



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR requests a special exception to Section 14-16-2-20(12)(b)(1) : a VARIANCE request of 21 ft to the max allowed 29 ft above road grade to allow a proposed upgrade of an off premise sign for all or a portion of Lot unplatted, unplatted zoned SU-2 M-1 or SU-2 C, located on 4511 PASEO DEL NORTE NE (C-17)

Special Exception No:..... **15ZHE-80238**
Project No:..... **Project# 1010594**
Hearing Date:..... 11-17-15
Closing of Public Record:..... 11-17-15
Date of Decision: 12-02-15

On the 17th day of November, 2015 (hereinafter “**Hearing**”) CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR (hereinafter “**Applicant**”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (hereinafter “**ZHE**”) requesting a Variance of 21 ft to the max allowed 29 ft above road grade to allow a proposed upgrade of an off premise sign (hereinafter “**Application**”) upon the real property located at 4511 PASEO DEL NORTE NE (“**Subject Property**”). Below are the findings of facts:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 21 ft to the max allowed 29 ft above road grade to allow a proposed upgrade of an off premise sign.
2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) “SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS – VARIANCE” reads in part: “A variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:
(a) The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;
(b) There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;
(c) Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and
(d) Substantial justice is done.”
3. This application was originally heard by the ZHE on the October 20, 2015 agenda. The ZHE deferred the matter, requesting that the parties confer to ensure that Applicant’s request did not interfere with the sight lines of other billboard owners,

and to bring forward photographic simulations of the proposed billboard height increase.

4. Applicant has subsequently confirmed its analysis that the requested variance will not result in injury to other property owners in the context of blocking views to other billboards.
5. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the Application is not going to be: **(i)** contrary to the public interest, **(ii)** injurious to the community; or **(iii)** injurious to the property/improvements located in the nearby vicinity of the Subject Property. Specifically, the increased sign height will not block views or light, interfere with traffic or otherwise create a nuisance or injurious situation, as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (a). Further, the Application and testimony of the Applicant at the Hearing suggest that there is no neighborhood opposition to the Application.
6. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that there are “special circumstances” applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity, as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (b). Specifically, the Paseo del Norte overpass (“flyover”) has resulted in the existing roadbed being raised to such a height that the existing sign is not readily visible to the intended viewing motorists on Paseo del Norte, which is a condition not affecting other similar properties in the vicinity.
7. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the special circumstances presented hereinabove were not “self-imposed”, and that those special circumstances create an unnecessary hardship upon the Applicant. Specifically, the construction of the flyover was not the Applicant’s doing and the roadbed height’s nearly complete interference with the purpose of Applicant’s sign constitutes an “*unjustified limitation on the reasonable use of the Subject Property*” [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (c)]
8. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (d)]
9. Applicant testified at the Hearing that the yellow “Notice of Hearing” signs were posted for the required time period as articulated within City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances § 14-16-4-2 (B) (4).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Applicant has met their burden of submitting an Application that provides evidence that satisfies the elements required within §14-16-4-2 (C) (2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a VARIANCE of 21 ft to the max allowed 29 ft above road grade to allow a proposed upgrade of an off premise sign.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in the manner described below:

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.


Christopher L. Graeser, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
annjarrell@clearchannell.com