

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
1	Garcia, Edward T.	12/29/16		<p>With respect to 1800 Rio Grande Blvd NW, which has proposed IDO rezoning of MX-L, we request that the IDO rezoning be MX-M instead of MX-L. MX-L apparently makes a bar a conditional use at the property for the first time in its long history (and also makes a tap room a non-approved use at the property for the first time). This property is Las Mananitas, an historical North Valley restaurant and bar dating back 200 years. It should be able to continue the diverse alcohol service uses in the future which it has had in the past, and which are now already permitted by its current SU zoning, and without need to re-apply for such alcohol service uses in the future.</p>		<p>ABC-Z is proposing to replace the City's existing system of over 700 individual zones to a set of 22 zones. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. The property's current zoning is SU-1 FOR FULL SERVICE RESTAURANT &amp; C-1 PERMISSIVE USES TO INCLUDE DWELLING UNITS &amp; SCHOOLS IN HISTORIC BUILDING. SU-1 sites were converted according to the referenced zone, to most closely match the current entitlements. For sites that referenced a straight zone, plus additional uses, those additional uses will continue to be allowed via the approved site development plan and as approved conditional uses. For this property, the restaurant use is permissive in the MX-L zone; the "full service" would be considered as a bar, which will be an approved conditional use; existing dwelling units will be allowed as non-conforming uses through the site development plan; and schools are permissive uses in the MX-L zone.</p>	
2	Garcia, Edward T.	12/29/16		<p>With respect to 2200 Zearing Ave NW, which has proposed IDO rezoning of NR-LM, we request that the IDO rezoning be MX-M instead, so that 2200 Zearing will have the same zoning as 820 Rio Grande Blvd NW to which the Zearing property is attached via a common wall (and to square off the end of the block between Bellamah and Zearing with common zoning).</p>		<p style="text-align: center;">See Line 1.</p> <p>2200 Zearing is currently zoned S-MI for industrial/wholesale/ manufacturing under the Sawmill-Wells Park SDP. This type of zoning most closely converts to NR-LM under the IDO zone categories. 820 Rio Grande is currently zoned SU-2/C-2, which converts to MX-M under the IDO. The property owner may apply for a zone change now or after the IDO is adopted, but such discretionary rezoning is outside of the scope of the IDO.</p>	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
3	Lipham, Janet	1/2/17		My house is on a lot that will be zoned R-1D. My lot is barely 1/8 acre. For the houses on Laguna between San Carlos and Los Alamos, you should revisit the zoning. It's more like R-1B, on the other side of the street.			Staff reviewed the lot sizes on this block, and revised the recommended conversion to R-1B.  Staff intends to update the IDO Conversion Map between the EPC and City Council review periods - changes made during EPC review will not appear on the IDO Conversion map until the IDO is moved to City Council review.
4	Strozier, Jim	1/2/17	The zoning for this property is incorrectly shown. Pursuant to the South Martineztown Sector Plan, the property is zoned C-1.	The correct conversion from C-1 - see existing zoning comment - should be MX-M.			See Line 3.  This comment refers to a property at the NW corner of Edith and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Ave. According to the City's Official Zone Map, the property's current zoning is SU-2/SM O-1. Staff researched this site and found no records of a zone map amendment for this property since adoption of the South Martineztown SDP, which shows the property as zoned SU-2/SM C-1. Consequently, the Official Zone Map will be revised to reflect the correct zoning for this site as SU-2/SM C-1, as well as in the IDO Conversion Map. The IDO conversion for the C-1 zone is MX-L.

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
5	UDD	1/10/17		Met with broker 1/10/17. SU-1 in West Route 66 plan allows O-1, C-1, C-2. Need to confirm distance from transit station on Central. If within 660 feet, go MX-H here. If not, go NR-C.			The site is currently zoned SU-1 for PDA and converted to PD. Given the information that C-2 is the highest permissive use allowed in the SU-1, the most appropriate conversion is NR-C. Based on policies to address the jobs-housing balance, C-2 zoning on the West Side is proposed to convert to NR-C unless it is within 660 ft. of a Premium Transit station. Those properties that are within 660 ft. of a PT station would be converted to MX-M. The subject property is more than 660 ft. from the proposed PT station areas on Central, which supports the conversion to NR-C.
6	UDD	1/10/17		Met with broker on 1/10/17. Confirm 660 feet from transit for this site. Wanting to keep MX-H.		See Line 5. This property is not within 660' of a Premium Transit station, so the conversion for the zoning is NR-C.	
7	UDD	1/10/17		Met with broker 1/10/17. Need to confirm conversion to MX-M for these three triangle parcels north and south of Bridge Blvd. (Why not NR-C based on decision rules?) Broker wanting MX-M and to confirm that the conversion will stay.		See Line 5. This property does not meet C-2 conversion criteria for MX-M, and therefore converts to NR-C.	
8	UDD	1/12/17		Met with Lawrence Kline. One of the properties currently zoned SU-1 for Neighborhood Commercial is owned by ABQ RIDE. Change all properties in this SU-1 for Neighborhood Commercial to MX-L.			SU-1 for Neighborhood Commercial most closely aligns with C-1 zoning, which would convert to MX-L.

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
9	UDD	1/12/17		Met with Lawrence Kline. ABQ RIDE owns this sliver. Would prefer some retail/office uses, but ok going to R-T. Look at this with R-D analysis for surrounding properties.		Discretionary zone changes are not within the scope of this project. This property can be considered in a future action to make discretionary zone changes.	The R-D zone West of the River will be converted to PD in the next map update.
10	Vigliano, Richard	1/14/17		R-ML makes more sense for this block. There is a 10 plex at 1211 Copper (3 lots east), a 4 unit apt at the east end of the block, a large, 78 unit, apt complex going in on the block just west of us and a very large, 128 unit apt complex and a motel across the street. My SF home cannot be turned into a town home but can accommodate apartments. At least with the current zoning we can have a second residence.		ABC-Z is proposing to replace the City's existing system of over 700 individual zones to a set of 22 zones. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. The current zoning for this block is SU-2/MD-1, which references the R-T zone currently, and therefore converts to R-T. Accessory dwelling units (second residences) will still be allowed in this area, see pages 151-154 of the IDO.	
11	Rizzardi, Ron	1/16/17		I do not support the Ventanna Ranch West being rezoned to Residential Townhouse R-T. I believe Townhomes will with single family homes will adversely affect the value of the single family homes.		The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. Ventana Ranch West is currently zoned R-LT, which allows townhouses, which is why it has been converted to R-T. Staff is aware that the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning do not always match the way that development has occurred on the ground, and a process to address those mismatches and propose discretionary zone changes is intended to be part of the CPA assessment process after IDO adoption.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
12	McCarty, Kevin	1/18/17		This is my neighborhood. Up-zoning from SU-3 for Office to High Density residential blocking all light and any view from homes on the north side of my neighborhood? I don't think so. How can you propose doing this to us? You'll ruin our entire neighborhood!!! I'm the VP of Rancho Encantado HOA - You will be hearing from us.			This comment refers to a location at the SW corner of Coors Blvd. and Western Trail. Staff reviewed this area and revised the recommended conversion to MX-T, which is the conversion for current O-1 zones.  Staff intends to update the IDO Conversion Map between the EPC and City Council review periods - changes made during EPC review will not appear on the IDO Conversion map until the IDO is moved to City Council review.
13	Vosbaugh, Jake	1/23/17		This parcel (NW corner of University and Menaul) is currently zoned M-1 and is being shown as NR - LM. Is this a down zone? Who should I contact to discuss the potential uses for this zone?		The NR-LM zone is a close equivalent to the uses allowed in the current M-1 zone. To review the allowable uses in all zones, see Table 3-2-1, Permitted Use Table, beginning on page 105 of the EPC Draft of the IDO. Contact abctoz@cabq.gov to request an appointment to talk about uses.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
14	Ashe, Kenn E	1/29/17		<p>I think the Bel-aire neighborhood should be rezoned as An RML zoning. With the alley access it would make sense because many of the backyards are barren and small one or two bedroom small homes could be permanently built on this vacant land. The homes could help increase the property taxes for the area and improve the neighborhood at the same time. Hopefully the increased traffic in the alleys would all so decrease the crime in the area. The reason that I am concerned about the future of this area is because I see the potential of an amazing inner city project that could be very innovative and successful for all concerned. I myself would like to be able to do such a thing at the house we own at 2815 Montclair Dr. NE or any of the other ones we own in this area. Thank you for your time and consideration and I will try to attend the meeting.</p>		<p>This comment refers to a location near Claremont Ave. and Montclair Dr. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. This neighborhood is currently zoned R-1, and the IDO proposes to retain the R-1 zone for medium lots, which matches the developed lot sizes in this area.</p> <p>In 2014, City Council considered a text amendment to the R-1 zone to allow second homes on R-1 lots, called accessory dwelling units. This legislation did not receive the support it needed and the bill failed.</p>	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
15	UDD (CT & RV discussion on 1/30/17)	1/30/17		Northern portion of MX-T is currently a church. The southern portion is residential (townhouses or apartments). Consider this when this R-D / PD area is reviewed and refined by Team. Also consider that the site north of San Victorio is residential (townhouses or apartments). The R-D area is SF residential except for this N-S swath (described above).			
16	Brito, Russell	2/2/17		This half-block along Copper between Cedar and Spruce should be R-ML, which allows the existing single-family, townhouse, and multi-family, and which would be an appropriate transition/buffer for the half-block to the north across the alley.		This block does not meet the criteria for the R-ML zone. This would be a discretionary rezoning.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
17	Abeyta, Steven	2/4/17			The location of heavy industrial polluting companies is mostly located in the vicinity of the San Jose neighborhood. I know the group should use the principals of environmental justice. When anyone views the entire map it definitely shows a pattern of discrimination and disparate impacts on poor vulnerable community that is already dealing with disparate impacts. The community of San Jose has been discriminated in the past and according to the new plans San Jose will be discriminated in the future. I am hoping you may change the outlook and outcomes of the San Jose neighborhood.	This comment refers to a location near Broadway Blvd. and Wesmeco Dr. SW. Staff agrees that this area has particular challenges with industrial uses in close proximity to residences. This problem is seen along much of the rail road tracks, where early industry and residences were developed together. There are large concentrations of industrial zoning along I-25 and I-40, some of which are also interspersed with residential uses. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. A process to address challenges such as in San Jose by proposing discretionary zone changes is intended to be part of the CPA assessment process after IDO adoption.	Staff is working on a proposed Use Specific Standard to require a distance separation between industrial uses that require an air quality permit and/or a maximum number of industrial uses that require an air quality permit within a certain area.
18	UDD	2/6/17		UDD: SU-1 for Hospital should be converted based on C-3 conversion rules. All of the conversions for C-1, C-2, and C-3 need to be completely re-run			
19	Meyer, Keith	2/9/17		Lot A & Lot B North 4th Street, LOT E-1 of summary plat of Lots E-1 & F-1 North 4th Street. Parcels LT D-1 Plat of Lots D-1 & D-2 North 4th Street, All currently zoned Straight C-2 with a proposed zoning of MX-M with a 4th Street Corridor Sector Plan overlay.		It appears this comment is related to land near 4th Street and Montano. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. The North 4th Street Corridor Plan uses "or" zoning. Properties in this area are zoned C-2 or SU-2 NFTOD (North Fourth Transit-Oriented Development). The proposed zone conversion for C-2 is MX-M. The proposed conversion for SU-2 NFTOD is MX-M because of the similar set of permissive uses.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
19 (cont'd)	Meyer, Keith	2/9/17		<p>I do not believe the new IDO zoning takes into account the high traffic volumes inherently generated by the funneling of traffic at bridge crossings. The intersection of 4th and Montano has a disproportionately high east/west traffic volume caused by the funneling of traffic over the Montano bridge, yet the IDO calls for mixed use and does not negate the 4th Street Corridor Sector plan that requires building to be built with zero setbacks on the sidewalk, completely eliminating visibility into the high traffic intersection.</p> <p>Those parcel immediately adjacent to Montano should be excluded from the Sector Plan and the zero setbacks dangerously limited visibility into a constrained, high volume intersection with the intent of increasing the walkability when in actuality traffic management should be the primary concern. MX-M zoning is no different than those sites all along 4th street where the traffic counts are less than half.</p>		<p>The North 4th Street Sector Development Plan is not being carried forward as a Character Protection Overlay zone, because the Comp Plan's designation of this part of 4th Street as a Main Street Corridor accomplished similar development form. Properties that are designated as Main Street with MX-M zoning are allowed a 0 - 15' setback. Taller building heights are also allowed and a Workforce Housing bonus is also permitted for projects that meet those requirements.</p> <p>Regarding visibility at intersections, the Development Process Manual provides standards that are required for traffic safety. They are called clear sight triangles. If a project does not meet the traffic safety requirements, it is possible to request a variance to the setback requirements.</p> <p>Discretionary zone changes are not part of the ABC-Z project. The IDO is converting existing zones to the closest matching IDO zone, which in this case is MX-M. The conditions described may be adequate for justifying a zone change request, which the property owner can submit to the City as a separate action.</p>	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
20	Wood, DNette	2/10/17	Hello. I'm the owner of 1000 Gabaldon Drive High Lonesome Ranch. The existing zoning allows for Residential 1 per .5 acre on 17 acres. We wish to develop this into residential with potential higher density / and or mixed uses with our commercial SU-2. The IDO Zoning looks like it will DOWNGRADE our residential development capacity and downs not show our Commercial office use.	Hello. I'm the owner of 1000 Gabaldon Drive High Lonesome Ranch. The existing zoning allows for Residential 1 per .5 acre on 17 acres. We wish to develop this into residential with potential higher density / and or mixed uses with our commercial SU-2. The IDO Zoning looks like it will DOWNGRADE our residential development capacity and downs not show our Commercial office use.	The IDO Zoning appears to downgrade our current zoning for residential development and commercial office uses. Please review: 1000 Gabaldon Drive - 87104 High Lonesome Ranch. We wish to have an allowable residential with higher density actually. Please assist. thanks!	This comment was left at a location at the intersection of Gabaldon Rd. and Calle Facio NW. Property in this area is zoned R-A (Rural and Agricultural). This is the closest match in the IDO to the current zoning R-A1. The IDO R-A zone allows 4 dwelling units per acre, which is more dense than the current R-A1 allowance of 2 dwelling units per acre. If this comment refers to the property on the east side of Gabaldon Rd., there is a large property with a portion of the site zoned SU-1 for Office. This portion of the site is proposed to become zoned MX-T, which is the equivalent of the O-1 zone. The site plan for this property will remain valid, and additional residential uses may be added for the portion of the site zoned SU-1 for Office / MX-T. If the owner wants to develop other portions of the site as higher density residential or mixed uses, a zone change would be required.	
21	Hogan, Julie	2/13/17		1001 Yale SE and the entire Yale block is correctly identified as MX-M. Since 2005 we've owned 1001 Yale SE property. My purpose throughout this process was to again have easily understood terms for prospective tenants. Allows Yale to organically grow as an urban center. Thank you.		Noted. The project team appreciates the close review from all commenters.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
22	Vos, Michael	2/21/17		<p>The existing zoning boundaries here are correct, so the boundaries of the conversion seem appropriate. However, this particular area of the S-MI district (north of an extension of Aspen - where the park is - and at least 100 feet setback from the west side of Mill Pond Rd) allows for new residential uses per pages 116-117 of the Sawmill/Wells Park Sector Plan. Should this particular section of NR-LM thus be some form of mixed use? Maybe (probably) not, but at least I think the wording of the plan would give the freedom to adjust the boundary of the chosen R-ML that was assigned to the current S-DR could be modified to encompass all of the lots that have actually developed as residential instead of industrial/commercial, so the new zone boundary is not crossing in the middle of some of these parcels.</p>			<p>Staff is proposing to change the conversion of the Sawmill SU-2/S-I zone to NR-BP, which will preserve more of the C-2 uses allowed in this zone, as well as the live/work use.</p> <p>Other dwellings will become legal nonconforming uses.</p>
23	Allen, Jeffrey	2/27/17		<p>It appears that many lots are now identified as what they actually are, which is good. I am very much in favor of the Bear Canyon space new zoning to NR-PO-B and also the space to the east of it that is proposed to be NR-PO-C.</p>		<p>Noted. The project team appreciates the close review from all commenters.</p>	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
24		2/28/17	Why are businesses, e.g. commercial boarding houses, allowed in this single family residence area?	Will commercial boarding house businesses be allowed in this single family residence area?		This comment refers to a location near Academy and Tramway that is currently zoned R-D and is proposed to be zoned PD. The R-D zone is used in developing areas and it allowed a mix of R-1, R-T, R-3, and C-1 uses as established by an approved Sector Development Plan or Site Plan. Because of this range of possible uses, properties with this zone have been preliminarily converted to PD, to signal that development is governed by an approved site plan. The Sector Development Plan allows R-3 uses at the SW corner of Academy and Tramway, but only single-family residential uses south and west of that site. In the IDO, only uses that are consistent with the governing plan will be allowed. Commercial businesses would not be allowed in the residential neighborhood.	Eventually, Staff would like to review the approved site plans for all of the land in the City zoned R-D and SU-1 for PRD to determine the best base zone for each part of the development. This analysis may have to occur after adoption of the IDO during the community assessment process.
25		3/6/17		Being in the area it is there is not a good reason to lower density next to CNM and UNM		This comment refers to a location near the intersection of Coal Pl. and Mesa St. where the proposed zoning is R-ML. The current zoning for this property is SU-2/Diverse Residential, which allows R-1 and R-2 uses. The closest match in terms of uses and density is the IDO's Residential - Multifamily Low Density, which allows the same 30 dwelling units per acre. In the zoning code, 30 dwelling units per acre is the density allowed permissively in R-2, R-3, C-1, C-2, and C-3 zones. The IDO proposes to allow a range of densities appropriate to each zone.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
26	Michels, Donna	3/8/17		I'm looking at the proposed zoning map at the area on the northeast corner of Park Avenue and 14th Street SW. The designation is PD, for Planned Development. But that doesn't provide any indication of the type of Planned Development destined for this property. Is it residential, multi-family, commercial...? Right now this property contains apartments, and it sits between Park Plaza Condominiums and Washington Middle School. Under the proposed Comprehensive Plan, what development is planned for this property?		This comment refers to a location near 14th Street and Park Ave. that is zoned SU-2/SU-1 PRD with R-3 Restrictions. It is proposed to be converted to the PD zone. Any future development at this location would have to be consistent with the uses and standards provided on the site's SU-1 Site Development Plan. The City's Comp Plan and IDO do not propose to develop or redevelop any privately owned property, such as this one.	See line 24.
27	UDD	3/10/17	UDD: Met with property owner on 3/10. Current zoning is S-R, with non-residential uses in place via SU-1 prior to Sawmill/Wells Park SDP (in this case, nursery and flower shop. SDP has language that allows new non-residential that allows for equally/less restrictive. Property owner requested information from ZEO for a list of what this means and was told that nursery & flower shop were the permitted uses).	UDD: Met with property owner on 3/10. Existing zoning allows non-residential uses in place via SU-1 prior to Sawmill/Wells Park SDP (in this case, nursery and flower shop). Need to determine how to reflect this in the conversion.			
28	UDD	3/17/17		UDD: Met w/NA Mix of patio homes and townhouses - convert from P-D to R zones or leave PD?			See line 24.

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
29	Magruder, Kelly	3/21/17		My neighborhood (my address is 4428 Rio Trumperos Ct NW, 87120) is a single family neighborhood without any "townhouse style residential developments". Furthermore any developments in my neighborhood should "redevelopment reinforce the established character of the existing neighborhood.". This area should be zoned as "residential single family detached" and not "residential townhouse."		This comment refers to a location near Golf Course Rd. and Butterfield Tr. NW. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. This neighborhood is currently zoned R-LT, which allows townhouses, which is why it has been converted to R-T. Staff is aware that the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning do not always match the way that development has occurred on the ground, and a process to address those mismatches and propose discretionary zone changes is intended to be part of the CPA assessment process after IDO adoption.	
30	Fletcher, Brian	3/21/17		Given the nature of the housing already in this sector (Riverview), the zoning for this area should be Residential Single-Family Detached. The designation R-T (Residential Townhouse) is consistent with development in place.		This comment refers to a location near Golf Course Rd. and Butterfield Tr. NW. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. This neighborhood is currently zoned R-LT, which allows townhouses, which is why it has been converted to R-T. Staff is aware that the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning do not always match the way that development has occurred on the ground, and a process to address those mismatches and propose discretionary zone changes is intended to be part of the CPA assessment process after IDO adoption.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
31	Walden, Tamera	3/21/17	I am a small business owner, this property has been a Bed and Breakfast for over 30 years.	Would making this proposed change allow my business to continue in operation in a residential zoned lot?		This comment refers to a location near 7th Street and Roma Ave. Yes, this use will continue to be allowed at this location. The property is currently zoned SU-2/DNA-MR, which allows R-2 uses, with some exceptions. The proposed zone of R-ML is the closest conversion in the new IDO set of base zones. Bed and Breakfast is an accessory use in this zone, meaning that there must be a permanent resident using the property as a dwelling. All uses that are legally existing at the time of adoption of the IDO are considered to be either permissive uses or approved conditional uses in the IDO conversion zones, the same as was done when the updated DNA plan was adopted.	
32	Seaborn, Morgan	3/21/17		Is the City planning to sell the park for development? I strongly oppose that. I bought my house only a year ago, and one of the primary selling points was Manzano Mesa Park nearby. I most certainly do not want to see residential construction there.		This comment refers to a location near Eubank Blvd. and Southern Ave. The Manzano Mesa Multigenerational Center is a City-owned property that is currently zoned as SU-1 for community park and related uses. Because this is not a park that is owned by the Parks & Recreation Department, the NR-PO-A zone was not appropriate as the IDO conversion. Property owned and/or managed by other Departments is converted to the zone that most closely matches the uses allowed by the current zoning. Because community centers are allowed in all zones, the adjacent R-1B zone was used as the closest match. There is no plan to sell the park or convert to other uses. The SU-1 Site Development Plan will remain valid until it expires or is amended.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
33	Fletcher, Lisa	3/22/17		I object to the changing of the sector plan of Riverview to be rezoned as Residential Townhouse. Rather it should be zoned as Residential Single family detached, R-1. These are all pre-existing residential single family homes. I do not want our neighborhood that has stood for 20 years changed into a townhome development.	I think the city's decision to go from 9 zones to 23 is a huge waste of time and energy. There will be many more mistakes than just my neighborhood, and future zoning changes will be more complicated since the zones are more specific.	ABC-Z is proposing to replace the City's existing system of over 700 individual zones to a set of 22 zones. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. This neighborhood is currently zoned R-LT, which allows townhouses, which is why it has been converted to R-T.  Staff is aware that the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning do not always match the way that development has occurred on the ground, and a process to address those mismatches and propose discretionary zone changes is intended to be part of the CPA assessment process after IDO adoption.	
34	Clary, Jack	3/22/17				No comment submitted.	
35	Rasmus-sen, Keith	3/22/17		regarding zone atlas page J-16-z. I am totally apposed to the r-mh ido applying to the south university golf course. that needs to be ido NR-PO-C open space owned by other. this area has been used as open space by the surrounding area residents and university personnel throughout city history.		This comment refers to a location near Indian School Rd. and Harvard Dr. that is the UNM North Golf Course. This property is currently zoned R-3, and is proposed to be converted to R-MH, which is the closest conversion in the IDO base zones.  Because the land is owned by UNM, which is a subdivision of the State, the City's zoning rules do not apply. If the owner requests the NR-PO-C, the Planning Department would be happy to make the conversion.	
36	Suski, Christopher	3/23/17		6115 Alameda (and the undeveloped lots near it) are currently being developed into multi-family housing, which doesn't seem compatible with the NR-MP zoning designation.		This comment refers to a location near San Pedro Dr. and Oakland Ave. that is currently zoned "IP or SU-2/NC." If the owner requests the conversion to conform to the SU-2/NC zone, an alternate conversion could be applied.	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
37	McVay, Grady	3/23/17		My neighborhood is predominately townhomes. It should be zoned R-T. Why isn't it? What are the zoning ordinances associated with PD zoning? I live in Academy Ridge East subdivision in the far northeast heights.		This comment refers to a location near Academy Ridge and Carruthers St. that is currently zoned R-D. The R-D zone is used in developing areas and it allowed a mix of R-1, R-T, R-3, and C-1 uses as established by an approved Sector Development Plan or Site Plan. Because of this range of possible uses, properties with this zone have been preliminarily converted to PD, to signal that development is governed by an approved site plan.	See line 24.
<b>Below are comments submitted via the Conversion Map received after the deadline to be included in the staff report for the April 6 &amp; 10 hearings (4 April 2017 @ 1 p.m.) but before the 12 April 2017 deadline to be addressed in the Supplemental Staff Report for the 24 April 2017 hearing..</b>							
38	Brito, Russell	3/24/17		Owner prefers NR-C instead of NR-LM in order to retain their existing entitlements for commercial uses per existing Sawmill/Wells Park SDP SU-2/S-I zoning that allows C-2 uses if contiguous to an arterial street (S-I zone A.2.h). Owner will submit letter expressing this desired conversion.			Staff is proposing to change the conversion of the Sawmill SU-2/S-I zone to NR-BP, which will preserve more of the C-2 uses allowed in this zone, as well as the live/work use.
39	Southard, Kate	3/27/17	Can you send us definitions on the SU-2 DR designation for the University Neighborhood Sector Development Plan?			The SU-2/DR regulations can be found in the University Neighborhood Sector Development Plan, starting on page 70. A copy of this plan is located at: <a href="https://www.cabq.gov/planning/documents/univnsdp.pdf">https://www.cabq.gov/planning/documents/univnsdp.pdf</a>	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
40	Hines, Dennis	3/30/17			I own townhomes located at 500 Ortiz DR NE and 620 Ortiz DR NE, which have been zoned SU-1. Proposed zoning is Proposed Development. I disagree. Since the east side of the 500 and 600 blocks is totally residential, I feel it should receive the R-T zoning that applies to the west side of the street. How do I get this resolved? Dennis Hines	This property is currently zoned SU-1/Planned Unit Development and is proposed to be converted to PD. The PD is the closest equivalent zone in the new set of zone categories. This use is an existing, approved use governed by a site development plan, which will remain valid under the IDO. The use will remain legal, and be governed by the Nonconforming Use Regulations, Section 5-6.2.  See also IDO Section 1-10 for Transitions from Previous Regulations and Section 5-24 for Amendments of Existing Approvals.	See line 24.
41	Alger, Pam	4/1/17		Santa Fe Village is a residential community. What is mixed use doing in this community? What are the implications of planned development for a 20+ year old residential community. Why change something we bought into? What is the agenda?		This neighborhood has two different zones, SU-1/Planned Development Area and R-D. The conversion rules for the R-D zone were originally to map to PD on the East Side and MX-T on the West Side, to reflect the current residential and nonresidential uses allowed in this zone. Upon further reflection, this conversion will be updated to map to PD on both the East and West sides of the city. The PD zone will allow only the uses listed on an approved Site Plan.	See line 24.
42	UDD	4/3/17	Tr. 3F-1-A & Tr. 3G-1-A & Tr. 3H of the Black Ranch Subdivision have recently been changed from City O-1 to City C-1 Neighborhood Commercial Zoning.				These parcels at Valley View Dr. NW will be revised to convert to the MX-L zone, to reflect the site's new zoning.

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
43	Popp, Marie	4/4/17		<p>I live in the Old Town area, San Pasquale Ave NW. On your map you say we are not a part of a sector plan We are officially became part of DNA. Also, you have designated a portion of our street (only the southern portion - as residential mutifamily high density...This street is a one way street with only exit onto Central by right turn (as of new construcion)...parking is restricted to one side, and restricted further to school pickup on school days. A four-story building would not be feasible here. Please look at this again, and consider giving us the designation of the north portion of our tiny street (multifamily low density) which is also the designation on the adjacent 19th St area.</p>		<p>This comment refers to a location near 19th Street and Old Town Rd. This area may be within the DNA Neighborhood Association, but it is not covered by a Sector Development Plan. ABC-Z is proposing to replace the City's existing system of over 700 individual zones to a set of 22 zones. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. This block is currently zoned R-2 and R-3, which converts to R-ML and R-MH, respectively. Any future development in this area would have to comply with the IDO's Development Standards and setbacks.</p>	

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
44	UDD	4/4/17		UDD: Look at Rio Bravo SDP Conversion. MX-M not appropriate here - S-F homes.		The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. The Rio Bravo SDP SU-2/Mixed Use zone refers to the R-2 and C-2 zones, which has been converted to MX-M. This area has been developed with single-family residential dwelling units.  Staff is aware that the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning do not always match the way that development has occurred on the ground, and a process to address those mismatches and propose discretionary zone changes is intended to be part of the CPA assessment process after IDO adoption.	
45	Johnson, Erick	4/6/17		This property (123 Montano NW) is a distribution warehouse facility to include wholesaling and cold storage. Due to the current building on the site and the nature of the existing improvements, the zoning should be NR-LM or NR-GM with provision for back up power generation and tractor & trailer parking. Being an industrial/distribution warehouse, parking of tractors and trailers is an absolute necessity to the continued use of the property, so the limitation of the parking tractors and trailers is not feasible.			This property is currently zoned through the North Fourth SDP as "M-1 or SU-2/NFTOD." To retain the industrial and manufacturing uses at this location, Planning will use the base zone instead of the opt in zone for the IDO conversion. This will result in a conversion to NR-LM in the IDO.  Please submit a letter from the owner of record to authorize this change.

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
46	Johnson, Erick	4/6/17		This property (7601 Los Volcanes NW) is a fairly new freight terminal. Based on the proposed zones this use would not be permitted under the proposed NR-BP zoning. Freight terminal or dispatch center needs to be permissive in the NR-BP zone.		This property is currently zoned IP and is proposed to be converted to NR-BP. The NR-BP is the closest equivalent in the new set of zone categories. This use is an existing, approved use governed by a site development plan, which will remain valid under the IDO. The use will remain legal, and be governed by the Nonconforming Use Regulations, Section 5-6.2.  See also IDO Section 1-10 for Transitions from Previous Regulations and Section 5-24 for Amendments of Existing Approvals.	
47		4/7/17		This is a townhome development. Why is it zoned PD?		This comment refers to a location near Marquette Ave and Ortiz Dr. This property is currently zoned SU-1/Planned Residential Development and is proposed to be converted to PD. The PD is the closest equivalent zone in the new set of zone categories. This use is an existing, approved use governed by a site development plan, which will remain valid under the IDO. The use will remain legal, and be governed by the Nonconforming Use Regulations, Section 5-6.2.  See also IDO Section 1-10 for Transitions from Previous Regulations and Section 5-24 for Amendments of Existing Approvals.	See line 24.

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
48	Newton, Dennis L.	4/8/17		<p>What exactly is allowed in an MX-T, Mixed Use Transition Zone. Does this mean someone can open a business or build apartments in a residential area??? Why the change from straight residential in the old code to mixed use in the new one... This area is already built out with residential homes.</p> <p>Also, my home is at 6815 Lamar Ave NW which on this map is right on the line. What zone will my home be listed in???</p>		<p>This comment refers to a location near Dellyne and Unser Blvd. that is currently zoned R-D and SU-1/Planned Residential Development. The home at 6815 is wholly within the R-D zone. These zones area used in developing areas and allow a mix of R-1, R-T, R-3, O-1, and C-1 uses as established by an approved Sector Development Plan or Site Plan. Because of this range of possible uses, properties with this zone have been preliminarily converted to PD, to signal that development is goverened by an approved site plan. This use is an existing, approved use goverened by a site development plan, which will remain vaild under the IDO. The use will remain legal, and be goverend bythe Nonconforming Use Regulations, Section 5-6.2. See also IDO Section 1-10 for Transitions from Previous Regulations and Section 5-24 for Amendments of Existing Approvals.</p>	See line 24.

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
49	UDD	4/10/17		Alan Schwartz (email 4/9/17) is questioning the MX-T conversion surrounding this MPOS land. Let's be sure to look more closely at the SU-1 conversion to see whether this should be a flavor of R-1. Thanks, MRW		(Revised 4/19/17)	<p>The Riverview SDP zoning for the land surrounding the Open Space references both the R-2 and SU-1/PRD zones. The SU-2 conversion table originally proposed MX-T as the closest conversion in the IDO zones. Staff believes PD would be a better fit, to match other SU-1/PRD zones that are not within a SDP.</p> <p>Eventually, Staff would like to review the approved site plans for all of the land in the City zoned R-D and SU-1 for PRD to determine the best base zone for each part of the development. This analysis may have to occur after adoption of the IDO during the community assessment process.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Revised 4/19/17)</p>

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
50		4/12/17		<p>Why is the Vista Del Norte neighborhood near Osuna and Vista Del Norte NE proposed to be zoned as "Planned Development"? This neighborhood is already developed and is residential, with more than 1,000 homes located there. Why is one section of the Vista del Norte neighborhood (including Bosque Road, Santa Elena Street, Santa Rachel Street, Paseo Verde) proposed to be zoned as "Rural and Agricultural"? There have been more than 50 residential homes in this section of Vista del Norte for more than 15 years, and it is part of the Vista Del Norte Homeowners Association.</p>		<p>ABC-Z is proposing to replace the City's existing system of over 700 individual zones to a set of 22 zones. The IDO aims to most closely match the permissive uses allowed by existing zoning. This comment refers to portions of the Vista del Norte that is currently zoned R-D and is proposed to be zoned PD. The R-D zone is used in developing areas and it allowed a mix of R-1, R-T, R-3, and C-1 uses as established by an approved Sector Development Plan or Site Plan. Because of this range of possible uses, properties with this zone have been preliminarily converted to PD, to signal that development is governed by an approved site plan. In the IDO, only uses that are consistent with the governing plan will be allowed. The portions of the neighborhood thar are zoned Rural and Agricultural are currently zoned RA-2, which allows both residential and agricultural uses.</p>	See line 24.

Conversion Map Comments  
EPC IDO Draft / Map as of December 29, 2016

No.	Name	Date	Existing Zoning Comments	IDO Zoning Comments	General Comments	No Change / Explanation	Change
<b>Below are comments submitted via the Conversion Map received after the 12 April 2017 deadline to be addressed in the Supplemental Staff Report for the 24 April 2017 hearing.</b>							
51	Amendolagine, Vincent	4/13/17		<p>410 Solar Rd NW, Albuquerque, NM 87107, USA            6131 4th St NW, Los Ranchos De Albuquerque, NM 87107, USA            6310 4th St NW, Los Ranchos De Albuquerque, NM 87107, USA            311 La Plata Rd NW, Albuquerque, NM 87107, USA</p> <p>these parcels are now residential and have limited commercial use. This protects and buffers our neighborhoods. The neighbors and neighborhoods will have no control over what goes on for those properties as far as commercial development. There have been attempts to put in businesses on some of those properties that would greatly diminish the quality of life in surrounding neighborhoods. one proposal in all likelihood would have made it difficult to impossible to exit our street at rush hour in the morning. This proposal cannot stand. also i just got this email. so late notice</p>		<p>The comment refers to parcels that are currently zoned "R-1 or SU-2/NFMX" The North Fourth Street Sector Development Plan created an opt-in zoning system that added mixed uses to properties that previously only allowed single-family residential uses. The proposed IDO Conversion zone MX-M, Mixed-Use Medium, carries forward those commercial and mixed uses. 6310 4th Street is a parcel currently zoned C-1. The proposed IDO conversion MX-L is the closest match for the existing land use entitlements. 311 La Plata is a residential property, proposed to be converted to R-A, Rural and Agriculture. Only residential or agricultural uses would be allowed at this site.</p>	