Other subject site identifiers:

This site is located on the following zone atlas page:

N/A - This is for a citywide IDO update

Link for map

Please attach a Zone Atlas Map that clearly indicates where the subject site is located.

No file

Captcha

Association Name	Association Email	First Name	Last Name	Email	Alternative Email	Address Line 1	Address Line 2	City	State	Zip	Mobile Phone	Phone
ABQ Park NA		Shirley	Lockyer	shirleylockyer@gmail.com		7501 Sky Court Circle NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5057107314	
ABQ Park NA		Matt	Mirarchi	mattmirarchi@gmail.com		7620 Leah NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	9193570548	
ABQCore Neighborhood Association	abqcorena@gmail.com	Ken	Sears	ken@cbm-wellness.com		400 Gold Ave SW, Ste 130		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5056606670
ABQCore Neighborhood Association	abqcorena@gmail.com	Pam	Candelaria	pcandelaria@gmail.com		PO Box 1674		Albuquerque	NM	87103		2678089164
Academy Estates East NA		Larry	Pope	lepope@msn.com		9000 Galaxia Way NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5058213077
Academy Estates East NA		James	Sanstevan	dukecity777@yahoo.com		5609 Cometa Court NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5054508385
Academy Hills Park NA	academyhillsparkna@gmail.com	Walter	Olson	Chipolson44@gmail.com		6019 Los Hermanos Ct NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5052282165	
Academy Hills Park NA	academyhillsparkna@gmail.com	Nadine	Waslosky	nwaslosky@comcast.net		9816 Compadre Lane NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5053621808
Academy North NA	annapresident505@gmail.com	Therry	Kuchar	lestah24@mac.com		8409 Racheleigh St NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109		3039050327
Academy North NA	annapresident505@gmail.com	Vanessa	Stranski	vstrans1@yahoo.com		7109 Hollis ST NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109		5056970472
Academy Ridge East NA	arena87111@gmail.com	Tom	Arnold	arnoldtom@yahoo.com		10901 Academy Ridge Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5055730535	
Academy Ridge East NA	arena87111@gmail.com	Mary Ann	Dix	dix.mary.ann@gmail.com		11312 Malguena Lane NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5057159197	5052710548
Alamosa NA		Jeanette	Baca	jeanettebaca973@gmail.com		901 Field SW		Albuquerque	NM	87121	5053792976	5058362976
Alamosa NA		Jerry	Gallegos	jgallegosccd@gmail.com		5921 Central Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5053855809	5058362976
Altura Addition NA	alturaadadditionna@gmail.com	Griffin	Hardy	ghardy090@gmail.com		1615 Aliso Dr NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5053771632
Altura Addition NA	alturaadadditionna@gmail.com	Donna	Thomas	ttodad30@gmail.com		1526 Hermosa Dr NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		9045350065
Altura Park NA	alturapark@gmail.com	Neal	Spero	sumrnun@yahoo.com		4205 Hannett NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	7346585577	
Altura Park NA	alturapark@gmail.com	Charles	Bachechi	cabachechi@gmail.com		1308 Avenida Manana NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5059182280
Alvarado Gardens NA		Diana	Hunt	president@alvaradoneighborhood.com		2820 Candelaria Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5053635913	
Alvarado Gardens NA		Michael	Dexter	medexter49@gmail.com		3015 Calle San Ysidro NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5052897648	
Alvarado Park NA	apna87110@gmail.com	Darcy	Bushnell	dmc793@gmail.com		2017 Alvarado Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5053795335	
Alvarado Park NA	apna87110@gmail.com	Elissa	Dente	elissa.dente@gmail.com		PO Box 35704		Albuquerque	NM	87176	5055733387	
Antelope Run NA	antelope.run.abq@gmail.com	Alex	Robinson	alexlrnm@comcast.net		12033 Ibox Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5056109561	5052940473
Antelope Run NA	antelope.run.abq@gmail.com	Kenneth	Fabiszak	kfabiszak@sbcglobal.net		12021 Ibox Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		7732515893
Arroyo Del Oso North NA	adonneighborhood@gmail.com	Willie	Orr	willieorr1@msn.com		7930 Academy Trail NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109	3039105707	
Arroyo Del Oso North NA	adonneighborhood@gmail.com	Samantha	Darling	sdarling8713@gmail.com		7819 Academy Trail		Albuquerque	NM	87109		5054536508
Barelas NA		JoAnn	Garcia	jtgba@gmail.com		305 Santa Fe Ave SW		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5052037613
Barelas NA		Crystal	Garcia	cryst0910@gmail.com		305 Santa Fe Ave SW		Albuquerque	NM	87102		0
Bear Canyon NA		Brian	Stone	bstone@yahoo.com		5800 La Madera NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109	5052715356	
Bear Canyon NA		Patsy	Beck	patsybeck@aol.com		7518 Bear Canyon Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109		5052397897
BelAir NA	board@bananm.org	Barb	Johnson	flops2@juno.com		2700 Hermosa Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5053796187	
BelAir NA	board@bananm.org	Seth	Arseneau	ions82@hotmail.com		2838 Manzano Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5059078314	
Campus NA	campus.neighborhood.assoc@gmail.com	Calvin	Martin	calmartin93@gmail.com		411 Girard Boulevard NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5054127669
Campus NA	campus.neighborhood.assoc@gmail.com	Kenny	Stansbury	kenny.stansbury@gmail.com		615 Vassar NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5054634276
Cherry Hills Civic Association		Roger	Vaughn	rvaguhn.rv@gmail.com		6912 Red Sky Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5056882313	
Cherry Hills Civic Association		Kent	Riley	k2riley@msn.com		6815 Red Sky Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5053014994	
Cibola Loop NA	cibolaloopna@gmail.com	Julie	Rael	learrael@aol.com		10700 Del Sol Park Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114	5052358189	
Cibola Loop NA	cibolaloopna@gmail.com	Ginny	Forrest	gforrest47@comcast.net		4113 Logan Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114	5054170373	
Cibola NA		Joseph	Freedman	josefree@yahoo.com		13316 Tierra Montanosa Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112	7033077929	
Cibola NA		Vicki	Farrar	vicki.farrar@comcast.net		2941 Vista Del Rey		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5052641368
Cielito Lindo NA	clna87111@gmail.com	Karl	Hattler	khattler@aol.com		3705 Camino Capistrano NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5052989829
Cielito Lindo NA	clna87111@gmail.com	Patricia	Duda	pat.duda.52@gmail.com		3720 Camino Capistrano NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5054040375	5052922015
Citizens Information Committee of Martineztown	cicofmartinetown@proton.me	Renee	Martinez	martinez.renee@gmail.com		515 Edith Boulevard NE		Albuquerque	NM	87102	5054108122	5052474605
Citizens Information Committee of Martineztown	cicofmartinetown@proton.me	Jess	Martinez	jessmartinez@comcast.net		501 Edith BLVD NE		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5052280102
Clayton Heights Lomas del Cielo NA		Isabel	Cabrera	boyster2018@gmail.com		1720 Buena Vista SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5056592414	5052424494
Clayton Heights Lomas del Cielo NA		Eloisa	Molina-Dodge	e.molindodge@yahoo.com		1704 Buena Vista SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5052434322
Comanche Foothills NA	alldirectors@comanchefoothills.org	Sarah	Thomas	vicepresident@comanchefoothills.org		3308 Ocotillo Court NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5054409083
Comanche Foothills NA	alldirectors@comanchefoothills.org	Olga	Melancon	olgaleise@gmail.com		3305 Ocotillo Court NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	2394047153	
Crestview Bluff Neighbors Association	crestviewneighbors@outlook.com	Twyla	McComb	mctwyla@msn.com		414 Crestview Drive SW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5053850265	5053466800
Crestview Bluff Neighbors Association	crestviewneighbors@outlook.com	Alfred	Otero	alotero57@gmail.com		414 Crestview Drive SW		Albuquerque	NM	87105		5057105749
Del Norte NA		Mary	White	white1ink@aol.com		4913 Overland Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109	5056201353	
Del Norte NA		Mary	Bernard	fourofseven@comcast.net		6224 Baker Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109	5053498113	5058865925
Del Webb Mirehaven NA	dwmnanm@dwmnanm.org	Margaret	Nieto Shogry	mnietoshogry@gmail.com		2208 Cebolla Creek Way NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5052385033
Del Webb Mirehaven NA	dwmnanm@dwmnanm.org	Alison	Dabney	adabneymmx@gmail.com		2232 Cebolla Creek Way NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5163456063
Delamar NA		Gina	Brena	dmmar@gmail.com		5122 Ensenada Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5055540723
Delamar NA		Susan	Carroll	susanpatcarroll@gmail.com		5013 San Luis Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5055141862
District 4 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations		Ellen	Dueweke	edueweke@juno.com		PO BOX 90986		Albuquerque	NM	87199		5055731537
District 4 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations		Lucy	Murillo	lxbaca@gmail.com		8616 Ashton Place NE		Albuquerque	NM	87122	5053853618	
District 6 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations		Patricia	Willson	info@willsonstudio.com		505 Dartmouth Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5059808007	
District 6 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations		M. Ryan	Kious	m.ryankious@gmail.com		1108 Georgia SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5059804265
District 7 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations		Michael	Kious	miekious@aol.com		7901 Palo Duro Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5059778967	
District 7 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations		Janice	Arnold-Jones	jeanoldjones70@gmail.com		7713 Sierra Azul Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5053790902
District 8 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations	district8coalition@gmail.com	Bob	Fass	nbullbob1@gmail.com		5226 Edwards Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5052394774	5052935457
District 8 Coalition of Neighborhood Associations	district8coalition@gmail.com	Idalia	Lechuga-Tena	idalialt@gmail.com		4405 Prairie Loft Way NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5055503868	
District 9 East Gateway Coalition		Michael	Brasher	eastgatewaycoalition@gmail.com		216 Zena Lona NE		Albuquerque	NM	87123	5053822964	5052988312
District 9 East Gateway Coalition		Julie	Dreike	dreikeja@comcast.net		13917 Indian School Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112	5053218595	5052996670
Downtown Neighborhoods Association		Eric	Carson	ericcarson@protonmail.com		820 Kent Ave NW		Albuquerque	NM	87102		2069488238
Downtown Neighborhoods Association		Sylvia	Holguin	svlvia4quality@gmail.com		1503 Marble Ave NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5168496883
Eastrange Piedra Vista NA	erpvaabq@gmail.com	Debra	Cranwell	robertdebra4055@gmail.com		14349 Marquette Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5052398245
Eastrange Piedra Vista NA	erpvaabq@gmail.com	Joan	Smith	jrshp11@hotmail.com		1119 Daskalos DR NE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		2679924575
Eastridge NA		Verrity	Gershin	verrityg@yahoo.com		12017 Donna Court NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5052280640
Eastridge NA		Cheryl	Armstrong	cherylabq7@gmail.com		12016 Carl Ct NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5052592484
Edo NA Incorporated	hello@edoabq.com	David	Tanner	david@edoabq.com		4801 Montano RD NW A-2		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5052059229
Edo NA Incorporated	hello@edoabq.com	Ian	Robertson	irobertson@titan-development.com		6300 Riverside Plaza Drive NW	200	Albuquerque	NM	87120		5059980163
Elder Homestead NA	elderhomesteadna@gmail.com	Sandra	Perea	sp-wonderwoman@comcast.net		800 California Street SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108	5052280918	
Elder Homestead NA	elderhomesteadna@gmail.com	M. Ryan	Kious	m.ryankious@gmail.com		1108 Georgia SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5059804265
Embudo Canyon NA	ecnainabq@gmail.com	Julie	Dreike	dreikeja@comcast.net		13917 Indian School Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112	5053218595	5052996670
Embudo Canyon NA	ecnainabq@gmail.com	Dan	Troy	dgallagherroy@comcast.net		13224 Bellamah Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5054145582
Enchanted Park NA	epnabq@gmail.com	Angel	Vasquez	legnavasquez@gmail.com		2445 Kirby ST NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		2089828257
Enchanted Park NA	epnabq@gmail.com	Joseph	Funes-Clark	jfunesclark94@gmail.com		11721 Foxpoint Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5059343099

Fair West NA	abqfairwest@gmail.com	Barbara	Hroza	barbara.hroza@gmail.com		405 Laguya Dr NE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5034678191
Fair West NA	abqfairwest@gmail.com	Nick	Hamill	nick@fairwestwork.com		800 Madeira DR NE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		7025094740
Four Hills Village Association	PresidentFHVA@gmail.com	Austin	Cummings	AustinC@commercialdoormfg.com		No Address		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5055060240
Four Hills Village Association	PresidentFHVA@gmail.com	Tim	Gallejos	csutingallegos15@yahoo.com		708 Lamp Post Cir SE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5057978655
Gavilan Addition NA		Alice	Ernst	slernst@aol.com		5921 Pauline Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5055530924	5034445333
Gavilan Addition NA		Michael	Rosenfield	michaelrosenfield1946@gmail.com		5933 Pauline ST NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		
Glenwood Hills NA		Forest	Owens	woody761@yahoo.com		12812 Cedarbrook NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5054537728
Glenwood Hills NA		James	Levy	james.levy@gmail.com		12804 Manatoba NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5058034040
Greater Gardner & Monkbridge NA	ggnaabq@gmail.com	David	Wood	wood_cpa@msn.com		158 Pleasant Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5052212626	
Greater Gardner & Monkbridge NA	ggnaabq@gmail.com	Irene	Walkiw	jwalkiw@hotmail.com		4608 3rd Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		
Heritage East Association of Residents	hear.president@gmail.com	Kathleen	Economy	katecono@gmail.com		No Address		Albuquerque	NM	0		5052397493
Heritage East Association of Residents	hear.president@gmail.com	Marilyn	Padgett	marilynbear007@gmail.com		9124 Freedom Way		Albuquerque	NM	87109		5054637867
Highland Business and NA Incorporated	abqhighland@gmail.com	Omar	Durant	omardurant@yahoo.com		305 Quincy Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5052654949
Highland Business and NA Incorporated	abqhighland@gmail.com	Hallie	Rosbach	hallierosbach@gmail.com		413 Adams ST NE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5055735415
Highlands North NA		Mark	Reynolds	reynolds@unm.edu		6801 Barber Pl NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109		5053211968
Highlands North NA		Elena	Hernandez	emh@adexec.com		6701 Arroyo del Oso Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109		5056882046
Historic Old Town Association		J.J.	Mancini	president@albuquerqueoldtown.com		400 Romero ST NW, Unit 1		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5053797472
Historic Old Town Association		Historic Old Town	Zoning Group	zoning@albuquerqueoldtown.com		400 Romero ST NW STE 2		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5055730360
Hodgin NA		Pat	Mallory	malloryabq@msn.com		3916 Douglas MacArthur Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5052211567	
Hodgin NA		Daniel	Jencka	DWjencka@gmail.com		4504 San Andres Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5053068155
Hoffmantown NA	hoffmantown.assoc@hotmail.com	Stephanie	O'Guin	smurfmom@comcast.net		2711 Mesa Linda Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5058040357
Hoffmantown NA	hoffmantown.assoc@hotmail.com	Bruce	Blowers	bruceblowers@gmail.com		2531 General Bradley St NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5052274821
Huning Castle NA	hcnaalert@gmail.com	Brenda	Marks	brenda.marks648@gmail.com		1726 Chacoma Pl. SW		Albuquerque	NM	87104	4692356598	
Huning Castle NA	hcnaalert@gmail.com	Rudy	Garcia	rudyt@tandemconsultingteam.com		1607 San Patricio SW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5053859428
Huning Highland Historic District Association		Ann	Carson	annlouisacarson@gmail.com		416 Walter SE		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5052421143
Huning Highland Historic District Association		Ben	Sturge	bsturge@gmail.com		802 Silver SE		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5053895114
Indian Moon NA		Ronald	Zawistowski	ronzawis@abq.com		8910 Princess Jeanne NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112	5054530905	
Indian Moon NA		Joe	Alfonso	jv1089@gmail.com		9905 Bellamah Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112	9016041298	
Inez NA	inezneighassn@yahoo.com	Donna	Yetter	donna.yetter3@gmail.com		2111 Hoffman Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5055504715	
Inez NA	inezneighassn@yahoo.com	Maya	Sutton	yemaya@swcp.com		7718 Cutler Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5054634263	5052478070
Jerry Cline Park NA		Eric	Shirley	ericshirley@comcast.net		900 Grove Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5052682595	
Jerry Cline Park NA		Danielle	Boardman	bluestealth93@gmail.com		1001 Grove Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5059805216	
John B Robert NA	jbrobertna@gmail.com	Lars	Wells	larswells@yahoo.com		11208 Overlook Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5052930468
John B Robert NA	jbrobertna@gmail.com	Patricia	Wolfe	trishwolfe@hotmail.com		11409 Paseo Del Oso NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5053508412
Juan Tabo Hills NA	jthna.board@gmail.com	Levi	Green	levigreen8914@gmail.com		1804 Cam Fella ST SE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5054109362
Juan Tabo Hills NA	jthna.board@gmail.com	Valerie	Elmore	secretary@jthna.org		11800 Native Dancer Rd. SE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5055146224
Kirtland Community Association		Kimberly	Brown	kande0@yahoo.com		1533 San Jose SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5056103337
Kirtland Community Association		Elizabeth	Aikin	bakieaikin@comcast.net		1524 Alamo Avenue SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		505286324
La Mesa Community Improvement Association	lamesainternationaldistrict@gmail.com	Rose	Walker	505804113rw@gmail.com		1033 Utah NE	Apt. D	Albuquerque	NM	87110		5058041113
La Mesa Community Improvement Association	lamesainternationaldistrict@gmail.com	Idalia	Lecuga-Tena	idalialt@gmail.com		4405 Prairie Loft Way NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5055503868	
La Sala Grande NA Incorporated	lsgna67@gmail.com	Natasha	Williams	williamsnkp@gmail.com		8618 La Sala Del Centro NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	4252051170	
La Sala Grande NA Incorporated	lsgna67@gmail.com	DeeDee	Molina	lsgna67@gmail.com		8600 La Sala Del Centro NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5052281918
Ladera West NA	laderawestna@gmail.com	Steven	Collins	slcnaibq@aol.com		7517 Vista Alegre Street		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5052694604	5053441599
Ladera West NA	laderawestna@gmail.com	Hope	Eckert	hope1@swcp.com		6300 Riverside Plaza NW, Suite 100		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5057216279
Las Lomitas NA	laslomitasNA@gmail.com	Nancy	Griego	r_griego04@comcast.net		8024 Corte Del Viento NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5052286650	
Las Lomitas NA	laslomitasNA@gmail.com	Connie	Fassier	Cfassier@salud.unm.edu		8220 Camino del Venado		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5053061613
Las Terrazas NA	lasterrazasna@yahoo.com	David	Stedley	stedley@centurylink.net		8434 Rio Verde Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5052496367	
Las Terrazas NA	lasterrazasna@yahoo.com	Donald	Voth	dvoth@uark.edu		4323 Balcon Court NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5057920182
Laurelwood NA	laurelwoodna@gmail.com	Igor	Dernov	wiseolga@gmail.com		7417 Sherwood DR NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5053531909
Laurelwood NA	laurelwoodna@gmail.com	Matt	Candelaria	mateocandelaria54@gmail.com		1710 Rosewood Ave NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5059806454
Lee Acres NA		Nissa	Patterson	nissapatterson@gmail.com		836 Floretta Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5052592074	
Lee Acres NA		Carol	Riordan	cgavaldon@aol.com		7111 Solar RD NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5059483952
Loma Del Rey NA		Jessica	Armijo	jarmijo12@outlook.com		3701 Erbbe Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5054001221
Loma Del Rey NA		Carol	Orona	oronacarol@hotmail.com		8416 Palo Duro Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		5053632685
Los Alamos Addition NA	laanaboard@gmail.com	Marlene	Randall	samkrandall@gmail.com		309 La Plata RD NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5054808274
Los Alamos Addition NA	laanaboard@gmail.com	Brian	Henderson	bghende@gmail.com		297 PLACITAS RD NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5054530043
Los Altos Civic Association	losaltosneighborhood.abq@gmail.com	Dominic	Pritzl	dom.pritzl@gmail.com		2803 Los Altos Pl SW		Albuquerque	NM	87105		4145302607
Los Altos Civic Association	losaltosneighborhood.abq@gmail.com	Stephen	Benz	stephenconnellybenz@gmail.com		808 Rio Vista Cir SW		Albuquerque	NM	87105		5052490101
Los Duranes NA	losduranesneighborhood@gmail.com	William	Herring	billherring@comcast.net		3104 Coca Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5053281553
Los Duranes NA	losduranesneighborhood@gmail.com	Lee	Gamelsky	lee@lganm.com		3230 Camilo Lane NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5053624113
Los Griegos NA	losgriegosna2022@gmail.com	Mary Beth	Thorn	losgriegosna2022@gmail.com	marybethorn@gmail.com	4530 San Isidro Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	2526755366	
Los Griegos NA	losgriegosna2022@gmail.com	Laura	Campbell	la_campbell@comcast.net		4515 Compound North CT NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5056886923
Los Poblanos NA	mail@lospoblanosna.com	Karon	Boutz	kjboutz@gmail.com		1007 Sandia Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5053456002
Los Poblanos NA	mail@lospoblanosna.com	Don	Newman	don.newman@mac.com		5723 Guadalupe Trail NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5053443900
Los Volcanes NA		Ted	Trujillo	nedcarla@live.com		6601 Honeylocust Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87121	5058508375	
Los Volcanes NA		Ben	Sandoval	benjsandoval@q.com		6516 Honeylocust Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87121		5058364419
Mark Twain NA		Barbara	Lohbeck	bardean12@comcast.net		1402 California Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5052591932	5052540285
Mark Twain NA		Michele	Benton	mkbenton@swcp.com		1104 Florida NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5052395237	
Matthew Meadow NA Incorporated	board@mmna.us	Henryk	Sloma	henryksloma@gmail.com		1515 Camino Euestre NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5053032192
Matthew Meadow NA Incorporated	board@mmna.us	Steve	Smith	smsmith5391@yahoo.com		3413 Mateo Prado NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5053459016
McDuffie Twin Parks NA	mtpassociation@gmail.com	Carol	Morris	carolamorris@aol.com		4137 Marble Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5056041013	
McDuffie Twin Parks NA	mtpassociation@gmail.com	Cathy	Drake	drakelavellefamily@gmail.com		4203 Avenida La Resolana NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5052350405	
McKinley NA	mckinleyneighbor@gmail.com	Wilfred	Lucero	lucerowilfred@gmail.com		3707 Headingly NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5058047141
McKinley NA	mckinleyneighbor@gmail.com	Jesse	Holly	jesselholly@gmail.com		4303 Shepard Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5059998822
Mesa Del Sol NA		David	Mills	dmills44@gmail.com		2400 Cunningham Avenue SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5052399052	
Mesa Del Sol NA		Sue	Mauthe	smauthe@gmail.com		6124 Motherwell Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	9202099575	
Mile Hi NA		Joan	Davis	jbd2946@hotmail.com		1405 Valencia Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5054109379
Mile Hi NA		Matt	Carroll	mbcarr92@gmail.com		5317 Summer Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5759106446	
Molten Rock NA	moltenrockna@gmail.com	Jill	Yeagley	jillyeagley@swcp.com	moltenrockna@gmail.com	7936 Victoria Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		
Molten Rock NA	moltenrockna@gmail.com	Michael	Vigil	vigilm75@hotmail.com		6504 Jade Dr NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5052634269
Mossman NA	mossmanneighborhoodassociation@gmail.com	Marya	Hjellming-Sena	maryasena1@gmail.com		3418 Dakota Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5052613660

Mosman NA	mossmannneighborhoodassociation@gmail.com	Craig	Degenhardt	Mr.CraigDegenhardt@gmail.com		3518 San Pedro Dr NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5052345661
Near North Valley NA	nearnorthvalley@gmail.com	Joe	Sabatini	jsabatini423@gmail.com		3514 6th Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5058507455	5053449212
Near North Valley NA	nearnorthvalley@gmail.com	Marit	Tully	nearnorthvalley@gmail.com		PO Box 6953		Albuquerque	NM	87197		5053857863
Netherwood Park NA	netherwoodpark@gmail.com	Michael	Long	mlong5655@gmail.com		2601 Schell Ct NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5052382394
Netherwood Park NA	netherwoodpark@gmail.com	Monica	Mitchell	monicaolbert77@hotmail.com	mitchell@cabq.gov	2424 Cutler Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5052280236
Nob Hill NA	theboard@nobhill-nm.com	Gary	Eyster	meyster1@me.com		316 Amherst Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5059911388	
Nob Hill NA	theboard@nobhill-nm.com	Greg	Weirs	vgweirs@gmail.com		328 Sierra Place NE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5051515634
Nor Este NA		Gina	Pioquinto	rpmartinez2003@gmail.com		PO Box 9415		Albuquerque	NM	87199	5052385495	5058560926
Nor Este NA		Uri	Bassan	uri.bassan@noreste.org		9000 Modesto Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87122	5054179990	
North Campus NA	northcampusna@gmail.com	Tim	Davis	tdavisnm@gmail.com		2404 Hannett NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5052643524	
North Campus NA	northcampusna@gmail.com	Maia	Mullen	maiamullen@gmail.com		2400 Hannett Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5057151160
North Domingo Baca NA		Judie	Pellegrino	judie.pellegrino@gmail.com		8515 Murrelet Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87113		5058218516
North Domingo Baca NA		Lorna	Howerton	hhowerton9379@msn.com		7201 Peregrine Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87113	5057157895	5058283083
North Eastern Association of Residents	near.neighborhood@gmail.com	Andrew	Burnett	aburnett505@gmail.com		9734 Morrow Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5053280953
North Eastern Association of Residents	near.neighborhood@gmail.com	Michael	Mudd	MMuddpi@gmail.com		1829 Moon St NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5057157886
North Valley Coalition	nvcbq@gmail.com	Peggy	Norton	peggynorton@yahoo.com		3810 11th Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5053459567
North Valley Coalition	nvcbq@gmail.com	James	Salazar	jasalazarnm@gmail.com		5025 Guadalupe Trail NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5054895040	
Onate NA	onatenetneighborhood@gmail.com	Alex	Rahimi	alexanderrahimi@yahoo.com		1816 Paige Place NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112	5053303320	
Onate NA	onatenetneighborhood@gmail.com	Sharon	Ruiz	sharonr1492@gmail.com		1821 Paige Place Northeast		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5052219565
Oso Grande NA	info@osograndena.org	Bob	Fass	nobullbob1@gmail.com		5226 Edwards Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5052394774	5052935457
Oso Grande NA	info@osograndena.org	Janie	McGuigan	janiecm07@gmail.com		4924 Purcell Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	5059181884	
Paloma Del Sol NA		Roland	Quintana	rqldq1@gmail.com		10412 Calle Contento NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114	5052637220	
Paloma Del Sol NA		Rick	Shubert	crshubert@me.com		4820 San Timoteo Ave NW		Albuquerque	NM	87124		3155322944
Palomas Park NA	palomaspark@gmail.com	David	Marsh	wdmarshbq@gmail.com		7504 Laster Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109	5054531644	
Palomas Park NA	palomaspark@gmail.com	Ann	Wagner	annwagner10@gmail.com		7209 Gallinas Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87109	5053622418	
Paradise Hills Civic Association	phcassoc@gmail.com	Elizabeth	Haley	elizabethkayhaley@gmail.com		6005 Chaparral Circle NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114	5054074381	
Paradise Hills Civic Association	phcassoc@gmail.com	Kym	Fleck	kym.fleck@gmail.com		10216 La Paz Dr NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114		5052708886
Parkland Hills NA	phnacommunications@gmail.com	Janet	Simon	phnacommunications@gmail.com		725 Van Buren Place SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108	5052390229	
Parkland Hills NA	phnacommunications@gmail.com	Leslie	Padilla	lesliepadilla@gmail.com		621 Valverde SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5053500514
Parkway NA	parkwaypoint@yahoo.com	V.O. Michael	Shynkar	parkwaypoint@yahoo.com		8023 Waterbury Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5052641631	
Parkway NA	parkwaypoint@yahoo.com	Elena	O'Donald			8023 Waterbury AVE NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5054921654
Pat Hurley NA		Barbara	Baca	vicepresident.phna@gmail.com		636 Atresco Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5052696855	
Pat Hurley NA		Julie	Radoslovich	president.phna@gmail.com		235 Mezcal Circle NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5053524440	
Peppertree Royal Oak Residents Association	a.verardo@comcast.net	Holly	Flandersmeyer	hawleyg@hotmail.com		5714 Balsa Court NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111	3146918410	
Peppertree Royal Oak Residents Association	a.verardo@comcast.net	Liz	Smith	Lizmatismith@gmail.com		5708 Papaya Place NE		Albuquerque	NM	87111		6128454533
Piedras Marcadas NA	pmnaabq@gmail.com	Robert	Sanchez	rvsanch509@gmail.com		8815 Tia Christina Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114	5053216318	
Piedras Marcadas NA	pmnaabq@gmail.com	Deborah	Boberley	debborbel@gmail.com		4809 Shelly Rose RD		Albuquerque	NM	87114		6785580926
Pueblo Alto NA	panaabq@gmail.com	Tyler	Richter	tyler.richter@gmail.com		801 Madison NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5052392903	
Pueblo Alto NA	panaabq@gmail.com	Tina	Valentine	auntiesym@msn.com		916 Madison Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5059480760	
Quaker Heights NA	quakerheightsabq@googlegroups.com	Orlando	Martinez	lilo2002@yahoo.com		5806 Jones Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5053605017	5053605038
Quaker Heights NA	quakerheightsabq@googlegroups.com	Elizabeth	Alarid	ealarid29@gmail.com		5818 Jones PL NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5052708320
Quigley Park NA	quigley.park@gmail.com	Maureen	Maier	mo01llama@gmail.com		2935 Cardenas Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5058885181
Quigley Park NA	quigley.park@gmail.com	Cassandra	Porter	prophetesscreative@gmail.com		2800 Madiera NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		7192916095
Quintessence NA	qna.abq@gmail.com	Andrea	Landaker	president@qna-abq.org		10012 Coronado Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87122	5057972466	
Quintessence NA	qna.abq@gmail.com	QNA	Board	qna.abq@gmail.com		PO Box 22033		Albuquerque	NM	87154	4325285135	
Rancho Sereno NA	board@abqrsna.com	Debra	Cox	debracox62@comcast.net		8209 Rancho Paraiso NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5052388563	5057920448
Rancho Sereno NA	board@abqrsna.com	Alan	Schwartz	aschwartz74@comcast.net		4409 Rancho Centro Court NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5058907142
Raynolds Addition NA	raynoldsneighborhood@gmail.com	Janet	Manry	janet.manry@gmail.com		806 Lead Avenue SW		Albuquerque	NM	87102	8327073645	
Raynolds Addition NA	raynoldsneighborhood@gmail.com	Christopher	Frechette	margfish2@aol.com		1315 Gold Ave SW		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5052421478
Rio Grande Boulevard NA		David	Michalski	chowski83@gmail.com		3533 Luke Circle NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5054807675	
Rio Grande Boulevard NA		Doyle	Kimbrough	newmexmba@aol.com		2327 Campbell Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104	5052490938	
Riverview Heights NA		Cyrus	Toll	tollhouse1@msn.com		1306 Riverview Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5052052513	
Riverview Heights NA		Rhonda	Hasse	diamondgr1904@yahoo.com		1408 Crescent Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	2693398332	
Route 66 West NA		Cherise	Quezada	cherquezada@yahoo.com		10304 Paso Fino Place SW		Albuquerque	NM	87121	5052631178	
Route 66 West NA		Paul	Fava	paulfava@gmail.com		505 Parnelli Drive SW		Albuquerque	NM	87121	5053853202	
Sandia High School Area NA		Michael	Kious	mikekious@aol.com		7901 Palo Duro Avenue NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110	5059778967	
Sandia High School Area NA		Jeff	Hillery	jhillery2015@gmail.com		7900 Sierra Azul Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5059910011
Sandia Ridge NA	sandiaridgena@gmail.com	Johnny	Trust	johnny.trust1984@gmail.com		1828 Truman St NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		8135798088
Sandia Ridge NA	sandiaridgena@gmail.com	Ron	Gray	grong27@gmail.com		1817 Truman ST NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		3025938689
Santa Barbara Martineztown NA	sbmartineztown@gmail.com	Andrew	Tafoya Leverett	salamedzia@gmail.com		1529 Edith BLVD NE		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5056152986
Santa Barbara Martineztown NA	sbmartineztown@gmail.com	Loretta	Naranjo Lopez	naranjoloopez2010@gmail.com		1127 Walter NE		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5052707716
Santa Fe Village NA	sfvna2014@gmail.com	Jo Anne	Wright	joannewright1949@gmail.com		6708 Lamar Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5057201949
Santa Fe Village NA	sfvna2014@gmail.com	Dean	Saliani	dean.saliani@gmail.com		7129 Rockcrest Court NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	4157104736	
Sawmill Area NA	sawmillneighbor@gmail.com	Amanda	Browne	browne.amanda.jane@gmail.com		1314 Claire Court NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104	6097600743	
Sawmill Area NA	sawmillneighbor@gmail.com	David	Stryker	davidwstryker@gmail.com		1500 15th ST NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5052502361
Siesta Hills NA	siesta2na.pres@gmail.com	Nick	Franchini	franchini3@gmail.com		2621 Ridgecrest Drive		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5052692420
Siesta Hills NA	siesta2na.pres@gmail.com	Jacquelin	Olsen	jolsen1204@gmail.com		1317 Ridgecrest Loop SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5059106725
Silver Hill NA	silverhillabq@gmail.com	James	Montalbano	j.a.montalbano@gmail.com		1409 Silver Avenue SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5052430827	
Silver Hill NA	silverhillabq@gmail.com	Eva	Blaylock	evarockstar@msn.com		1920 Gold SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5054808598
Singing Arrow NA	abqsana@gmail.com	Sarah	Delgado	sasdelgado748@gmail.com		12608 Tomlinson DR SE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		9152699221
Singing Arrow NA	abqsana@gmail.com	Mark	Burton	sdg@nmsciencefoundation.org		601 Dorado PI SE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5056099609
South Broadway NA	abqsbna@gmail.com	Frances	Armijo	fparmijo@gmail.com		915 William SE		Albuquerque	NM	87102	5054003473	5052478798
South Broadway NA	abqsbna@gmail.com	Onastine	Jaramillo	onastine@gmail.com		212 Avenida Cesar Chavez SE		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5052345156
South Guadalupe Trail NA	sgtnaburque@gmail.com	James	Salazar	jasalazarnm@gmail.com		5025 Guadalupe Trail NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107	5054895040	
South Guadalupe Trail NA	sgtnaburque@gmail.com	Martha	Leiko	martizleiko@gmail.com		5219 Guadalupe Trl. NW		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5052646172
South Los Altos NA	contact@slanannm.org	Iim	Ahrend	notices@slanannm.org		304 General Bradley NE		Albuquerque	NM	87123	6319874131	
South Los Altos NA	contact@slanannm.org	Debbie	Conger	debsla@swcp.com		325 Espejo Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5053406949
South San Pedro NA		Sarah	Khanlian	sarah.khanlian@gmail.com		601 Cagua Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108	5053637204	
South San Pedro NA		Tawnya	Mullen	tmienterprises1@gmail.com		733 Cardenas Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5052275963
South West Alliance of Neighborhoods (SWAN Coalition)		Jerry	Gallegos	jgallegoswccdg@gmail.com		5921 Central Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5053855809	5058362976
South West Alliance of Neighborhoods (SWAN Coalition)		Lorenzo	Otero	housealochrome@gmail.com		1413 Hilda Ct NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105		5053851251

Southeast Heights NA	sehna.membership@gmail.com	John	Pate	jpatemolzen@comcast.net		1007 Idlewild Lane SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108	5052354193	
Southeast Heights NA	sehna.membership@gmail.com	Pete	Belletto	pmbdoc@yahoo.com		902 Valverde Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5052064957
Spruce Park NA	emailbrowns@aol.com	Peter	Swift	prswift@comcast.net		613 Ridge Place NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5053793201
Spruce Park NA	emailbrowns@aol.com	Heidi	Brown	emailbrowns@aol.com		1603 Sigma Chi Road NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5052641783
Stardust Skies North NA	mtbsh@comcast.net	Karen	Randall	karenrandallcpa@gmail.com		7737 Hermanson PL NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5054505577
Stardust Skies North NA	mtbsh@comcast.net	Randy	Rettinger	rettingr@msn.com		7800 Hendrix Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5054330586
Stardust Skies Park NA		Kim	Lovely-Peake	lovelypeake@comcast.net		7100 Bellrose NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5052687969
Stardust Skies Park NA		Christele	Baird	glassdiva77@gmail.com		7309 Bellrose NE		Albuquerque	NM	87110		5054170004
Stinson Tower NA	stnapres@outlook.com	Bruce	Rizzieri	stnapres@outlook.com	rizzierinm@gmail.com	1225 Rael Street SW		Albuquerque	NM	87121	5055858096	
Stinson Tower NA	stnapres@outlook.com	Emilio	Chavez	epchavez49@gmail.com		3670 Tower RD SW		Albuquerque	NM	87121		5056048704
Stronghurst Improvement Association Incorporated		Naomi	Todd	naomitodd@yahoo.com		3006 Franciscan St NE		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5058143327
Stronghurst Improvement Association Incorporated		Mark	Lines	63aberdaber@gmail.com		3010 Arno Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87107		5052504129
Summit Park NA	summitparkna@gmail.com	Joe	Brooks	joebrooks@homesinabq.com		1418 Wellesley Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5059773474	
Summit Park NA	summitparkna@gmail.com	Jen	Esquibel	jen.esquibel@gmail.com		1405 Calle del Rancho NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5059773474
Supper Rock NA	admin@supper-rock.org	Kathleen	Schindler-Wright	srock692@comcast.net		407 Monte Largo Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5052752710
Supper Rock NA	admin@supper-rock.org	Ken	O'Keefe	kmotheirish@gmail.com		600 Vista Abajo Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87123		5052969075
Sycamore NA		Mardon	Gardella	mabdowna@gmail.com		411 Maple Street NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5058436154
Sycamore NA		Eliberto	Calderon	eliberto@themaverickscholar.org		1205 Copper Ave NE		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5057371333
Taylor Ranch NA	president@trna.org	Rene	Horvath	aboard111@gmail.com		5515 Palomino Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5059852391	5058982114
Taylor Ranch NA	president@trna.org	Evelyn	Rivera	riorear@earthlink.net		4505 Chadwick NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5059484099
The Courtyards NA	thecourtyardsabq@gmail.com	Jayne	Aubele	jaubele1012@comcast.net		2919 Monument Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5059808703	5053526390
The Courtyards NA	thecourtyardsabq@gmail.com	Patricia	Duvall	cliffvu@gmail.com		8023 Cliffview Ave. NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5053929887
The Quail Springs NA		Goldialu	Stone	gstone@swcp.com		7116 Quail Springs Place NE		Albuquerque	NM	87113		5057975597
The Quail Springs NA		Laura	High	laurah067@gmail.com		7135 Quail Springs Place NE		Albuquerque	NM	87113		5054532756
Thomas Village NA		Joan	Wierzb	jiwierzb@comcast.net		2412 Calle De Panza NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5054335012
Thomas Village NA		Daniela	Stromberg	daniawmmy@gmail.com		2312 Calle de Panza		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5053064763
Tres Volcanes NA	info@tresvolcanesna.org	Thomas	Borst	t0m2pat@yahoo.com		1908 Selway Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5058034836	5053526563
Tres Volcanes NA	info@tresvolcanesna.org	Rick	Gallagher	randm196@gmail.com		8401 Casa Gris Court NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5054048827
Trumbull Village Association	landry54@msn.com	Jennifer	Jones	j504rise@yahoo.com		528 Torrance ST SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		5059805044
Trumbull Village Association	landry54@msn.com	Bernadette	Hardy	hardy_bernadette@yahoo.com		7512 Dixon ST SE		Albuquerque	NM	87108		9158877140
Tuscany NA	tuscanylandscape@me.com	Harry	Hendriksen	hihen@comcast.net		10592 Rio Del Sol NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114		5058903481
Tuscany NA	tuscanylandscape@me.com	Janelle	Johnson	visadelnorte@me.com	tuscanylandscape@me.com	PO Box 6270		Albuquerque	NM	87197		5053440822
University Heights NA	info@uhanm.org	Don	Hancock	sricond@earthlink.net		105 Stanford SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5052622053	5052621862
University Heights NA	info@uhanm.org	Aaron	Hill	aaronhill15902@gmail.com		202 Cornell Dr. SE, Unit B		Albuquerque	NM	87106		5737772520
Valle Prado NA	valle.prado.na@gmail.com	Marie	Greenspan	valle.prado.na@gmail.com		7316 Redbloom Rd NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114		4068500511
Valle Prado NA	valle.prado.na@gmail.com	Joshua	Beutler	jlbautler@gmail.com		7316 Two Rock Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87114		5055036416
Vecinos Del Bosque NA	vdb87105@gmail.com	Jennifer	Cruz	vdb87105@gmail.com		1512 Cerro Vista Road SW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5058703297	
Vecinos Del Bosque NA	vdb87105@gmail.com	Andrew	Jaramillo	drewjara72@gmail.com		1512 Trujillo Road SW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5055731557	
Victory Hills NA	victoryhills505@gmail.com	Patricia	Willson	info@willsonstudio.com		505 Dartmouth Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5059808007	
Victory Hills NA	victoryhills505@gmail.com	Althea	Atherton	altheathe@comcast.net		1107 Vassar Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	9786609532	
Vineyard Estates NA	vineyardestates.na@gmail.com	David	Zarecki	zarecki@aol.com		8405 Vintage Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87122		5058048806
Vineyard Estates NA	vineyardestates.na@gmail.com	Elizabeth	Meek	djbeemeek@comcast.net		8301 Mendocino Drive NE		Albuquerque	NM	87122		5055547532
Vista Del Mundo NA	ydman1@gmail.com	Kristin	Flores	kristin0625@yahoo.com		1325 Canyon Hills DR NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5052638225
Vista Del Mundo NA	ydman1@gmail.com	Melissa	Hahn	wheniwas8@gmail.com		1135 Titleist DR NE		Albuquerque	NM	87112		5124613277
Vista Del Norte Alliance	visadelnorte@me.com	Janelle	Johnson	visadelnorte@me.com	tuscanylandscape@me.com	PO Box 6270		Albuquerque	NM	87197		5053440822
Vista Del Norte Alliance	visadelnorte@me.com	James	Souter	james@souter@msn.com		6928 Via del Cerro NE		Albuquerque	NM	87113		5052506366
Vista Grande NA	bradyklovelady@gmail.com	Richard	Schaefer	Schaefer@unm.edu		3579 Sequoia Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5059179909	
Vista Grande NA	bradyklovelady@gmail.com	Brady	Lovelady	bradyklovelady@gmail.com		3508 Sequoia Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5053792552
Vista Magnifica Association	vista.magnifica@yahoo.com	Anna	Solano	madmiles@msn.com		1616 Bluffs Place NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105		5054532587
Vista Magnifica Association	vista.magnifica@yahoo.com	Joyce	Friedenberg	nadiandkai@yahoo.com		1800 Calle Del Vista NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105		2404010219
Wells Park NA	wellsparkna@gmail.com	Doreen	McKnight	doreenmcknightnm@gmail.com		1426 7th Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87102		5056152937
Wells Park NA	wellsparkna@gmail.com	Edwina	Kiro	glma.kiro@gmail.com		1015 Lynch Court NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		5054805925
West Mesa NA		Michael	Quintana	westmesa63@gmail.com		301 63rd Street NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105	5059330277	
West Mesa NA		Lorenzo	Otero	housealbchome@gmail.com		1413 Hilda Ct NW		Albuquerque	NM	87105		5053851251
West Old Town NA	westoldtownna@gmail.com	Neri	Holguin	neriholguin@gmail.com		2523 Carson Road NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104	5052178705	
West Old Town NA	westoldtownna@gmail.com	Robert	Norman	rnorman@sunpinehomes.com		2704 Corte Mirabal Rd NW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		9282376610
West Park NA	westparkna@gmail.com	Kelly	White	cealleach1@gmail.com		2219 New York Avenue SW		Albuquerque	NM	87104		
West Park NA	westparkna@gmail.com	Melvin	Andrews	melvin.andrewsrn@gmail.com		2219 New York Avenue SW		Albuquerque	NM	87104	5057101363	
Westgate Heights NA	westgate9901@gmail.com	Matthew	Archuleta	mattearchuleta1@hotmail.com		1628 Summerfield Place SW		Albuquerque	NM	87121	5054016849	
Westgate Heights NA	westgate9901@gmail.com	Mark	Gering	gering.mark@yahoo.com		9309 Rhonda ST SW		Albuquerque	NM	87121		5052089502
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations	wescona0@gmail.com	Rene	Horvath	aboard111@gmail.com		5515 Palomino Drive NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120	5059852391	5058982114
Westside Coalition of Neighborhood Associations	wescona0@gmail.com	Jane	Baechele	jane.baechele@gmail.com		7201 Lamar Avenue NW		Albuquerque	NM	87120		5054006516
Wildflower Area NA		Rebecca	Hall	kbhb@hotmail.com		8908 Bluegrass Court NE		Albuquerque	NM	87113	5052502343	
Wildflower Area NA		Glenn	Garcia	ggarcia103@comcast.net		4901 Golden Thread NE		Albuquerque	NM	87113		5052697832
Yale Village NA	yalevillage@comcast.net	Kim	Love	klove726@gmail.com		2122 Cornell Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5056882162	
Yale Village NA	yalevillage@comcast.net	Donald	Love	donallove08@comcast.net		2125 Stanford Drive SE		Albuquerque	NM	87106	5054807175	

From: [Renz-Whitmore, Mikaela J.](#) on behalf of [City of Albuquerque Planning Department](#)
To: [City of Albuquerque Planning Department](#)
Bcc: ["5058041113rw@gmail.com"](#); ["63aberdaber@gmail.com"](#); ["a.verardo@comcast.net"](#); ["aaronhill15902@gmail.com"](#); ["aboard111@gmail.com"](#); ["abqcorena@gmail.com"](#); ["abqfairwest@gmail.com"](#); ["abqhighland@gmail.com"](#); ["abqsbna@gmail.com"](#); ["Aburnett505@gmail.com"](#); ["academyhillsparkna@gmail.com"](#); ["adabneymmxix@gmail.com"](#); ["admin@supper-rock.org"](#); ["adonneighborhood@gmail.com"](#); ["alexanderrahimi@yahoo.com"](#); ["alexlrnm@comcast.net"](#); ["alldirectors@comanchefoothills.org"](#); ["alotero57@gmail.com"](#); ["altheatherton@gmail.com"](#); ["alturaadditionna@gmail.com"](#); ["alturapark@gmail.com"](#); ["annapresident505@gmail.com"](#); ["annlouisacarson@gmail.com"](#); ["annwagner10@gmail.com"](#); ["antelope.run.abq@gmail.com"](#); ["apna87110@gmail.com"](#); ["arena87111@gmail.com"](#); ["arnoldtom@yahoo.com"](#); ["aschwartz74@comcast.net"](#); ["auntiesym@msn.com"](#); ["AustinC@commercialdoormfg.com"](#); ["bakieaikin@comcast.net"](#); ["barbara.hroza@gmail.com"](#); ["bardean12@comcast.net"](#); ["benjsandoval@q.com"](#); ["bghende@gmail.com"](#); ["billherring@comcast.net"](#); ["bluestealth93@gmail.com"](#); ["board@abqrsna.com"](#); ["board@bananm.org"](#); ["board@mma.us"](#); ["boyster2018@gmail.com"](#); ["bradyklovelady@gmail.com"](#); ["bradyklovelady@gmail.com"](#); ["brenda.marks648@gmail.com"](#); ["browne.amanda.jane@gmail.com"](#); ["bruceblowers@gmail.com"](#); ["bsturge@yahoo.com"](#); ["bsturge@gmail.com"](#); ["cabachechi@gmail.com"](#); ["calmartin93@gmail.com"](#); ["campus.neighborhood.assoc@gmail.com"](#); ["carolamorris@aol.com"](#); ["cealleach1@gmail.com"](#); ["CFassier@salud.unm.edu"](#); ["cgavaldon@aol.com"](#); ["cherquezada@yahoo.com"](#); ["cherylabb7@gmail.com"](#); ["Chipolton44@gmail.com"](#); ["chowski83@gmail.com"](#); ["cibolaloopna@gmail.com"](#); ["cicofmartineztown@proton.me"](#); ["cliffvu@gmail.com"](#); ["cna87111@gmail.com"](#); ["contact@slananm.org"](#); ["crestviewneighbors@outlook.com"](#); ["crshubert@me.com"](#); ["crystl0910@gmail.com"](#); ["csutimgallegos15@yahoo.com"](#); ["daniwammy@gmail.com"](#); ["david@edoabq.com"](#); ["davidwstryker@gmail.com"](#); ["dean.saliani@gmail.com"](#); ["debborbely@gmail.com"](#); ["debracox62@comcast.net"](#); ["debsla@swcp.com"](#); ["dgallagherhertroy@comcast.net"](#); ["diamondgirl904@yahoo.com"](#); ["district8coalition@gmail.com"](#); ["dix.mary.ann@gmail.com"](#); ["djesmeek@comcast.net"](#); ["dmc793@gmail.com"](#); ["dmills544@gmail.com"](#); ["dmmarz@gmail.com"](#); ["dom.pritzl@gmail.com"](#); ["don.newman@mac.com"](#); ["donaldlove08@comcast.net"](#); ["donna.yetter3@gmail.com"](#); ["doreenmcknightnm@gmail.com"](#); ["drakelavellefamil@gmail.com"](#); ["dreikeja@comcast.net"](#); ["drewjara72@gmail.com"](#); ["dukecity777@yahoo.com"](#); ["dvoth@uark.edu"](#); ["DWJencka@gmail.com"](#); ["dwmnanm@dwmnanm.org"](#); ["e.molinadodge@yahoo.com"](#); ["ealarid29@gmail.com"](#); ["eastgatewaycoalition@gmail.com"](#); ["ecnainabq@gmail.com"](#); ["edueweke@juno.com"](#); ["elderhomesteadna@gmail.com"](#); ["eliberto@themaverickscholar.org"](#); ["elissa.dente@gmail.com"](#); ["elizabekayhaley@gmail.com"](#); ["emailbrowns@aol.com"](#); ["emailbrowns@aol.com"](#); ["emh@adexec.com"](#); ["epchavez49@gmail.com"](#); ["epnaabq@gmail.com"](#); ["ericcarson@protonmail.com"](#); ["ericshirley@comcast.net"](#); ["erpvaabq@gmail.com"](#); ["evarockstar@msn.com"](#); ["flops2@juno.com"](#); ["fourofseven@comcast.net"](#); ["fparmijo@gmail.com"](#); ["franchini3@gmail.com"](#); ["gering.mark@yahoo.com"](#); ["gforrest47@comcast.net"](#); ["ggarcia103@comcast.net"](#); ["ggnabq@gmail.com"](#); ["ghardy090@gmail.com"](#); ["glassdiva77@gmail.com"](#); ["glma.kiro@gmail.com"](#); ["grong27@gmail.com"](#); ["gstone@swcp.com"](#); ["hallierossbach@gmail.com"](#); ["hardy_bernadette@yahoo.com"](#); ["hawleyg@hotmail.com"](#); ["hcnalert@gmail.com"](#); ["hear.president@gmail.com"](#); ["hello@edoabq.com"](#); ["henryksloma@gmail.com"](#); ["hhowerton9379@msn.com"](#); ["hlhen@comcast.net"](#); ["hoffmantown.assoc@hotmail.com"](#); ["hope1@swcp.com"](#); ["hosealbchrome@gmail.com"](#); ["idalialt@gmail.com"](#); ["ijwalkiw@hotmail.com"](#); ["inezneighassn@yahoo.com"](#); ["info@osograndena.org"](#); ["info@tresvolcanesna.org"](#); ["info@uhanm.org"](#); ["info@willsonstudio.com"](#); ["ions82@hotmail.com"](#); ["irobertson@titan-development.com"](#); ["j504rise@yahoo.com"](#); ["ja.montalbano@gmail.com"](#); ["james.levy@gmail.com"](#); ["Jim Souter"](#); ["jane.baechle@gmail.com"](#); ["janet.manry@gmail.com"](#); ["janiemc07@gmail.com"](#); ["jarmijo12@outlook.com"](#); ["jasalazarm@gmail.com"](#); ["jaubele1012@comcast.net"](#); ["jbd2946@hotmail.com"](#); ["jbrobertna@gmail.com"](#); ["jeanettebaca973@gmail.com"](#); ["jearnoldjones70@gmail.com"](#); ["jen.esquibel@gmail.com"](#); ["jesselholly@gmail.com"](#); ["jessmartinez@comcast.net"](#); ["jfunesclark94@gmail.com"](#); ["jgallegoswccdg@gmail.com"](#); ["jillyeagley@swcp.com"](#); ["jjhillery2015@gmail.com"](#); ["jlbeutler@gmail.com"](#); ["joannewright1949@gmail.com"](#); ["joebrooks@homesinabq.com"](#); ["johnny.trust1984@gmail.com"](#); ["jolsen1204@gmail.com"](#); ["josefree@yahoo.com"](#); ["jpate@molzencorbin.com"](#); ["jrsphil1@hotmail.com"](#); ["jsabatini423@gmail.com"](#); ["jtqbna@gmail.com"](#); ["jthna.board@gmail.com"](#); ["judiepellegrino@gmail.com"](#); ["jv1089@gmail.com"](#); ["jwierzba@comcast.net"](#); ["k2riley@msn.com"](#); ["kande0@yahoo.com"](#); ["karenrandallcpa@gmail.com"](#); ["katecono@gmail.com"](#); ["kbbh@hotmail.com"](#); ["ken@cbm-wellness.com"](#); ["kenny.stansbury@gmail.com"](#); ["kfabiszak@sbcglobal.net"](#); ["khattler@aol.com"](#); ["kjboutz@gmail.com"](#); ["klove726@gmail.com"](#); ["kmotheirish@gmail.com"](#); ["kristin0625@yahoo.com"](#); ["kym.fleck@gmail.com"](#); ["la_campbell@comcast.net"](#); ["laanaboard@gmail.com"](#); ["laderawestna@gmail.com"](#); ["lamesainternationaldistrict@gmail.com"](#); ["landry54@msn.com"](#); ["larswells@yahoo.com"](#); ["laslomasNA@gmail.com"](#); ["lasterrazasna@yahoo.com"](#); ["laurah067@gmail.com"](#); ["laurelwoodna@gmail.com"](#); ["learrael@aol.com"](#); ["lee@lganm.com"](#); ["legnavasquez@gmail.com"](#); ["lelope@msn.com"](#); ["lesliempadilla@gmail.com"](#); ["lestah24@mac.com"](#); ["levigreen8914@gmail.com"](#); ["lilog2002@yahoo.com"](#); ["Lizmiatasmith@gmail.com"](#); ["losaltosneighborhood.abq@gmail.com"](#); ["losduranenneighborhood@gmail.com"](#); ["losgriegosna2022@gmail.com"](#); ["losgriegosna2022@gmail.com"](#); ["lovelypeake@comcast.net"](#); ["lsgna67@gmail.com"](#); ["lucerowillfred@gmail.com"](#); ["lxbaca@gmail.com"](#); ["m.rvankious@gmail.com"](#); ["mabdowa@gmail.com"](#); ["madmiles@msn.com"](#); ["maiamullen@gmail.com"](#); ["mail@ospoblanosna.com"](#); ["malloryabq@msn.com"](#); ["margfish2@aol.com"](#); ["marilynbear007@gmail.com"](#); ["martinez.renee@gmail.com"](#); ["martizielko@gmail.com"](#); ["maryasena1@gmail.com"](#); ["mateocandelaria54@gmail.com"](#); ["mattearchuleta1@hotmail.com"](#); ["mattmirarchi@gmail.com"](#); ["mbcarr92@gmail.com"](#); ["mckinleyneighbor@gmail.com"](#); ["mctwyla@msn.com"](#); ["medexter49@gmail.com"](#); ["melvin.andrewsrn@gmail.com"](#); ["meyster1@me.com"](#); ["michaelrosenfield1946@gmail.com"](#); ["mikekious@aol.com"](#); ["mkbenton@swcp.com"](#); ["mlong5655@gmail.com"](#); ["MMuddpi@gmail.com"](#); ["mnietoshogry@gmail.com"](#); ["mo01llama@gmail.com"](#); ["moltenrockna@gmail.com"](#); ["monicatolbert77@hotmail.com"](#); ["mossmanneighborhoodassociation@gmail.com"](#);

"Mr.CraigDegenhardt@gmail.com"; "mtbsh@comcast.net"; "mtpassociation@gmail.com";
"nadiandkai@yahoo.com"; "naomitodd@yahoo.com"; "naranjolopez2010@gmail.com";
"near.neighborhood@gmail.com"; "nearnorthvalley@gmail.com"; "nearnorthvalley@gmail.com";
"nedcarla@live.com"; "neri.holquin@gmail.com"; "netherwoodpark@gmail.com"; "newmexmba@aol.com";
"nick@fairwestwork.com"; "nissapatterson@gmail.com"; "nobullbob1@gmail.com";
"northcampusna@gmail.com"; "notices@slananm.org"; "nvcabq@gmail.com"; "nwvaslosky@comcast.net";
"olgaleise@gmail.com"; "omardurant@yahoo.com"; "onastine@gmail.com"; "onateneighborhood@gmail.com";
"oronacarol@hotmail.com"; "palomaspark@gmail.com"; "panaabq@gmail.com"; "parkwaypoint@yahoo.com";
"parkwaypoint@yahoo.com"; "pat.duda.52@gmail.com"; "patsybeck@aol.com"; "paulfava@gmail.com";
"pcandelaria@gmail.com"; "peggynorton@yahoo.com"; "phcassoc@gmail.com";
"phnacommunications@gmail.com"; "phnacommunications@gmail.com"; "pmbdoc"; "pmnaabq@gmail.com";
"pnsnswift@comcast.net"; "president.phna@gmail.com"; "president@albuquerqueoldtown.com";
"president@alvaradoneighborhood.com"; "president@qna-abq.org"; "president@trna.org";
"PresidentFHVA@gmail.com"; "prophetesscreative@gmail.com"; "qna.abq@gmail.com"; "qna.abq@gmail.com";
"quakerheightsabq@googlegroups.com"; "quigley.park@gmail.com"; "r.griego04@comcast.net";
"randm196@gmail.com"; "raynoldsneighborhood@gmail.com"; "rettingr@msn.com"; "revnolds@unm.edu";
"rioreal@earthlink.net"; "rnorman@sunpinehomes.com"; "robertdebra4055@gmail.com"; "ronzawis@abq.com";
"rpmartinez003@gmail.com"; "rq1dq1@gmail.com"; "rudy@tandemconsultingteam.com";
"rvaughn.rv@gmail.com"; "rvsanch509@gmail.com"; "salamdezia@gmail.com"; "samkrandall@gmail.com";
"sandiaridgena@gmail.com"; "sarah.khanlian@gmail.com"; Sarah Delgado; "sawmillneighbor@gmail.com";
"sbmartineztown@gmail.com"; "Schaefer@unm.edu"; "sdarling8713@gmail.com"; "sdg_nmsciencefoundation";
"secretary@ithna.org"; "sehna.membership@gmail.com"; "sfvna2014@gmail.com"; "sgtnaburque@gmail.com";
"sharonr1492@gmail.com"; "shirleylockyer@gmail.com"; "siesta2na.pres@gmail.com"; "slcnalabq@aol.com";
"slernst@aol.com"; "smmauthe@gmail.com"; "smsmith5391@yahoo.com"; "smurfmom@comcast.net"; "sp-wonderwoman@comcast.net"; "sricdon@earthlink.net"; Kathleen Schindler-Wright; "steidley@centurylink.net";
"stephenconnelybenz@gmail.com"; "stnapres@outlook.com"; "stnapres@outlook.com";
"summitparkNA@gmail.com"; "sunrun@yahoo.com"; "susanpatcarroll@gmail.com"; "sylvia4quality@gmail.com";
"t0m2pat@yahoo.com"; "tdavisnm@gmail.com"; "theboard@nobhill-nm.com"; "thecourtyardsabq@gmail.com";
"tminterprises1@gmail.com"; "tollhouse1@msn.com"; "trishwolfe@hotmail.com"; "tload30@gmail.com";
"tuscanylandscape@me.com"; "tyler.richter@gmail.com"; "uri.bassan@noreste.org";
"valle.prado.na@gmail.com"; "valle.prado.na@gmail.com"; "vdb87105@gmail.com"; "vdb87105@gmail.com";
"vdmna1@gmail.com"; "verityg@yahoo.com"; "vgstrans1@yahoo.com"; "vgweirs@gmail.com";
"vicepresident.phna@gmail.com"; "vicepresident@comanchefoothills.org"; Vicki Farrar;
"victoryhills505@gmail.com"; "vigilm75@hotmail.com"; "vineyardestates.na@gmail.com";
"vista.magnifica@yahoo.com"; "vistadelnorte@me.com"; "vistadelnorte@me.com"; "wdmarshabq@gmail.com";
"wellsparkna@gmail.com"; "wescona0@gmail.com"; "westgate9901@gmail.com"; "westmesa63@gmail.com";
"westoldtownna@gmail.com"; "westparkna@gmail.com"; "wheniwas8@gmail.com"; "white1ink@aol.com";
"williamsnkp@gmail.com"; "willieorr1@msn.com"; "wiseolga@gmail.com"; "wood_cpa@msn.com"; Faith
Willmott; "yalevillage@comcast.net"; "yemaya@swcp.com"; "zarecki@aol.com";
"zoning@albuquerqueoldtown.com"

Subject: IDO Update 2025 - EPC Submittal
Date: Monday, September 15, 2025 5:13:00 PM
Attachments: [04b-CABQ Public Notice Checklist 2025 Biennial Update-signed.pdf](#)
[04c-Emailed-Mailed-Notice-PolicyDecisions-2025 Biennial Update.pdf](#)
[04d-IDONeighborhoodNotificationLetter-2025-citywide.pdf](#)

Dear Neighborhood Association Representative,

Planning staff is submitting proposed changes to the [Environmental Planning Commission \(EPC\)](#) for consideration at a special hearing on **Tuesday, October 28, 2025 at 8:40 am.**

Please see the attached documents that serve as public notice of these amendments.

All proposed changes are posted on the project webpage here:

<https://abq-zone.com/ido-updates-2025>

Best,



MIKAELA RENZ-WHITMORE

(she/hers)

o 505.924.3932

e mrenz@cabq.gov

Our POSSE and AVOLVE systems have been replaced with our new software system, ABQ-PLAN. POSSE and AVOLVE users can create an ABQ-PLAN account with the same email address to access their data. We have a [user guide](#), [video tutorials in English and Spanish](#), and other resources to help you get up to speed. For more information about ABQ-PLAN please visit cabq.gov/planning/abq-plan

[Note: Items with an asterisk (*) are required.]

Public Notice of a Hearing in the City of Albuquerque for a Policy Decision

Date of Notice*: _____

This notice of an application for a proposed project is provided as required by Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) [IDO §14-16-6-4\(K\)](#).¹

- ☐ Emailed / mailed notice to Neighborhood Association Representatives on the attached list from the Office of Neighborhood Coordination.*
- ☐ Mailed notice to Property Owners within 100 feet of the Subject Property.

Information Required by [IDO §14-16-6-4\(K\)\(1\)\(a\)](#)

1. Subject Property Address* _____
Location Description _____
2. Property Owner* _____
3. Agent/Applicant [if applicable] _____
4. Application(s) Type* per IDO [Table 6-1-1](#) [mark all that apply]
 - ☐ Zoning Map Amendment _____ (EPC or Council)
 - ☐ Other: _____

Summary of project/request²*: _____

5. This application will be decided at a public hearing by*:

☐ Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) ☐ City Council

This application will be first reviewed and recommended by:

☐ Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) ☐ Landmarks Commission (LC)

☐ Not applicable (Zoning Map Amendment – EPC only)

Hearing Date/Time*: _____

Location*³: _____

¹ Please mark as relevant. See [IDO Table 6-1-1](#) for notice requirements.

² Attach additional information, as needed to explain the project/request.

³ Physical address or Zoom link

[Note: Items with an asterisk (*) are required.]

Agenda/meeting materials: <http://www.cabq.gov/planning/boards-commissions>

To contact staff, email devhelp@cabq.gov or call the Planning Department at 505-924-3860 and select the option for “Boards, Commissions, and ZHE signs.”

6. Where more information about the project can be found*:

Preferred project contact name: _____

Email: _____

Phone: _____

Online website or project page: _____

Attachments: _____

Information Required for Mail/Email Notice by [IDO §14-16-6-4\(K\)\(1\)\(b\)](#):

1. Zone Atlas Page(s)*⁴ _____
2. A Pre-submittal Neighborhood Meeting was required by [Table 6-1-1](#): ☐ Yes ☐ No

Summary of the Pre-submittal Neighborhood Meeting, if one occurred:

[Note: The meeting report is required to be provided in the application materials.]

Additional Information from IDO Zoning Map⁵:

1. Area of Property [typically in acres] _____
2. IDO Zone District _____
3. Overlay Zone(s) [if applicable] _____
4. Center or Corridor Area [if applicable] _____
5. Current Land Use(s) [vacant, if none] _____

NOTE: Pursuant to [IDO §14-16-6-4\(L\)](#), property owners within 330 feet and Neighborhood Associations within 660 feet may request a post-submittal facilitated meeting up to 15 calendar days before the public hearing date. Contact the Planning Department at devhelp@cabq.gov or 505-924-3860 and select the option for “Boards, Commissions, and ZHE signs.”

Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO): <https://ido.abc-zone.com>

⁴ Available online here: <http://data.cabq.gov/business/zoneatlas>

⁵ Available here: <https://tinyurl.com/idozoningmap>

Language Access Notice:

We provide free interpretation services to help you communicate with us. If you need help, you can request interpretation at any service counter in our Department, located in the Plaza Del Sol building, 600 2nd Street NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

Notificación de Acceso Lingüístico.

Ofrecemos servicios gratuitos de interpretación para ayudarlo a comunicarse con nosotros. Si necesita ayuda, puede solicitar servicios de interpretación en cualquier mostrador de servicio de nuestro Departamento, ubicado en el edificio Plaza Del Sol, 600 2nd Street NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

語言輔助通知。我們提供免費口譯服務，以幫助你與我們溝通。如果你需要幫助，你可以在我們部門的任何服務台請求口譯，服務台位於 Plaza Del Sol大樓，600 2nd Street NW，阿爾伯克基，NM 87102。

Thông báo về cách Tiếp cận Ngôn ngữ. Chúng tôi cung cấp các dịch vụ thông dịch miễn phí để giúp quý vị giao tiếp với chúng tôi. Nếu quý vị cần giúp đỡ, quý vị có thể yêu cầu thông dịch tại bất cứ quầy dịch vụ nào trong Sở của chúng tôi, tọa lạc tại tòa nhà Plaza Del Sol, 600 2nd Street NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

Saad Hadahwiis'a O'oolkaah bee dah na'astsooz. Nihi bik'inaasdzil t'aadoo baahilinigoo 'ata' hashne' tse'esgizii ach'i' dzaadi! Dzaadi! Danihi dahootahgoo bee nihi-. Daa' danihi bidin nishli dzaadi! Dzaadi! Danihi bineesh'a yinishkeed 'ata' hashne' -di t'aa biholniihgoo tse'esgizii ket'aaz -di nihihigii dah diikaah, -k'eh -di tsin Plaza Del Sol Kiniit'aagoo, 600 2nd Kiniit'aa NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

September 15, 2025

Neighborhood Association Representative
City of Albuquerque Recognized Neighborhood Association
Re: Application Submittal for Amendment to IDO Text - Citywide



Dear Neighborhood Association Representative,

As required by Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) § 14-16-6-3(D), the Planning Department is submitting the biennial update to the Environmental Planning Commission (EPC) for review and recommendation to the City Council at a special hearing on **Tuesday, October 28, 2025 at 8:40 am** via Zoom. See details below. This email fulfills the notice requirement in Table 6-1-1 for the Amendment to IDO Text – Citywide application and as specified in IDO § 14-16-6-4(J)(2).

Participation Details

To see the full list of proposed amendments and review presentations and videos from public review meetings in July and August, please visit the project webpage:

<https://abq-zone.com/ido-updates-2025>

Learn more about the proposed amendments at one of the following events:

Open House #1: **Monday, October 6, 2025, 5:30 pm – 7:00 pm** on Zoom:

Zoom link: <https://cabq.zoom.us/j/91371262282>

To dial in by phone: (346) 248-7799, Meeting ID: 913 7126 2282, Passcode: CABQ

Open House #2: **Tuesday, October 14, 2025, 12:00 pm – 1:30 pm** on Zoom:

Zoom link: <https://cabq.zoom.us/j/91371262282>

To dial in by phone: (346) 248-7799, Meeting ID: 913 7126 2282, Passcode: CABQ

EPC Study Session: **Thursday, October 16, 2025, 8:40 am**

Zoom link: <https://cabq.zoom.us/j/2269592859>

To dial in by phone: (346) 248-7799, Meeting ID: 226 959 2859

Listen or give **verbal comments** at the **EPC special hearing for the 2025 IDO Update**:

Tuesday, October 28, 2025, 8:40 am

Zoom link: <https://cabq.zoom.us/j/2269592859>

To dial in by phone: (346) 248-7799, Meeting ID: 226 959 2859

Send **written comments for the record** to the Environmental Planning Commission:

email: EPC Chair Daniel Aragon
c/o Planning Department
abcto@cabq.gov

regular mail: EPC Chair Daniel Aragon
c/o Planning Department
600 Second Street NW, Third Floor
Albuquerque NM 87102

Deadlines:

- To be included in the staff report for EPC consideration, send comments by **9 am on Friday, October 10th**.
- To be included in the packet for EPC consideration, send comments by **9 am on Monday, October 20th**.

Purpose

The IDO is the regulatory tool to implement the “Centers and Corridors” community vision in the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan (“Comp Plan”). The proposed changes are legislative, affecting properties citywide so that existing communities can benefit from appropriate new development, while being protected from potential adverse effects. The IDO regulations coordinate with the City’s Development Areas – Areas of Change and Consistency – to direct growth to appropriate locations and ensure protections for low-density residential neighborhoods, parks, and Major Public Open Space. The IDO implements the Comp Plan through regulations tailored to the City’s designated Centers and Corridors.

In order for the City’s land use, zoning, and development regulations to stay up-to-date, the IDO requires Planning staff to submit proposed changes every 2 years [IDO § 14-16-6-3(D)]. This biennial update process allows a scheduled discussion among residents, City staff, and decision-makers to consider proposed changes. For the 2025 biennial update, staff collected approximately 100 amendments to improve the clarity and implementation of adopted regulations. Amendments were proposed by Planning staff, members of the public, City Councilors, and the Mayor.

Proposed amendments are compiled into a “Spreadsheet of Proposed Changes – Citywide” and corresponding “Redline Exhibit.” Each proposed change in the table provides an item number, the page and section of the IDO that would be modified, the text that is proposed to change, an explanation of the purpose or intent of the change, and the source of the change (i.e. Staff, Public, Mayor, or Council). The Redline Exhibit includes each proposed change from the spreadsheet, with footnotes matching the corresponding item numbers. Together, these documents are the main body of the Amendment to IDO Text – Citywide application.

You can review and/or download the Proposed Amendments and review process online here:

<https://abq-zone.com/ido-updates-2025>

Justification

The EPC will review proposed amendments based on the Review and Decision Criteria in IDO § 14-16-6-7(D)(3), which generally requires that the IDO regulations be consistent with the Comp Plan and promote public health, safety, and welfare.

The proposed amendments are consistent with Comp Plan policies that direct the City to adopt and maintain an effective regulatory system for land use, zoning, and development review. In general, these amendments are consistent with the following applicable Comp Plan goals and policies.

Goal 4.1 Character: Enhance, protect, and preserve distinct communities.

Policy 4.1.2 Identity and Design: Protect the identity and cohesiveness of neighborhoods by ensuring the appropriate scale and location of development, mix of uses, and character of building design.

Policy 4.1.4 Neighborhoods: Enhance, protect, and preserve neighborhoods and traditional communities as key to our long-term health and vitality.

Goal 5.1 Centers & Corridors: Grow as a community of strong Centers connected by a multi-modal network of Corridors.

Policy 5.1.1 Desired Growth: Capture regional growth in Centers and Corridors to help shape the built environment into a sustainable development pattern.

Policy 5.1.2 Development Areas: Direct more intense growth to Centers and Corridors and use Development Areas to establish and maintain appropriate density and scale of development within areas that should be more stable.

Goal 5.2 Complete Communities: Foster communities where residents can live, work, learn, shop, and play together.

Policy 5.2.1 Land Uses: Create healthy, sustainable, and distinct communities with a mix of uses that are conveniently accessible from surrounding neighborhoods.

Goal 5.3 Efficient Development Patterns: Promote development patterns that maximize the utility of existing infrastructure and public facilities and the efficient use of land to support the public good.

Policy 5.3.1 Infill Development: Support additional growth in areas with existing infrastructure and public facilities.

Policy 5.3.7 Locally Unwanted Land Uses: Ensure that land uses that are objectionable to immediate neighbors but may be useful to society are located carefully and equitably to ensure that social assets are distributed evenly and social responsibilities are borne fairly across the Albuquerque area.

Goal 5.4 Jobs-Housing Balance: Balance jobs and housing by encouraging residential growth near employment across the region and prioritizing job growth west of the Rio Grande.

Policy 5.4.1 Housing Near Jobs: Allow higher-density housing and discourage single-family housing near areas with concentrated employment.

Policy 5.4.2 West Side Jobs: Foster employment opportunities on the West Side.

Goal 5.6 City Development Areas: Encourage and direct growth to Areas of Change where it is expected and desired and ensure that development in and near Areas of Consistency reinforces the character and intensity of the surrounding area.

Policy 5.6.2 Areas of Change: Direct growth and more intense development to Centers, Corridors, industrial and business parks, and Metropolitan Redevelopment Areas, where change is encouraged.

Policy 5.6.3 Areas of Consistency: Protect and enhance the character of existing single-family neighborhoods, areas outside of Centers and Corridors, parks, and Major Public Open Space.

Policy 5.6.4 Appropriate Transitions: Provide transitions in Areas of Change for development abutting Areas of Consistency through adequate setbacks, buffering, and limits on building height and massing.

Goal 5.7 Implementation Processes: Employ procedures and processes to effectively and equitably implement the Comp Plan.

Policy 5.7.2 Regulatory Alignment: Update regulatory frameworks to support desired growth, high quality development, economic development, housing, a variety of transportation modes, and quality of life priorities.

Policy 5.7.4 Streamlined Development: Encourage efficiencies in the development review process.

Goal 7.3 Sense of Place: Reinforce sense of place through context-sensitive design of development and streetscapes.

Policy 7.3.2 Community Character: Encourage design strategies that recognize and embrace the character differences that give communities their distinct identities and make them safe and attractive places.

Policy 7.3.4 Infill: Promote infill that enhances the built environment or blends in style and building materials with surrounding structures and the streetscape of the block in which it is located.

Policy 7.3.5 Development Quality: Encourage innovative and high-quality design in all development.

Goal 7.4 Context-Sensitive Parking: Design parking facilities to match the development context and complement the surrounding built environment.

Policy 7.4.2 Parking Requirements: Establish off-street parking requirements based on development context.

Policy 7.4.3 Off-street Parking Design: Encourage well-designed, efficient, safe, and attractive parking facilities.

Goal 7.5 Context-Sensitive Site Design: Design sites, buildings, and landscape elements to respond to the high desert environment.

Policy 7.5.1 Landscape Design: Encourage landscape treatments that are consistent with the high desert climate to enhance our sense of place.

Goal 9.1 Supply: Ensure a sufficient supply and range of high-quality housing types that meet current and future needs at a variety of price levels to ensure more balanced housing options.

Policy 9.1.1 Housing Options: Support the development, improvement, and conservation of housing for a variety of income levels and types of residents and households.

Policy 9.1.2 Affordability: Provide for mixed-income neighborhoods by encouraging high-quality, affordable, and mixed-income housing options throughout the area.

Policy 9.2.3 Cluster Housing: Encourage housing developments that cluster residential units in order to provide community gathering spaces and/or open space.

Goal 9.4 Homelessness: Make homelessness rare, short-term, and non-recurring.

Policy 9.4.2 Services: Provide expanded options for shelters and services for people experiencing temporary homelessness.

Goal 9.6 Development Process: Promote cost-effective housing redevelopment and construction that meets community needs.

Policy 9.6.2 Incentives: Provide incentives for developing affordable housing for low- and moderate-income households, by aligning development regulations, infrastructure requirements, and fee structures with the priorities of City and County affordable housing programs.

The project team would like to thank those of you who have been involved so far and encourage everyone to participate in the Biennial Update process to help improve the IDO and ensure that it provides appropriate regulations to guide development and improve our community. Please contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Mikaela Renz-Whitmore, AICP
Manager, Urban Design & Development
City of Albuquerque Planning Department
505.924.3860
abcto@cabq.gov

Cc List of Neighborhood Associations

ABQ Park NA	District 8 Coalition of	Las Lomas NA
ABQCore Neighborhood	Neighborhood Associations	Las Terrazas NA
Association	District 9 East Gateway	Laurelwood NA
Academy Estates East NA	Coalition	Lee Acres NA
Academy Hills Park NA	Downtown Neighborhoods	Loma Del Rey NA
Academy North NA	Association	Los Alamos Addition NA
Academy Park HOA	Eastrange Piedra Vista NA	Los Altos Civic Association
Academy Ridge East NA	Eastridge NA	Los Duranes NA
Alamosa NA	EDo NA Incorporated	Los Griegos NA
Altura Addition NA	Elder Homestead NA	Los Poblanos NA
Altura Park NA	Embudo Canyon NA	Los Volcanes NA
Alvarado Gardens NA	Enchanted Park NA	Mark Twain NA
Alvarado Park NA	Fair West NA	Matthew Meadow NA
Anderson Hills NA	Four Hills Village	Incorporated
Antelope Run NA	Association	McDuffie Twin Parks NA
Arroyo Del Oso North NA	Gavilan Addition NA	McKinley NA
Barelas NA	Glenwood Hills NA	Mesa Del Sol NA
Bear Canyon NA	Greater Gardner &	Mile Hi NA
BelAir NA	Monkbridge NA	Molten Rock NA
Campus NA	Heritage East Association of	Mossman NA
Cherry Hills Civic	Residents	Near North Valley NA
Association	Highland Business and NA	Netherwood Park NA
Cibola Loop NA	Incorporated	Nob Hill NA
Cibola NA	Highlands North NA	Nor Este NA
Cielito Lindo NA	Historic Old Town	North Campus NA
Citizens Information	Association	North Domingo Baca NA
Committee of	Hodgin NA	North Eastern Association
Martineztown	Hoffmantown NA	of Residents
Clayton Heights Lomas del	Huning Castle NA	North Valley Coalition
Cielo NA	Huning Highland Historic	Ocate NA
Comanche Foothills NA	District Association	Oso Grande NA
Countrywood Area NA	Indian Moon NA	Paloma Del Sol NA
Crestview Bluff Neighbors	Inez NA	Palomas Park NA
Association	Jerry Cline Park NA	Paradise Hills Civic
Del Norte NA	John B Robert NA	Association
Del Webb Mirehaven NA	Juan Tabo Hills NA	Parkland Hills NA
Delamar NA	Kirtland Community	Parkway NA
District 4 Coalition of	Association	Pat Hurley NA
Neighborhood Associations	La Mesa Community	Peppertree Royal Oak
District 6 Coalition of	Improvement Association	Residents Association
Neighborhood Associations	La Sala Grande NA	Piedras Marcadas NA
District 7 Coalition of	Incorporated	Pueblo Alto NA
Neighborhood Associations	Ladera West NA	Quaker Heights NA

Quigley Park NA
Quintessence NA
Rancho Sereno NA
Raynolds Addition NA
Rio Grande Boulevard NA
Riverview Heights NA
Route 66 West NA
Sandia High School Area NA
Sandia Ridge NA
Santa Barbara
Martineztown NA
Santa Fe Village NA
Sawmill Area NA
Siesta Hills NA
Silver Hill NA
Singing Arrow NA
South Broadway NA
South Guadalupe Trail NA
South Los Altos NA
South San Pedro NA
South West Alliance of
Neighborhoods (SWAN
Coalition)
Southeast Heights NA
Spruce Park NA
SR Marmon NA
Stardust Skies North NA
Stardust Skies Park NA
Stinson Tower NA
Stronghurst Improvement
Association Incorporated
Summit Park NA
Supper Rock NA
Sycamore NA
Taylor Ranch NA
The Courtyards NA
The Quail Springs NA
Thomas Village NA
Tres Volcanes NA
Trumbull Village Association
Tuscany NA
University Heights NA
Valle Prado NA
Vecinos Del Bosque NA
Victory Hills NA
Vineyard Estates NA

Vista Del Mundo NA
Vista Del Norte Alliance
Vista Grande NA
Vista Magnifica Association
Wells Park NA
West Mesa NA
West Old Town NA
West Park NA
Westgate Heights NA
Westside Coalition of
Neighborhood Associations
Wildflower Area NA
Yale Village NA

C) PUBLIC COMMENT

See Separate Attachment.

D) EXHIBITS FOR CONDITIONS

Exhibit: Bassan – A – Infrastructure

Note:

- Edits in **red** are proposed by Councilor Bassan.
- Edits in **blue** are revisions proposed by Staff.

1-7(B)(2)(e) All infrastructure improvements, including but not limited to sidewalks, curb and gutter, pavement, storm drain system, water and sewer, and any other improvements required by the City Engineer, have been constructed within easements or in the public right-of-way **[or have Infrastructure Improvement Agreements infrastructure construction agreements and/or financial guarantees recorded with the Bernalillo County Assessor].**

5-3(D)(1) Sidewalks in Residential Development

Perimeter sidewalks shall be provided in accordance with the DPM, except as noted below **[in Subsection (b) below].**

5-3(D)(1)(a) **[Property owners of platted lots zoned R-A or R-1 lots on blocks that meet both of the following conditions may request deferral of are exempt from the construction of sidewalks and curb and gutter during Subdivision or Site Plan review, as relevant.**

- 1. If the lots are located on blocks that meet both of the following conditions, the deferral request may be justified:**
 - i. The block has sidewalks and curb and gutter constructed, or have commitments to be constructed, on less than 30 percent of the block perimeter.**
 - ii. At least 40 percent of the lots within the block have buildings constructed.]**
- 2. Property owners of lots zoned R-A or R-1 in other locations must provide sufficient alternative justification.**
- 3. If approved, and the property owners shall will have to record an Infrastructure Improvement Agreement agreement with the Bernalillo County Assessor that acknowledges that these lots will be assessed for the cost of sidewalk, curb and gutter improvements when the City constructs these improvements are constructed in the future.**

1. The block has sidewalk, curb and gutter constructed or have commitments to be constructed on less than 30 percent of the block perimeter.

2. At least 40 percent of the lots within the block have buildings constructed.]

5-3(D)(1)(b) In the Los Duranes – CPO-6, a sidewalk at least 4 feet wide shall be provided on at least 1 side of new public residential subdivision streets or on residential private ways that have been dedicated as public right-of-way. A sidewalk on such a street that serves 10 or fewer dwelling units may be accommodated within the minimum required roadway width.

5-4(H) STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

5-4(H)(1) The applicant shall install, at his/her own expense, all site features and infrastructure necessary to retain, detain, and/or infiltrate stormwater to ensure that the new subdivision does not result in surface flooding or unnecessary burden on the City's infrastructure [, except as noted in (2) below].

5-4(H)(2) [Property owners of ~~platted~~ lots zoned R-1 or R-A may are allowed to develop prior to underground drainage improvements at their own risk and shall record an Infrastructure Improvement Agreement agreement acknowledging that these lots will be assessed for the cost of underground drainage improvements when ~~the City constructs~~ these improvements are constructed in the future.]

[5-4(H)(3)] Stormwater management for all subdivisions shall comply with all of the following:

5-4(H)(1)(a) Applicable standards in the DPM.

5-4(H)(1)(b) Applicable standards in Article 14-5 of ROA 1994 (Flood Hazard and Drainage Control).

5-4(H)(1)(c) The requirements of AMAFCA.

[5-4(H)(4)] The developer shall incorporate best management practices for low-impact development stormwater management to minimize stormwater runoff and increase on-site infiltration as described in the DPM.

Explanation:

This amendment looks to make exceptions for sidewalk and drainage improvements in R-1 and R-A zone districts where a certain percentage of the block has already been developed without sidewalk or drainage improvements and would be considered an unnecessary addition to the network at the time of permit submittal. There is language in the amendment that still requires a financial agreement in the case that the City, in the future, decides to construct the recommended sidewalk and drainage facilities.

Exhibit: Fiebelkorn – F – Off-street Parking Minimums

Note:

- Edits in **red** are proposed by Councilor Fiebelkorn.
- Edits in **blue** are revisions proposed by Staff.

Table 5-5-1: Minimum Off-street Parking Requirements	
UC = Urban Center; AC = Activity Center; MS = Main Street area; PT = Premium Transit area	
DU = Dwelling Unit BR = Bedroom GFA = Gross Floor Area	
Design Capacity = Maximum occupancy per building or fire codes, whichever is greater	
Use	IDO Parking Requirement
PERMISSIVE PRIMARY USES	
RESIDENTIAL	
Household Living	
Dwelling, single-family detached	1 space / DU up to 2 BR
Dwelling, mobile home	2 spaces / DU with 3 or more BR {2} [1.6] spaces / mobile home 8 spaces / 5 mobile homes
Dwelling, cluster development	{4} [0.8] space / DU up to 2 BR 4 spaces / 5 DU up to 2 BR {2} [1.6] spaces / DU with 3 or more BR 8 spaces / 5 DU with 3 or more BR
Dwelling, cottage development	{4} [0.8] space / DU 4 spaces / 5 DU R-A and R-1: 2 additional spaces / project for visitors
Dwelling, two-family detached (duplex)	{4} [0.8] space / DU up to 2 BR 4 spaces / 5 DU up to 2 BR
Dwelling, townhouse	{2} [1.6] spaces / DU with 3 or more BR 8 spaces / 5 DU with 3 or more BR
Dwelling, live-work	{2} [1.6] spaces / DU 8 spaces / 5 DU UC-MS-PT: {1} [0.8] space / DU—
Dwelling, multi-family	{1} [0.8] space / studio— {1.2} [0.96] spaces / DU with 1 BR— {1.6} [1.28] spaces / DU with 2 BR 1 space / DU with 2 or fewer BR {1.8} [1.44] spaces / DU with 3 or more BR 1.5 spaces / DU with 3 or more BR UC-MS-PT: {1} [0.8] space / DU—
Group Living	
Assisted living facility or nursing home	Assisted living facility: {4} [0.8] space / 3 beds 4 spaces / 15 beds Nursing home: {4} [0.8] space / 5 residential care beds, but not less than 2 spaces 4 spaces / 25 beds
Community residential facility	{4} [0.8] space / 4 persons design capacity
Group home	1 space / 5 persons design capacity
Dormitory	{4} [0.8] space / 3 persons design capacity 4 spaces / 15 beds

Table 5-5-1: Minimum Off-street Parking Requirements

UC = Urban Center; AC = Activity Center; MS = Main Street area; PT = Premium Transit area

DU = Dwelling Unit BR = Bedroom GFA = Gross Floor Area

Design Capacity = Maximum occupancy per building or fire codes, whichever is greater

Use	IDO Parking Requirement
CIVIC AND INSTITUTIONAL USES	
Adult or child day care facility	1 space / {400} [480] sq. ft. GFA 1 space / 500 sq. ft. GFA
BioPark	UC-MS-PT: 1 space / {600} [720] sq. ft. GFA 1 space / 750 sq. ft. GFA Per BioPark Master Plan
Cemetery	No requirement
Community center or library	2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Correctional facility	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Elementary or middle school	{2} [1.6] spaces / classroom 8 spaces / 5 classrooms
Fire station or police station	2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
High school	1 space / {4} [4.6] seats in main auditorium or {3} [2.4] spaces / classroom, whichever is greater 1 space / 5 seats in main auditorium or 12 spaces / 5 classrooms, whichever is greater
Hospital	{1} [0.8] space / 3 patient beds or 4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA, whichever is greater 4 spaces / 15 patient beds or 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA, whichever is greater
Museum or art gallery	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA, but not less than 2 spaces 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA, but not less than 2 spaces UC-MS-PT: 2 spaces / {1,000}[1,200] sq. ft. GFA, but not less than 2 spaces
Overnight shelter	No requirement
Parks and open space	
Religious institution	1 space / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / {4} [4.6] seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater ^[1] 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / 5 seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater UC-MS-PT: 1 space / {1,500}[1,800] sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / {6}[7.2] seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater^[1]
Sports field	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. of site area where attendees circulate, participate, or watch the recreation 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. of site area where attendees circulate, participate, or watch the recreation
University or college	1 space / {500} [600] sq. ft. GFA of office, research, and library area plus 1 space / {200} [240] sq. ft. GFA of largest auditorium space 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA of office, research, and library area plus 1 space / 250 sq. ft. GFA of largest auditorium space
Vocational school	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA UC-MS-PT: 2 spaces / {1,000}[1,200] sq. ft. GFA

Table 5-5-1: Minimum Off-street Parking Requirements

UC = Urban Center; AC = Activity Center; MS = Main Street area; PT = Premium Transit area

DU = Dwelling Unit BR = Bedroom GFA = Gross Floor Area

Design Capacity = Maximum occupancy per building or fire codes, whichever is greater

Use	IDO Parking Requirement
COMMERCIAL USES	
Agriculture and Animal-related	
Community garden	No requirement
Equestrian facility	No requirement
General agriculture	No requirement
Kennel	2.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Nursery	2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Veterinary hospital	2.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Other pet services	
Food, Beverage, and Indoor Entertainment	
Adult entertainment	1 space / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / {4} [4.6] seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater ^[1] 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / 5 seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater
Auditorium or theater	UC-MS-PT: 1 space / {1,500}[1,800] sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / {6}[7.2] seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater^[1]
Bar	8 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 16 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA UC-MS-PT: 5 spaces / {1,000}[1,200] sq. ft. GFA
Catering service	2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Health club or gym	2.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Mobile food truck court	5 or more mobile food trucks on-site: {2}[1.6] parking spaces per mobile food truck 8 spaces / 5 mobile food trucks
Nightclub	5.6 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 5 spaces / 1,000 sq. ft. GFA UC-MS-PT: 3.5 spaces / {1,000}[1,200] sq. ft. GFA
Residential community amenity	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA plus requirements in Table 5-5-2 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA plus requirements in Table 5-5-2
Restaurant	5.6 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 5 spaces / 1,000 sq. ft. GFA
Tap room or tasting room	UC-MS-PT: 3.5 spaces / {1,000}[1,200] sq. ft. GFA
Other indoor entertainment	1 space / {3}[4] persons design capacity, or per Table 5-5-2, whichever is greater
Lodging	
Bed and breakfast	1 space for manager plus {1}[0.8] space / guest room 1 space for manager plus 4 spaces / 5 guest rooms
Campground or recreational vehicle park	1 space / designated camping or RV spot
Hotel or motel	{1}[0.8] space / guest room or 1 space per 2 beds, whichever is greater 4 spaces / 5 guest rooms or 2 spaces / 5 beds, whichever is greater UC-MS-PT: {2}[1.6] spaces / 3 guest rooms or 1 space per {4}[4.6] beds, whichever is greater

Table 5-5-1: Minimum Off-street Parking Requirements

UC = Urban Center; AC = Activity Center; MS = Main Street area; PT = Premium Transit area

DU = Dwelling Unit BR = Bedroom GFA = Gross Floor Area

Design Capacity = Maximum occupancy per building or fire codes, whichever is greater

Use	IDO Parking Requirement
Motor Vehicle-related	
Car wash	2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA of retail, office, and waiting area 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA of retail, office, and waiting area
Heavy vehicle and equipment sales, rental, fueling, and repair	1 space / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Light vehicle fueling station	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Light vehicle repair	1 space / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Light vehicle sales and rental	2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Outdoor vehicle storage	No requirement
Paid parking lot	
Parking structure	
Offices and Services	
Bank	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA UC-MS-PT: 2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA
Blood services facility	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
	UC-MS-PT: 2.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA
Club or event facility	1 space / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / {4} [4.6] seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater ^[1] 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / 5 seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater UC-MS-PT: 1 space / [1,500][1,800] sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / [6][7.2] seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater^[1]
Commercial services	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Construction contractor facility and yard	No requirement
Crematorium	1 space / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Medical or dental clinic	5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 4 spaces / 1,000 sq. ft. GFA UC-MS-PT: 3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA
Mortuary	1 space / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / {4} [4.6] seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater ^[1] 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA or 1 space / 5 seats in main assembly area, whichever is greater 3.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 7 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA UC-MS-PT: 2.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA
Office	
Personal and business services, small	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA UC-MS-PT: 2.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA
Personal and business services, large	
Research or testing facility	1.5 space / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 3 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Self-storage	1 space / {3,000} [3,600] sq. ft. GFA 1 space / 5,000 sq. ft. GFA

Table 5-5-1: Minimum Off-street Parking Requirements

UC = Urban Center; AC = Activity Center; MS = Main Street area; PT = Premium Transit area

DU = Dwelling Unit BR = Bedroom GFA = Gross Floor Area

Design Capacity = Maximum occupancy per building or fire codes, whichever is greater

Use	IDO Parking Requirement
Outdoor Recreation and Entertainment	
Amphitheater	1 space / {4} [4.6] seats in main assembly area ^[1] 1 space / 5 seats in main assembly area
Balloon Fiesta Park events and activities	Per parking study or adopted Master Plan
Drive-in theater	No requirement
Fairgrounds	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. of site area where attendees circulate, participate, or watch activities 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA of site area where attendees circulate, participate, or watch activities
Residential community amenity	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA plus requirements in Table 5-5-2 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA plus requirements in Table 5-5-2
Stadium or racetrack	1 space / {4} [4.6] seats in main assembly area ^[1] 1 space / 5 seats in main assembly area
Other outdoor entertainment	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA plus requirements in Table 5-5-2 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA plus requirements in Table 5-5-2
Retail Sales	
Adult retail	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Bakery goods or confectionery shop	UC-MS-PT: 2.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA-
Building and home improvement materials store	2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Cannabis retail	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Farmers' market	No requirement
General retail	Establishments ≤ 10,000 sq. ft. GFA: 3.5 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 7 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA Establishments > 10,000 sq. ft. – ≤50,000 sq. ft. GFA: 3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA Establishments > 50,000 sq. ft. GFA: 2.3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 4.5 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Grocery store	UC-MS-PT: 1.75 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA-
Liquor retail	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Pawn shop	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Transportation	
Airport	Determined by airport management
Freight terminal or dispatch center	No requirement
Helipad	No requirement
Park-and-ride lot	No requirement
Railroad yard	No requirement
Transit facility	Determined by transportation authority

Table 5-5-1: Minimum Off-street Parking Requirements

UC = Urban Center; AC = Activity Center; MS = Main Street area; PT = Premium Transit area

DU = Dwelling Unit BR = Bedroom GFA = Gross Floor Area

Design Capacity = Maximum occupancy per building or fire codes, whichever is greater

Use	IDO Parking Requirement
INDUSTRIAL USES	
Manufacturing, Fabrication, and Assembly	
Artisan manufacturing	1 space / [1,000] [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Cannabis cultivation	1 space / [1,000] [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Cannabis-derived products manufacturing	
Light manufacturing	
Heavy manufacturing	1 space / [5,000] [6,000] sq. ft. GFA 4 spaces / 25,000 sq. ft. GFA
Natural resource extraction	No requirement
Special manufacturing	1 space / [1,000] [1,200] sq. ft. GFA 2 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA
Telecommunications, Towers, and Utilities	
Electric utility	No requirement
Energy Storage Systems (ESS)	
Geothermal energy generation	
Major utility, other	
Solar energy generation	
Wind energy generation	
Wireless Telecommunications Facility (WTF)	
Waste and Recycling	
Recycling drop-off bin facility	No requirement
Solid waste convenience center	
Salvage yard	
Waste and/or recycling transfer station	
Wholesaling and Storage	
Above-ground storage of fuels or feed	No requirement
Outdoor storage	
Warehousing	
Wholesaling and distribution center	1 space / [2,000] [2,400] sq. ft. GFA 1 space / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA

Table 5-5-1: Minimum Off-street Parking Requirements

UC = Urban Center; AC = Activity Center; MS = Main Street area; PT = Premium Transit area

DU = Dwelling Unit BR = Bedroom GFA = Gross Floor Area

Design Capacity = Maximum occupancy per building or fire codes, whichever is greater

Use	IDO Parking Requirement
ACCESSORY AND TEMPORARY USES	
ACCESSORY USES	
Agriculture sales stand	No requirement
Animal keeping	
Automated Teller Machine (ATM)	No requirement ^[2]
Drive-through or drive-up facility	No requirement ^[2]
Dwelling unit, accessory	1 space / accessory dwelling unit
Family care facility	No requirement
Family home day care	
Garden	
Hobby breeder	
Home occupation	
Independent living facility	{1} [0.8] space / DU 4 spaces / 5 DU
Mobile food truck	No requirement
Mobile vending cart	
Outdoor animal run	
Outdoor dining area	3 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. GFA outdoor seating space 6 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. GFA outdoor seating space UC-AC-MS-PT: No requirement
Second kitchen in a dwelling	{1} [0.8] additional space required No requirement
Other use accessory to non-residential primary use	No requirement
Other use accessory to residential primary use	
TEMPORARY USES	
Circus	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. of site area where attendees circulate, participate, or watch activities 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. of site area where attendees circulate, participate, or watch activities
Construction staging area, trailer, or office	No requirement
Dwelling, temporary	1 space / DU
Fair, festival, or theatrical performance	4 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. of site area where attendees circulate, participate, or watch activities 8 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. of site area where attendees circulate, participate, or watch activities
Garage or yard sale	No requirement
Hot air balloon takeoff/landing	
Open air market	
Park-and-ride facility, temporary	
Real estate office or model home	2 spaces / {1,000} [1,200] sq. ft. of office 4 spaces / 2,500 sq. ft. of office
Safe outdoor space	{2} [1] spaces / project site
Seasonal outdoor sales	{2} [1.6] parking spaces per vendor stall 8 spaces / 5 vendor stalls
[1] 30 in. pew or bench space = 1 seat. If the minimum off-street parking requirement is for seats in a main assembly area, but the proposed main assembly area will not have seats, then the measurement shall be 1 space / 3 persons design capacity.	
[2] Stacking space requirements in Subsection 14-16-5-5(l) apply if designed to be accessed from motor vehicles.	

E) IDO REDLINE EXHIBIT

See Separate Attachment