

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS ZONING HEARING EXAMINER NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

MEADOWBROOK PARK LTO/ THESMAN COMMUNITIES (ARCH + PLAN LAND USE CONSULTANTS, AGENT) requests a special exception to Section 14-16-3-5(C)(7)(b) : a VARIANCE request of 72 square feet to the max 24 square feet allow two existing signs for all or a portion of Lot K&N, Block 23, Meadowlark Mobile Home Park zoned SU-1 MH PARK, located on 7401 SAN PEDRO DR NE (D-18)

Special Exception No:	. 15ZHE-80248
Project No:	. Project# 1010603
Hearing Date:	. 10-20-15
Closing of Public Record:	. 10-20-15
Date of Decision:	. 10-30-15

On the 20th day of October, 2015(hereinafter "**Hearing**") ARCH + PLAN LAND USE CONSULTANTS, (hereinafter "**Agent**") acting as agent on behalf of the property owner MEADOWBROOK PARK LTO (hereinafter "**Applicant**") appeared before the Zoning Hearing Examiner (hereinafter "**ZHE**") requesting a Variance of 72 square feet to the max 24 square feet allow two existing signs (hereinafter "**Application**") upon the real property located at 7401 SAN PEDRO DR NE ("**Subject Property**"). Below are the findings of facts:

FINDINGS:

- 1. Applicant is requesting a Variance of 72 square feet to the max 24 square feet allow two existing signs.
- 3. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the Application is not going to

be: (i) contrary to the public interest, (ii) injurious to the community; or (iii) injurious to the property/improvements located in the nearby vicinity of the Subject Property. Specifically, the Applicant provided the following evidence, as required pursuant to Section 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (a).

- a. The proposed signs are to replace existing signs that are in poor condition and do not constitute a new use.
- b. The existing signs have been at the location for many years at a mobile home park that has been in place for approximately 40 years.
- 4. The ZHE finds that the existing signs pose a safety hazard and should be replaced. Granting the requested variance will permit their replacement with better constructed, safer signs.
- 5. The ZHE received correspondence from a concerned resident regarding the safety danger posed by falling signs, as demonstrated by past incidents. The ZHE takes this concern seriously, and finds that the best option is to provide for replacement of the signs with new signs that are properly constructed and build to approved engineer's plans.
- 6. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that there are "special circumstances" applicable to the Subject Property which do not apply generally to other property in the same zone and vicinity. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that the existing signs are in poor, dangerous condition and although they do not need to be replaced by law, they can be replaced with a variance [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (b)]
- 7. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that the special circumstances presented hereinabove were not "self-imposed", and that those special circumstances create an unnecessary hardship upon the Applicant. Specifically, the Applicant provided testimony that the signs have existing in their current location and form for many decades, and the condition of the existing signs is not due to any action of the Applicant which constitutes an "*unjustified limitation on the reasonable use of the Subject Property*" [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (c)]
- 8. The ZHE finds that the Applicant has met its burden of providing evidence (both oral testimony and written material) that establishes that substantial justice will be done if this Application is approved. [as required pursuant to Section § 14-16-4-2 (C) (2) (d)]
- 9. Applicant testified at the Hearing that the yellow "Notice of Hearing" signs were posted for the required time period as articulated within City of Albuquerque Code of Ordinances § 14-16-4-2 (B) (4).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Applicant has met their burden of submitting an Application that provides evidence that satisfies the elements required within §14-16-4-2 (C) (2) of the Albuquerque Zoning Code.

DECISION:

APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS of a VARIANCE of 72 square feet to the max 24 square feet allow two existing signs.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

The Applicant shall construct the signs in accordance with the proposed plans which have been approved by a licensed engineer.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so in the manner described below:

Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. (B), of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

hand On

Christopher L. Graeser, Esq. Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement ZHE File <u>arch.plan@comcast.net</u> <u>pfrankito@thesman.com</u>