



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

MARIA YODER (CARL A GARCIA, AGENT) requests a special exception to Section 14-16-2-6(B)(14) : a CONDITIONAL USE to allow a wall over 3 ft high where height is normally limited to 3 ft in height for all or a portion of Lot 39, Block 33D, Princess Jeanne Park Addn zoned R-1, located on 11521 SAN JACINTO AV NE (K-21)

Special Exception No:..... **17ZHE-80079**
Project No:..... **Project# 1011210**
Hearing Date:..... 05-16-17
Closing of Public Record:..... 05-16-17
Date of Decision: 05-31-17

On the 16th day of May, 2017, CARL A GARCIA (“Agent”) acting as agent on behalf of the property owner MARIA YODER (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a conditional use to allow wall over 3 ft high where height is normally limited to 3 ft in height (“Application”) upon the real property located at 11521 SAN JACINTO AV NE (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a conditional use to allow a wall over 3 ft high where height is normally limited to 3 ft in height.
2. The City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances Section 14-16-4-2(C)(1) (Special Exceptions – Conditional Use) reads: “*A conditional use shall be approved if and only if, in the circumstances of the particular case and under conditions imposed, the use proposed:*
(a) *Will not be injurious to the adjacent property, the neighborhood, or the community;*
(b) *Will not be significantly damaged by surrounding structures or activities.*”
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-4-2(C).
4. The ZHE finds that in the proposed use will not be injurious to the adjacent property, the neighborhood, or the community as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(1)(a).
5. Specifically, the ZHE finds that the wall in question is designed to coordinate with the house and otherwise be harmonious with the Subject Property. The wall does not interfere with the views, light or access of other residents or detrimentally impact neighborhood character or security. Additionally, a secure wall will deter criminal activity, which will benefit the neighborhood as a whole.
6. In addition, the support of the neighborhood association indicates the absence of potential injury to the surrounding area (no negative comments were received).
7. The ZHE finds that in the proposed use will not be significantly damaged by surrounding structures or activities as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(1)(b).

8. The ZHE finds that the proper “Notice of Hearing” signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-4-2(B)(4).
9. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

DECISION:

APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS of a conditional use to allow a wall over 3 ft high where height is normally limited to 3 ft in height.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The Applicant shall meet all requirements imposed by the Traffic Engineering Division. See §14-16-3-3(4)(c): “No wall, fence or retaining wall shall be erected in the clear sight triangle unless its type and location is approved by the Traffic Engineer based on a finding that it would not be a traffic hazard.” Those conditions include:

1. The Applicant must abide by the mini clear sight triangle requirement at the driveway located off of San Jacinto Ave NE.
2. The Applicant must abide by the intersection clear sight triangle requirement at the intersection of San Jacinto Ave NE and Lomas Blvd NE.
3. If the Applicant’s wall is higher than 3’ within either requirement of the clear sight triangles they must obtain a wall that will allow 50% visibility.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by June 15, 2017, in the manner described below. A non-refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Planning Department’s Land Development Coordination counter and is required at the time the Appeal is filed.


Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Christopher L. Graeser, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
carlgar01@gmail.com