# Proposed amendments to the IDO for O-22-54

June 21<sup>st</sup> City Council Meeting

Amendment	Торіс	Note				
B1	Neighborhood Edge (Remove)					
B2	Parking Reductions (Remove)	These amendments have conflicting policy direction. If it's the will of the				
B3(a)	(a) Clean-ups to O-54	Council, at most, one should be approved but not both.				
B4	Duplex - Remove					
B5	ADU - Remove	Amendments B5 and B6 propose conflicting policy directions.				
B6	ADU – Conditional Use	Amendments B5 and B6 propose conflicting policy directions. If amendment B5 passes, this amendment is not necessary				
B7	ADU - Setbacks					
B8	ADU or Duplex	If amendment B4 <b>or</b> B5 passes, this amendment is not necessary				
B9	ADU – Structure Height					
B10	ADUs – Small Areas	If amendment B5 passes, this amendment is not necessary.				
B11	Whereas Clauses					
B12	Microwave requirement for residential conversions					
B13	Building Height Bonuses (Remove)					
B14	Development Opportunity					
B15	SDP Review - Whereas					
B16	Housing Affordability - Whereas					

B1 – Neighborhood Edge (Remove)

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

#### June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Isaac Benton and Trudy Jones

Remove the following from Section 3 from LUPZ Amendment A8 as follows:

[(C) Revise Neighborhood Edges in Section 14-16-5-9(B)(1) Protected Lots as follows:]

a. [The] Neighborhood Edges provisions in this Section 14-16-5-9 are intended to protect lots in any R-A, R-1, R-MC, or R-T zone district that contains low-density residential development [, unless specified otherwise].

[b. Neighborhood Edge provisions in Subsection 14–16–5–9(C)(3) and (4) are intended to protect lots in any R–ML or MX–T zone district that contain any allowable land use from the Residential category in Table 4–2–1.]

[Edit Subsection 14-16-5-9(B)(2) Regulated Lots as follows:]

[a.] Lots regulated by this Section 14-16-5-9 include all those in any R-ML, R-MH, Mixeduse, or Non-residential zone district that are adjacent to a Protected Lot [pursuant to 14-16-5-9(B)(1)(a), unless specified otherwise].

[b. Lots regulated by Subsection 14-16-5-9(C)(2) include all those in any R-MH, MX-L, MX-M, or MX-H zone district that is adjacent to a Protected Lot pursuant to 14-16-5-9(B)(1)(b).

Revise Subsection 14-16-5-9(C) Building Height Stepdown as follows:

[5-9(C)(3) R-ML or MX-T next to MX-L, MX-M, or MX-H Zone Districts

On Regulated lots pursuant to Subsection 14-16-5-9(B)(2)(b), any portion of a primary or accessory building within 50 feet of the nearest property line of a Protected Lot pursuant to Subsection 14-16-5-9(C)(1)(b) shall step down to a maximum of 48 feet.]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to remove a portion of an amendment that passed at LUPZ which added a New Neighborhood Edge requirement intended to offer building height protections to residential development in the R-ML and MX-T zone districts. Staff has looked further into the impacts of this amendment and there may be unintended consequences that warrant this amendment to not be added to the IDO at

this time. The result of this amendment is that the existing Neighborhood Edge provisions in the IDO will remain but the new proposed Neighborhood Edge provision will not be added.

B2 – Parking Reductions (Remove)

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

#### June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Louie Sanchez

- 1. In O-22-54, rescind committee amendments A9 and A10
- 2. In O-22-54, strike sections 5 and 6 of the bill in their entirety. Renumber subsequent sections as required.

Explanation: This amendment proposes to rescind two committee amendments that passed at LUPZ:

- 1. A9 This amendment proposed a 20% parking reduction for multi-family development in UC-AC-MS-MT areas if 20% or more of the units qualified as Workforce Housing
- 2. A10 This amendment prosed a general 30% reduction for all multi-family development.

This amendment would also strike sections 5 and 6 in the original bill. These sections were the original proposed changes to parking for multi-family development.

The end result of this amendment is that there would no changes to parking requirements for multi-family development in the bill.

Note: Amendments B2 and B3(a) have conflicting policy direction. The Council should only approve one or neither, but should not approve both.

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

#### June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Isaac Benton and Trudy Jones

- 1. In Amendment A10, amend the text in Section 1 as follows:
  - 1. [Beginning on page 9, line 16,] delete section[s] [5 and] 6 in [their] [its] entirety. Create a new subsection [5] [6] as follows:

Explanation: This amendment provides technical fixes to amendments passed by the LUPZ committee. These changes will fix unintended errors made in various amendments passed by LUPZ or errors caused by multiple amendments which sought to amend the same sections of the code. Overall, this change will honor the intention of the amendments as they were proposed and approved by the committee and rectify discrepancies where the original intention was lost.

More specifically, amendments A9 and A10 both sought to make changes to sections 5 and 6 of the bill. Due to the order in which the amendments were passed, one of the LUPZ amendments that was affirmed by the committee was erroneously stricken from the bill. This was not the intention of the sponsors of the amendment nor the will of the committee.

Note: Amendments B2 and B3(a) have conflicting policy direction related to proposed parking reductions in the bill. The Council should only approve one or neither, but should not approve both.

B4 – Duplexes - Remove

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

#### June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Louie Sanchez

1. On page 5, line 16, delete Section 1 of the bill in its entirety and renumber subsequent sections as necessary.

Explanation: This amendment proposes to delete the section of the bill that would make duplexes a permissive use in the R-1 zone district. If passed, duplexes would not be allowed in the R-1 zone district generally, but would continue to be allowed in R-1A as allowed by the IDO today.

# B5 – ADU - Remove

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

#### June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>O-22-54</u>

AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Louie Sanchez

1. On page 5, line 30, amend Section 2 of the bill as follows by deleting or adding text as depicted in red.:

SECTION 2. AMEND THE INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE TO <del>[ALLOW DETACHED ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS WITH KITCHENS PERMISSIVELY IN THE R-1 ZONE DISTRICT CITYWIDE, EXCEPT IN SMALL AREAS WHERE SPECIAL REGULATIONS APPLY.]</del> [CLARIFY PROVISIONS RELATED TO ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS IN AREAS WHERE THEY ARE ALLOWED.]

[(A) Revise Table 4-2-1 to remove "A" from Dwelling Unit, Accessory without Kitchen in the following zone districts: R-MH, MX-L, MX-M, NR-C, NR-BP, NR-LM, NR-GM, and NR-PO-B.

(B) Revise Table 4-2-1 to remove "A" from Dwelling Unit, Accessory with Kitchen for the R-MH zone district.

(C) Revise §14-16-4-3(F)(5)(a) as follows:

"Where this use is allowed, only 1 accessory dwelling unit is allowed per lot and shall be limited to 750 square feet of gross floor area. <u>A</u> garage attached to the accessory dwelling unit shall not count toward this size limit. See Table 4-2-1 for the zone districts where this use is allowed and Subsection 14-16-4-3(F)(5)(e) for the small areas where accessory dwelling units with kitchens are <u>only</u> allowed <u>conditionally</u> in the R-1 zone district <u>or have</u> <u>special regulations</u>.

1. Where added as accessory to a single-family or two-family detached dwelling, this use must be provided as an accessory building and may be provided without a kitchen.

a. A renovation to create a second unit with a kitchen, a separate entrance, and no shared spaces in a single-family dwelling is regulated separately as a twofamily detached dwelling in Table 4-2-1.

b. A second kitchen within a single-family or two-family detached dwelling is regulated separately as an allowable accessory use in Table 4-2-1.]

1. If accessory to residential development in any zone district,

the accessory dwelling unit can be attached or detached.

2. In a Mixed-use or Non-residential zone district, an accessory dwelling unit may be added for the caretaker of a primary non-residential use, either attached or

### B5 – ADU - Remove

detached to the building with a primary use, and must include a kitchen." 2. If accessory to a non-residential use in any Mixed-use zone district, the accessory dwelling unit shall be attached to the building with the non-residential use.

3. In a Non-residential zone district, the accessory dwelling unit is allowed for the caretaker of the primary non-residential use and may be attached or detached.]

[A] [(D)] Delete §14-16-4-3(F)(5)(b) and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly.

["When an accessory dwelling unit is attached to a primary dwelling, only 1 dwelling unit entrance may face the front lot line."]

[(E) Revise §14-16-4-3(F)(5)(e) as follows:

"Accessory dwelling units with <u>or without</u> a kitchen are <u>allowed as a permissive</u> <u>accessory use</u> prohibited in the R-1 zone district, with exceptions where they are allowed as permissive or conditional accessory uses in certain Center and Corridor areas and in certain small areas as specified below and as allowed pursuant to Subsection (f) below. Where allowed as a conditional accessory use, a Conditional Use Approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A) is required."

(F) Delete §14-16-4-3(F)(5)(e)1 and renumber subsequent subsections accordingly.

**"Near Premium Transit and Main Street Areas** 

Accessory dwelling units with a kitchen are a permissive accessory use within 1,320 feet (¼ mile) of PT and MS areas. An accessory dwelling unit shall not exceed 750 square feet of gross floor area."

(G) Revise §14-16-4-3(F)(5)(g) as follows:

"In the <u>small areas in Subsection (e) above that require a Conditional Use</u> <u>Approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A) for accessory dwelling units with</u> <u>kitchens</u> R-1 zone district, accessory dwelling units without kitchens require a Conditional Use Approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A), except in areas where accessory dwelling units with kitchens are allowed permissively pursuant to Subsection (e) above."]

[(<u>B</u>)] [(H)] Revise the definition of Dwelling Unit, Accessory in §14-16-7-1 as follows:

"A dwelling unit that is accessory to a primary single-family or two-family detached dwelling or [a] non-residential [primary] use. [Accessory dwelling units may be attached to the primary dwelling, contained within the primary dwelling, or built as a detached building.] This IDO distinguishes between accessory dwelling units with and without a kitchen. [A detached accessory dwelling unit is also considered an accessory building.] See also [Dwelling Definitions for Dwelling, Live/Work; Dwelling, Single-family Detached; and Dwelling, Two-family Detached (Duplex); Kitchen; and] Measurement Definitions for Accessory Dwelling Unit."

# B5 – ADU - Remove

Explanation: This amendment proposes to delete the sections of the bill that would make Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) a permissive use in the R-1 zone district in areas where they are currently prohibited. If passed, ADUs would not be allowed in the R-1 zone district more generally, but would continue to be allowed in R-1 zoned areas that are currently mapped in which they are allowed either permissively or conditionally, per the regulations in those areas.

This amendment does not delete portions of the ordinance that propose to amend language in the IDO that seek to clarify standards and definitions associated with ADUs. Retaining these portions of the bill will help the Planning Department with the enforcement of existing ADU regulations as they will continue to be legal in certain areas of town that are mapped today.

Note: This amendment proposes a conflicting policy direction with Amendment B6.

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

### June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>O-22-54</u>

AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Renee Grout

1. On page 5, line 30, amend SECTION 2 as follows:

SECTION 2. AMEND THE INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE TO ALLOW DETACHED ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS WITH KITCHENS [PERMISSIVELY] [WITH A CONDITIONAL USE APPROVAL] IN THE R-1 [AND R-A] ZONE DISTRICT[S] CITYWIDE, EXCEPT IN SMALL AREAS [AND CENTERS AND CORRIDORS] WHERE SPECIAL REGULATIONS APPLY.

2. On page 6, 10-13 amend the text as follows:

See Table 4-2-1 for the zone districts where this use is allowed and Subsection 14-16-4-3(F)(5)(e) for [the small areas] where accessory dwelling units with kitchens are [only] allowed [conditionally] [permissively] in the R-1 zone district [or have special regulations].

3. On page 7, line 7, replace SECTION 2, subsection (E) with the following:

(E) Revise §14-16-4-3(F)(5)(e) as follows:

"Accessory dwelling units with [or without] a kitchen [are allowed as a conditional accessory use] [prohibited] in the R-1 zone district, with exceptions where they are allowed as permissive [or conditional accessory] use[s] in certain Center and Corridor areas and in certain small areas as specified below and as allowed pursuant to Subsection (f) below. Where allowed as a conditional accessory use, a Conditional Use Approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A) is required."

 On page 7, line 15, delete subsection (F) in its entirety, resulting in 14-16-4-3(F)(5)(e)1 remaining in the IDO.

Explanation: This amendment responds to public comment requesting that ADUs citywide be a conditional use instead of a permissive use, with the exception of existing small area regulations where other rules already apply. The Conditional Use process requires a public meeting and notification to surrounding property owners and applicable neighborhood associations. The Zoning Hearing Examiner will consider

# B6 – ADU – Conditional Use

justification for the ADU request related to the mitigation of potential harm on the surrounding community, in addition to other review and decision criteria.

This amendment would retain special rules for areas of Albuquerque that regulate ADUs individually. For example, ADUs are a permissive use within 1/4 mile of Urban Centers, Main Street Corridors, and Premium Transit Corridors and that would remain in place if a Conditional Use requirement was instituted for the rest of the City.

Note: This amendment proposes a conflicting policy direction with Amendment B5. If Amendment B5 passes, this amendment is not necessary.

# B7 - ADU - Setbacks

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

# June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Renee Grout

1. On Page 8, Line 9, add a new subsection as follows:

[Add a new use-specific standard to Dwelling Unit, Accessory as follows and renumber subsequent sections as required:

4-3(F)(5)(c) Setbacks for Accessory Dwelling Units are as follows: Side, minimum: 5 feet Rear, minimum: 5 feet]

Explanation: This amendment will require that any Accessory Dwelling Unit be set back a minimum of 5 feet from the rear lot line and a minimum of 5 feet from the side lot line. Currently, accessory structures do not have a minimum required setback from the rear or side lot line.

The Fire Code (via the building code) would require that any ADU be at least 10 feet from another building (not from a lot line) unless a specific quality of fireproof materials are provided in the construction (as approved through the building permit process). Existing regulations in the IDO require that any ADU be at least 10 feet from any other building on the lot. ADUs are not permitted to be in front of an existing building on a lot, so a front setback is not necessary to regulate.

# B8 – ADU or Duplex

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

# June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>O-22-54</u>

AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Renee Grout

In Section 1, on Page 5, line 30, create a new subsection (C) as follows:

[(C) Create a new use-specific standard in 4-3(B)(5) as follows: 4-3(B)(5)(b) This use is prohibited on lots with an Accessory Dwelling Unit.]

In Section 2, on page 8, Line 9, create a new subsection as follows:

[Create a new use-specific standard in 4-3(F)(5) as follows and renumber subsequent subsections as necessary: 4-3(F)(5)(b) This use is prohibited on lots with a two-family detached dwelling (duplex).

Explanation: This amendment proposes to allow either a duplex <u>or</u> an accessory dwelling unit to occur on a lot within an R-1 zone district, but not both.

Note: If amendment B4 or B5 passes, this amendment is not necessary.

# B9 – ADU – Structure Height

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

#### June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>O-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Isaac Benton and Trudy Jones

1. On page 5, line 30, amend Section 2 of the bill to add a new subsection as follows where "XX" should be replaced with the appropriate subsection number upon codification:

[(XX) Revise §14-16-4-3(F)(5) to add a new subsection as follows: §14-16-4-3(F)(5)(XX) An Accessory Dwelling Unit shall be no taller than the primary structure on the property.

Explanation: This amendment proposes to create a new use-specific standard to require that an Accessory Dwelling Unit not be taller than the primary structure on the property.

Today, the IDO regulates the height of <u>all</u> accessory buildings as depicted below. The below provision only limits the height of accessory buildings when that building is located with any required setback. Accessory Dwelling Units do not have a setback requirement, so by default the building height of an Accessory Dwelling Unit is not limited beyond the height restrictions of the base zone district (26 feet in the R-1 zone district).

5-11(C)(4)(b) An accessory building in any required setback shall not exceed the height of the primary building and any applicable height limitations in Subsection 14-16-5-10 (Solar Access) unless it is in a required street side setback, where it shall not exceed the maximum height of a wall or fence allowed by Subsection 14-16-5-7(D).

An Accessory Building is defined as follows and could include a variety of types of buildings such as sheds, detached garages, accessory dwelling units, RV storage, or greenhouses, for example:

**Accessory Building** A building detached from and, except in the case of agricultural support buildings like barns in the R-A zone district, smaller than the primary building on the same lot. The use of an accessory building shall be subordinate and customarily incidental to the primary use of the lot.

# B9 – ADU – Structure Height

The result of this amendment is that an Accessory Dwelling Unit on a property would be limited in height based on the primary structure on the property. Other types of accessory buildings would not be subject to the same limitation.

# B10 – ADUs – Small Areas

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

# June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Brook Bassan

1. On page 7, line 22, amend subsection (G):

(G) [Revise] [Delete] §14-16-4-3(F)(5)(g) as follows:

["In the small areas in Subsection (e) above that require a Conditional Use Approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A) for accessory dwelling units with kitchens R-1 zone district, accessory dwelling units without kitchens require a Conditional Use Approval pursuant to Subsection 14-16-6-6(A), except in areas where accessory dwelling units with kitchens are allowed permissively pursuant to Subsection (e) above."]

2. In Section 2 of the bill, create a new subsection in alphabetical order as appropriate as follows:

[Amend Small Area provisions in 4-3(F)(5)(e) in which Accessory Dwelling Units require a Conditional Use to remove the Conditional Use requirement.]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to remove the Conditional Use permit requirement for ADUs in areas that have them today. This will make ADUs permissive in all R-1 zoned parcels in the City. The areas in which ADUs require a Conditional Use permit today are Barelas, Huning Highland, South Broadway, and University Neighborhoods.

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

# June 21st, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Brook Bassan

1. On page 2, line 20, delete the following Whereas clause:

[WHEREAS, the City has the responsibility to establish land use and zoning regulations that respond to changes in the supply and demand for housing; and]

2. On page 2, line 28, delete the following Whereas clause:

[WHEREAS, the City's point-in-time count identified over 1300 people experiencing homelessness in 2022; and]

3. On page 4, line 9, revise the following Whereas clause:

[WHEREAS, the history of R-1 zoning includes efforts to exclude people [of color] [outside the dominant race] and lower-income households; and]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to delete three Whereas clauses in the legislation:

- 1. The City Council, not the City, has a responsibility to establish land use and zoning regulations as chartered by the State of New Mexico. To say that the City has a responsibility to address the supply and demand of housing stretches the intention of the authority of the City Council in a way that is not necessarily accurate.
- 2. There is concern that the "point-in-time" counts are not accurate. The legislation should not reference data that can't be confirmed at this time.
- 3. Using language such as "the dominant race" is not objective and can be construed as inflammatory. Changing the language to "people of color" is more factually accurate.

B12 – Microwave Requirement for Residential Conversions

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

#### June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Louis Sanchez

Delete Action 1 of Floor Amendment B3(b) and delete Action 1 of LUPZ Committee Amendment A7 to replace the text in O-54, page 8, Line 27, Section 3.2.c, to read as follows:

2.c.[A countertop surface, a microwave, an additional appliance for warming food (such as an induction cooktop), and at least 2 electrical outlets that allow appliances to be plugged in safely.]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to add a requirement that conversions of nonresidential uses to residential uses must include a microwave in addition to another appliance for warming food.

At the June 5<sup>th</sup> City Council meeting, Councilor Sanchez asked staff to investigate if certain HUD funds require certain kitchen elements for those funds to be used for housing. City Council staff received a response from the City's Department of Family and Community Services that indicated any tenant seeking to use a Section 8 voucher is required to live in a unit that has at least a microwave in it. The proposed language in this bill says that a microwave OR induction cooktop is acceptable. The result of this could be that the IDO would allow units to be created with only an induction cooktop and tenants with Section 8 vouchers would not be able to live in them.

B13 – Building Height Bonuses (Remove)

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

#### June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Klarissa Peña

- 1. In LUPZ Amendment A8, strike sections 1 and 5 related to the addition of new or additional building height bonuses in the IDO.
- 2. In O-22-54, revise Section 4 as follows, striking sections (A) and (B) of the bill as follows. Renumber subsequent provisions in Section 4 as necessary to accommodate provisions added at LUPZ.

SECTION 4. AMEND THE INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE [RELATED TO BUILDING HEIGHT PROVISIONS.] [TO ELIMINATE BUILDING HEIGHT MAXIMUMS FOR MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AND MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT.]

<u>[A. Revise Table 5-1-1 by replacing the Workforce Housing Bonus in the R-MH zone</u> <u>district with the following text: "No maximum building height for multi-family residential</u> <u>development"</u>

B. Revise Table 5-1-2 by replacing the Workforce Housing Bonus in Mixed-use zone districts with the following text: "No maximum building height for multi-family residential development 15 or mixed-use development".]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to rescind the building height bonuses that were approved by the LUPZ committee. The LUPZ Committee amendment (A8) proposed to add new or additional building height bonuses for development in certain residential and mixed-use zones. The proposed building height bonuses are summarized below in red text and are applicable to multi-family and/or workforce housing projects only.

The result of this amendment is:

- Prohibitions on building height bonuses in VPOs, CPOs, and HPOs would remain in the bill
- The prohibition on building height bonuses within 330 feet of Major Public Open Space would remain in the bill
- Building height bonuses that exist in the IDO today would remain unaffected

# B12 – Building Height Bonuses (Remove)

	мх-т	MX-L	•	R-M	H	MX	M	МХ-Н
Maximum Building Height								
Citywide	30 ft.	38 ft.	48	48 ft. 48 ft.		ft.	68 ft.	
UC-MS-PT	N/A	55 ft.	6!	5 ft.	65	65 ft. 75 ft.		ft.
Workforce Housing Bonus								
Citywide	N/A	<u>12 ft.</u>	Ľ	2 ft.	<u>12 ft.</u> <u>1</u>		<u>12 ft.</u>	
UC-MS-PT-MT	12 ft.	<u>24 ft.</u>	24	<mark>4 ft.</mark>	<u>24 ft.</u>		<u>24 ft.</u>	
Structured Parking Bonus	N/A	12 ft.	Ν	/A	12 ft.		24 ft.	
<u> Multi-family Bonus</u>								
Area of Change	<u>12 ft.</u>	<u>24 ft.</u>	24	<u>4 ft.</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>ft.</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>ft.</u>
Area of Consistency	<u>N/A</u>	<u>12 ft.</u>	Ľ	<u>2 ft.</u>	12	<u>ft.</u>	12	<u>ft.</u>

# B14 – Development Opportunity

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

# June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Klarissa Peña

1. On Page 4, Line 1 of O-22-54, add the following Whereas clause:

[WHEREAS, while the Integrated Development Ordinance focuses on infill development, there is great opportunity for development that contributes to the existing built environment in areas that are not considered infill areas, especially in regions where there is an imbalance of housing to services; and]

#### 2. Create a new section and renumber subsequent sections as required:

SECTION XX. PURPOSE STATEMENT [Amend page 1 of the IDO to add a new purpose statement as follows:

<u>1-3(P) Encourage development in all areas of the city, especially where there is an imbalance of housing to services.</u> <u>1-3(Q) Promote development in regions of the City that are not considered infill areas.</u>]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to add a Whereas clause to the bill and a "Purpose Statement" to the IDO related to development in areas of Albuquerque where there is an existing imbalance of services (commercial development) to housing (residential development).

# B15 – SDP Review - Whereas

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

### June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Klarissa Peña

1. On Page 4, Line 1 of O-22-54, add the following Whereas clauses:

[WHEREAS, City Staff should rereview Sector Development Plans that were rescinded when the Integrated Development Ordinance was adopted to identify any new small mapped areas that should be created if it's determined that regulations in any of those plans are significantly different than what was adopted in the IDO.]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to add a Whereas clause to the bill that suggests city staff should review sector development plans that were rescinded by the adoption of the IDO. This analysis would focus on any need to create additional small mapped areas in the instance that regulations in any of those SDPs are significantly different than what was adopted in the IDO.

B16 – Housing Affordability - Whereas

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

### June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Klarissa Peña

1. On Page 4, Line 1 of O-22-54, add the following Whereas clauses:

[WHEREAS, proposed zoning provisions such as those included in this bill are not guaranteed to increase the amount or availability of affordable housing; and] [WHEREAS, the City Administration should create a plan to present to the City Council on strategies beyond land use entitlements that can measurably increase the amount of affordable housing in the Cty; and]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to add a Whereas clause to the bill related to the assertion made by the Administration that these changes will definitively increase affordable housing in the City. This amendment adds an additional Whereas clause that suggests the City Administration should create a plan related to the creation of affordable housing that goes beyond only land use entitlements, as land use entitlements alone can't be the only approach if the City wants to be successful in incentivizing or creating enough affordable housing.

B17 – Affordable Housing Distribution

#### CITY COUNCIL of the CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

# June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2023

FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ TO <u>0-22-54</u>

#### AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY COUNCILOR: Klarissa Peña

1. Create a new section in O-54 and renumber subsequent sections as required:

<u>[SECTION XX. DISTRIBUTION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING</u> Add a new use-specific standard in appropriate numerical order to Dwelling, Multi-Family as follows:

4-3(B)(8)(xx) Upon approval of a multi-family development in which 50% or more of the units are intended for persons that make 65% or less than AMI, subsequent developments with the same income restrictions may only be approved in a City Council district once the City has approved the same number of multi-family developments with the same income restrictions in all other City Council districts.]

Explanation: This amendment proposes to add a new use-specific standard to the Multi-Family use that will only allow certain affordable housing projects to be approved in each City Council district until such time that all other City Council districts have also received similar affordable housing developments.