

## CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Robert & David Santillanes (Agent, David Walker) request a variance of 5 feet to the required 10 feet street side setback for Lot 1, Block 26, Ridgecrest Addn, located at 1001 Washington ST SE, zoned R-1B [Section 14-16-5-1].

| Special Exception No:     | VA-2023-00374       |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Project No:               | Project#2023-009710 |
| Hearing Date:             | 04-16-24            |
| Closing of Public Record: | 04-16-24            |
| Date of Decision:         | 05-01-24            |
|                           |                     |

On the 16<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2024, property owner David Santillanes ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a variance of 5 feet to the required 10 feet street side setback ("Application") upon the real property located at 1001 Washington St SE ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE's finding of fact and decision:

## **FINDINGS**:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 5 feet to the required 10 feet street side setback.
- 2. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.
- 3. Applicant has duly authorized Agent to act on Applicant's behalf regarding the Application.
- 4. All property owners within 100 feet and affected neighborhood association(s) were notified.
- 5. The ZHE finds that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-6-4(K)(3).
- 6. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance ("IDO"), Section 14-16-6-6(O)(3)(a) (Variance-Review and Decision Criteria) reads: "... an application for a Variance-ZHE shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:
  - (1) There are special circumstances applicable to a single lot that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, physical characteristics, natural forces or government actions for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the lot either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or economic return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.
  - (2) The Variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.
  - (3) The Variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.

- (4) The Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or the applicable zone district.
- (5) The Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties."
- Applicant bears the burden of providing a sound justification for the requested decision, based on substantial evidence, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(3).
- 7. The applicant bears the burden of showing compliance with required standards through analysis, illustrations, or other exhibits as necessary, pursuant to IDO Section 14-16-6-4(E)(4).
- 8. The subject property is currently zoned R-1D.
- 9. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, it appears that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(1). Specifically, Applicant testified and confirmed in written submittals that the Subject Property has a unique location as a corner lot in a dead end cul-de-sac, which creates special circumstances. These special circumstances create extraordinary hardship and practical difficulties in complying with the minimum setback standards.
- 10. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance is not contrary to the public safety, health and welfare of the community as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(2). Specifically, Applicant testified and stated in the Application that the Variance would be built to the back of the Subject property and the Variance would not be further than the five foot setback requested.
- 11. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not cause significant adverse material impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(3). Specifically, Applicant testified that it would be a variance set in the back lot on a dead-end cul-de-sac
- 12. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or applicable zone district as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(4). Specifically, Applicant testified and confirmed in written submittals that Applicant believed it would bring value to the Subject Property and provide additional space for the Applicants.
- 13. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(5). Specifically, Applicant provided evidence that any smaller Variance would not be practicable.
- 14. The City Traffic Engineer submitted a report stating no objection to the Application.
- 15. Kirtland Air Force Base submitted a report stating no objection to the Application.

## DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 5 feet to the required 10 feet street side setback.

## **APPEAL**:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by May 16, 2024 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(V), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Robert Lucero, Esq.

Zoning Hearing Examiner

Voket Lucy's

cc:

ZHE File
Zoning Enforcement
Robert & David Santillanes, 1001 Washington St SE
David Walker, gearup1993@gmail.com