



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

Jorge Rios and Maria Figueroa request a variance of 3 ft to the allowed 3 ft max wall height for Lot 27, Regina Addn, located on 631 Atrisco DR NW, zoned R1-D [Section 14-16-5-7(D)]

Special Exception No:.....**VA-2018-00025**
Project No:**Project#2018-001253**
Hearing Date:08-21-18
Closing of Public Record:08-21-18
Date of Decision:09-05-18

On the 21st day of August, 2018, property owner Jorge Rios (“Applicant”) appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (“ZHE”) requesting a variance of 3 ft to the allowed 3 ft max wall height (“Application”) upon the real property located at 631 Atrisco DR NW (“Subject Property”). Below are the ZHE’s findings of fact and decision:

FINDINGS:

1. Applicant is requesting a variance of 3 ft to the allowed 3 ft max wall height.
2. The City of Albuquerque Zoning Code of Ordinances Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2) (Special Exceptions – Variance) reads: “*A variance application shall be approved by the Zoning Hearing Examiner, if and only if, the Zoning Hearing Examiner finds all of the following:*
 - (a) *The application is not contrary to the public interest or injurious to the community, or to property or improvements in the vicinity;*
 - (b) *There are special circumstances applicable to the subject property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid;*
 - (c) *Such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable zoning district; and*
 - (d) *Substantial justice is done.*”
3. The Applicant bears the burden of ensuring there is evidence in the record supporting a finding that the above criteria are met under Section 14-16-4-2(C).
4. Jorge Rios, owner, appeared and presented evidence in support of this application.
5. Neighbors within 100 feet of the subject address and affected neighborhood associations were notified.
6. No meetings were requested by neighbors or the affected neighborhood association.
7. The proposed wall design is of a color and texture that reinforces the existing architectural character of the neighborhood.
8. The proposed wall is on a lot that is at least ½ acre.
9. The subject property is a uniquely shaped corner lot.
10. The primary residence is newly built.

11. The proposed design will reinforce the established character of the surrounding neighborhood.
12. The proposed wall consists of a short block wall topped by wrought iron fencing.
13. The block portion of the wall will not exceed 3 feet in height.
14. Traffic had no objections to the application, as long as the clear sight triangle is not blocked by design alteration or landscaping.
15. Bobby Gonzales, 4916 Olympia NW, spoke in support of the application.
16. No person appeared in opposition to the application.
17. The ZHE finds that Application is not: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property or improvements located in the vicinity as required by Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(a).
18. The ZHE finds that there are special circumstances applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity such as size, shape, topography, location, surroundings, or physical characteristics created by natural forces or government action for which no compensation was paid, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(b).
19. The ZHE finds that such special circumstances were not self-imposed and create an unnecessary hardship in the form of a substantial and unjustified limitation on the reasonable use or return on the property that need not be endured to achieve the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code (§14-16-1-3) and the applicable district, as required by Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2)(c).
20. The proposed wall design complies with all applicable standards contained in the IDO Wall Design and Walls and Fences.
21. The ZHE finds that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved, as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2 (C)(2)(d).
22. The ZHE finds that the proper “Notice of Hearing” signage was posted for the required time period as required by Section 14-16-4-2(B)(4).
23. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has authority to pursue this Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The criteria within Section 14-16-4-2(C)(2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code are satisfied.

DECISION:

APPROVAL of a variance of 3 ft to the allowed 3 ft max wall height.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so by September 20, 2018 in the manner described below. A non-refundable filing fee will be calculated at the Planning Department’s Land Development Coordination counter and is required at the time the Appeal is filed.

Appeal is to be filed within 15 days of the decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, on the west side of the lobby.
Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. **This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit.** If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Stan Harada, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
Jorge Rios, 631 Atrisco DR NW, 87105
Bobby Gonzales, 4916 Olympia NW, 87105