



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Archdiocese of Santa Fe (Agent, David Rave) requests a variance of 3 ft to the 3 ft maximum wall height for Lot 21, Block 6, Original Townsite of Albuquerque, located at 619 Copper Ave NW, zoned MX-FB-UD [Section 14-16-5-7(D)]

Special Exception No:..... **VA-2020-00012**
Project No: **Project#2020-003308**
Hearing Date: 02-18-20
Closing of Public Record: 02-18-20
Date of Decision: 03-04-20

On the 18th day of February, 2020, David Rave, agent for property owner Archdiocese of Santa Fe (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a variance of 3 ft to the 3 ft maximum wall height (“Application”) upon the real property located at 619 Copper Ave NW (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 3 ft to the 3 ft maximum wall height.
2. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance, Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a) (Variance-Review and Decision Criteria) reads: “... an application for a Variance-ZHE shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:
 - (1) *There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid. Such special circumstances of the property either create an extraordinary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property, or practical difficulties result from strict compliance with the minimum standards.*
 - (2) *The Variance will not be materially contrary to the public safety, health, or welfare.*
 - (3) *The Variance does not cause significant material adverse impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity.*
 - (4) *The Variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or the applicable zone district.*
 - (5) *The Variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties.*”
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-6-4(N)(1).
4. Agent for property owner appeared and gave evidence in support of the application.
5. All property owners within 100 feet of the subject property and the affected neighborhood association were notified.

6. The subject property is currently zoned MX-FB-UD.
7. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property that are not self-imposed and that do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(1). Specifically, property is built over archaic platting, as evidenced by the existing development having been built across lot lines. Further, the surroundings of the property make it susceptible to trespass. No evidence to the contrary was presented.
8. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not be contrary to the public safety, health and welfare of the community as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(2). Specifically, Agent testified that, if granted approval, the Applicant intends to develop the property in a manner that is consistent with the IDO and the Development Process Manual (DPM), which requires proper access onto the subject site. Also, the proposed fence would increase safety in the area by discouraging trespassers and loiterers, whom have set fires and created other hazards on church grounds. No evidence to the contrary was presented.
9. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not cause significant adverse material impacts on surrounding properties or infrastructure improvements in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(3). Specifically, the proposed fence would mainly abound a public street, not any neighboring property, and would have no impact on infrastructure. No evidence to the contrary was presented.
10. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance will not materially undermine the intent and purpose of the IDO or applicable zone district as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(4). Specifically, Applicant presented evidence that the intent of IDO will still be met in that the subject site continue its existing use, consistent with the IDO. No evidence to the contrary was presented.
11. Based on evidence submitted by or on behalf of Applicant, the variance approved is the minimum necessary to avoid extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties as required by Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(a)(5). Specifically, evidence was presented that any lower wall would be insufficient to prevent trespassers from entering the subject site. Thus, the applicants are not requesting more than what is minimally necessary for a variance. No evidence to the contrary was presented.
12. The proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-6-4(K)(3).
13. The Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 3 ft to the 3 ft maximum wall height.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by March 19, 2020 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(U), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.



Robert Lucero, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc:

ZHE File
Zoning Enforcement
Archdiocese of Santa Fe Real Estate Corp, 619 Copper Ave NW, 87102
Patrick Trujillo, 3601 Holiday Ave NE, 87111