

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Urszula Sulkonska Zimowski requests a variance of 2 ft 6 inches to the 3 ft maximum wall height for Lot 2, Block 46, Ridgecrest Addn, located at 4606 Crest AVE SE, zoned R-1B [Section 14-16-5-7-D]

Special Exception No:	. VA-2019-00451
Project No:	. Project#2019-003203
Hearing Date:	. 02-18-20
Closing of Public Record:	. 02-18-20
Date of Decision:	. 03-04-20

On the 18th day of February, 2020, property owner Urszula Sulkonska Zimowski ("Applicant") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner ("ZHE") requesting a variance of 2 ft 6 inches to the 3 ft maximum wall height ("Application") upon the real property located at 4606 Crest AVE SE ("Subject Property"). Below are the ZHE's findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 2 ft 6 inches to the 3 ft maximum wall height.
- 2. The City of Albuquerque Integrated Development Ordinance Section 14-16-6-6(N)(3)(c) Variance for a Taller Front or Side Yard Wall reads: "A variance application for a taller front or side yard wall shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:
 - (1) The proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area;
 - (2) The proposed wall would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community;
 - (3) The wall is proposed on a lot that meets any of the following criteria:
 - a. The lot is at least ½ acre;
 - b. The lot fronts a street designated as a collector or above in the LRTS guide;
 - c. At least 20 percent of the properties within 330 feet of the lot where the wall or fence is being requested have a wall or fence over 3 feet in the front yard.
 - (4) The design of the wall complies with any applicable standard in Section 14-16-5-7 (Walls and Fences), including, but not limited to Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(2) (Articulation and alignment) and Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(3) (Wall Design), and all of the following:
 - a. The wall or fence shall not block the view of any portion of any window on the front façade of the primary building when viewed from 5 feet above ground level at the centerline of the street in front of the house.
 - b. The design and materials proposed for the wall or fence shall reflect the architectural character of the surrounding area.
- 3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-6-4(N)(1).

- 4. All property owners within 100 feet and affected neighborhood association were notified of the application.
- 5. The subject property is currently zoned R1-B.
- 6. Applicant appeared and gave evidence in support of the application.
- 7. Transportation issued a report stating that, based on the pictures submitted by Applicant, the proposed wall is appears to be within the clear sight triangle, but the wall will be 3.0 ft. high in the mini clear sight triangle. So long as the applicant does not alter the design of the wall to where it would be opaque above 3 ft., transportation does not object.
- 8. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, the proposed wall would strengthen or reinforce the architectural character of the surrounding area. Specifically, the wall is constructed of white vinyl and is in harmony with the home on site and neighboring properties. This was corroborated by testimony of a community supporter. No evidence to the contrary was submitted.
- 9. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, the proposed wall would not be injurious to adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the larger community. Specifically, the wall would enhance the safety of both the subject property and neighboring properties by discouraging vagrants and trespassers from coming into the community, as has been common. This was corroborated by testimony of a community supporter. No evidence to the contrary was submitted.
- 10. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, at least 20 percent of the properties within 330 feet of the lot where the wall or fence is being requested have a wall or fence over 3 feet in the front yard. No evidence to the contrary was submitted.
- 11. Based on evidence presented by Applicant, the design of the wall complies with any applicable standard in Section 14-16-5-7 (Walls and Fences), including, but not limited to Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(2) (Articulation and alignment) and Subsection 14-16-5-7(E)(3) (Wall Design), and all of the following: (a) The wall or fence shall not block the view of any portion of any window on the front façade of the primary building when viewed from 5 feet above ground level at the centerline of the street in front of the house; and (b) The design and materials proposed for the wall or fence shall reflect the architectural character of the surrounding area. No evidence to the contrary was submitted.
- 12. The ZHE finds that the proper "Notice of Hearing" signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-6-4(K)(3).
- 13. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

DECISION:

APPROVAL WITH CONDITION of a variance of 2 feet 6 inches to the 3 feet maximum wall height.

CONDITIONS:

1. The design of the wall may not be altered to be opaque above 3 ft in height within the applicable sight triangle area.

APPEAL:

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by March 19, 2020 pursuant to Section 14-16-6-4(U), of the Integrated Development Ordinance, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed, or utilized.

Robert Lucero, Esq.

Zoning Hearing Examiner

Voket Lucy's

cc:

ZHE File Zoning Enforcement Urszula Sulkonska Zimowski, 4606 Crest Ave SE, 87108 Mr. Roybal, 4612 Crest Ave SE, 87108